

### Statement of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers

The firm of Hammett & Edison, Inc., Consulting Engineers, has been retained on behalf of AT&T Mobility, a personal wireless telecommunications carrier, to evaluate the base station (Site No. CN4989) proposed to be located at 2095 Rose Street in Berkeley, California, for compliance with appropriate guidelines limiting human exposure to radio frequency (“RF”) electromagnetic fields.

#### Executive Summary

AT&T proposes to install directional panel antennas above the roof of the two-story office building located at 2095 Rose Street in Berkeley. The proposed operation will, together with the existing base station at the site, comply with the FCC guidelines limiting public exposure to RF energy.

#### Prevailing Exposure Standards

The U.S. Congress requires that the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) evaluate its actions for possible significant impact on the environment. A summary of the FCC’s exposure limits is shown in Figure 1. These limits apply for continuous exposures and are intended to provide a prudent margin of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health. The most restrictive FCC limit for exposures of unlimited duration to radio frequency energy for several personal wireless services are as follows:

| Wireless Service                   | Frequency Band   | Occupational Limit      | Public Limit            |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Microwave (Point-to-Point)         | 5,000–80,000 MHz | 5.00 mW/cm <sup>2</sup> | 1.00 mW/cm <sup>2</sup> |
| BRS (Broadband Radio)              | 2,600            | 5.00                    | 1.00                    |
| AWS (Advanced Wireless)            | 2,100            | 5.00                    | 1.00                    |
| PCS (Personal Communication)       | 1,950            | 5.00                    | 1.00                    |
| Cellular                           | 870              | 2.90                    | 0.58                    |
| SMR (Specialized Mobile Radio)     | 855              | 2.85                    | 0.57                    |
| 700 MHz                            | 700              | 2.40                    | 0.48                    |
| [most restrictive frequency range] | 30–300           | 1.00                    | 0.20                    |

#### General Facility Requirements

Base stations typically consist of two distinct parts: the electronic transceivers (also called “radios” or “channels”) that are connected to the traditional wired telephone lines, and the passive antennas that send the wireless signals created by the radios out to be received by individual subscriber units. The transceivers are often located at ground level and are connected to the antennas by coaxial cables. A small antenna for reception of GPS signals is also required, mounted with a clear view of the sky. Because of the short wavelength of the frequencies assigned by the FCC for wireless services, the

AT&T Mobility • Proposed Base Station (Site No. CN4989) Page 2 of 8  
2095 Rose Street • Berkeley, California

antennas require line-of-sight paths for their signals to propagate well and so are installed at some height above ground. The antennas are designed to concentrate their energy toward the horizon, with very little energy wasted toward the sky or the ground. Along with the low power of such facilities, this means that it is generally not possible for exposure conditions to approach the maximum permissible exposure limits without being physically very near the antennas.

**Computer Modeling Method**

The FCC provides direction for determining compliance in its Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC-Specified Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Radiation," dated August 1997. Figure 2 attached describes the calculation methodologies, reflecting the facts that a directional antenna's radiation pattern is not fully formed at locations very close by (the "near-field" effect) and that at greater distances the power level from an energy source decreases with the square of the distance from it (the "inverse square law"). The conservative nature of this method for evaluating exposure conditions has been verified by numerous field tests.

**Site and Facility Description**

Based upon information provided by AT&T, including zoning drawings by Streamline Engineering and Design, Inc., dated January 24, 2011, it is proposed to install twelve Kathrein Model 800-10765K directional panel antennas within eight cylindrical enclosures, configured to resemble vent pipes, above the roof of the two-story office building located at 2095 Rose Street in Berkeley. The antennas would be mounted with up to 4° downtilt at an effective height of about 37 feet above ground, 7½ feet above the roof, and would be oriented in groups of four toward 20°T, 140°T, and 260°T, to provide service in all directions. The maximum effective radiated power in any direction would be 6,540 watts, representing simultaneous operation at 3,580 watts for PCS, 1,770 watts for cellular, and 1,190 watts for 700 MHz service.

Presently located above the roof are similar antennas for use by T-Mobile. For the limited purpose of this study, the transmitting facilities of that carrier are assumed to be as follows:

| Operator | Service | Maximum ERP | Antenna Model    | Downtilt | Height |
|----------|---------|-------------|------------------|----------|--------|
| T-Mobile | AWS     | 1,500 watts | Andrew TMBX-6516 | 4°       | 37 ft  |
|          | PCS     | 1,500       |                  |          |        |

**Study Results**

For a person anywhere at ground, the maximum RF exposure level due to the proposed AT&T operation by itself is calculated to be 0.016 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is 3.0% of the applicable public exposure limit. The maximum calculated cumulative level at ground, for the simultaneous operation of both

carriers, is 3.9% of the public exposure limit. The maximum calculated cumulative level at any nearby building\* is 25% of the public exposure limit. It should be noted that these results include several “worst-case” assumptions and therefore are expected to overstate actual power density levels. Levels may exceed the applicable public exposure limit on the roof of the subject building, near the antennas.

### Recommended Mitigation Measures

Due to their mounting locations, the AT&T antennas would not be accessible to the general public, and so no mitigation measures are necessary to comply with the FCC public exposure guidelines. To prevent occupational exposures in excess of the FCC guidelines, no access within 11 feet directly in front of the antennas themselves, such as might occur during maintenance work on the roof, should be allowed while the base station is in operation, unless other measures can be demonstrated to ensure that occupational protection requirements are met. Posting explanatory warning signs† at the roof access hatch and on the enclosures in front of the antennas, such that the signs would be readily visible from any angle of approach to persons who might need to work within that distance, would be sufficient to meet FCC-adopted guidelines. Similar measures have been observed in place for the T-Mobile antennas.

### Conclusion

Based on the information and analysis above, it is the undersigned’s professional opinion that operation of the base station proposed by AT&T Mobility at 2095 Rose Street in Berkeley, California, will comply with the prevailing standards for limiting public exposure to radio frequency energy and, therefore, will not for this reason cause a significant impact on the environment. The highest calculated level in publicly accessible areas is much less than the prevailing standards allow for exposures of unlimited duration. This finding is consistent with measurements of actual exposure conditions taken at other operating base stations. Posting explanatory signs is recommended to establish compliance with occupational exposure limitations.

---

\* Including the two-story residence located at least 100 feet to the north, based on photographs from Google Maps.

† Warning signs should comply with OET-65 color, symbol, and content recommendations. Contact information should be provided (e.g., a telephone number) to arrange for access to restricted areas. The selection of language(s) is not an engineering matter, and guidance from the landlord, local zoning or health authority, or appropriate professionals may be required.

**Authorship**

The undersigned author of this statement is a qualified Professional Engineer, holding California Registration Nos. E-13026 and M-20676, which expire on June 30, 2013. This work has been carried out under his direction, and all statements are true and correct of his own knowledge except, where noted, when data has been supplied by others, which data he believes to be correct.



William F. Hammett, P.E.

707/996-5200


June 12, 2012

## FCC Radio Frequency Protection Guide

The U.S. Congress required (1996 Telecom Act) the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) to adopt a nationwide human exposure standard to ensure that its licensees do not, cumulatively, have a significant impact on the environment. The FCC adopted the limits from Report No. 86, “Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields,” published in 1986 by the Congressionally chartered National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (“NCRP”). Separate limits apply for occupational and public exposure conditions, with the latter limits generally five times more restrictive. The more recent standard, developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers and approved as American National Standard ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2006, “Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz,” includes similar limits. These limits apply for continuous exposures from all sources and are intended to provide a prudent margin of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health.

As shown in the table and chart below, separate limits apply for occupational and public exposure conditions, with the latter limits (in *italics* and/or dashed) up to five times more restrictive:

| Frequency<br>Applicable<br>Range<br>(MHz) | Electromagnetic Fields (f is frequency of emission in MHz) |                |                                     |               |  |                          |
|---|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--------------------------|
|   | Electric<br>Field Strength<br>(V/m)                        |                | Magnetic<br>Field Strength<br>(A/m) |               | Equivalent Far-Field<br>Power Density<br>(mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ) |                          |
| 0.3 – 1.34                                | 614  | <i>614</i>     | 1.63                                | <i>1.63</i>   | 100  | <i>100</i>               |
| 1.34 – 3.0                                | 614  | <i>823.8/f</i> | 1.63                                | <i>2.19/f</i> | 100  | <i>180/f<sup>2</sup></i> |
| 3.0 – 30                                  | 1842/f   | <i>823.8/f</i> | 4.89/f                              | <i>2.19/f</i> | 900/f <sup>2</sup>   | <i>180/f<sup>2</sup></i> |
| 30 – 300                                  | 61.4   | <i>27.5</i>    | 0.163                               | <i>0.0729</i> | 1.0  | <i>0.2</i>               |
| 300 – 1,500                               | 3.54√f   | <i>1.59√f</i>  | √f/106                              | <i>√f/238</i> | f/300  | <i>f/1500</i>            |
| 1,500 – 100,000                           | 137  | <i>61.4</i>    | 0.364                               | <i>0.163</i>  | 5.0  | <i>1.0</i>               |



Higher levels are allowed for short periods of time, such that total exposure levels averaged over six or thirty minutes, for occupational or public settings, respectively, do not exceed the limits, and higher levels also are allowed for exposures to small areas, such that the spatially averaged levels do not exceed the limits. However, neither of these allowances is incorporated in the conservative calculation formulas in the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65 (August 1997) for projecting field levels. Hammett & Edison has built those formulas into a proprietary program that calculates, at each location on an arbitrary rectangular grid, the total expected power density from any number of individual radio sources. The program allows for the description of buildings and uneven terrain, if required to obtain more accurate projections.



**RFR.CALC™ Calculation Methodology**

**Assessment by Calculation of Compliance with FCC Exposure Guidelines**

The U.S. Congress required (1996 Telecom Act) the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) to adopt a nationwide human exposure standard to ensure that its licensees do not, cumulatively, have a significant impact on the environment. The maximum permissible exposure limits adopted by the FCC (see Figure 1) apply for continuous exposures from all sources and are intended to provide a prudent margin of safety for all persons, regardless of age, gender, size, or health. Higher levels are allowed for short periods of time, such that total exposure levels averaged over six or thirty minutes, for occupational or public settings, respectively, do not exceed the limits.

**Near Field.**

Prediction methods have been developed for the near field zone of panel (directional) and whip (omnidirectional) antennas, typical at wireless telecommunications base stations, as well as dish (aperture) antennas, typically used for microwave links. The antenna patterns are not fully formed in the near field at these antennas, and the FCC Office of Engineering and Technology Bulletin No. 65 (August 1997) gives suitable formulas for calculating power density within such zones.

For a panel or whip antenna, power density  $S = \frac{180}{\theta_{BW}} \times \frac{0.1 \times P_{net}}{\pi \times D \times h}$ , in mW/cm<sup>2</sup>,

and for an aperture antenna, maximum power density  $S_{max} = \frac{0.1 \times 16 \times \eta \times P_{net}}{\pi \times h^2}$ , in mW/cm<sup>2</sup>,

- where  $\theta_{BW}$  = half-power beamwidth of the antenna, in degrees, and
- $P_{net}$  = net power input to the antenna, in watts,
- $D$  = distance from antenna, in meters,
- $h$  = aperture height of the antenna, in meters, and
- $\eta$  = aperture efficiency (unitless, typically 0.5-0.8).

The factor of 0.1 in the numerators converts to the desired units of power density.

**Far Field.**

OET-65 gives this formula for calculating power density in the far field of an individual RF source:

power density  $S = \frac{2.56 \times 1.64 \times 100 \times RFF^2 \times ERP}{4 \times \pi \times D^2}$ , in mW/cm<sup>2</sup>,

- where ERP = total ERP (all polarizations), in kilowatts,
- RFF = relative field factor at the direction to the actual point of calculation, and
- $D$  = distance from the center of radiation to the point of calculation, in meters.

The factor of 2.56 accounts for the increase in power density due to ground reflection, assuming a reflection coefficient of 1.6 (1.6 x 1.6 = 2.56). The factor of 1.64 is the gain of a half-wave dipole relative to an isotropic radiator. The factor of 100 in the numerator converts to the desired units of power density. This formula has been built into a proprietary program that calculates, at each location on an arbitrary rectangular grid, the total expected power density from any number of individual radiation sources. The program also allows for the description of uneven terrain in the vicinity, to obtain more accurate projections.

ULS License

**Cellular License - KNKA351 - NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC**

|           |         |               |               |
|-----------|---------|---------------|---------------|
| Call Sign | KNKA351 | Radio Service | CL - Cellular |
| Status    | Active  | Auth Type     | Regular       |

**Market**

|           |   |               |   |
|-----------|---|---------------|---|
| Market    | CMA002 - Los Angeles-Long Beach/Anaheim | Channel Block | A |
| Submarket | 0                                       | Phase         | 2 |

**Dates**

|           |            |              |            |
|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|
| Grant     | 11/06/2007 | Expiration   | 10/01/2017 |
| Effective | 11/06/2007 | Cancellation |            |

**Five Year Buildout Date**

11/22/1998

**Control Points**

- 1** 6045 EAST SLAUSON AVENUE, COMMERCE, CA
- 2** 301 NORTH CRESCENT WAY, ANAHEIM, CA
- 3** 15215 SOUTH BROADWAY, GARDENA, CA

[All Control Points \(4\)](#)

**Licensee**

|     |            |      |                           |
|-----|------------|------|---------------------------|
| FRN | 0003291192 | Type | Limited Liability Company |
|-----|------------|------|---------------------------|

**Licensee**

|                                |                            |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC | P:(202)457-2055            |
| 1120 20TH STREET, NW           | F:(202)457-3074            |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036           | E:MICHAEL.P.GOGGIN@ATT.COM |
| ATTN MICHAEL P. GOGGIN         |                            |

**Contact**

|                      |                            |
|----------------------|----------------------------|
| AT&T MOBILITY LLC    | P:(202)457-2055            |
| MICHAEL P GOGGIN     | F:(202)457-3074            |
| 1120 20TH STREET, NW | E:MICHAEL.P.GOGGIN@ATT.COM |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036 |                            |

**Ownership and Qualifications**

Radio Service Type Fixed  
 Regulatory Status Common Carrier Interconnected Yes

**Alien Ownership**

The Applicant answered "No" to each of the Alien Ownership questions.

**Basic Qualifications**

The Applicant answered "No" to each of the Basic Qualification questions.

**Demographics**

Race

Ethnicity

Gender