To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Submitted by: Jordan Klein, Economic Development Manager

Subject: Referral Response: Modifications to the Zoning Ordinance to Support Small Businesses

RECOMMENDATION

Refer to the Planning Commission modifications to the Zoning Ordinance that are designed to streamline the zoning review process for new or expanding small businesses in Berkeley.

SUMMARY

In April 2017, City Council referred to the City Manager analysis of a number of policy and programmatic initiatives to support the City’s small businesses, including “streamlining of zoning, permitting and licensing requirements and processes.” In February of 2019, six changes to the Zoning Ordinance were enacted. Subsequently, staff from the Office of Economic Development (OED) have identified eight additional potential modifications to the Zoning Ordinance for the Council and Planning Commission to consider. These eight changes are proposed in Berkeley’s commercial zoning districts to make the permitting review process for small businesses less complex and time consuming:

1. Consider permitting Group Instruction (Dance Studios, Yoga Studios, Martial Arts, Exercise) with a Zoning Certificate.
2. Clarify the threshold for design review and the applicability of design guidelines for sign applications in commercial districts.
3. Consider permitting the sale of Distilled Spirits that are incidental to a Food Service Establishment with an Administrative Use Permit (AUP) subject to performance standards.
4. Consider permitting standalone Beer and Wine Sales (such as Tap Rooms and Wine Bars) with a Zoning Certificate subject to performance standards.
5. Consider modifying the limitation on hours of operations in some commercial districts.
6. Consider the necessity of ‘change of use’ requirements in commercial districts.
7. Consider the appropriate levels of discretion for Arcades and Automatic Teller Machines (ATMs) commercial districts.
8. Update the Special Use Standards in Section 23E.16.040 for Alcoholic Beverage Sales and 23E.16.050 Amusement Arcades to reflect the proposed changes to the Zoning Ordinance.

These proposed revisions reflect input from the small business community and are seen by staff as relatively straightforward opportunities to modernize and improve the Zoning Ordinance to reflect present day conditions and community values. Each of these proposed modifications is designed to make the zoning review process for small businesses easier, clearer, and more streamlined.

**FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION**

Modifications to the Zoning Ordinance, and the accompanying public hearings, will require staff time from the Planning Department, Office of Economic Development, and City Attorney’s Office to produce staff reports and attend the required Planning Commission and City Council hearings. Proposed modifications are designed to simplify the planning review process for desirable business activities (including new business starts and expansions) and therefore may result in a modest increase in business license tax and sales tax revenues.

**CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS**

The Zoning Ordinance has evolved over decades to reflect Berkeley’s changing values and the changing landscape of property development and land use. Its requirements are intended to guide the City’s growth while preserving its existing character. However, businesses and people operate differently than they did 20 to 50 years ago, and some of the current permit thresholds and ordinance requirements do not recognize these changes. This results in a permitting process that can be unnecessarily lengthy and cumbersome, especially for independently-owned small businesses without the sophistication to navigate our complicated code and permitting process. Since its last major overhaul in 1999, the Zoning Ordinance has been updated in large and small ways at least 16 times to reflect new approaches to land use and changes in the ways businesses function and residents view their community. Staff has observed that it is particularly difficult for smaller, independently-owned businesses to navigate the permit review process and the associated timelines and expense. The modifications proposed here are designed with the unique needs and challenges of small businesses in mind. Further, these recommendations follow up on the recently adopted (January 2019) zoning modifications to support small business that have improved the experiences in several cases over a period of five months.

In order to update our ordinance to better accommodate today’s locally-owned, small, independent enterprises that are highly desirable to our community, and to adhere to best practices in planning and sustainable economic development, staff recommends the eight modifications to the Zoning Ordinance listed above to provide regulatory relief for small businesses in their establishment or expansion phases. These changes are an important component and continuation of a broader effort to improve our organization’s embrace of our customer service and Strategic Plan goals to “foster a dynamic, sustainable, and locally-based economy” and “provide excellent, timely, easily-
accessible service and information to the community,”¹ while honoring the City’s commitment to public participation and ensuring that new uses are compatible with neighboring land uses.

BACKGROUND
On April 25, 2017, the City Council referred to the City Manager a bundle of recommendations entitled the “Small Business Support Package” with the objective to “to support the establishment of new, and sustainability of existing small and/or locally owned businesses.” Among the strategies that Council asked staff to analyze and implement included “streamlining of zoning, permitting and licensing requirements and processes for small/local businesses and not-for-profits, to reduce associated costs and delays, and, where appropriate, provide less onerous levels of review.”² In the Council’s annual referral prioritization exercise conducted in May 2017, the item was ranked as the Council’s top priority among the referrals not pertaining to housing.

Subsequently, during summer and fall of 2017, Office of Economic Development (OED) staff conducted significant outreach and research on Berkeley’s small businesses and complied its findings in a work session report and presentation to council on January 16, 2018.³ Small business owners and advocates identified the lengthy permitting review process as one of the primary barriers to small business startup and expansion in Berkeley. As a result, staff recommended six modifications to the Zoning Ordinance that were adopted by the City Council on January 22, 2019.⁴ Over six months since the zoning modifications went into effect, several business have benefited from the amendments by reducing months of permit review time and additional expenses. For example, Thai Corner at 1277 Gilman Street, the Sundhari Spa at 1605 Solano Avenue, and AxeVentures at 2566 Telegraph Avenue each were able to open their business or expand their hours via a Zoning Certificate, rather than wait several months for an AUP. In addition, the zoning modifications were acknowledged by the Northern California Chapter of the American Planning Association (NorCal APA) with an Award of Merit in Economic Planning and Development.

The goal of this second round of zoning changes is again to improve and simplify the permitting experience for small businesses, which can in turn enhance the quality of commercial district offerings, help fill vacant storefronts, and generate more local and sustainable economic opportunities. The recommendations distill specific complaints, concerns, challenges, and staff observations into concise changes to the zoning ordinance designed specifically to alleviate long permit queues, clear up applicant confusion, and streamline the experience of doing business in Berkeley. The

recommendations are also informed by outreach, conducted February through August 2019, to neighborhood stakeholders, business owners, elected officials and commissioners. Staff aimed to identify and streamline the particular controls that lengthen the review process for desired and noncontroversial uses. In addition, the recommendations are consistent with purpose statements for commercial districts in section 23E of the Zoning Ordinance.

The following recommendations and rationale continue to iterate on the progress and inputs gathered from the first round of zoning amendments to support small businesses:

1. **Consider permitting Group Instruction (Dance Studios, Yoga Studios, Martial Arts, Exercise) with a Zoning Certificate.** Currently the Zoning Ordinance requires an AUP for Group Class Instruction in the majority of commercial zoning districts. A new yoga studio or exercise studio, or businesses interested in adding classes to an existing business, such as an art gallery or culinary business, are subject to discretionary review through an AUP application. The AUP requirement typically lengthens the zoning review process by three to six months, and typically increases the cost by roughly $1,000 to $4,000.

OED staff has observed an increase in business models that employ a combination of retail and/or food consumption with instruction, training and class offerings. As the prevalence of online purchases for soft goods (e.g., clothing, books, music) increases, these creative commercial uses are increasingly critical to the vitality and sustainability of neighborhood commercial districts. Therefore staff recommends amending the Zoning Ordinance to permit these uses in commercial districts with a Zoning Certificate.

2. **Clarify the threshold for design review and the applicability of design guidelines for sign applications in commercial districts.** Section 20.12.070 of the Zoning Ordinance and Section 23E.08.020 of the Sign Code have conflicting and contradictory language related to the threshold for the design review of a new sign and the requirements for a Sign Permit. In addition, the current application for Signs and Awnings throughout the City refer to the Downtown Sign Guidelines; those guidelines have been used for the review and processing of signs beyond Downtown. This has led to confusion for applicants, business owners and sign companies wishing to do business in Berkeley. The impact is especially detrimental to small, independent business owners interested in opening a new business with a new sign.

To remedy this, staff recommends a minor modification to the language in Section 23E.08.020 Applicability of Design Review in non-residential districts to clarify the types of signs that are subject to design review and signs that are exempt. In addition, the Planning Commission should determine whether the Downtown Sign Guidelines are suitable for the evaluation of signs throughout the City or only Downtown.
3. Consider permitting the sale of Distilled Spirits that are incidental to a Food Establishment with an Administrative Use Permit (AUP) subject to performance standards. Presently an operator of a food service establishment must obtain a Use Permit with a Public Hearing UP(PH) to serve distilled spirits. This review process is separate from and in addition to the review process an owner or operator is subject to by Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC), the state agency regulating the sale, service, and production of alcohol. The UP(PH) requirement typically lasts between five to eleven months, and includes $5,215 in fees. The requirement also generates additional demands on Planning staff and the Zoning Adjustments Board, and uncertainty for food service purveyors.

Staff recommends the incidental service of distilled spirits at a food establishment be permitted via an AUP, subject to specific conditions of approval and the adopted performance standards which are approved by Berkeley’s law enforcement officials and in line with the best practices employed by the state ABC. Nearby residents and property owners will still be notified of the proposed use and will have the ability to provide comments and appeal the Planning Department’s decision.

4. Consider permitting standalone Beer and Wine Sales (such as Tap Rooms and Wine Bars) with a Zoning Certificate subject to performance standards. Currently, tap rooms, wine bars and tasting rooms are subject to the UP(PH) process in most commercial districts. As noted above, the UP(PH) requirement typically lasts between five to eleven months, and includes $5,215 in fees. The requirement also generates additional demands on Planning staff and the Zoning Adjustments Board, and uncertainty for entrepreneurs interested in this type of business. This review process is separate from and in addition to the review process an owner or operator is subject to by Alcohol Beverage Control (ABC), the state agency regulating the sale, service, and production of alcohol.

Staff recommends that standalone beer and wine sales be permitted via a Zoning Certificate, subject to the performance standards which are approved by Berkeley’s law enforcement officials and in line with the best practices employed by the state ABC. The City of Berkeley has an emerging wine and beer scene, resulting in additional tourism, tax revenue, manufacturing and job creation; this policy change could help to encourage its continued expansion.

5. Consider modifying the limitation on hours of operations in some commercial districts. Currently, several commercial zoning districts limit the hours of operation for businesses; e.g., businesses in the Elmwood District may not operate outside of 7am-11pm. In order for a business to exceed the existing limits, they must apply for a UP(PH) (adding approximately five to eleven months and $5,215 in fees to the zoning approval process). This is a significant obstacle for many business owners and has served as a deterrent for entrepreneurs that may be interested in providing food and drinks to customers after 11:00 pm. Many of the City’s entertainment activities end at or after 11:00 pm; in some
districts, the limits on hours of operations restricts businesses from offering complementary services. This could result in lost tax revenue, job opportunities and lost business to adjacent cities. Staff recommends that the Planning Commission consider removing the blanket restriction in some or all commercial districts, allowing business owners to maintain hours of operation that comply with any applicable State laws and are aligned with their business model and customer demand.

6. **Consider the necessity for ‘change of use’ requirements in commercial districts triggered by square footage.** Currently in some C-prefixed districts, a change of use above a certain square footage threshold necessitates an AUP or a UP(PH). A commercial change of use requirement based on square footage is atypical; surrounding jurisdictions do not impose this level of scrutiny on neighborhood serving business, which puts Berkeley at a competitive disadvantage in its attraction of new businesses to larger commercial spaces. Each district’s Use Table makes allowances for different levels of discretionary review for particular uses based on square footage thresholds. This additional requirement therefore adds to the overall complexity of the zoning ordinance; as it is a supplemental requirement implemented via an asterisk, often it is initially overlooked by applicants.

   Staff recommends that the Planning Commission review the appropriateness and benefits of an AUP for a Change of Use and consider eliminating the requirement in some or all commercial districts. Proposed uses would be evaluated and reviewed based on the levels of discretion defined in the Use Table for each district.

7. **Consider the appropriate levels of discretion for Arcades and Automatic Teller Machines (ATMs) commercial districts.** Commercial recreation uses that are classified as Arcades (e.g., Emporium and 90's Experience, Oakland, CA and the High Scores Arcade Museum, Alameda, CA) have become increasingly popular and prevalent. With the rise of internet sales posing challenges to retailers, these types of experiential commercial establishments have become increasingly important to the overall health of commercial districts. However, Berkeley’s existing zoning controls make it difficult or impossible to open that type of establishment in most districts. Currently, Arcades are either prohibited or require a UP(PH), which adds approximately five to eleven months and $5,215 in fees to the zoning approval process. The requirement also generates additional demands on Planning staff and the Zoning Adjustments Board, and uncertainty for entrepreneurs interested in this type of business.

   ATMs also typically require an AUP or UP(PH), and in some districts are prohibited unless part of a Financial Institution. ATMs are often a beneficial and complimentary element for active commercial districts, especially if there’s a lack of financial institutions in the area like some parts of Berkeley. Furthermore, the City of Berkeley is considering a policy that would require businesses to accept
cash. Staff recommends that the Planning Commission review the levels of
discretion for Arcades and ATM's in commercial districts.

8. **Update the Special Use Standards in Section 23E.16.040 for Alcoholic Beverage
Sales and 23E.16.050 Amusement Arcades to reflect the proposed changes to
the Zoning Ordinance.** The Special Use Standards in Section 23E.16.040 for
Alcoholic Beverage Sales and 23E.16.050 Amusement Arcades provide
additional requirements and limitations for certain uses in the Zoning Ordinance.
Several of the regulations are limiting and don’t reflect the current standards in
other jurisdictions. In addition, these sections would need to be modified to be
consistent with the recommended Zoning Ordinance amendments above.
Furthermore, the Public Convenience or Necessity findings for alcohol use and
the distance buffers for Arcades are overly restrictive, don’t reflect best practices
and conflict with typical business practices. For example, Section
23E.16.040(A)(1)(b)(5) states “no beer or wine may be distributed in its original
bottle or can.” Staff recommends that the Planning Commission consider
updating the Special Use section of the ordinance to be reasonable, enforceable
and be consistent with surrounding jurisdictions.

**Next Steps**
Staff recommends that City Council review and adopt this referral to Planning
Commission. Subsequently, Planning Department staff would present the Planning
Commission with information, case studies and analysis relevant to each proposed
change, seek guidance from the Commission, and draft Zoning Ordinance amendments
for the Commission’s review. It is possible that some of the recommendations may be
implemented as part of the Zoning Ordinance Revision Project (ZORP), a current
initiative to modernize and streamline the Zoning Ordinance. Planning Commission
hearings will provide opportunities for additional feedback from small business owners,
citizens, neighborhood associations, and commercial district groups.

**Strategic Plan Connection**
This referral is a component of a Strategic Plan Priority Project (Small Business
Support), advancing our goals to provide an efficient and financially-health City
government; to foster a dynamic, sustainable, and locally-based economy; and to be a
customer-focused organization that provides excellent, timely, easily-accessible service
and information to the community.

**ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**
Many of the City’s environmental sustainability goals are inextricably tied to the overall
health of the City’s economy. Small businesses make up the bulk of Berkeley’s
economy. Small businesses often contribute to sustainable transportation and
consumer behavior by providing opportunities to shop in neighborhood commercial
districts that are accessible by foot, bicycle and transit.

**RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION**
Berkeley’s commercial districts, and the small businesses that comprise them, are vital
to the City’s economic, social and civic wellbeing. These zoning changes represent the
most immediate and straightforward approach the City can take to assist small businesses and potentially reduce commercial vacancies. They are designed specifically to support small independent operators seeking to invest and activate these districts, and will provide the community with needed goods and services. These changes also have the added addition of improving our city’s internal permitting processes, by shortening timelines and improving customer service.

ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED
Staff considered various other changes to levels of discretionary review and other zoning compliance review for commercial uses, but recommends moving forward with the modifications proposed above while continuing to gather input on additional changes.

CONTACT PERSON
Jordan Klein, Economic Development Manager, (510) 981-7534
Kieron Slaughter, Community Development Project Coordinator, (510) 981-2490