

Berkeley Pedestrian Master Plan Response to Comments – Updated January, 2010
City of Berkeley Transportation Division

Comments and Tasks from Transportation Committee Mtg (March 2008) 1
 Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: General 2
 Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics..... 5
 Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Specific Projects 15

Comments and Tasks from Transportation Commission Mtg (March 2008)	Response
Change all references to "pedestrian paddles" as in-pavement yield to pedestrian signs	Completed.
Add to zoning changes and recommendation that plan review includes traffic calming at commercial driveways	Completed. See Table 9-3 for site access.
Education informing employers about state parking cash out	Completed. See Section 7.2.5.
Signs, education, enforcement that drivers need to stop before turning right on red	Completed. See Sections 7.2.1 and 7.2.2
Add to policies a policy that the city will continue to install traffic calming devices per the traffic calming policy	Completed. See Section 3.2 referencing the traffic calming policy.
Incorporate by reference the traffic calming policy	Completed. See Section 2.2, Goal 1: Policy 1.4.
Include funding for traffic calming in plan	Completed. See Section 10.4.4.3.
Include enforcement of sight lines in zoning (shrubbery)	Completed. See Table 9-3 landscaping.
Include design guidelines for parking lots	Completed. See Table 9-3 Circulation and Parking.
Add signals recommended in bike plan into document	Completed. See Section 3.4.2 and Appendix A: Table 16.
Add improvement maps to document	Completed. See Figures 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, and 6-4.
List of all recommendations by improvement type to city	Updated tables in Appendix A and included the following additional tables: Signals Proposed in Berkeley Bicycle Master Plan and Related Improvements Proposed in Berkeley Bicycle Master Plan Appendix F.

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: General					
Ch.	Sec.	Pg.	From	Comment	Response
2			Diana Keena	Policy 1.3, Action A and C: Bring up idea of new paths, either by including it in the Actions or having a separate section. New Action: create pedestrian/bike greenways where opportunities arise. Impltn measures could include: identify linear corridors that could lend themselves to development of a linear park for pedestrian and bicycle travel, wide enough for pedestrian and bicycle paths or a mixed-use path and ample landscaping and trees on both sides; and apply for transportation and open space funding ofr ped-bike greenways.	Completed. Incorporated as implementation item Policy 1.2.C Implementation 3.
2		2-4	Damian Bickett	Policy 1.2 3C – concerned about “encourage and provide incentives for development patterns”. Don’t use tax dollars to provide financial incentives for developers.	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
4	4.1		Amber Evans	Fig. 4-4 show Addison and 2 nd without a sidewalk – there is a sidewalk there but it has a rolled curb	Completed.
5	5.2.2		Amber Evans	Freeway underpass and rail tracks have more peds than 4 th St. 4 th is also lower ped volume than 7 th , 8 th , 9 th and 10 th at University. AM/PM counts for 4 th and Hearst are 250+/hr. Likely that midday is even higher	The space syntax model provides an estimate of pedestrian volumes based on a sample of pedestrian counts that had been conducted for the City over the course of several years. The model may not reflect actual pedestrian volumes in some locations due to under sampling in that area and changes in volumes since pedestrian counts were taken. However, as a general overview of the City, the model provides a good relative estimate.
6	6.3.1		M. Brucker	Controlled intersections provide greatest control – this is misleading since roundabouts may be safer for pedestrians (because of reduced	No change to document. While roundabouts have been

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: General					
Ch.	Sec.	Pg.	From	Comment	Response
				vehicle speeds) than stop or signal controlled intersections.	shown to reduce vehicle-vehicle collisions and collision severity, their effects on pedestrians are less clear, particularly regarding visually impaired pedestrians and multi-lane roundabouts.
6	6.7	6-7	Kara	Need to list the corridors (or if listed somewhere else, refer to the list)	Completed.
6	6.3.4		Disability Commission	Wants to see audible signals fully implemented and standardized throughout the City. Every intersection with ped signals should be upgraded to provide audible ped signals.	Completed. Recommendation to eventually install audible signals throughout the city. The city has limited funding and has prioritized certain intersections for audible signals.
6	6.4.3		Disability Commission	Wants more bulbouts and that along Telegraph bulbouts could really help by shortening the crossing distance.	Bulb-outs not recommended as part of this plan, as pedestrian improvements along Telegraph are being addressed as part of the BRT study.
7	7.4		Disability Commission	Enforcement is key to this plan. Should be held to the level identified in plan and should target areas around senior centers and social service agencies serving people with disabilities.	Addressed in Section 7.4.
8	8.2.1		Disability Commission	RW enforcement – include info on who to direct problems and concerns when a sidewalk is blocked.	Completed. See reference to Transportation Division in 8.2.1.
8	8.4.2		Disability Commission	Route Identification Program – sounds good but doesn't really make sense in the Berkeley context. Most of the City would be covered. Should remove this recommendation.	Section has been removed.
9	9.2		M. Brucker	Make commercial driveways safer: minimize width; include devices to slow cars (bumps, etc.); stop signs; signage to watch for peds; also had to Table 9-1 potentially	Section 9.2 discusses existing design review guidelines. Proposed guidelines regarding driveways can be found in

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: General					
Ch.	Sec.	Pg.	From	Comment	Response
					Append B: 4.10. and information about devices for driveways has been included. The City does not have jurisdiction over existing private driveways but can review driveway plans for new development or modifications to existing driveways in the plan review process
A	Table 13	A-19	Charlie Bowen	Updated status on pathway and stairway improvements and associated costs. See attachment.	Completed.
B	4.10		M. Brucker	Minimize driveway widths to reduce vehicle speeds	Included, see Design Guidelines (Appendix B: 4.10.Driveways).
B	5.7		M. Brucker	Crosswalks don't necessarily get cars to stop where they're supposed to – stop bars are needed in many cases.	Comment received, no changes made. Stop bars are recommended as part of this plan.

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
Bike Blvds	Jerrett	Need to consider proximity/co-location with bike blvds; cross reference with bike plan improvements	Completed. See Section 3.4.2 and Appendix A: Table 16.
Bulbouts	K. Parolek	Be careful about putting bulbouts on bike blvds since they cause cyclists to move into the traffic lane.	Completed. See Section 3.4.2 and Appendix A: Table 16.
Buses	Carrie Olson	Why no mention about hazards posed by AC and school buses?	Many improvements recommended in this plan will assist with hazards posed by transit and school buses. For example, bulb-outs and high visibility crosswalks,
Collision Data	Benjamin Pearson	<p>For example, the reported collisions numerical data is presumed to be the SAMPLE from the ACTUAL UNIVERSE of pedestrian collisions on which to base the selection of recommendations and costs. This is a huge error. It is sampling artifact and the scant data should be described as significantly underreported--thus, it is not prudent to serve as a basis for most of the 30 recommendations.</p> <p>The general literature on crime always states that less serious crimes are more than 100 times underreported and much depends upon the accessibility to report to the authorities. We all have stories...the numbers used are untrue. It bypasses our on-the-street-experiences witnessing pedestrian and bicyclists harmed by vehicles (collisions)--intersection by intersection which multiply far beyond the artifact.</p> <p>A discussion on the factors of why people are not enabled to report or are unwilling to report injury is significant for future planning.</p> <p>Consider this: why are affluent, articulate, sophisticated people who are entitled to City services more likely to report--compared to families of limited-English speakers, more recent immigrants unfamiliar with City services, poor people just struggling with day to day survival and feel they they lack entitlements, and many many people who</p>	Collision data is one of many factors used in the prioritization of intersections. The high priority projects are only a small segment of all pedestrian projects recommended by this plan. A list of city-wide recommendations is provided in Appendix A.

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
		don't want any involvement with the 'justice' system as their past experiences were troubling, stressful and costly. An important concern is how many pedestrian collisions involve hit and run motorists? Where is this haphpening? We all know of cases. Where should the police observe, cite vehicular violations, and target stings? Self-report data could serve to improve enforcement, and ultimately, improve pedestrian safety.	
Complaints	Benjamin Pearson	Set up a website so that residents can self report complaints	Collision data is collected by the Police Department. Specific problems, hazards, or concerns can be reported to the Office of Transportation at 510-981-7010.
Consideration of other plans	Kara/Matt	Revise ranking sheet to include consideration of intersection improvements from other adopted City plans, including projects from bike plan	Completed.
Deaths	Jerrett	Intersections where deaths have occurred should receive highest priority	Many factors were considered when assigning priority. Modifying scoring based on whether collision was fatal or not did not significantly change intersection rankings.
Distribution of Projects	Benjamin Pearson	The Draft does not address the current allocation of city services for pedestrians with respect to residential neighborhoods and commercial neighborhoods. This is highly questionable given that pedestrian entitlements, generators and attractors are not at present distributed equitably--some areas receive more, others less. Similarly, the choices of intersections recommended for improvements requires detailed criteria. For example, why is the 7th/6th Street corridor in southwest Berkeley neglected?	Priority was given to arterial corridors because those areas have high pedestrian and traffic volumes. Most often residential streets do not have high pedestrian and traffic volumes.
Education	M. Brucker	Do more to educate public about legal obligation to stop for peds and to stop when vehicle in parallel lane going same direction stops. Provide info on maps and routes, esp ped-only routes.	Education is recommended in Sections 7.2.1, 7.2.2., and 7.4. Maps are discussed in

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
			Section 7.1.5.
Education	K. Parolek	Needs to be a lot of emphasis on getting children to bike and walk places	See Section 7.3. Safe Routes to School. There is a current Safe Routes to School Program currently underway.
Enforce state parking cashout	M. Brucker	City should notify employers of requirement and adopt a more stringent version to reduce parking subsidies and driving (like Santa Monica)	Beyond scope of plan.
Enforcement	M. Brucker	Camera enforcement of speed Parking that blocks sidewalks (Police used to have flyers that people could hand out) Double fines for running red lights and stop signs.	Cameras cannot enforce speed. Section 7.2.2. recommends BPD outreach education. Blocked sidewalks can be reported to the Office of Transportation at 510-981-7010. Section 7.4 now includes a recommendation for the Berkeley Police Department to produce and distribute informational flyers regarding blocking sidewalks. A recommendation to consider double fines has been included in section 7.4. Enforcement of Pedestrian Laws and Traffic Motor Vehicle Code.
Enforcement	J. Lamont	Increase rigorous enforcement of ped safety violations. Implement program with frequent monitoring and enforcement including stiff financial penalties for	See Section 7.4. Enforcement. A

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
		violations.	<p>recommendation to consider double fines has been included in section 7.4. Enforcement of Pedestrian Laws and Traffic Motor Vehicle Code.</p> <p>Section 7.4 now includes a recommendation for the Berkeley Police Department to produce and distribute informational flyers regarding blocking sidewalks.</p>
Free right turn problems	M. Brucker	Allston/Milvia; Allston/Oxford; Colusa at Monterey and Marin; Hearst/Oxford; Bancroft/Fulton	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
Green Streets	J. Lamont	Integrate pedestrian planning with “green streets/public improvements plan” similar to SF Better Streets program and programs in Seattle and Portland.	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
Jogging paths	David Petritz	Construct jogging/walking paths around local parks to encourage/facilitate aerobic exercise close to home.	<p>Jogging and Walking paths in the parks are under the jurisdiction of the Parks Department.</p> <p>Policy 1.3: Pathways discusses this topic.</p>
Median Refuges	M. Brucker	Should all be accessible to those with disabilities	Appendix B 6.2.has been revised to encourage accessible median refuges whenever possible.
mid-block xings	Carrie Olson	All mid-block xings need particular attention. In-pavement lights are superior to beacons – beacons blend into the landscape and are not as visible. Raised xwalks are also good for mid-block xings.	See Appendix B 5.12. Effectiveness of in-roadway lights are being evaluated.
Modeling	Jerrett	Model of ped flows invalid, needs further validation (use actual counts to verify model predictions).	Comment received and reviewed by the city.
Neighborhood	SR2S Task	Effects that traffic calming on arterial streets could have on nearby local streets	Considered and

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
Traffic Diversion	Force	– concern about neighborhood diversion. Plan should consider this and include recommendations to mitigate any diversion effects and their impacts.	incorporated into Mitigated Negative Declaration (CEQA document). See MND for information on traffic and environmental impacts and list of projects which require additional traffic studies.
Parking	M. Brucker	Extend hours and increase rates on meters	This plan does not address this topic.
Paths	Damian Bickett	Don't spend any additional money on paths in the N Berkeley Hills – doesn't really help anyone	Comment received. The list of proposed pathways has been updated. The amount of funding for pathways has been reduced.
Peer Review	Tracey Iglehart; Jerrett	Plan should be peer reviewed by an outside source – UCB TSC or UCTC...	Plan will follow all required review processes.
Process	Benjamin Pearson	<p>No one has asked us nor sent a mailing to indicate the City is undertaking a Pedestrian Master Plan asking for our input. Had we been asked, we could give much useful detailed information as we know our walking routes and the issues from years of experience.</p> <p>Why can't our City staff more familiar with the City seek out and coordinate local information from our neighborhoods which most people would willingly give?</p> <p>Such would be more realistic and cost far less. Our transportation office is well funded and staffed compared to other cities--quite capable of putting up a webpage and phone number for self-report on pedestrian complaints and concerns. Please recommend such.</p>	<p>This plan was noticed as required by law.</p> <p>Public can provide information to staff.</p> <p>Information about self reporting can be found at 311 and at the Public Works website.</p>
Process	Dianne Sequoia	Did not gather stakeholder input; did not notify/publicize the stakeholders regarding the draft plan meetings City should properly notice and have at least one more public hearing and extend the public comment period by another 30 days.	This plan was noticed as required by law.
Red curb at	Carrie Olson	Implementation of 20' red curb would eliminate too much needed parking in	Proposed removal of parking

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
intersections		many locations. Cannot mandate this all over town. A parked car is safer than a double parked car due to lack of parking.	will be studied under this plan's EIR. Additionally, parking vacancy rates will be considered before implementation of this plan.
Red curb at intersections	Merrie Mitchell	Need to study impacts before removing parking	Proposed removal of parking has been studied as part of the Plan's Mitigated Negative Declaration. Additionally, parking removal will be carefully considered before implementation of projects in this plan.
Right turn on red	M. Brucker	Problem locations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • University/Oxford (E to S) • Hearst/Shattuck (esp W to N) • Univ/Shattuck (drivers turning N onto Shattuck, 2 lanes turn, poor visibility of peds; also drivers turning S to W) • Hearst/Sacramento W to N • Shattuck at Center • Dwight • Bancroft • Ashby • Shattuck at Rose • Vine • Cedar • MLK at Univ. and Hearst • University at Milvia (S to W) 	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
Safety	Carrie Olson	Should be a program to alert kids about personal safety techniques while walking in public	See Section 7.3 Safe Routes to School.
Schools	Ped Subcomm SR2S task force K. Parolek	Revise ranking sheet to give weight to any projects within ¼ mi of any school, public or private. Look at school zone, not just area immediately surrounding the school (Parolek)	The ranking sheet has been revised and gives additional weight to schools.

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
Schools	M. Greenhut	Schools should have own special status with regard to improvements and this should be identified in the Plan. Needs to be statement that, with or without SR2S, CoB is committed to reducing risk for ped travel to its schools. Need to make a strong statement about commitment to streets surrounding schools.	The ranking sheet has been revised and gives additional weight to schools. Safe Routes to School plans are being developed by TransForm as part of the county wide safe routes to school program
Schools	Amber Evans	Include "collisions within 300 ft of schools" map in appendix with SR2S projects	Included in Appendix A.
Sidewalk Gaps		There are areas that already work well for pedestrians even if they don't conform to a checklist of desirable design items the shouldn't necessarily be priority areas for improvements. Some streets in Berkeley hills don't need sidewalks because they essentially function like "woonerfs".	Comment received and reviewed by the City
Sidewalk surfaces	David Petritz	Use a more comfortable (but also ADA compliant) walking surface for sidewalks	See Appendix B: 4.7.1. Surfaces.
Sidewalks	M. Brucker	Too narrow on Colusa south of Marin	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
Sidewalks	Damian Bickett	Prioritize sidewalk projects based on pedestrian demand	Many factors were considered when assigning priority. This was one factor.
Sidewalks	Carrie Olson	Sidewalk design is actually covered by landmarks jurisdiction when it is in a public improvement area that is a City Landmark	Section 9.2 discusses Landmarks Preservation Committee review for historic projects. Also see Appendix B: Section 2.3.
Sidewalks	Merrie Mitchell	Problem with garbage containers blocking sidewalks in some commercial areas Need more frequent street and sidewalk cleaning, especially on Shattuck and University	See Section 7.4. Enforcement. Problems can be reported to Berkeley Police or to Public Works. Section 7.4 now includes a recommendation for the Berkeley Police Department to produce and distribute

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
			informational flyers regarding blocking sidewalks.
Sidewalks	Nancy Holland	Promote safer walking by insuring level and even sidewalks. The existing regs and codes are adequate but need consistent application. Require commission (PW?) oversight of permitting involving exceptions to standards.	Uneven sidewalks can be reported to Public Works or 311.
Sidewalks	Damian Bickett	Lack of sidewalks in W. Berkeley is not the reason there is little ped activity in this location.	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
Signage	Laurie Capitelli, others	Need some way to improve safety without an over proliferation of signs (sign blight).	The City is sensitive to this issue. The MUTCD has guidelines that Berkeley adheres to.
Signs	Carrie Olson	Signage is out of control. The solution is NOT always a sign.	The City is sensitive to this issue. The MUTCD has guidelines that Berkeley adheres to.
Slow Streets	J. Lamont	Implement more "slow streets" and assoc components such as traffic circles	Refer to Policy 1.4 Traffic Calming.
South and West Berkeley	Jennifer Pearson	Underserved by ped plan; analyze proportion of funds/projects distributed by Council District	City has included high priority projects along Ashby and San Pablo corridors. There are also improvements in these areas identified in the citywide projects lists.
South and West Berkeley	Jennifer Pearson	S and W Berkeley neighborhoods lack pedestrian movement data. This area of City is neglected by the plan.	Pedestrian movement data was extrapolated from a random sample of pedestrian counts that the City had already performed. Several counts from South and West Berkeley were included in the analysis. See response to comment above

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
			regarding projects located in these areas.
Speeding problems	M. Brucker	Virginia/Shattuck and Virginia/Oxford All of Ashby University Sacramento (speed limit is actually 30 MPH for much of Sacto) MLK south of Dwight Cedar W of MLK and E of Spruce Henry/Berryman	This corridors and intersections have been added as additional locations to be considered for speed trailers in Appendix A: Table 11. City has stationed speed trailers at Henry and Berryman in the past
Traffic	Carrie Olson	Why is there no mention of the danger pedestrians present to cars (disobeying lights and traffic laws)?	Included, see Section 7.4. Enforcement.
Traffic Calming	Jerrett also M. Greenhut	Needs to be integrated into Ped Plan in a comprehensive, neighborhood-wide approach. Plan unduly focuses improvements on main streets which will slow traffic and cause diversion onto neighborhood streets. Current plan will worsen cut-through and diversion traffic. Any improvements that may impact traffic or cause diversion need to be carefully considered and compensated for.	City staff can respond directly – not necessarily something we can address in the plan.
Traffic Calming	M. Brucker	Use physical measures to slow vehicles, especially at intersections. Roundabouts at locations with left turn arrows, incl. Hearst/Oxford, Walnut/Vine, MLK/Berkeley Way	See Plan Policy 1.4 Traffic Calming. Comment received and reviewed by the City.
Traffic Calming	M. Greenhut	Include or reference traffic calming policy/procedure	See Plan Policy 1.4 Traffic Calming.
Traffic Calming	Carrie Olson	Need to be more emphasis on slowing cars down.	See Plan Policy 1.4 Traffic Calming.
Traffic Calming	Nancy Holland	Protect ped activity in residential neighborhoods by reducing the speed and amount of traffic. Establish a lower speed limit and a standard for the amount of traffic. Restructure designated residential streets with devices until the goals are met.	See Plan Policy 1.4 Traffic Calming.
Traffic Volume data	Jerrett	Should use up-to-date data; Volume for Shattuck at Virginia?	The most recent GIS data available was used in the

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Subjects and Topics			
Subject/Topic	From	Comment	Response
			analysis.
Train Crossings	Carrie Olson	Why no mention?	Design guidelines for rail crossings have been added to Appendix B, Section 6.7.
Uncontrolled intersections	M. Brucker	Place yield to ped signs at all uncontrolled intersections	Included, see Appendix B Sections 5.9.
Walkshed Maps	Kenya Wheeler	Contact Kearey Smith in MTC GIS at 817-5738 about MTC walkshed maps	Left several messages and emails, but did not receive a response back from MTC regarding Walkshed maps.
Zoning and Design Guidelines	Carrie Olson	City cannot legally change allowable building heights, allow accessory dwelling units by right, and change the height limit of fences without adequate environmental review. Wants to review the documents EIR when released.	All Zoning and Design Review suggestions are considerations not changes to code. Note this plan will go through an EIR.

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Specific Projects				
#	Location	From	Comment	Response
	Adeline Corridor	M. Greenhut	Need to include plans for Adeline corridor	Completed. Project 3 Ashby BART Station Area Improvements includes a recommendation to study pedestrian safety along the Adeline Corridor.
22	Alameda/Hopkins	M. Brucker	Crossing distances on Hopkins are too long; Install roundabout	Proposal includes raised median refuges.
	College and Derby	Matt Harray	Bus stop on west side of College blocks view of peds using the south side xwalk. Vehicles swerve and speed up to get around the bus. Drivers turning south (right) on College from Derby look left and hit the gas, often not checking if a ped is in the xwalk they are entering. The stop sign at the north end (far from where Derby and College actually meet) results in vehicles heading south in a steady stream so that it's difficult (and unsafe) to use the xwalk across College at the south end of the intersection. Many children use this xing to get to school.	The pedestrian plan contains a list of city-wide improvements, and a list of high priority improvements. Many other improvements to intersections can be made, rather than address them in the ped plan, the city will review case by case and determine the appropriate action.
	Cragmont and Marin	Phyllis Steiber	Parents park on south side of Cragmont and then cross Marin to get to school. Cars heading EB (uphill) on Marin have no stop sign; if a car is parked at corner of Marin and Cragmont, then visibility of peds in xwalk is greatly impaired.	Comment received and will be reviewed by City.
	Domingo and Claremont (intersection just west)	Matt Harray	Convert to public plaza with traffic routed around it. Driving down Claremont to reach the intersection with Ashby is crowded and dangerous. Install a traffic signal at Russell to regulate traffic.	Comment received and reviewed by The City.
30	Gilman and Curtis	Dietmar Lorenz	<p style="text-align: center;">J. Timing & Priorities</p> <p>The Gilman/Curtis intersection will be affected by BART retrofit, happening as early as 2009. The Northwest and Southeast corners will be damaged in the process and there can be synergy and savings by improving these corners in the process. The Southwest corner has a substandard 8' sidewalk and should be reworked at the same time. Given the BART time line (2009-2013), priority should be given to Gilman/Curtis, so this opportunity won't be missed.</p>	<p>Many of these recommendations have been included in the plan.</p> <p>Safe Routes to Transit is listed as a potential funding source. See Section 10.4.3.1.</p>

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Specific Projects				
#	Location	From	Comment	Response
			<p>2) Safe Bike & Pedestrian crossing of Gilman (and Santa Fe) The Office of Transportation’s concept of routing bike traffic onto Curtis Street before getting to the intersection will make random diagonal crossing less likely. This configuration is also supported by the Westbrae Piazza design proposal at the SE corner, and it is subject of ongoing discussions about the path configuration North of Gilman. The Ohlone/Santa Fe intersection deserves further scrutiny, too.</p> <p>3) Bulb-outs The reconfigured bike crossing along Curtis Street, will be supported by shortening the crossing distance on Gilman Street to 30’, matching Curtis Street. The resulting bulb-outs will also improve pedestrian safety by making pedestrian that are about to cross more visible to motorists and by reducing the distance to walk across. It remains unclear why bulb-outs for this intersection are not being considered, or why earlier considerations for bulb-outs have not been pursued:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> K. A reduction of Gilman Street to 30’ at the bulb-outs, 15’ for each direction, would result in a typical intersection of two 30’ Streets with respect to turning radius, fairly common all over Berkeley. L. Recently completed Gilman bulb-outs at Northside and Ordway reduce the lane width to 13’ M. Drainage is not an issue at the critical SW corner of Gilman/Curtis, as Gilman run-off drains South on Curtis N. The alternative “raised intersection” is more difficult with respect to drainage, can be challenged under the “speed bump moratorium”, and would invite bicyclists to 	

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Specific Projects				
#	Location	From	Comment	Response
			<p>keep crossing diagonally.</p> <p>3) Lighting The improved lighting that has been installed in Albany should be continued into Berkeley, until the BART transition structure.</p> <p>4) Ohlone Path Configuration Bike/pedestrian path configuration and landscaping North of Gilman needs further scrutiny and coordination with Albany and BART.</p> <p>5) Safe Routes to Transit All of the above might be eligible for Safe Routes to Transit (SR2T) funds that the City needs to apply for on behalf of Berkeley pedestrians and bicyclists.</p>	
	MLK and Berryman	Rob Kirby	Ped actuated signal instead of 4-way stop (cars needlessly stopping on MLK create additional emissions, burn fuel); remove "unnecessary" stop signs in general	Comment received and reviewed by the city.
29	North Shattuck	George Crowe	Widen sidewalk in front of businesses north of Vine Additional landscaping Expand bulb at Shattuck and Vine	Comment received and reviewed by the city.
29	North Shattuck	Carrie Olson	Re-purpose Bank of America parking lot into a public plaza	Comment received and reviewed by the city.
29	North Shattuck Plaza	M. Brucker Steve Martinot	Traffic problem on Rose St. with WB traffic turning left in Long's parking lot. Need to slow traffic on Shattuck/Shattuck Pl/Henry Ped/vehicle conflicts at Long's driveways – install stop signs or bumps; also very limited visibility next to driveways because of parked cars Ban left turns from Long's exit and from W Rose onto Shattuck – too many vehicle movements in too many directions. Rose and Henry – funky angle	Comment received and reviewed by the city.
29	North Shattuck Plaza	N. Shattuck Assoc	Replace with expanded project encompassing the range of ped safety improvements in the North Shattuck Urban Design and Circulation Report. Include planning process	Project replaced with corridor improvements along Shattuck Avenue between Vine Street and Hearst

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Specific Projects				
#	Location	From	Comment	Response
			cost item for design of any major public spaces. The Association would like to partner with the City to identify grant opportunities for both planning and capital funds for a comprehensive pedestrian safety improvement project for the N. Shattuck corridor.	Avenue. Also see Section 6.10 Pedestrian Plaza and Open Space Projects.
29	North Shattuck Plaza	F. Dodsworth	Sidewalk b/w Vine and Rose on east side of Shattuck is in very poor condition. Sidewalk is also too narrow because of outdoor seating in front of Saul's and Masse's.	Comment received and reviewed by the city.
	Oxford/Hearst	M. Brucker	No turn on red for cars traveling E to S isn't working well. Relocate sign to median facing drivers.	Not applicable to plan. Relocation of sign is a spot maintenance issue.
	Rose – in front of King Middle School	Carrie Olson	Plan doesn't address school pickup and dropoffs, student behavior	Safe Routes to School plans are being developed by TransForm as part of the county wide safe routes to school program.
	Rose and Walnut	Daniel Caraco Dianne Sequoia	Lots of peds (farmer's market, preschool, JCC, etc); motorists frequently don't stop.	Not applicable to plan. Enforcement should be directed to Berkeley Police Department.
17	San Pablo at Cedar	K. Parolek	Difficult for cars to turn left onto SP from Cedar. Consider left turn signals, or separate lights for eastbound vs. westbound Cedar traffic.	Protected left turn considered in improvement.
	San Pablo at Gilman	K. Parolek	Difficult for cars to turn left onto SP from Gilman. Consider left turn signals, or separate lights for eastbound vs. westbound Gilman traffic.	Comment received and reviewed by the City. Not incorporated into plan, as doesn't address pedestrian safety.
	Shattuck (north of University)	M. Brucker	Berkeley Way: Bulbouts would be really good, esp. at NE corner, which is where people are least likely to stop before the crosswalk. Hearst: Bulbouts would be really good especially on the NE corner, one of the worst I know for people not stopping at all on red or only after crossing the crosswalk. Might need to restripe Hearst a bit to do that. Virginia: Bulbout good extending into Shattuck at every corner; might be a problem on the SE for the bus stop. Also into Virginia, especially at the NE and NW corners, where	Berkeley Way is addressed with curb extensions. All other comments have been received and will be reviewed by the City.

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Specific Projects				
#	Location	From	Comment	Response
			people tend not to stop. Cedar: Bulbouts anywhere you can would be good, especially extending into Shattuck at the NW corner-that's the absolute worst corner at an intersection where cars frequently violate the crosswalk at all corners. NE and SE also really bad. SW generally not as bad.	
	Shattuck and Lincoln	Kirk McCarthy	Need bulbout at this intersection. Bulbout at French Hotel works.	Addressed in the North Shattuck Corridor Plan and are incorporated in this plan by reference.
	Shattuck and Virginia	Jerrett	Close to school; high volumes(?); bike plans calls for signal; Virginia is a bike blvd; a death also occurred at this intersection Median needs to be wide enough to provide a true refuge Problem with speeding at this intersection	Addressed in the North Shattuck Corridor Plan and are incorporated in this plan by reference.
	Shattuck at Rose	Daniel Caraco	Signalized intersection – hard to see at sunset because of sun	Addressed in the North Shattuck Corridor Plan and are incorporated in this plan by reference.
10	Shattuck/ Berkeley Way	M. Brucker	Would like to see better signs than those suggested, something like “stop for all pedestrians”	Signs are designated by MUTCD.
	Shattuck/Center	M. Brucker	Eliminate left turn	Addressed in the North Shattuck Corridor Plan and are incorporated in this plan by reference.
	Shattuck/Delaware	M. Brucker	On the E side of Shattuck S of the intersection new meters have just been put in. Unfortunately, just S of the crosswalk they put in parking, no red zone. I walked by there the other day and there was a large SUV parked there. Result: pedestrians had no visibility of oncoming cars and vice versa. This is a location where the red zone would really help.	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
20	Solano	M. Brucker	Ban left turns at Colusa to W, S or put in a roundabout	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
20	Solano	Amber Evans	Need to mention that there's a school one block away (SR2S project potential)	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
18	Telegraph/Ashby	M. Brucker	Narrow the driveways. Install something that will slow	Recommendation includes consolidation

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Specific Projects				
#	Location	From	Comment	Response
			vehicles at driveways (bumps, stop signs, etc.)	and placement of driveways away from intersection.
	University	Matthew Webb	Improve visibility of crossings at Jefferson, Chestnut, Curtis, etc.	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
2	University and 9 th	K. Parolek	Consider adding no RTOR sign for traffic traveling S on 9 th St. at the corner of University. Lots of casual carpoolers turn here to get to the freeway. This is a major intersection for children traveling to Rosa Parks school.	Comment received and reviewed by the City.
15	University and Grant	Steve Wollmer	Strongly supports improvements at this intersection. Drivers heading EB on University turning NB onto Grant pay more attention to oncoming traffic than to pedestrians crossing Grant (no turn lane on EB University). Sounds like he'd like to see a left turn pocket on EB University.	Signalization removed upon request of City.
2	University from SP to 7 th	Tracey Iglehart	What will happen to surrounding streets when changes are made on University? Concerned about diversion of traffic from University into neighborhood. Plan seems to consider small pieces of community without looking at connectivity.	Recommendations are specifically designed to avoid diversion of traffic to local streets. See Mitigated Negative Declaration (CEQA document) for analysis of traffic impacts and recommended mitigations and traffic studies.
1	University/Shattuck	M. Brucker	<p>Eliminate left turns onto Shattuck, especially E to N, Advanced stop bars No RTOR, especially for turn onto N. Shattuck</p> <p>M. I am worried about a leading pedestrian signal phase where vehicles turn from W to N. So many run that light that a short lead for pedestrians could be dangerous. Banning RTOR would help, but I'd be careful about that.</p> <p>M. It would really help to put in a STOP line-it would improve visibility, which is very bad now, especially with SUVs and trucks. It ought to discourage some from running the light.</p> <p>M. It's unfortunate the cameras don't enforce against those who run the red light turning right</p>	<p>A consideration to restrict right turns on red from University on to northbound Shattuck is suggested along with other intersection improvements.</p> <p>Advanced stop bars are proposed on University Ave.</p> <p>Comment received and reviewed by the City.</p>

Comments on Public Review Draft of Pedestrian Master Plan: Specific Projects				
#	Location	From	Comment	Response
			<p>now. Mr. Mostowfi said you'd have to have a video camera for that. I have no idea how expensive that is, but certainly encourage the city to look at that option unless RTOR is banned. If it isn't banned I think it would also help to put up signs banning right turns from the 2nd lane and saying STOP before right turn.</p> <p>N. An all-way stop scramble mode might be a good idea, especially in the western part of the intersection.</p> <p>M. More bulbs would be good, too, especially at the western end of the intersection. Zebra crosswalks would help a bit.</p> <p>An alarming new development is people making U-turns from E to W on University there. It would help to ban that. I realize it's not the easiest place to install signs, but at least they're relatively cheap. They might save the city a lot in legal settlements!</p> <p>On Shattuck proper the crosswalk on the east side could really use repainting; putting in stripes would help, too.</p>	<p>A scramble would be difficult because of existing heavy traffic congestion.</p> <p>Included recommendation: Repaint crosswalks.</p> <p>Include recommendation: Prohibit U-turn signs.</p> <p>Included recommendation: Repaint crosswalks.</p>
	Vine and Shattuck	Fred Dodworth	<p>Concern about collisions at this heavily traveled intersection; need for public space at Vine and Shattuck; inefficient use of bulbout (dominated by newspaper racks) on NE corner.</p> <p>Create bulbouts at all four corners of intersection</p> <p>Improve concrete area in front of Coldwell Banker – make it bigger, safer, better looking</p>	Addressed in the North Shattuck Corridor Plan.
	Vine and Shattuck	M. Brucker	<p>Ban left turns, esp. onto E. Vine; could then eliminate left turn lane and have a nice median</p> <p>Bulbouts, esp at NE corner and SE (potential conflict with bus stop)</p>	Addressed in the North Shattuck Corridor Plan.
	Walnut and Vine	M. Brucker	Ban some of the left turns; install bulbouts, esp on W side	Comment received and reviewed by the City.