To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Police Review Commission

Submitted by: George Perezvelez, Chairperson, Police Review Commission


INTRODUCTION
The Police Review Commission submits its work plan for the year beginning July 2019, in compliance with the 2016 City Council directive for commissions to submit work plans at the beginning of each fiscal year.

CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS
The Commission adopted the attached work plan at its July 24, 2019 meeting. (M/S/C: Allamby/Calavita; Ayes: Allamby, Calavita, Chang, Earnest, Matthews, Perezvelez, Ramsey, Roberts; Noes: None; Abstain: None; Absent: Mikiten.)

The work plan includes a list of policy subjects that the Commission is or anticipates addressing in this fiscal year. The Commission is also interested in participating in more training about police procedures and tactics, staffing and organization of the police department, and training that officers receive. Additionally, the Commission would like to conduct more outreach to ensure that the public is aware of the Commission’s existence and its role.

BACKGROUND
The Police Review Commission was established by ordinance in 1973 to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices, and procedures, and to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of complaints brought by individuals against the Berkeley Police Department.

Policy work is carried out by the Commission with support from staff, while complaint investigations are handled by staff with commissioner involvement if a case proceeds to hearing. Review of police policies, practices and procedures is largely determined by the Commission itself, while complaint investigations and hearings are externally driven.

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY
No identifiable environmental effects or opportunities are associated with the subject of this report.
POSSIBLE FUTURE ACTION
While the attached work plan reflects the Commission’s priorities as of the date of its adoption, it is subject to change throughout the year should more urgent or important matters arise. These matters may take precedence as a result of Council referrals, incidents involving the police, or requests from the community.

FISCAL IMPACTS OF POSSIBLE FUTURE ACTION
No fiscal impacts of possible future action are anticipated for the current fiscal year.

CONTACT PERSON
Katherine J. Lee, Police Review Commission Officer, 510-981-4960

Attachments:
Police Review Commission 2019-2020 Work Plan

Commission mission statement

The general purpose of the Police Review Commission is to provide for community participation in setting and reviewing police department policies, practices, and procedures, and to provide a means for prompt, impartial, and fair investigation of complaints brought by individuals against the Berkeley Police Department. (B.M.C. sec. 3.32.010.)

Goal #1: Review and set BPD policies, practices, and procedures.

a. Resources

   PRC staff, BPD staff, meeting space.

b. Program activities

   A policy review may be initiated by the Commission, by a City Council referral, the Police Department, or a member of the public. The initial review steps may be undertaken by the Commission, a commission subcommittee, or staff, depending on the nature and breadth of the policy, practice, or procedure in question. The review could include: holding meetings and hearings to receive input from community members; meeting with and asking questions of the BPD; studying current policies, practices, and procedures; gathering policies from other jurisdictions; and surveying the literature regarding best practices.

   If a subcommittee or staff perform the initial work, it will be presented to the full Commission for review and approval.

c. Outputs

   Based on the information gathered, the Commission will make a recommendation to the BPD, City Manager or City Council about a change in a policy, practice, or procedure.

---

1 Unlike most other commissions, the Police Review Commission has a staff of three City employees dedicated to supporting the Commission’s work.
d. Outcomes

The desired change is a new or improved policy, practice, or procedure. If new, it will provide guidance where it did not previously exist or was not well-documented. A revised policy, practice, or procedure will reflect a change to conform with new laws, to embrace best practices that have changed since the original policy was established, or to better align with community values.

e. Specific policies, practices, or procedures to be addressed in the coming fiscal year will include ongoing, recurring, and new reviews.

Topics for which review was begun last fiscal year and will continue:

- New or revised policies and practices to address disparities in BPD pedestrian and traffic stop, citation, search, and arrest rates; and other efforts to ensure unbiased policing.
  - Specifically, a PRC Subcommittee is looking into the BPD practices of routinely asking detainees whether they are on probation or parole and, if they are, conducting searches.
- Conversion of all BPD General Orders into Lexipol policies.

Recurring topics:

- Memoranda of understanding and mutual aid pacts with other law enforcement agencies (an annual process).
- Surveillance Acquisition Policies and Surveillance Technology Use Policies, under the Surveillance Technology Use and Community Safety Ordinance, as needed when new technologies or new uses of existing technologies are proposed.

Possible new or renewed subjects of review:

- Revised policy governing the Use of Force by police officers.
- Assessment of use of body-worn cameras and re-visiting of policy recommendations made in March 2018.
- Evaluation of a proposed charter amendment to restructure the police commission and oversight staff.

Not all reviews of police policies, practices, or procedures can be anticipated in advance, as some issues are undertaken based on a request from the City Council or a civilian. Also, the PRC may undertake a review in response to particular police activity or incident.
Goal #2: Process complaints regarding individual police officer misconduct.

a. Resources

PRC staff are responsible for carrying out this goal, with critical participation by Commissioners. BPD staff are also involved.

b. Program activities

Staff will receive complaints of alleged misconduct by police officers, conduct an investigation, and, if warranted, prepare the case for a hearing before a Board of Inquiry. Rotating panels of three Commissioners serve as the BOI, except in death cases, where the Commission sits as a whole.

Cases may be closed without a hearing; the reasons for such closures include: mediation between the complainant and subject officer is completed; the complainant withdraws the complaint; or the complainant does not cooperate in the investigation.

c. Outputs

Following a BOI hearing, a Findings Report will be sent to the Chief of Police and City Manager, who may rely on the PRC’s findings in determining whether to impose discipline.

Based on prior years, it is anticipated that roughly eight BOI hearings will be held in the coming fiscal year.

d. Outcomes

By providing a venue for investigation of complaints that is separate from the Police Department, civilians may view the process as more objective than investigations conducted by the Police Department internally. Addressing problematic behavior identified by the PRC may result in corrective action or discipline. Police officers’ awareness of the PRC’s complaint process may influence their behavior in a positive way.

Goal #3: Participate in training.

a. Resources

PRC staff and BPD staff

b. Program activities
Presently, Commissioners are not subject to any mandatory or prescribed course of training, other than the training that all commission chairs and vice-chairs must complete. Each Commissioner receives a 2-hour orientation from PRC staff covering topics relevant to service on the commission, the role of Commissioners and PRC staff in reviewing policy and processing complaints, and service on Boards of Inquiry. Commissioners are to meet with the Chief of Police and schedule a ride-along.

Currently, additional training on the organization of the BPD, police policies, relevant law, and officer training occurs sporadically. Topics presented to the PRC in the past year include BPD de-escalation training and response of BPD in conjunction with the Mobile Crisis Team to persons in mental health crisis.

In light of an October 2018 Council referral asking the PRC to explore mandatory training requirements, the Commission has asked the PRC Chair and PRC Officer to arrange for ongoing training.

c. Outputs

The results will be Commissioners who are better and more uniformly knowledgeable about police procedures, staffing and organization, training, tactics, and relevant law.

d. Outcomes

The outcome will be policy reviews and Board of Inquiry decisions that are based on a deep understanding of police work and police-community relations such that both the police and the community will have more confidence in the work of the PRC.

Goal #4: Conduct outreach activities.

a. Resources

PRC staff, printing of materials

b. Program activities

The Commission, as a whole or through a subcommittee, will develop and implement activities and strategies to better inform the community about the PRC’s mission and services, including its policy review function and intake of civilian complaints about officer misconduct as an agency independent of the Police Department.
c. Outputs
The results will include increased presence at community fairs and other events; speaking to community groups, churches, and the like; holding Commission meetings at various locations; updated literature describing the Commission’s work; a revamped and expanded website.

d. Outcomes
The outcome will be larger numbers of community members who are aware of the PRC and informed about its services and activities.

Goal #5: Revise PRC Regulations for Handling Complaints Against Police Officers as needed.

a. Resources
PRC staff and BPD staff.

b. Program activities
The complaint process, from intake through the BOI hearing, is governed by regulations promulgated by the PRC. The need to revise the regulations may arise when, for example: a deficiency is discovered; a way to streamline the process is identified; or a change is desired.

Regulation changes may be initiated by the Commission or by staff. The Commission as a whole may consider a revision, or establish a subcommittee for this purpose.

Depending on the specific change, a meet-and-confer with the police union may be required.

c. Outputs
The result will be amended PRC Regulations.

d. Outcomes
Amended Regulations will result in a process for handling complaints that is clearer; more efficient; conforms to current law; and reflects community values.