

Nawfal, Loyal

Page 1 of 3

**From:** robert.lauriston@gmail.com on behalf of Robert Lauriston  
<robert@lauriston.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, August 26, 2016 1:56 PM  
**To:** Nawfal, Loyal; Burns, Anne M  
**Subject:** Re: 3000 Shattuck / ZP2015-0229 / DRCP2015-0022

Does your file contain a copy of the DPR form completed by Architecture + History referenced in the 8/22/2016 memo? I don't find it in any of the PDFs.

I have looked at the nine documents currently on file for this project on the city's web site and find no sign that anyone is aware that Berkeley Zoning Code section 3.24.260(C)(1)(b) applies:

"For applications relating to property in historic districts, the proposed work shall not adversely affect ... the relationship and congruity between the subject structure or feature and its neighboring structures and surroundings, including facade, setback and height; nor shall the proposed work adversely affect the special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value of the district."

The incongruity in facade, setback, and height between the proposed building and the adjacent historic buildings at 3010 Shattuck and 2075 Emerson is substantial.

On Fri, Aug 26, 2016 at 11:32 AM, Robert Lauriston <robert@lauriston.com> wrote:

> Please add Neighbors of Ashby BART to the notification list for this.

>

> Neighbors of Ashby BART

> c/o Robert Lauriston

> 1918 Woolsey St

> Berkeley, CA 94703

>

> The staff report that went to the LPC in May failed to mention that

> the parcel is in an historic streetcar district eligible for inclusion

> in the National Register of Historic Places. See the attached letter

> from the SHPO for details. Please be sure to include a copy of that

> letter in all relevant packets for the DRC and ZAB.

Nawfal, Layal

Page 2 of 3

**From:** robert.lauriston@gmail.com on behalf of Robert Lauriston  
<robert@lauriston.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 13, 2016 11:21 AM  
**To:** Nawfal, Layal; Burns, Anne M  
**Subject:** Re: 3000 Shattuck / ZP2015-0229 / DRCP2015-0022

I see several flaws in the parking survey on page 25 of the Abrams Assoc. TIA dated 8/15/2016 (2016-08-22\_RESUB\_TIA\_3000%20Shattuck%20.pdf):

1. The survey was done on Thursday, August 13, 2015. Lots of students were not back from vacation and it's one of the most popular weeks of the year for people to take vacations. It should be redone on a day when UC Berkeley and BUSD are both in session.
2. It's missing Newbury Street entirely.
3. There's no indication of which blocks are limited to two hours for cars without preferential parking stickers.
4. The survey area is not centered around 3000 Shattuck. It goes twice as far south and west of the property as it does north and east.

On Fri, Aug 26, 2016 at 1:55 PM, Robert Lauriston <[robert@lauriston.com](mailto:robert@lauriston.com)> wrote:

Does your file contain a copy of the DPR form completed by Architecture + History referenced in the 8/22/2016 memo? I don't find it in any of the PDFs.

I have looked at the nine documents currently on file for this project on the city's web site and find no sign that anyone is aware that Berkeley Zoning Code section 3.24.260(C)(1)(b) applies:

"For applications relating to property in historic districts, the proposed work shall not adversely affect ... the relationship and congruity between the subject structure or feature and its neighboring structures and surroundings, including facade, setback and height; nor shall the proposed work adversely affect the special character or special historical, architectural or aesthetic interest or value of the district."

The incongruity in facade, setback, and height between the proposed building and the adjacent historic buildings at 3010 Shattuck and 2075 Emerson is substantial.

On Fri, Aug 26, 2016 at 11:32 AM, Robert Lauriston <[robert@lauriston.com](mailto:robert@lauriston.com)> wrote:

> Please add Neighbors of Ashby BART to the notification list for this.

>

> Neighbors of Ashby BART

> c/o Robert Lauriston

> 1918 Woolsey St

> Berkeley, CA 94703

- >
- > The staff report that went to the LPC in May failed to mention that
- > the parcel is in an historic streetcar district eligible for inclusion
- > in the National Register of Historic Places. See the attached letter
- > from the SHPO for details. Please be sure to include a copy of that
- > letter in all relevant packets for the DRC and ZAB.