

FINAL MINUTES OF THE REGULAR PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING April 18, 2018

- The meeting was called to order at 7:05 p.m.
- 4 **Location:** North Berkeley Senior Center, Berkeley, CA
- 5 **Commissioners Present:** Steve Martinot, Benjamin Beach, Robb William Kapla, Christine
- 6 Schildt, Jeff Vincent, Ryan Lau (Rob Wrenn's substitute), Prakash Pinto, Benjamin Fong, Mary
- 7 Kay Lacey.

1

2

- 8 Commissioners Absent: Rob Wrenn (excused).
- 9 **Staff Present:** Alex Amoroso, Alene Pearson, Sydney Stephenson, and Alisa Shen.
- 10 **ORDER OF AGENDA:** No changes.
- 11 **CONSENT CALENDAR:** None.
- 12 **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD:** 3 speakers, including a member from Ohlone tribe regarding the
- 13 1900 4th Street development proposal.
- 14 **PLANNING STAFF REPORT:** The next meeting is on May 16th. Staff gave a brief introduction
- to the Council Joint Subcommittee on the Implementation of State Housing Laws that met last
- 16 night (April 17th).

18 19

22

17 COMMUNICATIONS IN PACKET:

- 2018-04-18 C. Susanna Commentary on Parcels at 1050 Parker St. and 2621 Tenth St.
- 20 LATE COMMUNICATIONS (Received after the Packet deadline): None.
- 21 **LATE COMMUNICATIONS** (Received and distributed at the meeting):
 - 2018-04-18 Elizabeth Shaughnessy, Berkeley Class School rezone
- 2018-04-18 Jana Olson, Proposed rezoning of 1050 Parker Street from MULI to CW
- 24 **CHAIR REPORT:** The Chair encouraged the public to look at the Joint Subcommittee agenda
- packet for more information on the new State Housing laws.
- 26 **COMMITTEE REPORT:** No report.

7. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Motion/Second/Carried (CS/RK) to approve the Planning Commission Meeting Minutes from March 21, 2018. Ayes: Lacey, Beach, Martinot, Schildt, Kapla, Vincent, Lau, Pinto, Fong. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: None. (9-0-0-0)

8. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS AND OTHER PLANNING-RELATED EVENTS: Staff reported on a possibility of a public hearing on urban agriculture referrals and cannabis nurseries at the next Planning Commission (PC) meeting.

AGENDA ITEMS

9. Action: Public Hearing: 1050 Parker Street Parcels Rezone and General Plan Amendment

This item was previously presented at the February 21, 2018 PC meeting and was re-noticed because the referral required additional action: 1) re-designation and rezone of parcels from MULI to C-W; and 2) text amendment to the C-W to allow a maximum height of 50' for the three parcels. Staff reported that there is a letter attached from Kaiser regarding their interest in the site.

- Public Comments: 5 speakers.
- The Commission discussed this item and made the following motions:

Motion/Second/Carried (RK/CS) to close the public hearing. Ayes: Lacey, Beach, Martinot, Schildt, Kapla, Vincent, Lau, Pinto, Fong. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: None. (9-0-0-0)

Motion/Second/Carried (PP/RK) to recommend the following considerations to the Council:

- 1) The Commission does not have sufficient and current environmental review (CEQA) information for the revise 1050 Parker project to make/recommend the CEQA related findings for the rezone/re-designation actions.
- 2) The PC is aware of changes to the project and the need for additional use permits (modified uses allowed for the project and new Pardee Street parking), along with the requisite CEQA analysis.
- 3) The use of the rezoned/re-designated parcels should be limited to Medical Office and Use this could be added to the text amendment language, though concern is expressed about "spot zoning."
- 4) Relocation of the displaced (from the Pardee Street parcels) auto repair and other industrial/repair type businesses should be part of the project approval. Relocation assistance could only result from Zoning Adjustment Board recommendation. This should be done at the expense of the developer of the 1050 Parker Street project (Wareham).
- 5) Any rezone/re-designation policy analysis should include a listing of all related policies and goals from the West Berkeley Plan. The current analysis is limited.
- 6) Creative parking solutions, such as free patient and/or staff parking, committed on the street spots, modified parking ratios should be considered for the project. The purpose of this recommendation is to reduce parking and traffic impacts to the surrounding neighborhood.

Public Speakers: 12 speakers

Length of the meeting: 2 hour and 49 minutes

87

88

Ayes: Lacey, Beach, Schildt, Kapla, Vincent, Lau, Pinto, Fong. Noes: None. Abstain: Martinot. 68 Absent: None. (8-0-1-0) 69 70 10. Discussion: **Adeline Corridor Project Update** 71 72 Staff presented an update on the Adeline Corridor Project, including the background of the project, work completed to-date, and the next steps and future community engagement. 73 Public Comments: 4 speakers. 74 The Commission asked staff questions about the project's process and status. The PC 75 asked how the input from the small group meetings (of African Americans and 76 underrepresented groups) are going to be funneled down and prioritized. It is important to let 77 the community know how the City is prioritizing the trade-offs. The Commission also 78 79 cautioned about narrowing the scope, and instead allowing the community members to make 80 the choices to limit and to understand the trade-offs. The PC also requested that the outcome of the small group meetings be presented at the next update for this project. 81 Community members of Latino and Asian ethnicities should be involved in the community 82 outreach as well. 83 The meeting was adjourned at 9:54 pm 84 Commissioners in attendance: 9 of 9 85 Members in the public in attendance: 16 86