



## REGULAR MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

**Wednesday, March 9, 2005**  
**North Berkeley Senior Center**  
**7:00 PM**

**1901 Hearst Avenue**

### AGENDA

1. *Roll Call*
2. *Order of Agenda*
3. *Public Comment Period:* Speakers are customarily allotted up to three minutes each. Speakers are encouraged to submit more extensive comments in writing. To ensure adequate time for action items on the Agenda, the Planning Commission may limit the number of public speakers during the public comment period.
4. *Planning Staff Report:* Report on upcoming planning issues and recent Council actions. In addition to the items below, additional matters may be reported to the Commission at the meeting.
5. *Chairperson's Report:* Report by Commission Chair.
6. *Committee Reports:* Reports by Commission committees or liaisons. In addition to the items below, additional matters may be reported to the Commission at the meeting.
  - a. Creeks Task Force
  - b. Southside EIR Subcommittee
  - c. Landmark Preservation Ordinance (LPO) Subcommittee
7. *Approval of Minutes:* January 26, 2005 (previously approved with error), Draft February 9, 2005, Draft February 23, 2005.
8. *Future Planning Commission Agenda Items and Other Planning-Related Events* (copy attached).

### INFORMATION ITEM:

9. **Underhill Field.** Informational presentation of the schematic design for the Underhill field and parking structure of the campus for the University of California, Berkeley.

### PUBLIC HEARING:

10. **Condominium Map for 2209 – 2211 Fifth Street.** Proposed six (6) unit Condominium project comprised of four residential units and two live/work units – Tentative Tract Map 7591.

**ACTION ITEMS:** Matters for discussion and possible action.

11. **David Brower Center/Oxford Plaza Preview.** Provide feedback on alternatives for amending the Berkeley Downtown Plan to allow proposed project, in order to assist the applicant in selecting an alternative. The applicant will return to the Commission at a future meeting for recommendations regarding the actions required to approve the project, including Text Amendment to Berkeley Downtown Plan; Adoption of Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) for site; and Adoption of required CEQA document.
  
12. **Waterfront Specific Plan Amendment/General Plan Amendment to permit construction of the proposed Gilman Street Sports Fields.** To consider and provide comments on the overall joint planning and review process for amending the Waterfront Specific Plan, General Plan and Zoning Ordinance in order to allow the development of the proposed Gilman Street Playing Fields on a 15.76-acre parcel within the East Bay Shoreline State Park. This is a project sponsored by the City, Department of Parks Recreation and Waterfront on behalf of a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement executed by the cities of Berkeley, Emeryville, Albany, Richmond, and El Cerrito calling for a collaborative development of sports fields for regional use.
  
13. **Draft Land Use Planning Division Work Program for FY 2005-2006.**

**COMMUNICATIONS:** In compliance with Brown Act regulations, no action may be taken on these items. However, discussion may occur at this meeting upon a Commissioner request. Normally, communications received by the end of the day on the Wednesday one week before the Planning Commission meeting will be transmitted with the staff report packet to the commissioners. Communications received later will be sent to the Commission separately, either by handing out at the meeting or including in the next available Planning Commission packet.

- Report from John Curl dated February 9, 2005 concerning West Berkeley Plan
- Letter from Phil Wood of Ten Speed Press and Wood Properties dated February 11, 2005 concerning Berkeley Bowl
- Letter with attachments from Brian Stryzek of Kava Massih Architects dated February 17, 2005 concerning 920 Heinz Ave.
- Letter with attachments from Michael Cramer of Cramer and Cramer dated February 23, 2005 concerning Arden and Mosswood Roads parcel merger
- Letter from Susanne Hering and John Phillips dated February 23, 2005 concerning traffic issues related to development of 920 Heinz
- Memorandum with attachments from Richard Bahme of Bahme Partnership L.P. distributed at 2/23/05 Planning Commission meeting concerning 340-360 Panoramic Way parcel merger
- Letter from Richard K. Robbins of Wareham Development dated February 23, 2005 concerning Berkeley Bowl
- Report from Eugenie Thompson of TTE dated February 23, 2005 concerning Berkeley Bowl

- Memorandum from Darrell de Tienne dated February 24, 2005 concerning traffic in and around Berkeley Bowl
- Letter from Bryce Nesbitt dated February 25, 2005 concerning Berkeley Bowl and the 9<sup>th</sup> St. Bicycle Boulevard

OOO

**Please refrain from wearing scented products to public meetings.**

**Written material** may be viewed in advance of the meeting at the Planning and Development Department, 2118 Milvia Street, Third Floor, during working hours or at the Main Branch Library, Shattuck/Kittredge Streets, during regular library hours at the Reference Desk.

**Accommodations Provided Upon Request.** To request meeting materials in large print, Braille, or on cassette, or to request a sign language interpreter, assistive-listening device, real-time captioning or other accommodation for the meeting, call 981-7480 (voice) or 981-7474 (TDD). Providing at least five working days' notice will help to ensure availability at the meeting.

**Note: If you object to a project or to any City action** or procedure relating to the project application, any lawsuit which you may later file may be limited to those issues raised by you or someone else in the public hearing on the project, or in written communication delivered at or prior to the public hearing. The time limit within which to commence any lawsuit or legal challenge related to these applications is governed by Section 1094.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure, unless a shorter limitations period is specified by any other provision. Under Section 1094.6, any lawsuit or legal challenge to any quasi-adjudicative decision made by the City must be filed no later than the 90th day following the date on which such decision becomes final. Any lawsuit or legal challenge, which is not filed within that 90-day period, will be barred.