



REGULAR MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Wednesday, March 10, 2004
North Berkeley Senior Center
7:00 PM

1901 Hearst Avenue

AGENDA

1. *Roll Call*
2. *Order of Agenda*
3. *Public Comment Period:* Speakers are customarily allotted up to three minutes each. Speakers are encouraged to submit more extensive comments in writing. To ensure adequate time for action items on the Agenda, the Planning Commission may limit the number of public speakers during the public comment period.
4. *Chairperson's Report:* Report by Commission Chair.
5. *Committee Reports:* Reports by Commission committees or liaisons.
6. *Planning Staff Report:* Report on upcoming planning issues and recent Council actions.
7. *Approval of Minutes:* Approved Minutes of February 11, 2004, Draft Minutes of February 18, 2004 Special meeting, Draft Minutes of February 25, 2004 (copies for commissioners only).
8. *Future Planning Commission Agenda Items and Other Planning-Related Events* (copy attached).

Action Items: Matters for discussion and possible action.

9. University Avenue Strategic Plan: Continued discussion on University Avenue rezoning issues (memo from staff attached) (Continued from the meeting of February 25, 2004).
10. Set for Hearing: Proposed Zoning Ordinance Amendment R-1A District Minimum Lot Size Standard – BMC Section 23D.20.070.B (Planning file ZA 04-03) (memo from staff attached).
11. Election of Officers: The Commission will elect a Chair and Vice-Chair for 2004.

Information Items: Action may be taken on any information report at this meeting if any Commissioner requests its placement on the agenda as an action item.

12. Referral to Planning Commission from City Council on zoning requirements for part-time teachers in Residential areas (memo attached).
13. University of California Foothill Bridge Project
 - a. Memo from Planning Staff on Proposed Planning Commission Hearing, April 14, 2004 (attached).
 - b. Information on UC Foothill Bridge provided by UC Planning Staff (copy attached).

14. Memo to Council from City Manager on Response to University Village Master Plan Revised Draft EIR dated February 26, 2004 (attached).
15. Memo from West Berkeley Project Area Committee re. Gilman Interchange Planning, dated February 19, 2004 (attached).

Communications: In compliance with Brown Act regulations, no action may be taken on these items. However, discussion may occur at this meeting upon a Commissioner request.

- Memo from City Clerk to newly Elected Commission Chairs and Vice-chairs on Chair and Vice-chair training dated February 23, 2004
- Memo from City Clerk to Commission, Board and Committee members on 2003 Statements of Economic Interests, Form 700
- Letter from Dan Marks, Planning and Development Department Director, to Kava Massih, regarding Berkeley Bowl, dated February 18, 2004
- Letter from Susan Cerny to Zoning Adjustments Board concerning proposed new construction at 1698 University Ave., dated February 24, 2004
- MTC Report, from Metropolitan Transportation Commission, dated winter 2004
- E-mails and correspondence provided by Stephen Wollmer regarding University Avenue development issues, various dates
- E-mails concerning University Avenue development issues referred by City Clerk to Planning Department, various dates, from D'Arcy Richardson, Stephen Wollmer, Diane and Robert Leech, Ellen and Bob Lasher, Steven Saylor and Richard Solomon, Robert Lauriston, Robin Kibby and Brian Donaldson

OOO

Please refrain from wearing scented products to public meetings.

Written material may be viewed in advance of the meeting at the Planning and Development Department, 2118 Milvia Street, Third Floor, during working hours or at the Main Branch Library, Shattuck/Kittredge Streets, during regular library hours at the Reference Desk.

Accommodations Provided Upon Request. To request meeting materials in large print, Braille, or on cassette, or to request a sign language interpreter, assistive-listening device, real-time captioning or other accommodation for the meeting, call 981-7480 (voice) or 981-7474 (TDD). Providing at least five working days' notice will help to ensure availability at the meeting.

Note: If you object to a project or to any City action or procedure relating to the project application, any lawsuit which you may later file may be limited to those issues raised by you or someone else in the public hearing on the project, or in written communication delivered at or prior to the public hearing. The time limit within which to commence any lawsuit or legal challenge related to these applications is governed by Section 1094.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure, unless a shorter limitations period is specified by any other provision. Under Section 1094.6, any lawsuit or legal challenge to any quasi-adjudicative decision made by the City must be filed no later than the 90th day following the date on which such decision becomes final. Any lawsuit or legal challenge, which is not filed within that 90-day period, will be barred.