



Finance Department  
Purchasing Division

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)**  
**Specification No. 20-11374-C (Re-issued)**  
**FOR**  
**SOUTHSIDE COMPLETE STREETS PLANNING, DESIGN, AND ENGINEERING**  
**PROPOSALS WILL NOT BE OPENED AND READ PUBLICLY**

**ADDENDUM "C"**  
September 25, 2020

Dear Proposer:

Questions received from proposers along with answers are attached.

**Proposals must be received no later than 2:00 pm, on Thursday, October 22, 2020.** All responses should be sent via email to [purchasing@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:purchasing@cityofberkeley.info) and have "**SOUTHSIDE COMPLETE STREETS PLANNING, DESIGN, AND ENGINEERING**" and **Specification No. 20-11374-C** clearly indicated in the subject line of the email. Please submit one (1) PDF of the technical proposal. Corresponding cost proposal and Attachment K-1 (LAPM 10h) shall be submitted as a separate PDF document. Electronic signatures are permitted. Email attachments are limited to a maximum of 10 MB in total. Larger attachments can be provided via links within the Technical Proposal PDF.

Proposals will not be accepted after the date and time stated above.

We look forward to receiving and reviewing your proposal.

Sincerely,

Darryl Sweet  
General Services Manager

## Addendum “C”

### Questions and Answers for Specification No. **20-11374-C (Re-issued)** **SOUTHSIDE COMPLETE STREETS PLANNING, DESIGN, AND ENGINEERING**

The City of Berkeley has received questions from some potential respondents regarding Specification No. **20-11374-C (Re-issued)**, **SOUTHSIDE COMPLETE STREETS PLANNING, DESIGN, AND ENGINEERING**. In an effort to provide the same information to all, listed below are the questions received to date, with responses from City staff.

1. Q. Can you please tell us how many teams bid on the first RFP?

1. A. Because the first RFP was cancelled before proposals were reviewed and evaluated, this information remains confidential.

2. Q. Will the pre-proposal meeting presentation be available to all attendees after this meeting?

2. A. The pre-proposal meeting presentation is available on the City website:

[https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Finance/Level\\_3 - General/20-11374-C%20%20Re-issued%20Southside%20Complete%20Streets%20Pre-proposal%20Meeting%20Presentation%20Addendum%20B.pdf](https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Finance/Level_3_-_General/20-11374-C%20%20Re-issued%20Southside%20Complete%20Streets%20Pre-proposal%20Meeting%20Presentation%20Addendum%20B.pdf)

3. Q. Will the recording of the pre-proposal meeting be posted to the City’s website?

3. A. The City is in the process of uploading the video recording of the pre-proposal meeting to the City website and will post notice at the following location when the recording is available:

<https://www.cityofberkeley.info/RFP/>

4. Q. Thanks for the detailed improvements on each street in the study area in the RFP, any assumptions or additional thoughts you have relative signal design or modifications?

4. A. As described in the RFP and pre-proposal meeting presentation, AC Transit is in the process of completing Transit Signal Priority (TSP) improvements on Telegraph Avenue between Dwight Way and Bancroft Way. The City anticipates the need for additional TSP along other transit corridors in the project area. As described in the RFP and pre-proposal meeting presentation, the City of Berkeley Bicycle Plan calls for protected intersections and exclusive bicycle phases/bicycle signals at certain intersections. As described in the RFP and pre-proposal meeting presentation, a goal of the City’s Vision Zero Action Plan is to implement signal modifications to eliminate permissive vehicle left turns where they conflict with pedestrian crosswalks.

5. Q. In regards to the website, will the City be providing the hosting platform or is that something that you are expecting the consultant team to coordinate?

5. A. As described in the pre-proposal meeting presentation, the City will require the Consultant to provide the hosting platform for the project website.

6. Q. The pavement study will require the Traffic Index to calculate the rehab strategy. Will the City provide the TI?

6. A. The City’s Traffic Engineering unit will provide the Traffic Index for the purpose of

pavement assessment and repaving design.

7. Q. Does the City email limit the size of attached file(s)?

7. A. As indicated in the RFP, the City email servers have a limit of 10MB per email message. It is acceptable to submit the technical proposal and the cost proposal within one email, as long as the two (2) proposals are separate PDF documents and clearly labeled as such, as described in the RFP, and total less than 10 MB. Proposers can also submit their Technical Proposal PDF and Cost Proposal PDF via separate emails, as long as both emails are received no later than 2:00 pm, on Thursday, October 22, 2020. Larger attachments such as the required Representative Work Samples can be provided via file server download link in the Technical Proposal PDF.

8. Q. Will you be posting the pre-proposal meeting attendance list?

8. A. The sign-in for the pre-proposal meeting has been posted to the City website: [https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Finance/Level\\_3\\_-\\_General/20-11374-C%20%20Re-issued%20Southside%20Complete%20Streets%20RFP%20Pre-proposal%20Meeting%20Sign-in%209-17-20%20Addendum%20B.pdf](https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Finance/Level_3_-_General/20-11374-C%20%20Re-issued%20Southside%20Complete%20Streets%20RFP%20Pre-proposal%20Meeting%20Sign-in%209-17-20%20Addendum%20B.pdf)

In addition, the current Vendor Contact list has also been posted to the City website: [https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Finance/Level\\_3\\_-\\_General/20-11374-C%20%20Re-issued%20Southside%20Complete%20Streets%20RFP%20Vendor%20Contact%20List%209-17-20%20Addendum%20B.pdf](https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Finance/Level_3_-_General/20-11374-C%20%20Re-issued%20Southside%20Complete%20Streets%20RFP%20Vendor%20Contact%20List%209-17-20%20Addendum%20B.pdf)

9. Q. Are submittals limited to vendors in attendance at this meeting?

9. A. No. All qualified firms and teams are invited to respond to the RFP.

10. Q. Should pedestrian-oriented improvements on Telegraph be limited to pedestrian crossings as described in RFP Fact Sheet, versus comprehensive consideration of sidewalk/hardscape, landscape/trees, and other urban design treatments?

10. A. Attachment O - Southside Complete Streets Fact Sheet, has been provided primarily as a summary of publicly-available information about this project. Attachment P - Southside Complete Streets Corridor Scope should be considered the authoritative document with regard to the City's anticipated approach to and level of effort to implement the recommendations from the various planning documents listed within the attachment.

11. Q. What are essential aspects for a "Telegraph Shared Street Phased Approach" which the City expects all proposals to address, and/or can City offer additional guidance regarding City's expectations for this deliverable?

11. A. As indicated in Attachment P - Southside Complete Streets Corridor Scope and elsewhere in the RFP, the City anticipates pursuing a phased approach to the ultimate vision articulated in the Telegraph Avenue Public Realm Plan. The phased approach as described in the Plan utilizes incremental improvements to achieve the Plan goals while avoiding the costs associated with a complete reconstruction of Telegraph Avenue to convert the street into a sidewalk-level pedestrian and transit mall "shared street".

12. Q. Should the project include Protected Intersection at intersection of Fulton at Dwight as

per Berkeley Bicycle Plan Table E-4, or if it is intentionally omitted from improvements as describe in RFP Fact Sheet?

12. A. Attachment O - Southside Complete Streets Fact Sheet, has been provided primarily as a summary of publicly-available information about this project. Attachment P - Southside Complete Streets Corridor Scope should be considered the authoritative document with regard to the City's anticipated approach to and level of effort to implement the recommendations from the various planning documents listed within the attachment.

13. Q. What online portal should proposers be checking to stay informed about the project?

13. A. Please subscribe to the City's Current Bid & Proposal Opportunities web page for updates to this RFP: <https://www.cityofberkeley.info/RFP/>

14. Q. Why is this RFP being re-issued?

14. A. The previous RFP failed, due to a lack of a sufficient number of proposals to meet Federal Aid requirements. In response to feedback from vendors we reworked the RFP to clarify the approach and level of effort for many items, as described during the pre-proposal meeting presentation.

15. Q. Does the City anticipate that should it be needed, geotechnical engineering will be contracted directly by the City? Or if it is needed it will be a part of the design firm's team?

15. A. Geotechnical Engineering will be a relatively small component of this project, due to the nature of the prospective improvements. It will be up to RFP respondents to determine whether this task will be absorbed into the larger Civil Engineering tasks for this project or handled as a separate Geotechnical Engineering task.

Except as provided herein all other terms and conditions remain unchanged.