



**INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING**

**Wednesday, March 16, 2022
6:00 PM**

Commission Members:

DISTRICT 1 – TERRY NICOL
DISTRICT 2 – JESSE SUSSELL
DISTRICT 3 – LISA M. TRAN
DISTRICT 4 – CURTIS W. HANSON
AT-LARGE – DELORES COOPER
AT-LARGE – CARLY MICHELE ALEJOS
AT-LARGE – SHERRY SMITH

DISTRICT 5 – WINSTON RHODES
DISTRICT 6 – ELISABETH WATSON
DISTRICT 7 – RANA CHO
DISTRICT 8 – ANDREW FOX
AT-LARGE – LUPE GALLEGOS-DIAZ
AT-LARGE – RONALD K. CHOY

PUBLIC ADVISORY: THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and the state declared emergency, this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission will be conducted exclusively through teleconference and Zoom videoconference. The COVID-19 state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person and presents imminent risks to the health of attendees. Therefore, no physical meeting location will be available.

To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82577896995>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon on the screen.

To join by phone: Dial **1-669-900-9128** or **1-877-853-5257 (Toll Free)** and Enter Meeting ID: **825 7789 6995**. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

Written communications submitted by mail or e-mail to the Independent Redistricting Commission by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Commission meeting will be distributed to the members of the Commission in advance of the meeting and retained as part of the official record.

AGENDA

Roll Call

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters

Minutes for Approval

Draft minutes for the Commission's consideration and approval.

- 1. Minutes – February 28, 2022 and March 16, 2022 regular meetings**
Recommendation: Approve the minutes of the February 28, 2022 regular meeting and authorize the administrative approval by the Commission Secretary for the minutes of the final Commission meeting held on March 16, 2022.
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Commission Action Items

The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action as the item is taken up.

- 2. Adoption of the Final Map for the Redistricting Plan**
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Recommendation: Adopt the Amber Map Version 2 as the final map to be included in the Redistricting Plan and forwarded to the City Council for implementation by adoption of the Redistricting Ordinance.
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900
- 3. Approval of the Final Redistricting Report**
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Recommendation: Review and approve the final redistricting report that will accompany the final map when forwarded to the City Council for implementation.
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Subcommittee Reports

Subcommittees may provide verbal reports on their activities and discuss topics under their purview with the full commission. To take action on a subcommittee item, the topic must be agendaized on the commission's Action Calendar.

Information Reports – None

Items for Future Agendas and Meeting Calendar

- Discussion of items to be added to the next scheduled meeting calendar
- Discussion and possible modifications to the meeting calendar

Adjournment

~~~~~  
*This meeting will be conducted in accordance with the Brown Act, Government Code Section 54953. Any member of the public may attend this meeting. Questions regarding this matter may be addressed to Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6900.*

*Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the Independent Redistricting Commission regarding any item on this agenda are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or [redistricting@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:redistricting@cityofberkeley.info).*

*Written communications addressed to the Independent Redistricting Commission and submitted to the City Clerk Department will be distributed to the Commission prior to the meeting.*

*Communications to the Independent Redistricting Commission are public record and will become part of the City's electronic records, which are accessible through the City's website. Please note: e-mail addresses, names, addresses, and other contact information are not required, but if included in any communication to the Independent Redistricting Commission, will become part of the public record. If you do not want your e-mail address or any other contact information to be made public, you may deliver communications via U.S. Postal Service to the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street. If you do not want your contact information included in the public record, please do not include that information in your communication. Please contact the City Clerk Department for further information.*

**COMMUNICATION ACCESS INFORMATION:**

If you need ASL or Spanish translation services, please contact the City Clerk's Office at (510) 981-6908 or [redistricting@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:redistricting@cityofberkeley.info) at least three business days in advance of the meeting.



To request a disability-related accommodation(s) to participate in the meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please contact the Disability Services specialist at (510) 981-6418 (V) or (510) 981-6347 (TDD) at least three business days before the meeting date.

~~~~~  
I hereby certify that the agenda for this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission was posted at the display case located near the walkway in front of the Maudelle Shirek Building, 2134 Martin Luther King Jr. Way, as well as on the City's website, on Wednesday, March 9, 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Numainville". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mark Numainville, City Clerk

Communications

Communications submitted to the Independent Redistricting Commission are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or may be viewed through [Records Online](#).

**INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES**

**Monday, February 28, 2022
6:00 PM**

Commission Members:

DISTRICT 1 – TERRY NICOL
DISTRICT 2 – JESSE SUSSELL
DISTRICT 3 – LISA M. TRAN
DISTRICT 4 – CURTIS W. HANSON
AT-LARGE – DELORES COOPER
AT-LARGE – CARLY MICHELE ALEJOS
AT-LARGE – SHERRY SMITH

DISTRICT 5 – WINSTON RHODES
DISTRICT 6 – ELISABETH WATSON
DISTRICT 7 – RANA CHO
DISTRICT 8 – ANDREW FOX
AT-LARGE – LUPE GALLEGOS-DIAZ
AT-LARGE – RONALD K. CHOY

PUBLIC ADVISORY: THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and the state declared emergency, this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission will be conducted exclusively through teleconference and Zoom videoconference. The COVID-19 state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person and presents imminent risks to the health of attendees. Therefore, no physical meeting location will be available.

To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/81566315346>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon on the screen.

To join by phone: Dial **1-669-900-9128 or 1-877-853-5257 (Toll Free)** and Enter Meeting ID: **815 6631 5346**. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

Written communications submitted by mail or e-mail to the Independent Redistricting Commission by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Commission meeting will be distributed to the members of the Commission in advance of the meeting and retained as part of the official record.

MINUTES

Roll Call: 6:03 p.m.

Present: Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Fox, Gallegos-Diaz, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Sussell, Tran, Watson

Absent: Hanson

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters – 1 speaker

Minutes for Approval

Draft minutes for the Commission's consideration and approval.

- 1. Minutes - February 17, 2022 and February 19, 2022**
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900
Action: M/S/C (Rhodes/Gallegos-Diaz) to approve the minutes of February 17 and February 19, 2022.
Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Gallegos-Diaz, Rhodes, Smith, Sussell, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – Fox, Nicol; Absent – Hanson.

Commission Action Items

The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action as the item is taken up.

- 2. Selection of Final Map for official approval on March 16, 2022**
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Recommendation: Review the Amber Version 2 map currently under consideration and approve the map for final official adoption by the Commission on March 16, 2022.
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900
Action: 8 speakers. M/S/C (Rhodes/Nicol) to approve the Amber Map Version 2 for final adoption by the Commission on March 16, 2022.
Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Gallegos-Diaz, Fox, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Sussell, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Hanson.
- 3. Review of Draft Items for Final Report**
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Recommendation: Review the draft letters and materials provided by the Report Drafting Subcommittee and provide comments.
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900
Action: 0 speakers. Discussion held. The Commission requested that staff to continue to compile the report and coordinate with the subcommittee to draft the final version to be in the March 16 agenda packet.

Subcommittee Reports – None

Subcommittees may provide verbal reports on their activities and discuss topics under their purview with the full commission. To take action on a subcommittee item, the topic must be agendaized on the commission's Action Calendar.

Information Reports – None

Items for Future Agendas and Meeting Calendar

- **Discussion of items to be added to the next scheduled meeting calendar**
 - None
- **Discussion and possible modifications to the meeting calendar**
 - None

Adjournment

Action: M/S/C (Sussell/Gallegos-Diaz) to adjourn the meeting.

Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Gallegos-Diaz, Fox, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Sussell, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Hanson.

Adjourned at 7:20 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct record of the Independent Redistricting Commission meeting held on February 28, 2022.

Mark Numainville
City Clerk

Communications

Communications submitted to the Independent Redistricting Commission are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or may be viewed through [Records Online](#).

Supplemental Communications 1

Item #2: Selection of Final Map for official approval on March 16, 2022

- 266. Wendy Alfsen & Nancy Holland
- 267. Kelly Hammargren
- 268. Candace Falk
- 269. Lucy Andrews, UC Berkeley Graduate Assembly
- 270. Chimey Lee



City Clerk Department

March 16, 2022

To: Independent Redistricting Commission

From: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary

Subject: Adopt Amber Map Version 2 as the Final City Council District Boundary Map

The recommended action for the Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC) for the March 16, 2022 meeting is to formally adopt Amber Map Version 2 as the final map for city council district boundaries pursuant to the authority granted by the Berkeley City Charter.

The information below is provided as background on the map development process and the public participation and decisions that have resulted in the final map.

Development of Draft Maps

On January 10, 2022, the IRC created a Map Drafting Subcommittee and appointed Commissioners Rhodes, Nicol, and Alejos. The IRC also established the following themes to be used in the development of the first set of draft maps:

Themes to be prioritized in all draft maps

- a. 10% Maximum Population Variance
- b. Contiguity
- c. Communities of Interest/Neighborhoods
- d. Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible
- e. Correct the features of the 2010 map for Councilmember residences
- f. Include at least one compact student district in every map

Themes to be included in one or more draft map variation:

- a. Single, north-south West Berkeley district
- b. Topography/Transit Access/Wildfire Risk
- c. Two different maps with different configurations for two student majority districts
- d. A map that has a high level of continuity with the existing boundaries that includes changes only as required by the six mandatory criteria above

Working with staff, the Subcommittee created four draft maps that responded to the full range of the IRC’s direction. Each draft map was given a non-numeric designation for the purpose of identification. The draft maps also include narratives explaining in detail how they were developed. The four draft maps are listed below in alphabetical order:

Designation	Description
Amber Map	Consistency Map with Changes Made Only to Address Universal Criteria West Berkeley (Two Districts)
Blue Map	Two Student Districts (East-West) West Berkeley (One District)
Maroon Map	Two Student Districts (North-South) West Berkeley (Two Districts)
Orange Map	Consistency Map with Changes Made Only to Address Universal Criteria West Berkeley (One District)

At the IRC public hearing on January 27, 2022, the Commission received public comment from 31 speakers and reviewed dozens of new written communications from the public regarding the four draft maps. Based on community input and the IRC deliberations, the Commission acted to advance a modified Amber Map to the February 17 public hearing and requested that a new map be created for evaluation. The Commission also added Commissioner Fox to the Map Drafting Subcommittee.

The requested changes to the Amber Map modify the District 3/District 8 boundary on Adeline Street to better unify the community around Ashby BART in District 3. This revised map is named Amber Map Version 2.

The new draft map (Violet Map) uses the Amber Map as the base map and moves the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of Le Conte Avenue into the student-focused district, modifies the boundary between District 3 and District 8 to prevent division of the community near Ashby BART, adjusts District 4 in consideration of students and renters, and further creates two student/renter-focused districts in a side-by-side orientation.

The Subcommittee produced two new maps as follows.

Designation	Description
Amber Map Version 2	Consistency Map with Changes Made Only to Address Universal Criteria West Berkeley (Two Districts)
Violet Map	Two Student/Renter Focused Districts (East-West) West Berkeley (Two Districts)

Both the Amber Map Version 2 and the Violet Map adhered to the universal criteria of: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

Amber Map Version 2

The revised version of the Amber Map responds to community input and Commission direction by moving the border between District 3 and District 8 from Adeline Street eastward to Shattuck Avenue. Moving the boundary to Shattuck Avenue returns the Ashby BART east parking lot, Ed Roberts Campus, and St. Paul AME Church into District 3. The corresponding population change does not adversely affect the required deviation percentage for either district or the overall city map.

There are no changes to Districts 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, or 7 in Amber Map Version 2 from the original Amber Map.

The Amber Map Version 2 contains a renter population of 78.6% in District 4 and 94.5% in District 7.

Violet Map

The Violet Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that uses the Amber Map as the base map with changes in the Northside Neighborhood and the Downtown area to focus on student and renter populations.

The Subcommittee attempted to include the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of Le Conte Avenue into the student-focused district; however, the cascading effects into other districts presented other challenges. It was determined that drawing the border one block south of Le Conte Avenue on Ridge Road would achieve much of the IRC’s objective and facilitate population balancing in other surrounding districts.

Moving a portion of Northside Neighborhood and the Foothill Dormitory into District 7 lead to changes in the District 5/District 6 border. The border moved from Spruce Street to

Arlington Avenue north of the Marin Circle. This change then lead to District 5 moving south into District 4 to Hearst Avenue. And finally, this change caused District 4 to move slightly south into District 3 and east into the Southside Neighborhood.

As with the Amber Map Version 2, the Violet Map modifies the boundary between District 3 and District 8 to prevent division of the community near Ashby BART. The border between District 3 and District 8 moves from Adeline Street eastward to Shattuck Avenue. Moving the boundary to Shattuck Avenue returns the Ashby BART east parking lot, Ed Roberts Campus, and St. Paul AME Church into District 3.

The Violet Map contains a renter population of 79.0% in District 4 and 90.7% in District 7.

At the February 17 public hearing, the Commission requested that staff prepare an analysis of two potential changes to Amber Map Version 2 prior to the February 19 meeting, including: 1) Moving a portion of the District 4/District 7 boundary from Fulton Street to Ellsworth street; and 2) Using Dwight Way as the northern border of District 3. This analysis was sent to the commission and posted to the IRC website in advance of the February 19 special meeting.

In order to allow for greater public access and more availability to the public, the IRC scheduled a special meeting on Saturday, February 19, 2022. At the February 19 meeting, IRC heard from 29 members of the public during public comment.

The Commission reviewed the additional analysis provided by staff on the potential changes to the Amber Map Version 2 and discussed options for drafting the changes in advance of the next meeting. Ultimately, the Commission decided that there was not compelling testimony on the record to initiate such changes in the maps. The commission also voted to remove the Violet Map from consideration and send the Amber Map Version 2 forward in the process to the February 28 public hearing for final adoption “as-is.”

Staff has created an updated document based on IRC action on February 19 and posted the update at all locations where the draft maps are posted - the Central Library, the ASUC Student Union in the Martin Luther King, Jr. Building, and the South Berkeley Senior Center. Updated ledger-sized map binders are available at all branch libraries and the Civic Center Building at 2180 Milvia Street.

At the February 28 public hearing the commission voted to advance the Amber Map Version 2 to the March 16 meeting to be adopted as the official council district boundary map.

Next Steps


Upon formal adoption, the ordinance implementing the new boundaries will be presented to the City Council at a special meeting on March 22 for the first reading. The second reading of the ordinance will occur on April 12. The ordinance will be effective on May 12, 2022.

Adopt Amber Map Version 2 as the
Final City Council District Boundary Map

March 16, 2022

Attachments:

- 1) Amber Map Version 2 – District Maps and Data Tables
- 2) Draft Report to City Council
- 3) Draft Implementation Ordinance



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map: “AMBER MAP Version 2”

Adopted March 16, 2022

Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

AMBER MAP – Version 2

*Consistency Map with Changes to Address Universal Criteria
West Berkeley (Two Districts)*

The Amber Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes only as needed to meet the six universal map criteria. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

Version two of the Amber Map also responds to the Commission direction to adjust the border between District 3 and District 8 near Ashby BART.


The Amber Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:


- Move the border between District 3 and District 8 east from Adeline Street to Shattuck Avenue to include the Ed Roberts Campus, the Ashby BART east lot, and St. Paul AME Church in District 3.
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poets Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the census block that contains the International House from District 8 to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, and Cedar Street, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.






Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15757
Deviation	203
% Deviation	1.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2291
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.54%
PPA_White	7980
% PPA_White	50.64%
PPA_AfAm	1549
% PPA_AfAm	9.83%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2388
% PPA_Asian	15.16%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10813
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.62%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1205
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.14%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6594
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.98%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	834
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1661
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.36%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6282
18_Owner_occupied	2902
18_Owner_occupied	46.2%
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3388
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	53.93%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1125399
ACS 14-18 Median Age	514.02

District: 2




©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15785
Deviation	231
% Deviation	1.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2691
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.05%
PPA_White	6748
% PPA_White	42.75%
PPA_AfAm	2794
% PPA_AfAm	17.7%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.12%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11043
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.96%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1474
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5276
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.78%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.52%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.47%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3863
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	929633
ACS 14-18 Median Age	450.23

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15977
Deviation	423
% Deviation	2.72%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2418
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.13%
PPA_White	7306
% PPA_White	45.73%
PPA_AfAm	2414
% PPA_AfAm	15.11%
PPA_AiAn	54
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	2245
% PPA_Asian	14.05%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	176
% PPA_Other	1.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	12093
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.69%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1225
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.13%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6912
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.16%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1903
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.74%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	69
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.57%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1370
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.33%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6114
18_Owner_occupied	2051
18_Owner_occupied	33.55%
18_Renter_occupied	4051
18_Renter_occupied	66.26%
HH_Median_income	944540
14_18_Median_Age	437.71

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15677
Deviation	123
% Deviation	0.79%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1852
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.81%
PPA_White	6554
% PPA_White	41.81%
PPA_AfAm	1188
% PPA_AfAm	7.58%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4827
% PPA_Asian	30.79%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.03%
PPA_CVAP_19	12026
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.71%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1274
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.59%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6126
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.94%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	842
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3033
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.22%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.53%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6588
18_Owner_occupied	1401
18_Owner_occupied	21.27%
18_Renter_occupied	5180
18_Renter_occupied	78.63%
HH_Median_income	539006
14_18_Median_Age	270.54

District: 5




Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14770
Deviation	-784
% Deviation	-5.04%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1031
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.98%
PPA_White	10189
% PPA_White	68.98%
PPA_AfAm	305
% PPA_AfAm	2.06%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	1917
% PPA_Asian	12.98%
PPA_HoPI	13
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_Other	101
% PPA_Other	0.68%
PPA_CVAP_19	11164
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.59%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	557
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8649
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	77.47%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	166
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.49%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1341
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.01%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6060
18_Owner_occupied	4356
18_Owner_occupied	71.88%
18_Renter_occupied	1708
18_Renter_occupied	28.18%
HH_Median_income	2097617
14_18_Median_Age	710.05

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15635
Deviation	81
% Deviation	0.52%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1447
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.25%
PPA_White	9636
% PPA_White	61.63%
PPA_AfAm	444
% PPA_AfAm	2.84%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2859
% PPA_Asian	18.29%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	145
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	10967
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.14%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	775
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7756
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.72%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	275
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1793
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5680
18_Owner_occupied	3631
18_Owner_occupied	63.93%
18_Renter_occupied	2056
18_Renter_occupied	36.2%
HH_Median_income	1750752
14_18_Median_Age	532.57


District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15405
Deviation	-149
% Deviation	-0.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3638
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.62%
PPA_White	4946
% PPA_White	32.11%
PPA_AfAm	435
% PPA_AfAm	2.82%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5492
% PPA_Asian	35.65%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_Other	73
% PPA_Other	0.47%
PPA_CVAP_19	10577
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.66%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1890
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.87%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3877
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	36.66%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	304
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.87%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3858
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2098
18_Owner_occupied	119
18_Owner_occupied	5.67%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	1982
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	94.47%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	202115
ACS 14-18 Median Age	115.36

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15427
Deviation	-127
% Deviation	-0.82%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1661
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.77%
PPA_White	9098
% PPA_White	58.97%
PPA_AfAm	452
% PPA_AfAm	2.93%
PPA_AiAn	18
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	2903
% PPA_Asian	18.82%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	109
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13035
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.49%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1226
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8483
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.08%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	375
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.88%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.38%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2352
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.04%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5801
18_Owner_occupied	2391
18_Owner_occupied	41.22%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3418
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	58.92%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1370912
ACS 14-18 Median Age	459.19

ACTION CALENDAR
March 22, 2022

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Submitted by: Elisabeth Watson, Chairperson, Independent Redistricting Commission
Subject: Implementation of Redistricting Plan for City Council District Boundaries

RECOMMENDATION

Adopt first reading of an Ordinance implementing the adjusted City Council District Boundaries as approved by the Independent Redistricting Commission.

FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION

There are no fiscal impacts associated with adopting the Ordinance.

CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS

Section 9.5 (d)(3) of the City Charter requires that the City Council adopt an Ordinance implementing the IRC's redistricting plan without change. These new boundaries will be in effect for the November 2022 election, and until the next redistricting process, which will commence after the 2030 Census.

On March 16, 2022, the Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC) adopted an adjusted city council district boundary map (Attachment 2) in accordance with state and local laws:

Action: M/S/C (/) to...

Vote:

The IRC also adopted a Redistricting Report describing the redistricting process and development of the new council district boundaries (Attachment 3):

Action: M/S/C (/) to...

Vote:

BACKGROUND

Like many cities throughout the Bay Area and California, Berkeley utilizes a district-based system of electing councilmembers and has done so since 1986. The city is divided into eight geographic areas called "districts." One councilmember is elected

from each district by the voters living in that district. Other elected officers (such as Mayor and Auditor) are elected at-large, meaning they can live anywhere in Berkeley and are elected by all of Berkeley's voters.

On November 8, 2016, Berkeley voters approved Measure W1, amending the City's Charter to transfer responsibility for drawing electoral boundaries from the City Council to an Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC). The measure was intended to establish a redistricting process that is open to the public, meets the requirements of law, and is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations.

The IRC is tasked with adjusting the boundaries of City Council districts every ten years following the decennial federal census to account for changes in population. Composed of thirteen members with broad community representation, the IRC acts as an independent body to engage the public and adopt an updated map of City Council district boundaries. The community provided verbal and written input throughout the process, including submitting their own maps.

The City Clerk Department supported the Commission's work, including public outreach, coordinating the application process, and facilitating public meetings. The Commission also received technical support from a redistricting consultant, the City Attorney's Office, and the Department of Information Technology. The IRC's Redistricting Report (Attachment 3) provides an in-depth look at the IRC's work over the past 14 months, culminating in adoption of the final adjusted city council district map.

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY AND CLIMATE IMPACTS

There are no environmental sustainability and climate impacts associated with adoption of this Ordinance.

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

Adoption of the Redistricting Plan by the City Council, without alteration, is mandated by the City Charter.

ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED

None.

CITY MANAGER

The City Manager concurs with the content and recommendations of the Commission's report.

CONTACT PERSON

Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6900

Attachments:

1: Ordinance

2: Redistricting Plan (Amber Map Version 2)

3: Independent Redistricting Commission Final Redistricting Report

ORDINANCE NO. X,XXX–N.S.

AUTHORIZING THE ADJUSTMENT OF BERKELEY CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES PURSUANT TO SECTION 9.5, ARTICLE V OF THE BERKELEY CITY CHARTER, TO EQUALIZE POPULATION IN THE DISTRICTS AS A RESULT OF POPULATION CHANGES REFLECTED IN THE 2020 DECENNIAL FEDERAL CENSUS

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Berkeley as follows:

Section 1. Purpose.

In order that the eight City Council Districts shall continue to be nearly equal in population as may be according to said 2020 Decennial Federal Census, the City Council Districts are hereby adjusted as set forth in Section 2.

Section 2. District Boundaries.

FIRST COUNCIL DISTRICT shall comprise all of that portion of the City of Berkeley included in the following 2020 Census Tract and Block numbers:

Tracts (First 11 Digits) and Blocks (Last 4 Digits)

060014220001003	060014220002049	060014221001021
060014220001001	060014220002048	060014220002056
060014220002028	060014220002041	060014220002064
060014220002070	060014220002042	060014220002057
060014220001002	060014220002035	060014221001007
060014220002037	060014220002046	060014220002022
060014220002038	060014220002036	060014220002021
060014220002068	060014220002045	060014220002020
060014220002069	060014220002047	060014221001008
060014220002039	060014220002043	060014221001009
060014220002052	060014220002044	060014221001006
060014220002058	060014220002023	060014221001010
060014220002059	060014220002040	060014221001005
060014220002051	060014220002053	060014220002019
060014220002061	060014220002054	060014220002018
060014220002060	060014220002055	060014221002033
060014221002008	060014220002066	060014221002022
060014220002030	060014220002065	060014221002017
060014220002031	060014220002062	060014221002018
060014220002032	060014221002007	060014221002019
060014220002034	060014221002006	060014222003009
060014220002033	060014220002063	060014222003008
060014220002050	060014221001022	060014221002016

060014222003016	060014222001024	060014219004008
060014222003015	060014222001023	060014219004014
060014222003010	060014219002017	060014219004017
060014222003007	060014222001022	060014219004007
060014222003011	060014219002016	060014219004004
060014221002005	060014222003004	060014219004003
060014221002010	060014222003001	060014219004005
060014221001024	060014222001021	060014219004006
060014221001020	060014222001020	060014219004002
060014221002004	060014219003013	060014219004001
060014221001019	060014219003009	060014219004000
060014221001018	060014219003010	060014222003014
060014221002011	060014219003012	060014222003012
060014221002012	060014219003011	060014222003013
060014221002013	060014219003005	060014222003006
060014221002003	060014219003004	060014222002010
060014221002002	060014219002015	060014222002005
060014221001017	060014219002014	060014222002011
060014221002001	060014219002013	060014222002012
060014221001023	060014219003003	060014222002009
060014221001011	060014219003002	060014222002006
060014221001004	060014220001000	060014222002013
060014221001003	060014220002029	060014222002008
060014221001012	060014220002027	060014222002007
060014221001013	060014220002025	060014223003006
060014220002017	060014220002026	060014223003005
060014221001002	060014220002024	060014222003005
060014220002016	060014220002004	060014222003000
060014220002015	060014220002006	060014222001019
060014221001014	060014220002005	060014222001004
060014221001016	060014220002007	060014222002004
060014219003014	060014220002003	060014222001018
060014221001015	060014220002008	060014222002003
060014219003008	060014220002009	060014222001017
060014221001001	060014220002002	060014222001007
060014221001000	060014220002010	060014222001006
060014220002014	060014220002011	060014222001005
060014219004016	060014220002012	060014222001008
060014219003007	060014220002013	060014222001002
060014219003006	060014220002001	060014219002012
060014219004015	060014220002000	060014219002008
060014221002014	060014219004010	060014219002011
060014221002015	060014219004011	060014219001008
060014222003002	060014219004012	060014219001007
060014222003003	060014219004013	060014219001009
060014221002000	060014219004009	060014222001003

060014219002009	060014223003004	060014213003016
060014219002010	060014223002017	060014219001005
060014219002007	060014223002008	060014219001006
060014219001010	060014223003003	060014220002067
060014219002005	060014223002005	060014221002028
060014219002006	060014223001013	060014221002029
060014219001011	060014223002004	060014221002030
060014222002002	060014223003002	060014221002024
060014222001016	060014223002016	060014221002027
060014222001009	060014223002009	060014221002026
060014222001001	060014223002003	060014221002009
060014222001010	060014223001006	060014221002025
060014222001011	060014223001007	060014221002031
060014222002001	060014223001005	060014221002032
060014222001015	060014223003001	060014221002023
060014222002000	060014223002015	060014221002020
060014223002018	060014223002002	060014221002021
060014222001014	060014223002010	060014223002013
060014222001013	060014223003010	060014223002011
060014223002007	060014223003000	060014223002001
060014223002006	060014223002014	060014223001008
060014223001012	060014223002012	060014223001004
060014219002003	060014223002000	060014223001009
060014219002004	060014224003003	060014223001003
060014219002002	060014219003001	060014223001002
060014219002001	060014219003000	060014223001001
060014222001012	060014219001003	060014223001000
060014222001000	060014219001002	060014223003015
060014219002020	060014219001004	060014223003007
060014219002018	060014219001012	060014223003014
060014223001011	060014219001000	060014223003008
060014223001010	060014219002000	060014223003013
060014219002019	060014219001013	060014223003012
060014223003011	060014219001001	060014223003009

The foregoing list of Census Blocks in the FIRST COUNCIL DISTRICT is generally located within a boundary line commencing on the point at the intersection of Martin Luther King, Jr. Way and University Avenue; thence westerly along University Avenue to intersection of University Avenue and Marina Boulevard; thence northerly along Marina Boulevard to a point at latitude 37.8677586, longitude -122.3128683; thence continuing on the shoreline of the Bay to the Berkeley northern border; thence easterly along said border to a point between Albina Avenue and Monterey Avenue (latitude 37.8829531, longitude -122.2828323); thence southerly to Hopkins Court, thence southerly to Hopkins Street; thence westerly along Hopkins Street to the intersection of Hopkins Street and Sacramento Street; thence southerly along Sacramento Street to the intersection of Sacramento Street and Cedar Street; thence easterly along Cedar Street to the

intersection of Cedar Street and Martin Luther King, Jr. Way; thence southerly along Martin Luther King, Jr. Way to the point of the beginning

SECOND COUNCIL DISTRICT shall comprise all of that portion of the City of Berkeley included in the following 2020 Census Tract and Block numbers:

Tracts (First 11 Digits) and Blocks (Last 4 Digits)

060019900000002	060014220001034	060014232001001
060014220001004	060014220001051	060014232001000
060014220001005	060014220001042	060014231001006
060014220001007	060014220001050	060014231001008
060014220001006	060014220001035	060014231001005
060014220001010	060014220001036	060014220001064
060014220001011	060014220001027	060014220001062
060014220001075	060014232002011	060014220001066
060014220001070	060014232002004	060014220001061
060014220001065	060014232002010	060014220001058
060014220001071	060014232002003	060014220001055
060014220001052	060014220001028	060014220001056
060014220001074	060014220001029	060014220001059
060014220001076	060014220001030	060014220001063
060014220001068	060014232002009	060014220001060
060014220001067	060014232002008	060014240021006
060014220001069	060014232002002	060014240021005
060014220001053	060014232002007	060014233003016
060014220001073	060014220001008	060014233003015
060014220001072	060014220001009	060014220001057
060014220001054	060014220001015	060014233003006
060014220001012	060014220001013	060014240021012
060014220001044	060014220001032	060014240022002
060014220001046	060014220001019	060014240021011
060014220001045	060014220001016	060014240022003
060014220001014	060014220001020	060014240021009
060014220001024	060014220001021	060014233003013
060014220001023	060014220001018	060014240021007
060014220001022	060014220001017	060014240021004
060014220001026	060014232002000	060014233003009
060014220001025	060014231003007	060014233003007
060014232002015	060014232001014	060014233003008
060014232002016	060014231001007	060014240021008
060014232002012	060014231002006	060014240021003
060014232002014	060014231002005	060014233003010
060014232002013	060014231001015	060014233003014
060014220001043	060014232001003	060014233003011
060014220001033	060014232001002	060014233003012

060014220001049	060014231002001	060014233001008
060014220001041	060014231003001	060014233001001
060014220001048	060014231003000	060014231004004
060014220001047	060014231002000	060014231004003
060014220001040	060014231001012	060014233001011
060014220001037	060014231001002	060014231004002
060014220001039	060014231001014	060014233001000
060014233002004	060014231001013	060014231004001
060014220001038	060014231001001	060014240022015
060014233003005	060014231001000	060014240022010
060014233003004	060014232001007	060014240022004
060014233003003	060014232001008	060014240022014
060014233002003	060014232001006	060014240022009
060014233002005	060014232002005	060014240022013
060014233001013	060014232001020	060014240022001
060014220001031	060014232001009	060014240022005
060014233001004	060014232001010	060014240022012
060014233001005	060014232001005	060014240022011
060014233001003	060014232001004	060014240022008
060014232002006	060014232001019	060014240022007
060014231004009	060014232001018	060014240022006
060014231004008	060014232001017	060014240022000
060014231003008	060014232001011	060014240021010
060014231004007	060014232001012	060014240021002
060014233001006	060014232001013	060014233002013
060014233001007	060014232002001	060014233002012
060014231003006	060014232001016	060014233002014
060014231002004	060014232001015	060014240021001
060014231002003	060014233001002	060014240021000
060014231001010	060014231004006	060014233002015
060014231003005	060014231004005	060014233002011
060014231003004	060014233003002	060014233002010
060014231002002	060014233002006	060014233002009
060014231001009	060014233002002	060014233002008
060014231001004	060014233003001	060014233002000
060014231001011	060014233003000	060014233001010
060014231001003	060014233002001	060014233001009
060014231003003	060014233002007	060014231004000
060014231003002	060014233001012	

The foregoing list of Census Blocks in the SECOND COUNCIL DISTRICT is generally located within a boundary line commencing at the intersection of Sacramento Street and the southern border of the City; thence westerly along said border until it reaches the Bay; thence including the portion of the City of Berkeley in the Bay; thence including the portion of the Berkeley Marina south and west of the harbor shoreline to the intersection of Marina Boulevard and University Avenue; thence easterly along University

Avenue to the intersection of University Avenue and Sacramento Street; thence southerly along Sacramento Street to the point of the beginning.

THIRD COUNCIL DISTRICT shall comprise all of that portion of the City of Berkeley included in the following 2020 Census Tract and Block numbers:

Tracts (First 11 Digits) and Blocks (Last 4 Digits)

060014240014016	060014236021005	060014236012007
060014240014015	060014236021006	060014236012005
060014240014011	060014236021007	060014236011016
060014240014017	060014240014004	060014236011010
060014240014018	060014235002008	060014236011008
060014240014013	060014235002009	060014236011005
060014240014014	060014235002003	060014236023013
060014239011029	060014235001014	060014236011004
060014234004002	060014235001011	060014236023009
060014234003012	060014235001006	060014236023006
060014234003007	060014235002002	060014236023010
060014234003002	060014235001015	060014236023005
060014234004001	060014235002001	060014236011009
060014234003011	060014235001016	060014236011003
060014234003008	060014235001010	060014236023011
060014234003001	060014235001007	060014236023004
060014234002009	060014235001009	060014234004000
060014234002002	060014235001008	060014234003010
060014234001007	060014235003014	060014234003009
060014234002001	060014235003015	060014234003000
060014234002010	060014235003000	060014235003006
060014234001008	060014235002012	060014235003004
060014240014001	060014236012011	060014235002014
060014240014012	060014236012010	060014235002005
060014240014010	060014236012009	060014234002000
060014240014009	060014236012008	060014234002011
060014240013001	060014235002000	060014234001009
060014240013002	060014235001017	060014235001012
060014240014000	060014236023008	060014235002004
060014240013000	060014236023007	060014235001013
060014240012006	060014236021003	060014235001005
060014239011023	060014236011007	060014235003007
060014239011027	060014236011006	060014235003008
060014239011028	060014236023012	060014235003003
060014239011024	060014236021004	060014235003009
060014239011026	060014236012013	060014235003002
060014239011021	060014236012012	060014235002013
060014239011020	060014236012006	060014235002010

060014235002006	060014240012003	060014239011017
060014235003010	060014240011007	060014239011005
060014235003011	060014240012007	060014239011002
060014235003012	060014239011012	060014239011000
060014235003001	060014239011022	060014239011004
060014235003013	060014239011019	060014239011003
060014235002011	060014240012002	060014240011003
060014235002007	060014240011002	060014234004011
060014240013006	060014240012001	060014234004007
060014240013005	060014234004009	060014234004008
060014240012005	060014240011001	060014234003004
060014240011005	060014234004010	060014234002006
060014234004005	060014240012000	060014234002007
060014234004012	060014239011011	060014234004004
060014234004006	060014239011013	060014234003014
060014240014003	060014239011010	060014234004003
060014240014005	060014240011000	060014234003013
060014240014006	060014235003005	060014234003005
060014240013007	060014239011009	060014234003003
060014240013004	060014239011025	060014234003006
060014240014002	060014239011014	060014234002005
060014240014008	060014239011006	060014234002004
060014240014007	060014239011008	060014234001005
060014240013008	060014239011007	060014234002008
060014240013003	060014239011001	060014234002003
060014240012004	060014239011015	060014234001006
060014240011006	060014239011018	
060014240011004	060014239011016	

The foregoing list of Census Blocks in the THIRD COUNCIL DISTRICT is generally located within a boundary line commencing on the point where the southern boundary line of the City of Berkeley intersects Sacramento Street; thence easterly, along said southern boundary line to the intersection of said southern boundary line and Shattuck Avenue; thence northerly, along Shattuck Avenue to the intersection of Shattuck Avenue and Ashby Avenue; thence easterly, along Ashby Avenue to the intersection of Ashby Avenue and Telegraph Avenue; thence northerly, along Telegraph Avenue to the intersection of Telegraph Avenue and Blake Street; thence westerly, along Blake Street to the intersection of Blake Street and Sacramento Street; thence southerly, along Sacramento Street to the point of the beginning.

FOURTH COUNCIL DISTRICT shall comprise all of that portion of the City of Berkeley included in the following 2020 Census Tract and Block numbers:

Tracts (First 11 Digits) and Blocks (Last 4 Digits)

060014234001003	060014224002013	060014229012005
060014230003014	060014224002003	060014235001000
060014234001002	060014224002002	060014229011004
060014234001001	060014224003007	060014229011003
060014230003006	060014224003004	060014229012004
060014230003007	060014224002010	060014229011002
060014230003008	060014224002011	060014229011001
060014230003005	060014224002004	060014229011000
060014230003004	060014224002006	060014229023004
060014230003003	060014224002005	060014229022013
060014230003002	060014224002001	060014229023003
060014230003009	060014224002000	060014229022009
060014230003013	060014224003008	060014229023001
060014230003010	060014224003002	060014229023000
060014230003001	060014224003001	060014229022003
060014230001006	060014224003005	060014229022008
060014230001007	060014224003006	060014229022004
060014230001000	060014224003000	060014229022012
060014224002009	060014224002014	060014229022010
060014224002008	060014228004001	060014229022011
060014224002007	060014235001003	060014234001000
060014224001004	060014230002009	060014235001004
060014229022002	060014230002010	060014230001008
060014224001003	060014230002008	060014230001009
060014224001005	060014229021005	060014230001005
060014224004007	060014229021007	060014230001004
060014224004008	060014229021006	060014230001010
060014224004009	060014229021004	060014230001012
060014229022001	060014230002001	060014230001011
060014224001002	060014230002000	060014230001003
060014229022000	060014229021003	060014230001002
060014224001006	060014230001016	060014230003012
060014224001001	060014229021001	060014230003011
060014224004005	060014229021000	060014230002013
060014224004004	060014229021002	060014230003000
060014224004006	060014229023002	060014230002005
060014224004002	060014229012008	060014230002004
060014224004003	060014229012007	060014230002011
060014224004001	060014229012006	060014230002012
060014236021002	060014229012003	060014230002006
060014228004003	060014229012002	060014230002007
060014228004002	060014229012001	060014230001013
060014229022007	060014229012000	060014230001001
060014229022005	060014235001002	060014230002003
060014229022006	060014229012009	060014230001014
060014224002012	060014235001001	060014230002002

060014230001015
060014234001004

060014230003017
060014230003016

060014230003015

The foregoing list of Census Blocks in the **FOURTH COUNCIL DISTRICT** is generally located within a boundary line commencing on the point at the intersection of Ellsworth Street and Blake Street; thence northerly, along Ellsworth Street to the intersection of Ellsworth Street and Channing Way; thence westerly, along Channing Way to the intersection of Channing Way and Fulton Street; thence northerly, along Fulton Street to where it becomes Oxford Street and continuing northerly, along Oxford Street to the intersection of Oxford Street and Cedar Street; thence westerly along Cedar Street to the intersection of Cedar Street and Martin Luther King Jr. Way; thence southerly along Martin Luther King Jr. Way to the intersection of Martin Luther King Jr. Way and University Avenue; thence westerly along University Avenue to the intersection of University Avenue and Sacramento Street; thence southerly along Sacramento Street to the intersection of Sacramento Street and Blake Street; thence easterly along Blake Street to the point of the beginning.

FIFTH COUNCIL DISTRICT shall comprise all of that portion of the City of Berkeley included in the following 2020 Census Tract and Block numbers:

Tracts (First 11 Digits) and Blocks (Last 4 Digits)

060014218002022	060014217003002	060014217001006
060014218002023	060014217003001	060014214002011
060014218002013	060014217003000	060014214002007
060014218002014	060014212004002	060014217001005
060014218002011	060014212004006	060014217001004
060014218002008	060014212003005	060014217001003
060014212002006	060014212003006	060014214002005
060014212002003	060014212003001	060014214002010
060014212002004	060014212004005	060014217002003
060014214001003	060014212003000	060014217002002
060014214001002	060014212004004	060014217002001
060014214001001	060014212004001	060014213001007
060014212002005	060014212004000	060014213001008
060014214001000	060014217002009	060014213001009
060014218002016	060014217002008	060014218002003
060014218002021	060014217001010	060014218002002
060014218002015	060014217001011	060014218002007
060014218002012	060014217002007	060014213003014
060014218002020	060014217002006	060014213003010
060014218002017	060014217002005	060014218002000
060014218002006	060014217002004	060014213003009
060014218002018	060014217001012	060014218002001
060014218002005	060014217001013	060014213003015
060014217003003	060014217001014	060014213003012

060014213003011	060014218001010	060014213001013
060014213004012	060014218001007	060014213001018
060014213004011	060014218001008	060014213001020
060014213004006	060014217003008	060014213001017
060014213004005	060014212002002	060014212003010
060014213004004	060014212003003	060014213001016
060014213004013	060014212002001	060014213003003
060014213004010	060014212002000	060014217001015
060014213003008	060014212001012	060014217001016
060014213004008	060014212001010	060014217002000
060014213004007	060014212001009	060014217001017
060014213004009	060014212001006	060014217001002
060014218001012	060014212001005	060014217001001
060014218001002	060014212001007	060014214001011
060014218001006	060014212001008	060014214001010
060014218001009	060014212001001	060014217001000
060014218001005	060014212001002	060014214001009
060014217002010	060014212001011	060014214002000
060014218001003	060014212001004	060014214002006
060014217003007	060014212001003	060014214002004
060014217003006	060014212001000	060014214001007
060014217003005	060014218001001	060014214001008
060014217003004	060014218001004	060014212002018
060014218002010	060014217001009	060014212002016
060014218002009	060014213003006	060014212002024
060014218002004	060014213003005	060014212002023
060014213003013	060014213003004	060014212002022
060014213002018	060014218001000	060014214001006
060014213002017	060014213003007	060014214001005
060014213002003	060014217001008	060014212002021
060014213002004	060014217001007	060014214001004
060014213002002	060014214002009	060014212002007
060014213002010	060014214002008	060014212002008
060014213002016	060014214002003	060014214002002
060014213002015	060014213004001	060014213003000
060014213002005	060014213004003	060014212002020
060014213002011	060014213004002	060014212002014
060014213002007	060014213002014	060014214002001
060014213002006	060014213003002	060014212002019
060014213002001	060014213004000	060014212002015
060014213002000	060014213003001	060014212002013
060014213002008	060014213001021	060014212003013
060014213002012	060014213002013	060014212003009
060014213002009	060014213001019	060014212002017
060014218002019	060014213001012	060014212002012
060014218001011	060014213001015	060014212002009

060014212002011	060014213001003	060014212003011
060014212002010	060014213001002	060014212003008
060014212003004	060014213001010	060014212004003
060014212003002	060014213001011	060014212003012
060014213001006	060014213001001	060014212003007
060014213001005	060014213001000	
060014213001004	060014213001014	

The foregoing list of Census Blocks in the FIFTH COUNCIL DISTRICT is generally located within a boundary line commencing on the point at the intersection of Spruce Street and Cedar Street; thence northerly, along Spruce Street to the northern boundary line of the City of Berkeley; thence westerly, and southerly along said northern boundary line to a point between Albina Avenue and Monterey Avenue (37.8829531,-122.2828323); thence southerly to Hopkins Court; thence southerly to Hopkins Street; thence westerly along Hopkins Street to the intersection of Hopkins Street and Sacramento Street; thence southerly along Sacramento Street to the intersection of Sacramento Street and Cedar Street; thence easterly along Cedar Street to the point of the beginning.

SIXTH COUNCIL DISTRICT shall comprise all of that portion of the City of Berkeley included in the following 2020 Census Tract and Block numbers:

Tracts (First 11 Digits) and Blocks (Last 4 Digits)

060014225001014	060014225003010	060014216002009
060014225001003	060014225003000	060014216002008
060014225001002	060014225001013	060014216002002
060014225003006	060014225001005	060014216002006
060014225003007	060014225001004	060014216002003
060014225003008	060014225003013	060014216002000
060014225003005	060014225002003	060014216002007
060014225001010	060014225002008	060014216002012
060014216004007	060014225002004	060014216001009
060014225001011	060014225002002	060014216002001
060014225001008	060014211001004	060014216001001
060014225001009	060014211001005	060014216001002
060014225003004	060014211001006	060014216001010
060014225003003	060014215001004	060014216001003
060014225003002	060014215001006	060014215003014
060014225003001	060014215001002	060014215002022
060014225001012	060014215001001	060014215002021
060014225001006	060014215001003	060014215001027
060014225001007	060014215001005	060014215001018
060014225003011	060014225001000	060014215001028
060014225003012	060014216002010	060014216003002
060014225003009	060014216002005	060014215003017

060014215003018	060014216003011	060014215001009
060014215003021	060014216003012	060014215001010
060014216003001	060014216004004	060014215001026
060014215003019	060014216003015	060014215001024
060014215003020	060014216004003	060014215001025
060014216003000	060014216003014	060014216001000
060014216004002	060014216003013	060014215001021
060014216004008	060014216003005	060014215001022
060014216004001	060014224001000	060014215001023
060014215003023	060014224004000	060014216001007
060014215003022	060014225001001	060014216001008
060014216004000	060014225002001	060014216001006
060014216004009	060014225002000	060019821001000
060014216004010	060019821001001	060014216001005
060014216002004	060014225002007	060014216001004
060014215003011	060014225002005	060014215001007
060014215003012	060014225002006	060014215001008
060014216002011	060014215002016	060014215001000
060014215003004	060014215002008	060014211002001
060014215003013	060014211002023	060014211002000
060014215003009	060014215002002	060014211002014
060014215003007	060014215002007	060014211002011
060014215002017	060014215002006	060014211002012
060014215002014	060014215002000	060014211002007
060014215002015	060014215002001	060014211002008
060014215003010	060014215001016	060014211002017
060014215003006	060014215003005	060014211002018
060014215003003	060014215003002	060014211002009
060014215002023	060014215002019	060014211002004
060014215002009	060014215003001	060014211002002
060014215003008	060014215003000	060014211002003
060014215002018	060014215002020	060014211001002
060014215002012	060014215002003	060014211001001
060014215002013	060014215002004	060014211001000
060014211002015	060014215001015	060014211002019
060014211002016	060014215002005	060014211002010
060014215002011	060014215001019	060014211001003
060014211002020	060014215001013	060014211001008
060014215002010	060014215001014	060014211001007
060014211002022	060014215001011	060014216003009
060014211002021	060014211002013	060014216003008
060014216004006	060014211002006	060014216003004
060014216003010	060014211002005	060014216003003
060014216004005	060014215001017	060014215003016
060014216003007	060014215001020	060014215003015
060014216003006	060014215001012	

The foregoing list of Census Blocks in the SIXTH COUNCIL DISTRICT is generally located within a boundary line commencing on the point at the intersection of Oxford Street and Hearst Avenue; thence easterly, along Hearst Avenue to the intersection of Hearst Avenue and Gayley Road; thence southerly along Gayley Road to the intersection of Gayley Road and Stadium Rim Way; thence easterly along Stadium Rim Way to the intersection of Stadium Rim Way and Centennial Drive; thence easterly along Centennial Drive to the eastern boundary line of the City of Berkeley; thence northwesterly along said eastern boundary line to the intersection of the eastern boundary line and Spruce Street; thence southerly along Spruce Street to the intersection of Spruce Street and Cedar Street; thence westerly, along Cedar Street to the intersection of Cedar Street and Oxford Street; thence southerly along Oxford Street to the point of the beginning.

SEVENTH COUNCIL DISTRICT shall comprise all of that portion of the City of Berkeley included in the following 2020 Census Tract and Block numbers:

Tracts (First 11 Digits) and Blocks (Last 4 Digits)

060019821001007	060014228001012	060014228001004
060019821001008	060014228001013	060014227003004
060019821001010	060014228002005	060014227003001
060019821001012	060014228002004	060014227004002
060019821001009	060014228002002	060014227004001
060019821001014	060014228002003	060014227004000
060019821001013	060014228002001	060014227002005
060014228003004	060014228001009	060014227002004
060014228003003	060014228001008	060014227002003
060014236021001	060014228001007	060014227002002
060014228004004	060014228002000	060014227002001
060014228003005	060014228001002	060014227003003
060014228004000	060014228001001	060014227003002
060014228003006	060014228001006	060014227003000
060014228003002	060014228001010	060019821001005
060019821001011	060014228001011	060014227002000
060014228003001	060014228001005	060019821001002
060014228003000	060014228001003	060019821001016
060019821001015	060014228001000	
060014236021000	060019821001006	

The foregoing list of Census Blocks in the SEVENTH COUNCIL DISTRICT is generally located within a boundary line commencing on the point at the intersection of Ellsworth Street and Blake Street; thence northerly, along Ellsworth Street to the intersection of Ellsworth Street and Channing Way; thence westerly, along Channing Way to the intersection of Channing Way and Fulton Street; thence northerly, along Fulton Street to where it becomes Oxford Street and continuing northerly, along Oxford Street to

the intersection of Oxford Street and Hearst Avenue; thence easterly, along Hearst Avenue to the intersection of Hearst Avenue and Gayley Road; thence southerly along Gayley Road to the intersection of Gayley Road and Stadium Rim Way; thence southerly along Stadium Rim Way to the intersection of Stadium Rim Way and Canyon Road; thence southerly along Canyon Road to where it becomes Panoramic Way and continuing southerly along Panoramic Way to the intersection of Panoramic Way and Bancroft Way; then westerly along Bancroft Way to the intersection of Bancroft Way and Prospect Street; thence southerly along Prospect Street to the intersection of Prospect Street and Dwight Way; thence westerly along Dwight Way to the intersection of Dwight Way and Telegraph Avenue; thence southerly along Telegraph Avenue to the intersection of Telegraph Avenue and Blake Street; thence westerly along Blake Street to the point of the beginning.

EIGHTH COUNCIL DISTRICT shall comprise all of that portion of the City of Berkeley included in the following 2020 Census Tract and Block numbers:

Tracts (First 11 Digits) and Blocks (Last 4 Digits)

060014239022015	060014236011000	060014236022004
060014239021007	060014237001001	060014239012007
060014239021006	060014227002006	060014239012009
060014239021004	060014227001003	060014239012006
060014239021003	060014237001000	060014239012005
060014238003020	060014227001004	060014239012003
060014238003019	060014227001005	060014239012002
060014238003018	060014227001006	060014239012008
060014238003016	060019821001004	060014239012001
060014238003017	060014227001001	060014239022012
060014238003013	060014227001002	060014239022013
060014238003012	060019821001003	060014239022011
060014238003014	060014227001000	060014239022010
060014238003015	060014238001013	060014239022006
060014239022001	060014238001011	060014239022016
060014239021005	060014238001012	060014239022014
060014236011012	060014238001010	060014239022009
060014236012002	060014238001007	060014239022007
060014239021000	060014238001006	060014239022008
060014239021001	060014238001005	060014239012004
060014239021002	060014238001004	060014239012000
060014236012001	060014238001008	060014239022005
060014236011013	060014238001003	060014239022004
060014236012000	060014238001001	060014239022003
060014236011014	060014238001002	060014239022002
060014236023002	060014238001009	060014236012004
060014236023001	060014238001000	060014239022000
060014236011001	060014236022005	060014236012003

060014236011015	060014237002017	060014238003003
060014236011011	060014237002019	060014238003002
060014236011002	060014238002003	060014237002011
060014236023003	060014238002000	060014237002004
060014238003009	060014238002002	060014237002005
060014238003001	060014237002015	060014237003004
060014237002013	060014237002000	060014237003002
060014238002008	060014237001012	060014237003003
060014238003010	060014236022003	060014237002007
060014238003000	060014236022001	060014237002012
060014237002018	060014236022002	060014237001005
060014237002006	060014236022000	060014237001006
060014237002003	060014237003001	060014238002014
060014237001007	060014237003000	060014238002009
060014237001003	060014237001004	060014238002011
060014237001008	060014237001002	060014238002004
060014237002014	060014236023000	060014238002010
060014237002001	060014238003007	060014238002005
060014237002002	060014238003004	060014238002015
060014237001009	060014237002009	060014238002013
060014237001010	060014238003006	060014238002012
060014237001011	060014237002010	060014238002007
060014238002006	060014238003005	060014238003011
060014238002001	060014237002008	
060014237002016	060014238003008	

EIGHTH COUNCIL DISTRICT shall comprise all of that portion of the City not otherwise described as constituting the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Seventh Council Districts.

Section 3. Effective date of Adjustments.

The district boundaries established herein shall govern the election of Councilmembers beginning with the November 8, 2022 General Municipal Election.

Section 4. Posting.

Copies of this Bill shall be posted for two days prior to adoption in the display case located near the walkway in front of the Maudelle Shirek Building, 2134 Martin Luther King Jr. Way. Within fifteen days of adoption, copies of this Ordinance shall be filed at each branch of the Berkeley Public Library and the title shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation.



City Clerk Department

March 16, 2022

To: Independent Redistricting Commission
From: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary
Subject: Approval of Final Redistricting Report

Attached for the Commission's approval is the Independent Redistricting Commission Final Report.

Staff and the Report Drafting Subcommittee collaborated over the past six weeks to create this report summarizing the redistricting process and outcomes in accordance with the requirements of the City Charter.

The Final Report will be published on Commission website and transmitted to the City Council with the Ordinance implementing the new district boundaries adopted by the Commission.



DRAFT

Final Report on the 2022 City Council Redistricting Process

Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission
March 16, 2022

*Mapping Berkeley Communities:
Redistricting by the People*

Table of Contents

LETTER TO THE BERKELEY COMMUNITY	4
LETTER TO THE CITY COUNCIL	6
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	8
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	13
CREATING THE COMMISSION AND REDISTRICTING PROCESS	14
I. History and Mission of the Independent Redistricting Commission	14
II. Regulatory Governance.....	14
III. Representative Commission	16
IV. Transparent Process / Meeting Data	19
V. Community Outreach	20
VI. Census Count	23
VII. Required Redistricting Criteria Summary – Map Criteria	25
VIII. Commission Timeline.....	28
PUBLIC INPUT: PREPARATION FOR MAP ANALYSIS AND DRAFTING	29
IX. Map Development Process Subcommittees (purpose, duration, membership)	29
X. Communities of Interest	31
XI. Map Submission Period, October 2, 2021 – November 15, 2021.....	32
XII. IRC Public Map Review.....	32
DRAFTING, REVIEWING, AND REVISING DRAFT MAPS	36
XIII. Map Development Timeline	36
XIV. Discussion and Development of Map Theme, January 10, 2022, Regular Meeting	36
XV. Creation of Four Draft Maps.....	37
XVI. Two Draft Maps for Consideration – February 17, 2022, Public Hearing #4	40
XVII. Special Meeting, February 19, 2022	42
FINAL MAP SELECTION	43
XVIII. Public Hearing #5, February 28, 2022.....	43
XIX. Adoption of Final Map, March 16, 2022	43
XX. Final Map - Amber Map Version 2	44
CONCLUDING REMARKS	47
APPENDICES	48

INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION **BERKELEY**

March 16, 2022

To the Residents of the City of Berkeley,

With this letter, the Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC) transmits the new map of the Berkeley City Council Districts and the IRC Final Report to the Berkeley City Council and you, the residents of Berkeley. The final map was **unanimously** approved by the **thirteen** IRC commissioners after a months-long process which included substantial input from you.

Every 10 years, the federal government conducts the census count and publishes updated population information. Berkeley must then redraw its city council districts to reflect the changes in Berkeley population and its distribution throughout the city. As neighborhoods evolve, city council districts change boundaries to reflect the changes in population.

In 2016, Berkeley voters approved the formation of an Independent Redistricting Commission made up of qualified resident volunteers. Per the City Charter, eight of us were selected at random to represent each council district. The eight district commissioners then selected the remaining five at-large commissioners to ensure that our Commission represents the diverse population of Berkeley. Our work was informed by rich and varied experiences and points of view, all shared in an environment of mutual respect and regard.

The COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges for the redistricting process. Census data was delayed, which compressed our schedule to meet the filing deadline for the November 2022 election. Every IRC meeting was held virtually, and communication to and from the IRC was limited to oral testimony at virtual meetings and written material, including proposed maps, sent by email, US Mail, or the IRC website. For some, virtual public hearings made access easier. But for those with limited access to technology, participation was difficult. When permitted, Commission staff had a booth at in-person events. Also, the draft maps were posted at our libraries, a senior center, UC Berkeley, and at City Hall. City Clerk staff also made materials available to the public in person at City Hall when COVID restrictions permitted.

Our work reflects the legal requirements for determining city council districts. The districts must have a population difference no greater than 10% across all districts; they must respect the integrity and cohesiveness of neighborhoods where possible; be contiguous and compact; and use major traffic arteries as borders where other requirements permit. Political factors are not relevant to the process and were not considered.

Our work also reflects the input we received from you. We actively solicited community input through an outreach program designed to raise awareness, educate, and encourage participation in the redistricting process. Twenty-nine maps, 80 Community of Interest Forms, and 270 emails were submitted for our consideration. The Commission held 31 public meetings, and over 200 individuals attended the five public hearings and provided input. Based on legal considerations and public input, we drafted and heard public comment on six maps, all designed to address inequities and to create maps that represent the voices we heard through extensive and varied public input.

While no map is perfect, the final map is compliant with all applicable laws and reflects the extensive input we received. We are grateful to every Berkeley resident who took the time to understand and to contribute to the process.

Our work would have been impossible without the expertise, professionalism, and extensive efforts of our city staff and the tools and consultants they selected to support us. We are deeply grateful for their efforts and the ensuing results.

The attached report details and documents our work. We hope that it demonstrates the transparency that was paramount at every stage of the process and that it will prove useful to future Independent Redistricting Commissions. It has been an honor to serve as the City of Berkeley's inaugural Independent Redistricting Commission.

Sincerely,

Terry Nicol, District 1, Chair, Public Input Subcommittee

Jesse Sussell, District 2

Lisa Tran, District 3, Commission Vice Chair

Curtis Hanson, District 4

Winston Rhodes, District 5, Chair, Mapping Subcommittee

Elisabeth Watson, District 6, Commission Chair

Rana Cho, District 7

Andrew Fox, District 8, Chair, Outreach Subcommittee

Carly Alejos, At-Large

Ronald Choy, At-Large

Delores Cooper, At-Large, Chair, Slogan Subcommittee

M. Guadalupe Gallegos-Diaz, At-Large

Sherry Smith, At-Large



March 16, 2022

To the Mayor and City Councilmembers,

The Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC) officially transmits to the Berkeley City Council the new map of council districts for the City of Berkeley, **unanimously** approved by the Independent Redistricting Commission. We look forward to its adoption and implementation by you.

It has been an honor to serve as our City's Independent Redistricting Commission. This is the first time that Berkeley redistricting has been done by a panel of independent Berkeley residents and we worked hard to achieve both of our goals: creating equitable and representative city council districts and adhering to the legal requirements of our mission. We also strove to represent the values that define Berkeley in our work - fairness, inclusion, transparency, and representation. We actively sought out voices that might otherwise go unheard. And while no map is perfect, we reviewed multiple options, all designed to address inequities and to create maps that represent the voices we heard through extensive public input via multiple channels.

We represent a diverse group of Berkeley residents. Eight of us were selected to ensure that each district is represented. The remaining five commissioners were selected to ensure that our commission reflects the diverse population of Berkeley. Our work was informed by rich and varied experiences and points of view, all shared in an environment of mutual respect and regard.

We made every effort to reach every resident of Berkeley and to invite their input. Our outreach efforts were designed to raise awareness, educate the community on the redistricting process, and engage the public in active participation and debate in drawing our new council districts.

The COVID-19 pandemic presented challenges for the redistricting process. Census data was delayed, which compressed our schedule to meet the filing deadline for the November 2022 election. Every IRC meeting was held virtually, and communication to and from the IRC was limited to oral testimony at virtual meetings and written material, including proposed maps, sent by email, US Mail, or the IRC website. For some, virtual public hearings made access easier. But for those with limited access to technology, participation was difficult. When permitted, Commission staff had a booth at in person events. Also, the draft maps were posted at our libraries, a senior center, UC Berkeley, and at City Hall. City Clerk staff also made materials available to the public in person at City Hall when COVID restrictions permitted.

Our work would have been impossible without the expertise, professionalism, and extensive efforts of our city staff and the tools and consultants they selected to support us. We are deeply grateful for their efforts and the ensuing results.

The map you have before you is the result of many hours spent listening in public hearings, reviewing map and community of interest submissions, and reading correspondence sent to us from Berkeley residents.

We are also grateful for the people of Berkeley for their enthusiastic participation and the thought and care that they brought to the process and the work of redistricting.

The attached report details and documents our work. We hope that it demonstrates the transparency that was paramount at every stage of the process and that it will prove useful to future Independent Redistricting Commissions. Again, it has been an honor to serve as the City of Berkeley's Independent Redistricting Commission. We look forward to the implementation of the council district maps.

Sincerely,

Terry Nicol, District 1, Chair, Public Input Subcommittee

Jesse Sussell, District 2

Lisa Tran, District 3, Commission Vice Chair

Curtis Hanson, District 4

Winston Rhodes, District 5, Chair, Mapping Subcommittee

Elisabeth Watson, District 6, Commission Chair

Rana Cho, District 7

Andrew Fox, District 8, Chair, Outreach Subcommittee

Carly Alejos, At-Large

Ronald Choy, At-Large

Delores Cooper, At-Large, Chair, Slogan Subcommittee

M. Guadalupe Gallegos-Diaz, At-Large

Sherry Smith, At-Large

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Independent Redistricting Commission

In 2016, Berkeley voters approved the creation of the Independent Redistricting Commission (the “Commission”) to draw City Council district lines. This is the first time that Berkeley has used a community commission to redraw the district boundaries; previously, the City Council was responsible for drawing and approving the new boundaries. The IRC acts as an independent body to engage the public, receive input, and approve an updated map of City Council district boundaries.

The Commission – comprising thirteen Berkeley residents representing a variety of personal and professional backgrounds and different parts of the City – serves all of Berkeley in this effort that takes place only every ten years. The Commission sought, encouraged, and received public participation in the process of drawing a map of City Council districts.

City Council’s Role in Redistricting

The Berkeley City Charter spells out line drawing responsibility and criteria, prohibits the Commission from considering “the residence of sitting councilmembers,” and prohibits the Commission from drawing districts “for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.” The Independent Redistricting Commission is “solely responsible for drawing City Council district boundaries.” The City Council’s only role in the process is to adopt the map approved by the Commission.

Uneven Population Changes Required Changing District Boundaries

Every 10 years, after the federal government publishes updated census information, Berkeley must redraw the boundaries of its City Council districts so that the City’s population is more evenly allocated among the eight districts. Uneven changes in the distribution of the City’s population since the 2010 census required boundary changes.

Table 1. Population Changes 2010 - 2020

District	2020	2010	Change #	Change %
1	16,098	14,060	2,038	14.5%
2	16,202	14,026	2,176	15.5%
3	15,340	14,070	1,270	9.0%
4	15,736	14,082	1,654	11.7%
5	14,810	14,182	628	4.4%
6	14,629	13,966	663	4.7%
7	16,637	14,079	2,558	18.2%
8	14,981	14,115	866	6.1%
Total	124,433	112,580	11,853	10.5%

With a new total population of 124,433, all districts would have 15,554 residents if they were exactly equal (124,433 / 8 = 15,554). State law allows for a maximum deviation of 10% between the largest district and the smallest district. With the current population numbers and the existing boundaries, the City would be out of compliance with that requirement. District 7 is 7.0% above the exactly equal number and District 6 is 5.9% below the exactly equal number for a total deviation of 12.9%.

Table 2. District Population Deviation Percentages

District	2020	Equal Pop #	Deviation #	Deviation %
1	16,098	15,554	544	3.5%
2	16,202	15,554	648	4.2%
3	15,340	15,554	(214)	-1.4%
4	15,736	15,554	182	1.2%
5	14,810	15,554	(744)	-4.8%
6	14,629	15,554	(925)	-5.9%
7	16,637	15,554	1,083	7.0%
8	14,981	15,554	(573)	-3.7%

Commission Starts

Following an outreach campaign in summer 2020, the City began accepting applications for the Commission in September 2020 and received 138 by the close of the application period in October 2020. Eighty applicants met all eligibility criteria.

In January 2021, city staff randomly selected one commissioner from each district and those eight district commissioners then selected five at-large commissioners to achieve better community representation by taking into consideration geographic diversity, race, age, and gender. After training by City staff and redistricting specialists, Commissioners began creating and implementing an open and transparent process for soliciting, receiving, and analyzing public input — Community of Interest Forms, proposed maps, oral statements, and other communications — and drafting maps with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations.

Image 1. Redistricting Process Timeline



Impact of COVID on Redistricting

The COVID-19 pandemic impacted redistricting in Berkeley in several ways.

First, the US Census Bureau's collection and processing of data were slowed, and the release of official "legacy" data for redistricting was delayed about six months until August 2021. This forced the Commission to compress its redistricting schedule in order to meet the deadline to use the new districts in the November 2022 election.

Second, because UC Berkeley (UCB) closed the campus and sent students off-site in March of 2021, the number of UCB students living in non-campus housing is thought to be undercounted. However, the Commission was bound to use only the official data, and could not compensate or adjust its process to factor in the likely undercount.

Third, prohibitions on in-person meetings forced the Commission to rewrite its plan to engage the public. While some limited in-person outreach was conducted, the primary channels for the public to interact with the IRC were oral testimony at meetings or written statements sent by email or US Mail. Every IRC meeting was virtual, and outgoing information used social media and electronic contact channels. For some, virtual public hearings made access easier, but for those with limited access to technology, participation was difficult. When permitted, Commission staff had a booth at in-person events. Also, the draft maps were posted at our libraries, a senior center, UC Berkeley and City Hall. City Clerk staff also made materials available to the public in-person at City Hall when COVID restrictions permitted.

Fourth, the Commission relied heavily on a newly designed, graphically based web site, the "Hub," to provide the public with information, redistricting resources, map drafting tools, and the draft maps under consideration.

Public Input Phase

On September 23, 2021, the Statewide Database released the official 2020 Census redistricting data used by all California redistricting bodies. The Commission held a public hearing on October 2, 2021, that launched the public input phase of Berkeley's redistricting process, beginning with the submission of Community of Interest Forms, for which the Commission set no deadline. The Commission set a 44-day window of October 2, 2021, through November 15, 2021, for the public to submit proposed maps.

The public submitted twenty-nine proposed maps during the public submission window and eighty Community of Interest Forms have been submitted throughout the process. In addition, almost 400 oral and written statements have been provided by the public. The Commission analyzed all the input, adopted universal principles and themes to guide the creation of draft maps, and included changes as needed to meet the universal criteria.

Universal Criteria for Draft Maps

All draft maps created by the Commission contained the following universal criteria:

1. Less than 10% population deviation.
2. Contiguous districts.
3. Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods.
4. Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible.
5. Correct the features of the 2010 map that account for prior Councilmember residences.
6. Include at least one compact student district in every map.

Draft Maps: Amber, Maroon, Blue, Orange, Violet

On January 20, 2022, the Commission published the first four draft maps, each with specific themes:

- *Amber* was most like the adjusted current map.
- *Maroon* had two student districts around the UCB campus instead of the current one.
- *Blue* had two student districts and one West Berkeley district instead of the current two.
- *Orange* was like Amber for Districts 3-8 and reconfigured the area in Districts 1 and 2 into one West Berkeley district and one other district.

Based on public communications and comments, as well as commission discussion at the January and February public hearings, the Commission:

- Revised Amber to adjust the border between District 3 and District 8 near the Ashby BART Station (resulting in Amber Map Version 2).
- Removed Maroon, Blue, and Orange from consideration.
- Drafted Violet, which had two student/renter-focused districts and two West Berkeley districts.

Final Map: Amber Map Version 2

Based on public communications and commission deliberations at two public hearings, the Commission removed the Violet Map from consideration, and selected *Amber Map Version 2* as the final map at the February 28 public hearing.



Table 3. 2020 Population in Final Districts

District	2020	Note
1	15,757	
2	15,785	
3	15,977	Largest
4	15,677	
5	14,770	Smallest
6	15,635	
7	15,405	
8	15,427	
	<i>124,433</i>	

Deviation from largest to smallest = 1,207

Allowable deviation = 1,556

The final map meets all the redistricting criteria set out in the City Charter:

- Public input guided the IRC in creating the final map that respects Berkeley communities.
- The map is based on the 2020 Census for Berkeley, as modified by the Statewide Database, other resources, and input submitted by the public.
- The largest district has a population of 15,977.
- The smallest district has a population of 14,770.
- The spread of 1,207 between the largest and smallest districts is within the acceptable spread of less than 10 percent of the average district population of 15,554.
- The districts respect the integrity of neighborhoods.
- The districts respect the cohesiveness of neighborhoods.
- The districts are contiguous.
- The districts are compact.
- Most borders are major traffic arteries or topographical features.
- The Commission did not consider political factors.
- The Commission did not consider the residences of sitting Councilmembers.

Approval and Transmission to City Council

On March 16, 2022, the Independent Redistricting Commission **unanimously** approved a map of City Council districts that will be first used in the November 2022 election and then for the next decade. On March 17, 2022, the Commission transmitted the map to the Berkeley City Council. The Commission and the Berkeley community look forward to its adoption and implementation by the Council.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission acknowledges the important contributions of the many individuals and organizations that participated in the redistricting process. The final map is a product of countless hours of hard work and dedication. The Commission wishes to extend its sincere appreciation to the following:

- The residents and communities of the City of Berkeley that participated in the process by submitting maps, Community of Interest Forms, written comments, and verbal comments
- Community organizations and entities that assisted in reaching out to their constituencies and encouraging participation
- UC Berkeley Administration
- Associated Students of the University of California
- Former Commissioners Jose Lopez, Samuel Taplin, and Simelia Rogers
- Alternate Commissioners Cindy Simon Rosenthal, Sarah Lorraine Price, Brandon James Yung, Bethany Andres-Beck, Steve Toub, Michael Streeter Lewis, Narendra Dev, Ian Schweickart, Stephen W. Wood, Susan A. Murphy, and Karl Batten-Bowman
- The Berkeley City Attorney's Office and Deputy City Attorney Samuel Harvey
- The Berkeley City Clerk Department and City Clerk Mark Numainville, Assistant City Clerk Sarah Bunting, and Assistant City Clerk April Richardson
- The Berkeley Department of Information Technology and Geographic Information Systems Analyst Makinde Falade, and Senior Systems Analyst Jason Ferguson
- Redistricting Partners
- The Berkeley Public Library
- Berkeley Unified School District
- The City of Berkeley Communications Office

CREATING THE COMMISSION AND REDISTRICTING PROCESS

I. History and Mission of the Independent Redistricting Commission

Redistricting is the process of adjusting electoral district boundaries to ensure districts are balanced with the same number of residents. Every 10 years, Council district boundaries are reviewed to account for population changes following the Census.

Berkeley utilizes a district-based system of electing councilmembers and has done so since 1986. The city is divided into eight geographic areas called “districts.” One councilmember, who must live in the district, is elected from each district by the voters living in that district. Other elected officers (such as Mayor and Auditor) are elected at-large, meaning they can live anywhere in Berkeley and are elected by all of Berkeley’s voters.

Berkeley voters approved Measure W1 in 2016 to amend the City Charter to transfer responsibility for drawing electoral boundaries from the City Council to an Independent Redistricting Commission. The measure established an open and transparent redistricting process that is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations. Comprised of thirteen members with broad community representation, the Commission acts as an independent body to engage the public and adopt an updated map of City Council district boundaries.

II. Regulatory Governance

The work of the Commission is primarily governed by three statutes:

- City Charter Section 9.5
- Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.10,
- California’s Fair Maps Act contained in Assembly Bill 849 and Assembly Bill 1276.

The full text of these statutes is attached as Appendix A. Elements of the process are also governed by the Federal Voting Rights Act and the State’s Brown Act.

City Charter, Article V, Section 9.5 (Measure W1 – 2016)

On November 8, 2016, Berkeley voters approved Measure W1, amending the City’s Charter (the “Charter”) to transfer responsibility for drawing electoral boundaries from the City Council to an Independent Redistricting Commission. The measure was intended to establish a redistricting process that is open and transparent to the public, meets the requirements of law, and is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations.

Maps created by the Commission are subject to the criteria outlined in Section 9.5 of the Charter. The Commission is required to take into consideration topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, and integrity and compactness of the districts, as well as existing communities of interest. The Commission must also utilize easily understood district boundaries such as major traffic arteries and geographic boundaries (to the extent they are consistent with communities of interest). The geographic integrity of a neighborhood or community of interest must be respected to the extent possible.

As used here, “communities of interest” means contiguous populations that share common social and economic interests. These populations should be included within a single district for purposes of effective and fair representation. Examples of “common social and economic interests” are areas where people:

- Share similar living standards
- Use the same transportation facilities
- Have similar work opportunities
- Have access to the same media of communication relevant to the election process
- Live in neighborhoods
- Are students/have organized student housing
- Have shared ages
- Have shared racial demographics

In the context of redistricting, communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates. Furthermore, the Charter prohibits the Commission from drawing council districts for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party. The Charter also prohibits the Commission from considering the residence of current Councilmembers; a current Councilmember could be “drawn out” of their current district. However, the Commission is permitted to consider existing district boundaries as a basis for developing new district boundaries. Section VII explains redistricting criteria in greater detail.

Berkeley Municipal Code (Chapter 2.10)

As provided for in Charter Section 9.5, the City Council adopted Chapter 2.10 of the Municipal Code as the implementation ordinance for the redistricting process. Chapter 2.10 clarifies various processes for commissioner application and selection, as well as provisions related to compensation, commissioner removal, quorum, public interactions, and selection of a special master for impasse.

Fair Maps Act (AB 849 and AB 1276)

State Assembly Bills 849 and 1276 created standardized, fair redistricting criteria that keep communities together, prohibit partisan gerrymandering for local districts, and adjust timing of map adoption to align with the California election cycle. Only certain portions of these bills apply to charter cities such as Berkeley.

Voting Rights Act

The Federal Voting Rights Act (FVRA) helps to ensure that there is no denial or abridgement of the right to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group. Council districts can be adjusted to help remedy such abridgement if the historical and demographic data provide adequate justification.

Section 2 of the FVRA provides protections for populations where it is shown that conditions exist wherein the political processes are not equally open to participation by members of a protected class of citizens. Analysis of Berkeley’s demographics by the redistricting consultant and further investigation by staff counsel show that the conditions and demographics in Berkeley do not provide adequate justification under the FVRA for the creation of majority-minority district(s) in the 2020 map. Thus, the FVRA is not a primary guiding regulation in the Berkeley process.

Brown Act

Since 1953, the Brown Act is California’s open meetings and public participation law for legislative bodies. The Independent Redistricting Commission is covered under the Brown Act. All meetings and proceedings of the Commission have been conducted in accordance with the Brown Act for noticing, participation, and public access to the decision-making process.

III. Representative Commission

A. Application and Selection of Commissioners

As mandated by the City Charter and Berkeley Municipal Code, potential commissioners must submit an application to the City. After extensive community outreach, the application period opened September 8, 2020, and ran through October 9, 2020. Applicants were required to submit basic information, demographic information, an eligibility questionnaire, disclosable campaign contributions, a 300-word written statement, and certification of a background check. The City received 138 applications for the Commission, and 80 of those 138 completed the process to become eligible for the random draw for district commissioners.

The random draw process selected one Commissioner and one Alternate Commissioner from each of the eight council districts. The first person selected in each district was seated on the commission and the second person selected was designated as the alternate for that district.

The applicant pool was predominantly male and white and the results of the random draw reflected the demographics of the applicant pool.

Table 4. Demographics of Random Draw Results

Race/Ethnicity	Gender	Commissioners	Outcomes
Asian/ Pacific Islander	F	1	By-district commissioners selected randomly are primarily male and white: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 75% Male • 75% White • 0% Black/Other/Bi-Racial • 1 Student (White, Male)
	M	0	
Black	F	0	
	M	0	
Hispanic	F	0	
	M	1	
Other/Bi-Racial	F	0	
	M	0	
White	F	1	
	M	5	

B. Diversity Considerations for At-Large Appointees

Pursuant to City Charter Section 9.5(B)(6), in appointing the remaining five at-large members and alternates, the eight district commissioners are directed to achieve broad community representation by taking into consideration geographic diversity, race, age, and gender. The Independent Redistricting

Commission application collected demographic information and the applicants also submitted a written statement outlining their qualifications to serve on the Commission.

The selection of the five at-large commissioners resulted in a significantly more diverse demographic makeup of the Commission as seen in the table below.

Table 5. Demographics of Initial 13 Commission Members

Name	Type	Race	Gender	Age	District
Carly Alejos	At-Large	HISPANIC	Female	18-25	4
Delores Cooper	At-Large	BLACK	Female	66+	1
Simelia Rogers	At-Large	BI-RACIAL (BLACK/WHITE)	Female	18-25	1
Sherry Smith	At-Large	WHITE	Female	66+	6
Ronald Choy	At-Large	ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER	Male	66+	8
Jose Lopez	District 1	HISPANIC	Male	26-35	1
Jesse Sussell	District 2	WHITE	Male	46-55	2
Lisa M. Tran	District 3	ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER	Female	26-35	3
Curtis Hanson	District 4	WHITE	Male	36-45	4
Winston Rhodes	District 5	WHITE	Male	46-55	5
Elisabeth Watson	District 6	WHITE	Female	56-65	6
Samuel Taplin	District 7	WHITE	Male	18-25	7
Andrew Fox	District 8	WHITE	Male	26-35	8

While there have been changes to the Commission demographics due to commissioner resignations, the Commission maintains a diverse and representative membership. The final membership of the Commission is below.

Table 6. Demographics of Final 13 Commission Members

Name	Type	Race	Gender	Age	District
Carly Alejos	At-Large	HISPANIC	Female	18-25	4
Delores Cooper	At-Large	BLACK	Female	66+	1
M. Guadalupe Gallegos-Diaz	At-Large	HISPANIC	Female	56-65	2
Sherry Smith	At-Large	WHITE	Female	66+	6
Ronald Choy	At-Large	ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER	Male	66+	8
Terry Nicol	District 1	WHITE	Male	36-45	1
Jesse Sussell	District 2	WHITE	Male	46-55	2
Lisa M. Tran	District 3	ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER	Female	26-35	3
Curtis Hanson	District 4	WHITE	Male	36-45	4
Winston Rhodes	District 5	WHITE	Male	46-55	5
Elisabeth Watson	District 6	WHITE	Female	56-65	6
Rana Cho	District 7	ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER	Female	46-55	7
Andrew Fox	District 8	WHITE	Male	26-35	8

C. Commissioner Training / Workflow

After the full membership of the Commission was seated, the Commission began a training program in the spring of 2021. Specialists in their fields – Redistricting Partners Consultants, Voting Rights Act Attorneys, Community of Interest Experts, a Former State Redistricting Commissioner, and staff from the City Attorney’s Office and the City Clerk Department – presented the training topics:

- Meeting procedures and parliamentary procedures
- The Brown Act
- Conflict of Interest Laws
- State and Local Redistricting Laws
- Best Practices for Redistricting Commissions
- Communities of Interest
- Federal Voting Rights Act

The trainings were conducted between March and June of 2021 to prepare the commission for the start of the redistricting process in August when the federal Census data was released.

D. Bylaws Development and Adoption (Appendix B)

On March 17, 2021, the Independent Redistricting Commission requested that staff prepare draft bylaws to augment the existing rules for conducting Commission business contained in the City Charter, Municipal Code, and Commissioners' Manual. In preparing the draft bylaws, staff incorporated feedback from the Commission's discussion, and reviewed materials from similar local redistricting commissions in California. The bylaws include such topics as public comment procedures, rules of debate and decorum, and length of meetings. The final bylaws were adopted on June 9, 2021.

One important area of focus for the commission in the development of the bylaws was consensus. The specific language below from the bylaws demonstrates the Commission's commitment to fair, honest, and productive decision making.

Consensus

The Commission recognizes the importance of bringing diverse perspectives to form collective decisions throughout the redistricting process. To the greatest extent possible, the Commission agrees to strive for consensus when making decisions.

The Commission's principles for building consensus include:

- *All participants are equal.*
- *We will not exclude any relevant topic from discussion.*
- *We welcome differing opinions as helpful to our work.*
- *We will listen actively when others are speaking.*
- *Those who are not in agreement will voice their reservations, concerns, and opinions.*

We acknowledge that consensus does not mean unanimous agreement. Below are degrees of agreement that Commissioners may consider as the Commission seeks to build consensus:

- *I fully agree with the action.*
- *I substantially agree with the action.*
- *I have reservations, but I support the action.*
- *I do not agree with the action; however, I have shared my opinions during the discussion and I support the Commission's action.*

IV. Transparent Process / Meeting Data

Since its inception in January of 2021, the Commission has met 31 times. All commission meetings were held through the Zoom video conference platform due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the state and city health orders governing meetings of legislative bodies. Full meeting detail is available in Appendix C.

The COVID-19 pandemic impacted redistricting in Berkeley in several ways.

First, the US Census Bureau's collection and processing of data were slowed, and the release of official "legacy" data for redistricting was delayed about six months until August 2021. This forced the Commission to compress its redistricting schedule in order to meet the deadline to use the new districts in the November 2022 election.

Second, because UC Berkeley closed the campus and sent students off-site in March of 2021, the number of UCB students living in non-campus housing is thought to be undercounted. However, the Commission was bound to use only the official data, and could not compensate or adjust its process to factor in the likely undercount.

Third, prohibitions on in-person meetings forced the Commission to rewrite its plan to engage the public. While some limited in-person outreach was conducted, the primary channels for the public to interact with the IRC were oral testimony at meetings or written statements sent by email or US mail. Every IRC meeting was virtual, and outgoing information used social media and electronic contact channels. For some, virtual public hearings made access easier, but for those with limited access to technology, participation was difficult. When permitted, Commission staff had a booth at in-person events. Also, the draft maps were posted at our libraries, a senior center, and City Hall. City Clerk staff also made materials available to the public in-person when COVID restrictions permitted.

Fourth, the Commission relied heavily on a newly designed, graphically based web site "The Hub" to provide the public with information, redistricting resources, map drafting tools, and the draft maps under consideration.

The Commission has held five public hearings, one before the release of Census data and four after the release of Census data in compliance with the City Charter and the California Fair Maps Act. All five public hearings offered simultaneous Spanish interpretation for the public. The five dedicated public hearings were held on:

- Saturday, July 10, 2021: Introduce Commission, redistricting process, Community of Interest Forms
- Saturday, October 2, 2021: Release of Census data, launch of public submission phase
- Thursday, January 27, 2022: Public comment on four draft maps
- Thursday, February 17, 2022: Public comment on two draft maps
- Monday, February 28, 2022: Select final map

An additional special meeting was added on Saturday, February 19, 2022, to hear public comment on two draft maps up for consideration.

The Commission is a Brown Act legislative body and has been open and accepting of public input at every regular meeting, special meeting, and public hearing. All agendas, meeting packets, revised materials, and communications have been provided to the public in accordance with state open meeting laws. There

was also a significant amount of written public testimony in Community of Interest Forms and general written communications to the Commission.

The ability to meet in a virtual environment was one opportunity created by the pandemic and the State Declaration of Emergency that suspended certain aspects of the Brown Act. The Commission never met in person, but each meeting was available on Zoom and provided an opportunity for public comment. In this medium, the meetings were able to be recorded and shared for viewing, which would not have occurred had the meetings been in-person.

Virtual meetings provided the public with the opportunity to participate from their home and eliminated the need for vehicle trips and attendance at in-person meeting locations during the evening and on weekends. While the virtual meeting is not ideal for map viewing, it does provide participation benefits and allows greater access for persons with mobility limitations and limited access to transit.

V. Community Outreach

A. Involvement / Awareness / Education / Engagement

Public outreach to the community is an essential element of the redistricting process, and it is mandated in both the local regulations and in state legislation. The City has supported the work of the Independent Redistricting Commission to ensure it meets and exceeds its obligations to engage the community as the Commission redraws the council district boundaries.

The community outreach was conducted in three phases. In the summer and fall of 2020, the City began the “Awareness” phase of the community outreach plan to encourage applicants for the Commission. Next, starting in the spring of 2021, the “Education” outreach phase began to inform the public about the mission of the Commission and the plan for completing redistricting. The last phase was the “Engagement” phase of outreach starting in the fall of 2021. This phase focused on encouraging public participation through Community of Interest Forms, public map plans, and participation in the deliberations leading to a final map.

Of course, the ambitious plan for the awareness phase was curtailed somewhat by the limitations of the COVID-19 Shelter-in-Place orders, but staff was able to pivot away from in-person activities and use more of the outreach budget for print and social media advertising, and harness established networks of the City’s community partners for electronic communication.

In the spring of 2021, the Commission formed a subcommittee to work with staff on the development and implementation of the outreach plan; and to advise staff and the full commission of resource needs, goals, objectives, and accomplishments for public engagement. The outreach subcommittee rounded out a plan that targeted a diverse population of Berkeley residents through a variety of outlets. Two additional subcommittees assisted with outreach activities. Summary information for the three subcommittees is below.

Community Outreach Subcommittee

Commissioners: Fox, Watson, Smith, Hanson, Tran, and Gallegos-Diaz

Mission: To determine and to oversee a public outreach strategy for informing Berkeley residents about the activities of the Independent Redistricting Commission, and in particular to highlight opportunities for

public input into the Commission's deliberations, with the goal of reaching a maximally broad audience across Berkeley's diverse communities.

Activities: Provided comprehensive direction to City Staff for the execution of a public awareness campaign around the Commission's activities, including guidance on tactics for paid, earned, and owned media dissemination. Notable highlights included coordination with staff on multiple press placements, research and direction for a print and online media advertising campaign, and instruction on outreach to community organizations.

Outcome: The Subcommittee's outreach campaign, executed by City Staff, raised public awareness of the Commission's work and generated attendance, participation, and written input from a diverse range of Berkeley constituencies that accelerated significantly during the map drafting process. Members of the Subcommittee also created the PowerPoint presentation for the July 10, 2021, public hearing.

Commission Slogan Subcommittee

Commissioners: Cooper, Gallegos-Diaz, and Sussell

Mission: At the direction of the full Commission on September 8, 2021, the subcommittee was charged with creating a slogan that would be used on all Independent Redistricting Commission publicity documents.

Activities: The subcommittee met for the first time on September 14, 2021, and came up with six potential slogans. At the Commission meeting on September 22, 2021, the subcommittee presented seven slogans for the entire Commission to vote on, one of which had previously been submitted by commissioner Ronald Choy on September 8, 2021.

1. People Power to make a fair City Council district map by April 1, 2022.
2. Mapping our communities.
3. Mapping our communities with the people's voice.
4. Mapping our Berkeley communities: redistricting by the people.
5. The people's voice: mapping our communities.
6. The people of Berkeley decide.
7. Berkeley Redistricting: decided by the people.

Outcome: By a majority vote on September 22, 2021, the Commission adopted the following slogan: *Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.*

The Daily Californian OpEd Subcommittee

Commissioners: Fox, Alejos, Smith, Taplin

Mission: To generate on behalf of the Independent Redistricting Commission an OpEd submission for the opinion section of The Daily Californian, encouraging UC Berkeley student participation in the redistricting process, and to assist City Staff in successful placement of the same.

Activities: The OpEd subcommittee met on multiple occasions to brainstorm, draft, and refine an 800-word opinion piece for The Daily Cal that summarized the redistricting process and invited students to make their opinions known to the Commission.

Outcome: The OpEd was submitted by staff on behalf of the subcommittee and was accepted by the newspaper's editors for publication. It appeared in print and online on October 19, 2021.

The outreach plan drew from past experience and existing communication infrastructure to guide the outreach efforts. Throughout the process, the City performed extensive outreach through a list of over 400 local community-based organizations, the BUSD A+ e-newsletter, the Berkeley Library e-newsletter, paid advertising, editorial interviews, earned media, in-person outreach events, City email subscriptions, community messages, social media, direct engagement with community organizations, the city website, the online community calendar, and pass-through information from City Council members to residents.

The Commission played an important role in the development and implementation of the outreach plan, while adhering to the limitation in the Berkeley Municipal Code about interacting with the public outside of noticed meetings. The work accomplished at noticed meetings of the Commission was an important part of the engagement with the public. The initial Outreach Plan is included in Appendix D.

While COVID-19 restrictions limited the Commission's ability to use some traditional in-person events, staff was still able to conduct several in-person events in 2021 to raise awareness, educate the public, and solicit feedback and participation from the community.

The principal method for obtaining input from the community was the Community of Interest Form (Appendix E). Staff developed a Community of Interest Form to solicit input from residents about important groups, neighborhoods, and communities that impact how district lines are drawn. The form was based on best practices from other cities in the region and was reviewed and improved by the expertise of the consultants at Redistricting Partners.

Over the course of the process, staff maintained an Outreach Log to keep a record of significant outreach activities. The Log has 130 entries and is attached as Appendix F.

B. Graphic Design Consultant

The staff secretary to the Independent Redistricting Commission hired a graphic designer to create the Commission logo, the "people" graphic for the Commission, and establish the branding color scheme for materials and the web. The particular logo was chosen to be inclusive and cover a wide range of demographic categories in an illustrative format. The coloring and logo were used throughout the campaign.

C. Web Content and Resources

The City used the Commission webpage to post relevant information, documents, agendas, minutes, meeting presentations, and meeting videos.

In October 2021 at the start of the Public Map Submission Period, a new website (the Hub - www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/) was created to provide a platform that was more graphically engaging and simpler to navigate for displaying essential information and the draft maps. The Hub webpage had more capability to display graphics and illustrative designs. The Hub also included an interactive Geographic Information System map with several layers of data. Users could turn layers on and off to view and analyze neighborhoods, transit lines, schools, parks, community centers, libraries, and

public facilities in relation to the city council districts in the public map proposals and commission draft maps. An image of the Hub site is included as Appendix G.

D. Translation of Materials & Interpretation at Public Hearings

The California Secretary of State established the required translation languages for each city in the state. For Berkeley, Spanish was the sole designated language for required translations. The outreach materials for the initial Commissioner application period, the application, the public submission packet, and the Community of Interest Forms were all translated into Spanish. In addition, the five public hearings all featured live, real-time interpretation into Spanish and are recorded. The recordings are posted on the Commission webpage.

VI. Census Count

In early 2021, the US Census Bureau announced that final Census data would be released by September 30, 2021, instead of the original March 31, 2021 release date. Ultimately, the raw Census data was released on August 17, 2021. The release of final data in California was further delayed until September 20, 2021, to allow time for the reallocation of incarcerated population data by the Statewide Database.

The delay of Census data placed the state and all local jurisdictions in a difficult position regarding the redistricting timeline for the 2022 election cycle. The City Charter provides for an extended deadline in the event of a delay in the Census data, however, this deadline – June 20, 2022 – would have been after the deadline to provide new maps to the Alameda County Registrar of Voters for the 2022 election. The Commission decided early in the process to stay on a schedule that would allow the new districts to be used in the 2022 general municipal election.

In August 2021, the US Census released in a “legacy format” the data from the decennial census, identifying the whole count of persons and where they resided as of April 1, 2020. This initial point-in-time count for Berkeley was 124,300. After the state-required reallocation of prison population conducted by the Statewide Database, the adjusted final population for Berkeley is **124,433**.

The final block-by-block census data was loaded into the Maptitude mapping software program and used to create the paper maps for public submissions as well as the electronic maps created by the public and Map Drafting Subcommittee. This single data set is the only official population and demographic data that can be used for redistricting purposes. The Commission did not consider potential future development, estimated student enrollments, or any other possible future factors.

While the 2020 Census Count was subject to significant challenges, including the potential inclusion of citizenship questions, the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, and the uncertainty about the enumeration of the student population, the Berkeley maintained a high self-response rate for the Census questionnaire. This was due to significant outreach efforts and mitigating efforts by the Alameda County Complete Count Committee and UC Berkeley.

Table 7. Census Response Rates in 2010 and 2020

	2020 Self-Response Rate	2010 Self-Response Rate
Berkeley	72.5%	72.3%

The Alameda County Board of Supervisors deemed Census 2020 as a priority and authorized the creation of the Alameda County Complete Count Committee (CCC). The CCC first met on November 18, 2018, and was chaired by Supervisors Wilma Chan and Nate Miley. The CCC consisted of a broad coalition of community and faith-based organizations, volunteers, educational institutions, cities, and elected officials. The CCC focused on general community engagement and specific community engagement to reach residents who have been historically undercounted, including low-income individuals, immigrants, people with limited English proficiency, people of color, young children, people with disabilities, and people residing in overcrowded housing or who are unhoused. Staff from the City of Berkeley actively participated in CCC activities and were regular contributing members of the Local Government Subcommittee of the CCC.

Census 2020 officially concluded on October 15, 2020. Despite the significant challenges faced by the census in 2020, the final Census 2020 Self-Response Rate for Alameda County was 75.8%, ranking 7th amongst California counties. Alameda County achieved a higher “Self-Response Rate” than Census 2010 by 5.6%. A full accounting of CCC objectives and activities can be found in the CCC Final Report in Appendix H.

In addition to the County’s efforts, UC Berkeley engaged in significant efforts to gain the best Census count possible of UC students given the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and the difficult political context of the Census count under the previous presidential administration.

In early March of 2020, UC Berkeley Chancellor Carol Christ announced the university’s move to virtual instruction in response to the growing COVID-19 pandemic. This announcement was followed shortly thereafter by local, county, and statewide declarations of emergency that resulted in thousands of students leaving Berkeley and returning to their hometowns. All non-essential in-person activities were severely limited.

UC Berkeley’s outreach efforts were substantially aided by the Alameda County Complete Count Committee. While both organizations rapidly shifted towards electronic outreach efforts, reaching students proved immensely difficult as they began to shelter in place. When students returned to their hometowns, they were likely counted at those locations, since the general instructions are to be counted where you are residing on Census Day (April 1). UC Berkeley sent instructive emails to students to mitigate this factor, most of which were unopened since they came alongside emails informing students about pass/fail semesters or cancellation of graduation. The Associated Students of the University of California (ASUC) was funded to do outreach, but their planned in-person events could not be carried out and the substitute online events were poorly attended. Several other outreach efforts were attempted in the census tracts close to campus between April and October of 2020.

UC Berkeley was able to provide the United States Census Bureau (USCB) with the count of students in the UC residence halls and some co-op housing. UC Berkeley counsel expressed concerns regarding data privacy and sharing any data for their undocumented students. Thus, UC provided USCB with only the following records: First name, last initial, year of birth, and dormitory street address (not unit number); and excluded race, ethnicity, and gender data. This was due to compelling concerns that under the former presidential administration, data would be shared with other government agencies and could lead to adverse consequences for the undocumented student population. Ultimately, this method of data reporting was adopted by the entire University of California system as a compromise to help achieve census participation while protecting the undocumented community.

While it is informative to understand the circumstances that led to the expected undercount of UC Berkeley students, there is no avenue for appealing the final count with the United States Census Bureau that would have resulted in adjustments to the official census data that could be used in the process to create a new map for the 2022 elections. The Commission was legally mandated to use the 2020 Census data for the purpose of determining equal district population, which is the primary consideration in the redistricting process.

VII. Required Redistricting Criteria Summary – Map Criteria

In considering the Census data and the input from the public (Community of Interest Forms, map proposals, written communication, and verbal comments), the Commission operated under the guidelines of the regulatory governance described in Section II.

Below is a description of the provisions of state law and the City Charter and how they are applied to the task of redistricting.

“The Independent Redistricting Commission shall be solely responsible for drawing City Council district boundaries in accordance with state and federal law and this Charter, and shall make adjustments as appropriate, taking into consideration public comment at public meetings and public hearings.” (Charter sec. 9.5(a)(1))

A. Eight Equal Districts

This criterion is the most universal, and there are controlling regulations in both state law and the City Charter. The language in the City Charter states that “[T]he eight City Council districts shall be as **nearly equal in population** as may be according to the most recent decennial federal census.” (Charter sec. 9.5(f)(1).) The State Elections Code uses the phrase “Substantially equal in population” (Cal. Elec. Code sec. 21621(a).)

The basic premise of why jurisdictions must redistrict after every Census is Equal Protection – “one person, one vote” with the justification that “the vote of any citizen is approximately equal in weight to that of any other citizen.” (*Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U.S. 533, 579 (1964).)

For local redistricting in California, a deviation of less than 10% is required pursuant to a 1990 case, *Garza v. County of Los Angeles*. In this context, the “deviation” is the difference between least populated and most populated district.

Berkeley’s total population is 124,433. Dividing the total population by eight – the number of districts – the “equal district population” number is 15,554, and the maximum acceptable deviation is 1,555, which is less than 10% of the equal district population. Thus, the difference in population between the most populous district and the least populous district must be no more than 1,555.

B. City Charter Criteria

City Charter Section 9.5(f) states that the Independent Redistricting Commission shall take into consideration contiguity, compactness, topography, geography, cohesiveness, integrity, and communities of interest.

Priority: State law lists specific criteria in an order of priority (Elec. Code sec. 21621(c)), however, this priority is not binding on Berkeley since the City Charter has a complete set of criteria listed. The state priority is:

- 1) Contiguity;
- 2) Neighborhoods/Communities of interest;
- 3) Easily identifiable boundaries; and
- 4) Compactness.

Contiguity: All parts of a district are connected to one another. There cannot be any “islands” – all parts of a district must be connected by a single unbroken border. The Elections Code further describes that “Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.” (Cal. Elec. Code sec. 21621(c)(1).)

Compactness: Defined in state law as “not bypassing nearby populated areas in favor of more distant populated areas,” compactness refers to the shape of the district. A circle is the ultimate “compact” shape, and shapes that have a higher ratio of perimeter to area and contorted boundaries would be comparatively less compact.

Topography/Geography: Does the map account for significant topographical or geographic features (both natural and artificial)? This usually refers to hills, valleys, ridges, open spaces, rivers, etc. It is not a disqualifying feature to cross a significant feature provided that it is justifiable under other criteria considerations.

Easily Understood Boundaries/Major Traffic Arteries/Geography: The City Charter directs the Commission to use easily understood boundaries such as major traffic arteries, but only to the extent that they are consistent with communities of interest.

Cohesiveness/Integrity: Do the district boundaries make sense given the defined neighborhoods and communities of interests that have been identified? In this instance there may be more than one right answer as there may be competing communities of interest identified in overlapping or nearby areas. The Charter directs that “The geographic integrity of a neighborhood or community of interest shall be respected to the extent possible.” (Charter sec. 9.5(f)(2).)

Communities of Interest: This criterion is one of the principle foundations of modern redistricting. A Community of Interest (COI) is a contiguous population that shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.

Such shared interests include but are not limited to those common to areas in which the people share similar living standards, use the same transportation facilities, have similar work opportunities, or have access to the same media of communication relevant to the election process, as well as neighborhoods, students, organized student housing, shared age, and racial demographics. Communities of Interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

With regard to COIs, the Charter states that “The geographic integrity of a neighborhood or community of interest shall be respected to the extent possible without violating State or Federal law or the requirements of this Section” (Charter sec. 9.5(f)(2)). The Charter also states that the new map “[S]hall

utilize easily understood district boundaries such as major traffic arteries and geographic boundaries to the extent they are consistent with communities of interest."

C. Prioritizing Criteria

Unlike State law, the Charter does not list redistricting criteria in order of priority. However, looking at the Charter in the context of state and national redistricting principles, a general prioritization emerges:

1. Equal Population
2. Contiguity
3. Neighborhoods/Communities of Interest ("shall be respected to the extent possible without violating federal or state law")
4. Easily understood boundaries such as traffic arteries and geographic boundaries ("shall utilize to the extent consistent with communities of interest")
5. Compactness
6. Topography, geography, cohesiveness and integrity

The first two criteria are "Yes/No" criteria. If a map is outside the 10% deviation or it has non-contiguous boundaries, then it is not compliant on its face. The remaining criteria are comparative and may be incorporated into a compliant map in varying degrees depending on the specific facts and considerations of the process and the community participation and testimony. As noted above, the Charter does not enumerate a strict priority, so this ranking is not a strict roadmap but a thoughtful and defensible way of organizing the Charter's requirements.

All the criteria are interrelated, and depending on the issues presented in the maps and the potentially overlapping or differing communities of interest, certain criteria may be prioritized over others in pursuit of the most compliant map possible.

D. Consideration of Race in Redistricting

The Berkeley City Charter lists "racial demographics" as a shared interest that can create a community of interest. However, the US Supreme Court has determined that race cannot be a "predominant factor" in redistricting. Thus, the Commission was advised to avoid expressly discussing race as a factor in redistricting and not to use race as justification to move a district line.

In certain instances, Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act can be applied to prohibit drawing districts in ways that improperly dilute voting power based on race, color or language minority group. For Section 2 to be applied, certain conditions must be present. The requirements of a Section 2 lawsuit (*Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30 (1986)) are listed below.

- 1) Must be able to draw a geographically compact district where minority group is majority.
- 2) Minority group must be politically cohesive (pattern of voting for the same candidates).
- 3) White majority must regularly vote as a bloc to defeat minority-supported candidates.

Analysis of Berkeley's demographics by the redistricting consultant and staff counsel determined that the demographics in Berkeley do not provide adequate populations to create a majority-minority district, thus failing to meet the first required condition.

E. Prohibited Considerations

The City Charter additionally lays out four specific points of guidance for the Commission.

First, communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents or political candidates (Charter sec. 9.5(f)(2)).

Second, districts may not be drawn to favor or discriminate against an incumbent, political candidate or political party (Charter sec. 9.5(f)(3)).

Third, the Commission is prohibited from considering the residence of sitting councilmembers (Charter sec. 9.5(f)(5)). If the new map removes a sitting councilmember from their district, the councilmember will continue to serve until the end of their term (Charter sec. 9.5(f)(6)).

Fourth, the Commission may consider existing district boundaries. The Charter directs that if the new map deviates substantially from the existing districts, the Commission must explain the reasons in its final report (Charter sec. 9.5(f)(4)).

VIII. Commission Timeline

Image 3. Redistricting Process Timeline



PUBLIC INPUT: PREPARATION FOR MAP ANALYSIS AND DRAFTING

IX. Map Development Process Subcommittees (purpose, duration, membership)

To assist in the public submission process, information analysis, and map development work of the Commission, the Commission created four ad hoc subcommittees. A brief description of the mission, tasks, and outputs of the subcommittees is provided below.

- Public Submission Process Subcommittee
- Map and Community of Interest Review Subcommittee
- Map Drafting Subcommittee
- Final Report Drafting Subcommittee

Public Submission Process Subcommittee

Commissioners: Choy and Nicol

Mission: Develop a packet of information that the public could use to prepare and to submit Community of Interest (COI) information and proposed maps to the Commission.

Activities:

- Create a self-contained information packet of instructions on how to prepare and to submit COI statements or proposed redistricting maps to the Commission. The instructions had URL links to the basic information a person would need.
- Post these instructions on the Commission website with live links to supplemental material.
- Hard-copy versions of the information packet, including paper COI and map forms, were available at the City Clerk Department throughout the submission period.
- Create a PowerPoint presentation for a public hearing, explaining the COI and map forms and how to use Maptitude for online maps, emphasizing the deadline of November 15, 2021, midnight, to submit proposed maps to the IRC.

Outcome: The information packet was posted on the Commission website and presented during a public hearing on October 2, 2021. Hard copies were available at the City Clerk Department.

Map and Community of Interest Review Subcommittee, June 10, 2021

Commissioners: Rhodes, Cooper, Watson, Tran, Sussell, and Nicol

Mission: Review, analyze, and summarize feedback from submitted Community of Interest Forms and maps submitted by members of the public as part of the City of Berkeley Independent Redistricting Process.

Activities:

- Create and populate A matrix to review Community of Interest Forms submitted by the public to the Independent Redistricting Commission, summarizing location, impact, and rationale of modifying and/or redrawing council districts.
- Create and populate A matrix to evaluate submitted maps, identifying City Charter requirements and applicable state and federal law so mapping suggestions and themes are utilized to finalize the drawing of 2022 council district maps.

Outcomes: Presented the populated COI and submitted map matrices to the Commission. The COI matrix was specifically leveraged to ensure that the draft maps developed by the Commission met the goal of COI contiguity and cohesion for as many COI submissions as practical. The submitted map matrix was leveraged to identify themes that would then guide the development of the draft maps that the Commission presented to the public for their input.

Map Drafting Subcommittee, January 10, 2022

Commissioners: Rhodes, Nicol, Alejos, Fox

Mission: To prepare draft redistricting maps, synthesizing City Charter requirements, State and federal law, submitted Community of Interest Forms, maps submitted by community members, and guidance from the Independent Redistricting Commission. These maps were based on themes identified from COI forms and maps submitted by community members.

Activities: Prepared four initial maps based on major redistricting map themes and Commission direction for review and refinement and two subsequent maps that reflected further public input and Commission discussion.

Outcome: Prepared two rounds of draft redistricting maps and explanatory memos (four maps for the first round, two maps for the second) that formed the basis for creation of the final Independent Redistricting Commission approved map.

Final Report Drafting Subcommittee, December 15, 2021

Commissioners: Watson, Alejos, Cho, Choy, Gallegos-Diaz, Smith

Mission: Document the redistricting process to ensure transparency and to highlight the rich and varied public contributions at each phase of the redistricting process. Detail the rationale for the final map selection. Provide insight and guidance to subsequent independent redistricting efforts. Retain source documents from communications to the public, training, staff reports, and legal/professional advice.

Actions:

- Create report outline for review and comment by commissioners and the public.
- In conjunction with city staff, edit and review the draft report.
- Structure discussion / invite input from commission as a whole on draft report.
- Incorporate original source material from Commission reports and communications.
- Draft executive summary and introductory letters to the public and City Council.
- Provide a detailed review of the map selection process, including a detailed timeline.

Outcomes:

Included with the final map:

- Letter to Berkeley residents.
- Letter to Mayor and City Council.
- Executive summary.
- Timeline of Commission actions.
- Final report on redistricting process.

X. Communities of Interest

A Community of Interest (COI) is a concentrated population that shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.

For the current redistricting process, a COI is a technical term that has significant meaning in the exercise of redistricting. The public input from COIs is an essential component of the process and is an invaluable asset to the Commission when discussing map changes and learning about the community identities and preferences. The COI forms are one of the primary building blocks of the redistricting process.

A. Form Launch – July 10, 2021, Public Hearing #1

At the first public hearing on July 10, 2021, the IRC launched its online Community of Interest Form. The presentation to the public provided information on the definitions of COIs, their importance in the process, and how to find and submit the forms to the Commission. The presentation was recorded and posted on the Commission website. The IRC accepted COIs throughout the redistricting process.

B. Logging and Analyzing COIs

Throughout the process, the COI forms have been accepted, logged, and analyzed by commissioners, public map submitters, and the general public. The Map and COI Subcommittee created and updated the COI Matrix to facilitate the intake of information for the commission. The submitted forms and COI Matrix are included as Appendix I and Appendix J respectively.

The subcommittee provided a brief statement related to its approach to the information and analysis including the values and goals in reviewing public input.

- **Responsive:** We will ensure that public comment is acknowledged.
- **Inclusive:** We will consider any input that we receive in any form.
- **Fair/Equitable/Legal:** We will follow legal guidelines in determining what to do with the input that we receive.
- **Actionable:** We will focus on mappable, geographic discussion and decisions or suggestions that are specific and possible to infer.

C. Themes in COIs (Matrix)

The Berkeley community raised a wide range of concerns in their submissions. Many relate to city services, neighborhood character, equity, diversity, and resource allocation across districts. The Subcommittee captured themes raised in each submission in the matrix. The link between council district boundaries and city government services is not always direct, but it was important to capture the concerns of Berkeleyans. The COI matrix summarizes COI feedback received in order to help evaluate submitted redistricting maps, help formulate draft Commission maps, and highlight the community concerns expressed to the Commission.

XI. Map Submission Period, October 2, 2021 – November 15, 2021

As required in state and local regulations, the Commission must accept maps and redistricting plans from the public as part of the redistricting process. The Commission provided the public with a 44-day window in which to create and submit maps for consideration by the Commission. Extensive public outreach was conducted to make the public aware of the map submission period and Commission staff provided multiple demonstrations of the map creation software and use of the paper maps. In total, the Commission received 29 maps from the public during the map submission period. Of the 29 maps, 14 were submitted on paper and 15 were submitted electronically.

A. Public Hearing #2 October 2, 2021

On October 2, 2021, the Commission held a public hearing to educate the public about the map submission period, provide detail on the required criteria for council district maps, and demonstrated how to use the mapping software and paper maps to submit a district map. The presentation and the mapping software demonstration were recorded and posted to the Commission website for ongoing public use. The Commission published the map submission packet created by the Subcommittee to coincide with the October 2 hearing (Appendix K). The hearing also marked the launch of the Redistricting Hub, a new and enhanced dedicated website designed for the redistricting process: <https://www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/>.

B. Maptitude Tool

The City executed a contract with Caliper Corporation for the purchase and Implementation of the Maptitude Redistricting Software. Maptitude allowed for any member of the public to create, submit, and share a proposed map for the city council districts. Maptitude is a trusted vendor that has been used by hundreds of state and local jurisdictions throughout the country. Public users were able to log in and create one or several maps to submit. Commission staff provided training to the public on the mapping tool and also made computer terminals in the City Clerk Department available for public use.

C. Paper Map Options

Alternatively, the public was able to submit paper maps with hand-drawn boundaries. The City's consultant created paper maps (Appendix L) with neighborhoods and population blocks outlined. The City's paper map also outlined the basic rules for combining those populations.

XII. IRC Public Map Review

In contrast to other jurisdictions that relied heavily on paid consultants, the map development process in Berkeley was conducted primarily by City staff and the 13 members of the Commission. Commissioners selected from the community and experienced City staff had a good understanding of the legacy of Berkeley redistricting, Berkeley neighborhoods and Communities of Interest, and the physical features of the city that could impact district boundaries. In executing the redistricting process, staff regularly consulted with comparable jurisdictions to share information and discuss best practices. This information was distilled into a process that would work within existing resources and expertise of the Commission.

A. Public Map Analysis

The period for public submission of redistricting maps ended on Monday, November 15, 2021. A total of 29 maps were submitted for the Commission's consideration. Staff converted hand-drawn map submissions into electronic formats in order to provide accurate population and demographic data.

The review of public maps and development of a final map included analysis of the criteria in the City Charter and state law as discussed in Section VII. The plans from the public provided valuable input to the Commission in the form of common themes and specific interests expressed, but the ultimate discretion on final boundaries is delegated to the Commission exclusively.

The discussion of public maps and Community of Interest Forms started in December of 2021 and concluded with the final public hearing on February 28, 2022.

B. Map and COI Review Subcommittee

The Map and COI Review Subcommittee met four times from December 1, 2021, to January 3, 2022, with two to five members attending each meeting. All six subcommittee members were involved in the discussions.

The Subcommittee utilized the Map Review Matrix (MRM) to analyze the 29 submitted maps. Some maps were submitted in paper form, which were converted digitally by city staff using the City's online mapping tool to ease the comparative analysis with the current city council map. Some individuals submitted multiple maps, and there were several maps submitted that reflected the efforts of organized community groups. The maps submitted by the public and the MRM are included as Appendix M and Appendix N respectively.

The Subcommittee analyzed all 29 submitted maps. Maps were evaluated by applying the MRM criteria:

- Population deviation.
- Contiguity.
- Alignment with submitted COIs (via COI matrix).
- Use of easily understood boundaries.
- Compactness.
- Consideration of topography.
- Consideration of geography.
- Integrity and Cohesiveness.

In addition, the Subcommittee used Geographic Information System (GIS) map layers on the interactive map (accessible through the Redistricting Hub webpage) to evaluate proposed map boundaries (e.g., existing council district boundaries, mapped neighborhoods, various public facilities, and transportation facilities). The map analysis was conducted to help identify consistent map themes for preparation of draft Commission maps for further public review.

The MRM serves as an analytical and tracking tool to identify how proposed map feedback will be utilized in the Commission map preparation process. The final three columns in the MRM show how the final map incorporates the themes from the public maps.

In addition to the map themes and recommendations, the Subcommittee noted several items that the Commission reviewed during the process:

1. Not all submitted maps reflected the COIs received by the Commission. Specifically, many maps focused on minimizing the population deviation at the cost of respecting COI boundaries or using neighborhood streets as boundaries. However, the Subcommittee endeavored to identify the goals of the map submitters and include them in the proposed themes, if appropriate.
2. Several submitted maps (especially paper maps) did not include a narrative or written commentary. The Subcommittee made efforts to identify the goal of the submitted map and include them in the proposed maps, if appropriate.
3. Many submitted maps appeared to focus specifically on the submitter's own district/neighborhood. However, in order to reflect those desired or proposed changes, they made other changes throughout the city to abide by the rules imposed by Maptitude (such as minimizing population deviation) that cascaded into areas in which they might have been less familiar—and which the Subcommittee believes may not have been necessarily the desired outcome. For example, the Subcommittee saw this in a predominant shift of the boundaries between District 5 and 6, which often skewed westward toward District 5.

Major Map Themes and Recommendations identified by the Subcommittee are listed below.

- *Minor Changes:* Several maps made minor changes to the current boundaries in an effort to correct concerns associated with the current map. For example, the elimination of boundaries established based on the residency of Council members reflected in the current City Council District Map as well as respecting the submitted COI requests.
- *Two UC Student Districts:* Several maps sought to establish two supermajority “student” districts (over 66%) to increase representation of UC Berkeley student interests on the City Council. These maps usually included student population nodes on and off campus as well as north and south of campus. Often this theme resulted in substantial changes to the other six proposed districts in an effort to equalize the population in each district.
- *One More Compact UC Student District:* Several maps reflected the substantial increase in student housing between the 2010 and 2020 Census. For example, the large number of students living in existing student housing north of campus near Euclid Avenue, recently built multi-story housing on or near campus, and the students living at the Clark Kerr campus.
- *More Representative and Diverse Districts:* Some maps were drawn specifically to increase demographic diversity and include more varied housing types and interests. The intent was to create more socio-economic diversity within each district by splitting traditional neighborhood boundaries so each district would contain a wider range of interests.
- *West Berkeley District:* Some maps proposed unifying west Berkeley and creating a north-south oriented district that contains the Berkeley Marina, Oceanview/Fourth Street, new western University Avenue housing, and the City's industrial and light industrial areas.

C. Maps as Public Comment / Late Map Submissions

Additional proposed maps were submitted by e-mail and attached to COI Forms after the November 15, 2021, deadline. While these maps were not analyzed on the Map Matrix by the Subcommittee with the other 29 public maps, they were distributed to the Commission and the public in the communications packet to be a part of the public record and considered in the map development process.

DRAFTING, REVIEWING, AND REVISING DRAFT MAPS

XIII. Map Development Timeline

Table 8. Map Development Timeline

TIMELINE OF MAP DRAFTING AND SELECTION: JANUARY — MARCH 2022		
IRC Meeting	Jan 10	Themes in Public Maps and COI Forms; Direction to Map Drafting Subcommittee
	Jan 20	Publish Amber, Maroon, Blue, Orange Draft Maps
Public Hearing	Jan 27	Direction to revise Amber and draft new map; Discontinue Maroon, Blue, Orange
	Feb 10	Publish Amber Map Version 2 and new Violet Map
Public Hearing	Feb 17	Re-examine Blake-Dwight and Fulton-Channing-Ellsworth borders.
IRC Meeting	Feb 19	Advance Amber Map Version 2; Discontinue Violet
Public Hearing	Feb 28	Select Amber Map Version 2 to be Final Map adopted on March 16
	Mar 9	Publish Final Map
IRC Meeting	Mar 16	Adopt Final Map; Transmit to Mayor and City Council

XIV. Discussion and Development of Map Theme, January 10, 2022, Regular Meeting

Discussion of map themes at the January 10 meeting focused on the six themes listed below.

Unify and Prioritize COIs and Neighborhoods with Minor Changes: Several maps made minor changes to the current boundaries in an effort to correct concerns associated with the current map. For example, the elimination of boundaries established based on the residency of Council members reflected in the current City Council District Map as well as respecting the submitted COI requests.

Two UC Student Districts: Several maps sought to establish two supermajority “student” districts (over 66%) to increase representation of UC Berkeley student interests on the City Council. These maps usually included student population nodes on and off campus as well as north and south of campus. Often this theme resulted in substantial changes to the other six proposed districts in an effort to equalize the population in each district.

One More Compact UC Student District: Several maps reflected the substantial increase in student housing between the 2010 and 2020 Census. For example, the large number of students living in existing student housing north of campus near Euclid Avenue, recently built multi-story housing on or near campus, and the students living at the Clark Kerr campus.

More Representative and Diverse Districts: Some maps were drawn specifically to increase demographic diversity and include more varied housing types and interests. The intent was to create more socio-economic diversity within each district by splitting traditional neighborhood boundaries so each district would contain a wider range of interests.

West Berkeley District: Some maps proposed unifying west Berkeley to create a north-south oriented district that contains the Berkeley Marina, Fourth Street/Oceanview, new western University Avenue housing, and the City’s industrial and light industrial areas.

Topography: Some maps highlighted the issues of transit access and wildfire risk for neighborhoods identified by topography features of the city.

The Commission worked toward identifying consensus on significant themes and acted to provide guidance on map creation with the following criteria.

The first group of criteria were designated to be incorporated into all draft maps to the greatest extent possible.

Table 9. Mandatory Map Themes

Themes to be prioritized in all draft maps
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Less than 10% Maximum Population Variance between the largest and smallest districts b. Contiguity c. Communities of Interest/Neighborhoods d. Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible e. Correct the features of the 2010 map for Councilmember residences f. Include at least one compact student district in every map

The second group of criteria were designated to be incorporated into one or more draft map variations.

Table 10. Additional Map Themes

Themes to be included in one or more draft map variation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Single, north-south West Berkeley district b. Topography/Transit Access/Wildfire Risk c. Different configurations for two student majority districts d. High level of continuity with the existing boundaries that includes changes only as required by the six mandatory criteria above

On January 10, 2022, the Commission appointed the Map Drafting Subcommittee (Commissioners Rhodes, Nicol, and Alejos) to work with staff to create the first batch of draft maps based on the Commission’s direction.

XV. Creation of Four Draft Maps

Based on direction at the Independent Redistricting Commission’s meeting on January 10, 2022, four draft redistricting maps were created. The Commission discussed the draft maps, received community input, and provided direction regarding further development.

A. January 27, 2022, Public Hearing #3, Presentation of Four Draft Maps

On January 27, 2022, the Map Drafting Subcommittee presented the first batch of draft maps based on the direction from the Commission on January 10, 2022.

Working with staff, the Subcommittee met four times over four days to create draft maps. Initially intending to create five draft maps, the process resulted in four draft maps that responded to the full range of the Commission’s direction. This was accomplished by combining multiple aspects of the variations in the same draft map as described below.

Each draft map was given a non-numeric designation for the purpose of identification. The four draft maps are listed below in alphabetical order:

Table 11. Initial Draft Map Summary

Designation	Description
Amber Map	Continuity Map with Changes Made Only to Address Universal Criteria West Berkeley (Two Districts)
Blue Map	Two Student Districts (East-West) West Berkeley (One District)
Maroon Map	Two Student Districts (North-South) West Berkeley (Two Districts)
Orange Map	Continuity Map with Changes Made Only to Address Universal Criteria West Berkeley (One District)

The draft maps also include narratives explaining in detail how they were developed. District maps and data tables for the initial four draft maps are included in Appendix O.

B. Significant Features of Draft Maps

The primary features of the four draft maps demonstrate the following significant features identified through Commission discussion and community input in maps, written communications, COI Forms, and public comment:

- Options for West Berkeley District 1 and District 2 alignment
- One or two student-focused districts
- Continuity with current districts

These features are incorporated in various configurations in the four draft maps. The incorporation of these variations resulted in cascading required changes in several districts’ boundaries that created varying levels of adherence to the direction on neighborhood cohesion and use of major arterials.

UC Berkeley Student Population: The Census data does not include specific residency information about the UC Berkeley student population. While mapping the options for the student-focused districts, the Subcommittee discussed the location of both established student-only housing (dormitories, co-ops) and neighborhoods where students traditionally reside. This resulted in general consensus on districts that are student-focused while adhering to the mandatory criteria adopted by the Commission.

Topography / Transit Access / Wildfire Risk: During the attempt at creating a draft map that used this criteria as a predominant theme in one of the variations, it was discovered that this theme was better addressed as a complimentary theme in the other maps. A single district that encompassed the full hills area along the City’s eastern border was not compact, was separated in the middle by Strawberry Canyon, and included communities that would not traditionally be associated with common social or geographic interests. For each draft map, it is noted in the map narratives how many districts represent the higher elevation areas of the City in two, three, or four districts.

C. Public Information

The draft maps were available to the public on January 20, 2022, in the Commission agenda packet via the website. Beginning January 21, 2022, the draft maps were available on the Hub, both in the interactive viewing tool and as a PDF map book that could be downloaded. In addition, large-scale draft maps were available at the Central Library and the South Berkeley Senior Center. Large format maps were also placed at the MLK Student Union at UC Berkeley prior to the January 27, 2022, public hearing. Ledger-sized map binders were available at all branch libraries and recreation centers. There were four in-person map-viewing events hosted by City staff: one at Northbrae Community Church, one at the South Berkeley Senior Center, and two at UC Berkeley.

In all public messaging, the public was encouraged to send written comments on the maps to redistricting@cityofberkeley.info, complete a Community of Interest Form, or provide verbal testimony at a public hearing.

In consultation with activists in the community and the City's Disability Compliance Office, staff created written descriptions of the district boundaries for all draft maps for persons with low vision. These accessible descriptions are included in Appendix P.

D. Review and Action on Draft Maps, January 27, 2022

At the Commission public hearing on January 27, 2022, the Commission received public comment from 31 speakers and reviewed dozens of new written communications from the public regarding the four draft maps. Based on community input and the IRC deliberations, the Commission voted to remove the Blue, Orange, and Maroon maps from consideration (Ayes – 11; Noes 2).

The Commission voted to remove the Blue and Orange maps due to the lack of significant public input supporting the significant change from two West Berkeley Districts to a single West Berkeley District. The public testimony, both written and verbal, favored the two-district configuration for West Berkeley. Since there was no compelling impetus for the change under the state and local redistricting criteria, the Commission favored the existing alignment absent a groundswell of support for a single West Berkeley district from residents of the affected area.

The Maroon map created a significantly different configuration for District 4 and District 7 in an attempt to increase student/renter population. The changes did not result in significantly higher renter population concentrations over the Amber Map and resulted in a higher level of neighborhood disruption. Ultimately, the Commission favored creating a new map to meet the goals for increasing student/renter representation, but with more continuity with existing boundaries and neighborhoods.

The Commission voted unanimously to continue consideration of the Amber Map with modifications to the boundary between District 3 and District 8 near Ashby BART to prevent division of the community around the BART station.

The Commission also acted to request that the Map Drafting Subcommittee create a new map, with the Amber Map as the base map, that moved the portion of the Northside neighborhood south of LeConte Avenue into the student-focused district, made modifications to the boundary between District 3 and District 8 near Ashby BART to prevent division of the community around the BART station, and adjusted

District 4 in consideration of students and renters. The Subcommittee was further directed to develop a second student-focused district in a side-by-side orientation, but allowed this direction to be combined with the previously requested new map if feasible. The Commission also added Commissioner Fox to the Map Drafting Subcommittee.

XVI. Two Draft Maps for Consideration – February 17, 2022, Public Hearing #4

On February 10, 2022, the IRC published Amber Map Version 2 and the Violet Map, a new draft map. At the Commission public hearing on February 17, 2022, the Commission reviewed the modified version of the Amber Map (Amber Map Version 2) and the newly created Violet Map. The Commission received public comment from 21 speakers and reviewed new written communications from the public regarding the two draft maps.

Both the Amber Map Version 2 and the Violet Map adhere to the universal criteria:

- a. Less than 10% population deviation.
- b. Contiguous districts.
- c. Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods.
- d. Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible.
- e. Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences.
- f. Include at least one compact student district in every map.

Table 12. Summary of Second Round of Draft Maps

Designation	Description
Amber Map Version 2	Continuity Map with Changes Made Only to Address Universal Criteria West Berkeley (Two Districts)
Violet Map	Two Student/Renter Focused Districts (East-West) West Berkeley (Two Districts)

A. Review of Draft Maps

Amber Map Version 2: The revised version of the Amber Map responded to community input and Commission direction by moving the border between District 3 and District 8 from Adeline Street eastward to Shattuck Avenue. Moving the boundary to Shattuck Avenue returned the Ashby BART east parking lot, Ed Roberts Campus, and St. Paul AME Church into District 3. The corresponding population change did not adversely affect the required deviation percentage for either district or the overall city map.

There were no changes to Districts 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, or 7 in Amber Map Version 2 from the original Amber Map.

The Amber Map Version 2 contained a renter population of 78.6% in District 4 and 94.5% in District 7.

Violet Map: The Violet Map responded to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that uses the Amber Map as the base map with changes in the Northside Neighborhood and the Downtown area to focus on student and renter populations.

The Subcommittee attempted to include the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of LeConte Avenue into the student-focused district; however, the cascading effects into other districts presented

other challenges. It was determined that drawing the border one block south of LeConte Avenue on Ridge Road would achieve much of the Commission’s objective and facilitate population balancing in other surrounding districts.

Moving a portion of Northside Neighborhood and the Foothill Dormitory into District 7 lead to changes in the District 5/District 6 border. The border moved from Spruce Street to Arlington Avenue north of the Marin Circle. This change then led to District 5 moving south into District 4 to Hearst Avenue. Finally, this change caused the south border of District 4 to move one block south – from Blake Street to Parker Street – into District 3 and east into the Southside Neighborhood.

As with the Amber Map Version 2, the Violet Map modified the boundary between District 3 and District 8 to prevent division of the community near Ashby BART. The border between District 3 and District 8 moved from Adeline Street eastward to Shattuck Avenue. Moving the boundary to Shattuck Avenue returned the Ashby BART east parking lot, Ed Roberts Campus, and St. Paul AME Church into District 3.

The Violet Map contained a renter population of 79.0% in District 4 and 90.7% in District 7.

B. Significant Features of Draft Maps

West Berkeley: At the January 27, 2022, public hearing and in the written communications, there was limited support for a single West Berkeley district. Most commenters favored two representatives for West Berkeley. Absent substantial community groundswell for a significant change to the West Berkeley alignment, the Commission decided to move forward with two draft maps that both have two West Berkeley districts.

“Student-Focused” District(s): Similar to the West Berkeley discussion, a significant majority of community input favored the draft maps that had a single “student-focused” district. In the map drawing process, it was not readily apparent how to arrive at two fully student-focused districts. Since there was not a Census category for “UC Berkeley Student” the Subcommittee relied on known UC-owned student housing, co-ops, and traditional student neighborhoods to approximate a student-district. The two maps under consideration both had two districts that encompass or border UC Berkeley and have the two highest renter-occupied percentages in the City.

Continuity and Neighborhoods: There is strong advocacy from the community through written and verbal comments for the new map to maintain neighborhood cohesion and keep communities of interest in their current council district.

C. Public Information

The Amber Version 2 and Violet draft maps were available to the public in the Commission agenda packet on February 10, 2022. The new/revised maps were distributed to all locations where the first group of maps were posted - the Central Library, the ASUC Student Union in the Martin Luther King, Jr. Building, and the South Berkeley Senior Center. Updated ledger-sized map binders were available at all branch libraries and the Civic Center Building at 2180 Milvia Street.

D. Commission Action

At the February 17, 2022, public hearing, the Commission requested that staff prepare an analysis of two potential changes to Amber Map Version 2 prior to the February 19, 2022, meeting, including: 1) Moving a portion of the District 4/District 7 boundary from Fulton Street to Ellsworth street; and 2) Using Dwight Way as the northern border of District 3. This analysis was sent to the Commission and posted to the Commission website in advance of the February 19, 2022, special meeting.

XVII. Special Meeting, February 19, 2022

In order to allow for greater public access and more availability to the public, the Commission scheduled a special meeting on Saturday, February 19, 2022. At the February 19 meeting, the Commission heard from 29 members of the public during public comment. The Commission reviewed the additional analysis provided by staff on the potential changes to the Amber Map Version 2 and discussed options for drafting the changes in advance of the next meeting.

Moving the District 3 border to Dwight Way involved moving over 1,700 residents, which would have resulted in cascading changes to other district boundaries. These changes would have included less use of major arterial streets and dividing established neighborhoods. The Commission supported retaining Blake Street for the full length of the northern District 3 border as a more easily understood feature.

Adjusting the border between District 4 and District 7 in the Southside Neighborhood was considered, but the demographic information discussed for the affected area was not adequate to justify the potential change. The commission favored retaining the existing boundary in Amber Map Version 2.

For both changes, the Commission was concerned that responding to the request of a few individuals for a border change in one area would result in dissatisfaction from residents in other areas that were previously satisfied with the map boundaries, but now would have concerns after being affected by the requested changes. Ultimately, the Commission decided that there was not compelling testimony on the public record to justify making the changes on Dwight Way and the District 4/District 7 boundary.

Discussion of the Violet map centered around the goal of a student/renter-focused district and how well the map achieved that goal. Despite the best efforts of the Map Drafting Subcommittee, the Violet variation did not result in a significant difference in the renter percentage over Amber Map Version 2. The Amber Map Version 2 contains a renter population of 78.6% in District 4 and 94.5% in District 7. The Violet Map contains a renter population of 79.0% in District 4 and 90.7% in District 7. The Commission decided that the marginal difference did not justify the greater neighborhood disruption in the Violet Map.

The discussion of renter representation was raised by some community members at the public hearings and in written testimony. Analysis of the Amber Map Version 2 shows that six of the eight districts have a renter majority (all except District 5 and District 6), and three districts (District 2, District 4, and District 7) have a supermajority of renters (over 66%).

The commission voted unanimously, with three absences, to remove the Violet Map from consideration and send the Amber Map Version 2 forward in the process as published on February 10, 2022, to the February 28, 2022, public hearing for selection as the preferred final map.

FINAL MAP SELECTION

XVIII. Public Hearing #5, February 28, 2022

At the final public hearing, City staff provided a summary of the noteworthy features of the Amber Map Version 2, and compared the proposed map to the existing districts and neighborhood boundaries. The Commission received public testimony from eight speakers and discussed a few final aspects of the map regarding the configuration of the census blocks in the Marina, and the proposed changes from the February 19, 2022, meeting.

The changes to the District 1/District 2 border in the Marina was due to a change in the Census Block boundaries. Previously, the boundary ran along University Avenue and straight into the Bay on the line of the Berkeley Pier. The new block boundary included all of the harbor and the area of the Marina south of University Avenue into the same census block.


The Commission voted unanimously, with one absence, to advance Amber Map Version 2 as published on February 10, 2022, to the March 16, 2022, meeting for formal adoption.

XIX. Adoption of Final Map, March 16, 2022

At the final meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission on March 16, 2022, the Commission **unanimously** approved Amber Map Version 2 as the official map for Berkeley City Council Districts. The Commission transmitted the map to the City Council for adoption of the redistricting ordinance to implement the map boundaries. At the March 16, 2022, meeting, the Commission also **unanimously** approved the Final Report for submission to the public and the City Council.

XX. Final Map - Amber Map Version 2

Image 4. Amber Map Version 2



A. Final Map Narrative

The original Amber Map was created by the Map Drafting Subcommittee to respond to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes only as needed to meet the six universal map criteria. The general satisfaction with current boundaries was a common theme in several COI Forms and written communications.

The universal criteria designated by the Commission are:

- Maximum of 10% population deviation.
- Contiguous districts.
- Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods.
- Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible.
- Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences.
- Include at least one compact student district in every map.

Based on valuable public input at the January 27, 2022, public hearing, the Subcommittee was directed to create a new version of the Amber Map to adjust the border between District 3 and District 8 near Ashby

BART. Amber Map Version 2 followed the Commission direction by moving the border between District 3 and District 8 east from Adeline Street to Shattuck Avenue to include the Ed Roberts Campus, the Ashby BART east lot, and St. Paul AME Church in District 3.

B. Compliance with Redistricting Criteria

Amber Map Version 2 is compliant with all applicable mandated regulations as noted in the report and summarized below. District-by-district maps and data tables for Amber Map Version 2 are provided in Appendix Q.

- 1) Equal Population: The map contains a maximum deviation of 7.76% between the largest district (District 3 at +2.72%) and the smallest district (District 5 at -5.04%). This deviation is within the allowable 10% threshold.
- 2) Contiguity: All of the council districts are geographically contiguous.
- 3) Neighborhoods/Communities of Interest: The map improves on the neighborhood integrity compared to the existing boundaries and the other draft maps. The map unifies the following neighborhoods: Westbrae, Poets Corner, LeConte, Lorin, Willard, and Northside; and responds to specific communities of interest in South Berkeley, Central Berkeley, West Berkeley, San Pablo Park Neighbors, Bart Station Neighbors, renters, and others.
- 4) Easily Understood Boundaries/Traffic Arteries/Geographic Boundaries: The use of major arterials is a significant component of the final map. The map uses Sacramento Street, University Avenue, Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, Cedar Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, Hearst Avenue, Dwight Way, Telegraph Avenue, Ashby Avenue, and Shattuck Avenue to the maximum extent possible.
- 5) Compactness: The districts in all draft maps are relatively compact and do not bypass nearby populations in favor of more distant populations or result in odd-shaped polygons with contorted boundaries.
- 6) Topography, Geography, Cohesiveness and Integrity: These criteria are reflected in the map thusly:
 - a. Topography: The Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).
 - b. Geography: City Council district boundaries do not cross any significant geographic features in a manner that negatively affects neighborhoods or communities of interest.
 - c. The Cohesiveness and Integrity of the plan is evidenced by the re-unification of several traditional neighborhoods as described in paragraph 3) above, and the responsiveness to communities of interest.
- 7) Additional Criteria: The Amber Map Version 2 adheres to the Charter criteria as stated above and incorporates the additional criteria as approved by the Commission on January 10, 2022, to: 1) correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 2) include at least one compact student district in every map.

The final map achieves the first directive by returning the “cap” on District 4 (the block bounded by Milvia Street, Vine Street, Henry Street, and Cedar Street) to District 5, and by removing the “tail” from District 7 to unify the LeConte and Willard neighborhoods in District 8.

The second directive is accounted for with the consolidation of District 7, the traditional “student district,” in the campus and Southside areas closer to campus, and by adding the International House student housing complex to District 7.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Berkeley's redistricting process began in the summer of 2020 with the announcement that applications to serve on the first-ever Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission would be available on September 8, 2020, almost 19 months ago.

Over the past two years, both the 2020 Census Count and the ensuing Redistricting process faced unprecedented challenges with the COVID-19 pandemic looming over every aspect. It forced the Commission to adopt new and novel approaches to public outreach, holding public meetings virtually, and working collaboratively to create the draft maps. The pandemic also delayed the release of the Census data, which dramatically altered and compressed the timeline to draw the new districts.

Despite these challenges, the process engaged the public, and was conducted in an open and transparent manner with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations. Under difficult conditions, the Commission, the public, and City staff have been able to complete the process on time for the November 2022 election, and within budget.

The final map is compliant with all applicable laws and reflects the extensive input received from the community. The participation by the Berkeley community has been an invaluable asset throughout the process and helped guide the Commission's work.

This report satisfies the requirements of Berkeley City Charter Section 9.5(d)(3). It is the sincerest hope of the Commission that the City Council, the Berkeley community, and future incarnations of the Commission find this report an informative and useful record of the City Council redistricting process conducted after the 2020 United States Census Count.

APPENDICES

- A. Governing Regulations for Local Redistricting
- B. Independent Redistricting Commission Bylaws
- C. Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting Data Summary
- D. Outreach Plan for Berkeley Redistricting
- E. Commissioner Recruitment and Public Outreach Materials
- F. Outreach Log for Berkeley Redistricting Activities
- G. Redistricting Hub Website
- H. Alameda County Complete Count Committee Final Report
- I. Community of Interest Forms Submitted by the Public
- J. Community of Interest Form Matrix
- K. Public Submission Process Packet
- L. Paper Map Template for Public Map Submissions
- M. Redistricting Maps Submitted by the Public
- N. Public Map Review Matrix
- O. Draft Maps – Amber, Orange, Blue, Maroon, Violet
- P. Accessible Descriptions of Draft Map Boundaries
- Q. Final Adopted Map – Amber Map Version 2
- R. Berkeley Redistricting Plan
- S. Links to Redistricting Resources (Written Communications, Census Data, Commission Minutes)

Redistricting Regulations

Berkeley Charter Article V

Section 9.5. Independent Redistricting Commission

The purposes of this Section are to: 1) establish a redistricting process that is open and transparent and allows public comment on the drawing of district boundaries; 2) ensure that City Council district boundaries are drawn according to the redistricting criteria set forth in this Charter and applicable State and Federal laws; and 3) ensure that the redistricting process is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations. In order to accomplish these purposes, an Independent Redistricting Commission (Commission) is hereby created.

(a) Duties and authority of Commission and City Council.

(1) The Independent Redistricting Commission shall be solely responsible for drawing City Council district boundaries in accordance with state and federal law and this Charter, and shall make adjustments as appropriate, taking into consideration public comment at public meetings and public hearings. The City Council shall have no role in developing or adopting a redistricting plan, and its sole responsibilities in redistricting shall be to: adopt an ordinance establishing procedures to implement this Section; adopt a redistricting ordinance as set forth in subdivision (d)(3); submit a final redistricting plan to the voters as set forth in subdivision (d)(4); submit a redistricting ordinance that is the subject of a referendum to the voters as set forth in subdivision (d)(5); and to adopt the redistricting plan determined by a special master as set forth in subdivision (d)(4).

(2) The City Council, as part of the adoption of the City Budget, shall allocate sufficient funds to support the work of the Independent Redistricting Commission, including funds necessary for community outreach, costs for city staff time associated with supporting the work of the Independent Redistricting Commission, and the hiring of any necessary consultants or outside counsel.

(3) The City Clerk or their designee shall serve as Secretary to the Commission.

(4) The City Council, by a two-thirds vote, shall adopt an ordinance establishing procedures to implement this Charter section. An implementation ordinance cannot be modified by the Council for a period of five years after initial adoption, and without a two-thirds vote of the Council, unless adoption of an amendment to the Charter, a change in applicable state or federal statute, or court decision necessitates an earlier modification.

Redistricting Regulations

(b) Appointment of Commission.

(1) Membership. The Commission shall consist of thirteen members, each of whom is a resident of the City of Berkeley. The application and selection process set forth below and by ordinance is intended to produce an Independent Redistricting Commission that is independent from legislative and political influence, and reasonably representative of the City's population.

(2) Term. Members of the Independent Redistricting Commission shall be appointed following each decennial federal census as set forth below. The term of office of each member of the Commission shall expire upon the effectiveness of a redistricting plan for that decennial federal census period.

(3) Qualifications and eligibility. All Berkeley residents who are 18 years of age or older at the time their application is submitted, are eligible for membership on the Independent Redistricting Commission, subject to the following limitations.

(i) The following individuals are prohibited from serving on the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

(A) any individual who currently holds, has held, or who has been a qualified candidate for the office of Mayor or City Councilmember within the two years preceding the date of application;

(B) any other individual who holds or has held any City of Berkeley elective office identified in this Charter within the two years preceding the date of application;

(C) the immediate family of the Mayor or any Councilmember, as well as immediate family of staff to the Mayor or Councilmember;

(D) any employee of the City of Berkeley;

(E) any person performing paid services under a contract with the City of Berkeley, including employees of subcontractors;

Redistricting Regulations

(F) any individual who has served as an officer, paid staff, or paid consultant of a campaign committee of a candidate for Mayor or City Council within the two years preceding the date of the application;

(G) any individual who is currently, or within the two years preceding the date of application, has been a paid staff member or unpaid intern to the Mayor or any Councilmember;

(H) any individual ineligible to serve in public office under Government Code sections [1021](#), [1021.5](#), [1770](#), or the Constitution and laws of the State of California.

(ii) If an applicant currently serves on a City of Berkeley board or commission whose members are appointed by the Mayor, a City Councilmember, or the full City Council, they may serve on the Independent Redistricting Commission if selected, provided they resign from the board or commission and they agree not to serve on another City of Berkeley board or commission during their term of office on the Independent Redistricting Commission.

(iii) If an applicant has made a disclosable monetary or non-monetary contribution to a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember, they shall be permitted to serve on the Independent Redistricting Commission if selected, under the condition that they disclose under penalty of perjury all monetary and non-monetary contributions made within the four years prior to the date of application to a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember in the City of Berkeley.

(iv) No person, within two years after the termination of their service on the Commission, will be eligible for employment as a paid staff member for the Mayor or any Councilmember or to serve on a City of Berkeley board or commission.

(4) Outreach. The City shall widely publicize the fact that an Independent Redistricting Commission will be appointed during the following year, the date by which applications for appointment to the Commission must be received, and such other information as will adequately inform potentially interested residents of the Commission. The City shall conduct outreach throughout the City of Berkeley in order to solicit a large pool of applicants and applicant diversity by race, ethnicity, gender, and geography.

Redistricting Regulations

(5) Application process. The City Clerk shall initiate and advertise a 30-day nomination period for appointment to the Independent Redistricting Commission. The nomination process shall be open to Berkeley residents who are 18 years of age or older at the time their application is submitted, and be conducted in a manner that promotes a diverse and qualified applicant pool.

(6) Selection process.

(i) The City Clerk shall screen all applications submitted to ensure that each applicant satisfies the eligibility criteria of subsection (b)(3)(i). Procedures to implement the nomination and screening process that are not specified in this Section will be specified in the implementing ordinance adopted by Council.

(ii) At a time and place open to the public, and subject to at least ten days' public notice, the City Clerk shall select the initial eight members of the Independent Redistricting Commission. The City Clerk shall randomly select one person from each of the eight council districts. The first person chosen from each pool shall be appointed to the Independent Redistricting Commission. The City Clerk shall then randomly select one additional individual from each of the eight council districts to serve as an alternate for the individual who has been appointed from that district. To implement this paragraph, the City Clerk shall determine a randomized method that meets professional standards and best achieves a random selection.

(iii) The Independent Redistricting Commission, consisting of the initial eight (8) members, shall then convene within ten days for the purpose of selecting the remaining five members and five alternates from the pool of eligible applicants. In appointing the remaining ("at-large") five members, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall attempt to achieve community representation by taking into consideration geographic diversity, race, age and gender. At-large alternates shall be appointed as voting members as the at-large commissioners leave office for any reason. The order in which the alternates shall be seated on the Commission as voting members shall be established by a random method at the time they are selected. All appointments under this paragraph shall be at a noticed meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission open to the public.

Redistricting Regulations

(c) Commission procedures.

(1) The Independent Redistricting Commission shall establish and implement an open process for public input and Commission deliberation that shall be promoted through a thorough outreach program to solicit broad public participation in the redistricting process. All Independent Redistricting Commission meetings shall be open to the public unless necessary to convene in closed session under California Government Code sections [54950](#) et seq. Members of the public shall have the opportunity to provide written and oral comments to the Independent Redistricting Commission. The Commission's process must be designed to provide the widest public access reasonably possible to draft redistricting maps and to provide ample opportunity for the public to observe and participate in the redistricting process.

(2) The City Manager shall produce redistricting plans and maps based on specific direction from the Commission. The Commission shall also accept and consider maps that are submitted by the public.

(d) Commission redistricting proceedings.

(1) The Independent Redistricting Commission shall adopt City Council district boundaries no later than February 1st of the second year after the year in which each decennial federal census is taken, or nine months after final adjustments are made to the census data, whichever is later. The boundaries shall be effective until the adoption of new district boundaries following the next decennial federal census. The City Council may not rescind, supersede or revise the district boundaries adopted by the Independent Redistricting Commission.

(2) Decisions by the Independent Redistricting Commission to adopt a redistricting plan shall be by seven votes of the Commission.

(3) Concurrently with its adoption of a redistricting plan, the Commission shall issue a report that explains its decisions in achieving compliance with the criteria listed in this Section and shall include definitions of the terms and standards used in drawing the final City Council districts map. The redistricting plan adopted by the Commission shall be submitted to the City Council at its next regular or special meeting consistent with Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter [2.06](#), and the City Council shall at that meeting adopt a redistricting ordinance that implements the redistricting plan without change.

Redistricting Regulations

(4) Impasse procedure. If the Commission is unable to achieve seven affirmative votes to adopt a redistricting plan, then the Commission shall submit to the City Council the map which received the most votes of the Commission to be placed on the ballot. In the event that redistricting plan is rejected by the voters, the Commission shall have 30 days to adopt a new redistricting plan by seven affirmative votes. If the Commission, after rejection of the map by the voters, cannot adopt a final redistricting plan by seven affirmative votes, then the Commission shall request that the City Clerk recommend a list of at least three special masters to develop a redistricting plan. The Commission shall consider the recommendations of the City Clerk and select a special master, by majority vote, to develop a redistricting plan. The City Council shall adopt by ordinance the redistricting plan determined by the special master.

(5) A redistricting ordinance adopted by the City Council shall be subject to referendum in the same manner that an ordinance is subject to referendum pursuant to state law and Article XIV of the City Charter. The date of final adoption of the ordinance by the City Council shall be deemed the date of final passage for the purposes of Section 93 of the Charter. The procedures of Section 93 shall apply to a referendum of a redistricting ordinance, except that if a referendum petition is signed by the requisite number of qualified electors the City Council shall submit the ordinance to the voters at the next General Municipal Election.

(e) Removal of Commissioners.

(1) Commissioners should apply the law in a manner that is impartial and reinforces public confidence and integrity in the redistricting process.

(2) In the event of substantial neglect of duty, gross misconduct in office or inability to discharge the duties of office, or if it is determined that a commissioner is ineligible under subdivision (b)(3), a Commissioner may be removed by a two-thirds vote of the Independent Redistricting Commission, after having been served written notice and provided with an opportunity to respond.

(3) Any vacancy, whether created by removal, resignation, or absence pursuant to Berkeley Municipal Code Section [3.02.020](#) or its successor, shall be filled by the alternate for that Commission seat selected at the time of the original selection. If the alternate is unable to serve, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall fill the vacancy by selecting an applicant from the original pool of applicants by a two-thirds vote at a noticed

Redistricting Regulations

meeting open to the public. If the seat to be filled is one representing a specific City Council district, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall appoint an individual who resides in that City Council district.

(4) No disqualification of a commissioner shall have any effect on the validity of any action by the Commission or any redistricting map it may adopt.

(f) Criteria for redistricting.

(1) The Commission shall adjust the boundaries of City Council districts in a manner that complies with the Constitution and statutes of the United States and the State of California, in order that the eight City Council districts shall be as nearly equal in population as may be according to the most recent decennial federal census, except where deviation is required to comply with the federal Voting Rights Act.

(2) In establishing and modifying district boundaries, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall take into consideration topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity and compactness of territory of the districts, as well as existing communities of interest as defined below, and shall utilize easily understood district boundaries such as major traffic arteries and geographic boundaries to the extent they are consistent with communities of interest. The geographic integrity of a neighborhood or community of interest shall be respected to the extent possible without violating State or Federal law or the requirements of this Section. For purposes of this subsection “communities of interest” shall mean the following: A community of interest is a contiguous population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Such shared interests include but are not limited to those common to areas in which the people share similar living standards, use the same transportation facilities, have similar work opportunities, or have access to the same media of communication relevant to the election process, as well as neighborhoods, students, organized student housing, shared age, and racial demographics. Communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(3) Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.

Redistricting Regulations

(4) The Independent Redistricting Commission may consider existing district boundaries as a basis for developing new district boundaries. Should the Commission deviate substantially in its redistricting plan from the previous district boundaries in order to reflect population growth, protect communities of interest or better comply with the redistricting criteria in the Charter, it shall issue a report explaining its reasons for doing so.

(5) The Independent Redistricting Commission shall not consider the residence of sitting Councilmembers.

(6) If the Independent Redistricting Commission adopts a redistricting plan that removes the residence of a sitting Councilmember from their then-current district, that Councilmember shall continue to serve on the City Council until the expiration of their term.

(g) Severability. Should any provision of this Section be held invalid, the remainder of this Section shall not be affected thereby, and such word, phrase, sentence, part, section, subsection, or other portion shall be severable, and the remaining provisions of this Section shall remain in full force and effect. The voters hereby declare that they would have passed this Section and each subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases had been declared invalid

Redistricting Regulations

Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.10

Citizens Redistricting Commission

2.10.010 Purpose.

The purpose of this Chapter is to implement Article V, Section 9.5 of the Charter, which provides for the decennial establishment of a Citizens Redistricting Commission in order to ensure an open and transparent redistricting process that allows public comment on the drawing of district boundaries and is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.020 Definitions.

- A. “Commission” means the Citizens Redistricting Commission.
- B. “Immediate Family” means a spouse, domestic partner, cohabitant, child, stepchild, grandchild, parent, stepparent, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, grandparent, great grandparent, brother, sister, half-brother, half-sister, stepsibling, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, aunt, uncle, niece, nephew, or first cousin (that is, a child of an aunt or uncle).
- C. “Special Master” means an individual with the requisite expertise and qualification on the subject of redistricting that is appointed by the commission to create a council district map pursuant to the impasse procedures of the City Charter. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.030 Commission Composition.

- A. The Commission shall consist of eight (8) District Commissioners (one (1) for each Council district) and five (5) At-Large Commissioners.
- B. There shall be eight (8) Alternate District Commissioners and five (5) alternate At-Large Commissioners. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.040 Duties of the City Clerk.

- A. Beginning no later than September 1st of the year in which the decennial federal census is taken, the City Clerk shall conduct public outreach as specified in Charter Section 9.5(b)(4).

Redistricting Regulations

- B. No later than February 1st of the year after the decennial federal census is taken, the City Clerk shall initiate the nomination process as specified in Charter Section 9.5(b)(5).
- C. The City Clerk shall develop and recommend a budget for the Commission sufficient to carry out the requirements of the City Charter and this Chapter.
- D. The City Clerk or City Clerk's designee shall serve as Secretary to the Citizens Redistricting Commission.
- E. At each meeting or public hearing of the Commission, the City Clerk shall make available for public viewing copies of each Commissioner's application to serve on the Commission as well as copies of all political, financial or other disclosures required of each Commissioner by Section 9.5 of the Charter or any other provision of City or state law, including but not limited to each Commissioner's Statement of Economic Interests and any disclosures under Charter Section 9.5(b)(3)(iii). (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.050 Application and Selection of Commissioners.

- A. All applicants shall submit their application on a form provided by the City Clerk, which shall include a declaration under penalty of perjury that the applicant meets the eligibility criteria set forth in Charter Section 9.5 and this Chapter, has made all required disclosures, and that the statements they are making are true and correct.
- B. The Commission application shall include questions asking if an applicant falls into one or more of the prohibited categories set forth in Charter Section 9.5(b)(3)(i).
 - 1. If an applicant discloses that they fall into any of the prohibited categories set forth in Charter Section 9.5(b)(3)(i), the applicant shall be removed from the applicant pool and shall not be considered for appointment.
 - 2. If it is determined at any point during the selection process that an applicant falls into one or more of the prohibited categories as set forth in Charter Section 9.5(b)(3)(i) then that applicant shall be disqualified.
 - 3. If, after being selected and appointed to the Commission, it is determined that a Commissioner falls into one of the prohibited categories set forth in Charter

Redistricting Regulations

Section 9.5(b)(3)(i), the Commissioner shall be immediately removed from the Commission, as provided for in Charter Section 9.5(e) and this Chapter.

C. All applicants shall affirm that, if selected, they shall comply with all requirements of the Charter and this Chapter applicable to members of the Commission.

D. Applicants shall file a Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700), provide a written statement of qualifications not longer than three hundred (300) words expressing why they believe they are qualified to serve on the Commission, and consent to a background check if appointed. Promptly after reviewing the background check to determine eligibility, the City Clerk shall either return it to the applicant or destroy it.

E. To the extent permitted by law, all application forms, materials and disclosures shall be kept confidential, and shall not be released to the public until all thirteen (13) Commissioners have been appointed.

F. After closure of the 30-day nomination period provided for in Charter Section 9.5(b)(5), the City Clerk shall remove from the applicant pool any applicants who do not satisfy the eligibility criteria set forth in Charter Section 9.5(b)(3), and shall divide the remaining applicants into separate pools, one for each then-existing City Council district in which the applicants reside. If there is an insufficient number of applicants in the pool of eligible applicants to fill a district seat or an alternate seat, the Commission may request, by a majority vote, that the City Clerk conduct a new, accelerated nomination and selection process to add additional applicants to the pool of eligible applicants.

G. The selection process for District Commissioners and At-Large Commissioners shall be conducted in accordance with Section 9.5(b)(6) of the City Charter and this Chapter.

H. When selecting the five (5) At-Large Commissioners, if there is an insufficient number of applicants in the remaining pool of eligible applicants to fill five (5) at-large seats and five (5) alternate at-large seats, the Commission may request, by a majority vote, that the City Clerk conduct a new, accelerated nomination and selection process to add additional applicants to the remaining pool of eligible applicants.

1. Once the pool of eligible applicants has a sufficient number of applicants to fill five (5) at-large seats and five (5) alternate at-large seats, the Commission shall, by a majority vote, select five (5) additional individuals from the remaining pool to serve

Redistricting Regulations

as At-Large Commissioners and five (5) more individuals to serve as alternate At-Large Commissioners.

I. All commissioners and alternate commissioners shall swear or affirm the oath for public officials prescribed by the California Constitution. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.060 Commission procedures.

A. The Commission shall operate under the provisions of the Commissioners' Manual, as adopted by resolution of the City Council, except when superseded by the City Charter or the provisions of this Chapter.

B. After selection of the five (5) At-Large Commissioners pursuant to Charter Section 9.5(b)(6)(iii), the Commission shall elect one (1) of its members to serve as Chair and one (1) to serve as the Vice-Chair. The term of the Chair and Vice-Chair is the term of their service on the Commission as defined in Charter Section 9.5(b)(2), unless the Chair or Vice-Chair resign the position or is removed from the position by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the Commission. The Commissioner selected by the body to fill a vacancy in the position of Chair or Vice-Chair shall serve the remainder of the term.

C. Once all commissioners and alternate commissioners have completed training related to their service on the Commission, the Commission shall convene for the purpose of drawing City Council district boundaries.

D. For the purpose of selecting the At-Large Commissioners and At-Large Alternates, a quorum of the eight (8) District Commissioners is always five (5), and five (5) affirmative votes are always needed to take action unless otherwise specified by statute.

E. A quorum of the full thirteen (13) member Commission is always seven (7), and seven (7) affirmative votes are always needed to take action unless otherwise specified by statute.

F. Commissioners may make a request for a Leave of Absence from a Commission meeting, or for a period of time not to exceed three (3) months, by submitting a written request to the City Clerk by 5:00pm on the business day prior to the Commission meeting. The temporary vacancy will be filled as specified in Charter Section 9.5(e)(3).

Redistricting Regulations

G. The Commission shall comply with all relevant provisions of the Open Government Ordinance (Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter [2.06](#)).

H. The Commission shall hold at least three (3) public hearings, each at a different location, to solicit public input on redistricting priorities and allow for submission of redistricting proposals by members of the public and public review of and input on any map proposed to be adopted by the Commission. The Commission shall display draft redistricting maps for public comment in a manner designed to achieve the widest public access reasonably possible and shall provide ample opportunity for public input.

I. Commissioners are strictly prohibited from communicating with or initiating or receiving communications about redistricting matters from anyone outside of a public meeting or hearing; however, communications outside of a meeting between Commissioners, staff, legal counsel, and consultants retained by the City, that are otherwise permitted by the Brown Act (California Government Code Section [54950](#) et seq.) or its successor, are not prohibited. The receipt of written communications (whether through paper or electronic format) from the public submitted at a public meeting of the Commission or submitted prior to a Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting to the Secretary and made part of the public record are not prohibited. Any communication received by a Commissioner inconsistent with this subsection shall be promptly disclosed to the Secretary for the public record. Failure to disclose received communications or a Commissioner's response to such communications may be considered gross misconduct and grounds for removal from the Commission.

J. Alternate commissioners may attend Commission meetings, other than closed session meetings under the Brown Act, and may give public comment to the Commission. Unless appointed to serve on the Commission, Alternate Commissioners may not vote in Commission meetings or hearings.

K. Upon the expiration of thirty (30) days after the Council's final approval by ordinance of the Commission's redistricting plan, the City Clerk shall submit the new district boundaries to the Alameda County Registrar of Voters for implementation starting with the next General Municipal Election. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.070 Removal of Commissioners and Alternate Commissioners.

If a Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner is eligible to be removed pursuant to Charter Section 9.5(e), the Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner shall be provided

Redistricting Regulations

written notice. The vote to remove the Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner shall appear on the next Commission agenda for which no posting or publication deadline has passed, occurring not more than thirty (30) days from the date the notice was mailed. The Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner may provide a written response or may provide a verbal response at the meeting of the Commission where the vote for removal will occur. The Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner may be removed by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the Commission. A Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner subject to removal may vote on their own removal. The decision of the Commission is final and may not be appealed. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.080 Selection of Special Master.

In the event of an impasse in which the City Clerk is required to recommend, and the Commission is required to select, a Special Master pursuant to Charter Section 9.5(d)(4), the City Clerk and Commission shall consider retired judges, professors with knowledge about redistricting and reapportionment law, experts with experience advising government agencies on redistricting, and other persons with appropriate knowledge, expertise and experience. The Commission must select a Special Master within thirty (30) days of the City Clerk presenting their recommendations. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.090 Compensation.

A. Voting members of the Commission shall be compensated at a rate of one hundred dollars (\$100) per meeting for attendance at a regular meeting of the full Commission to compensate for the time the Commissioner is engaged in Commission business.

B. The rate of compensation for Commissions seated after each of the subsequent federal decennial censuses will be calculated based on the increase in the Consumer Price Index over the compensation provided for in paragraph (A) of this section.

C. Members of the Commission who meet eligibility requirements may also receive reimbursement for expenses for child care, dependent care, or disabled support services in the same manner as members of City boards and commissions. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

Redistricting Regulations

2.10.100 Severability.

Should any provision of this Chapter be held invalid, the remainder of this Chapter shall not be affected thereby, and such word, phrase, sentence, part, section, subsection, or other portion shall be severable, and the remaining provisions of this Chapter shall remain in full force and effect. The voters hereby declare that they would have passed this Chapter and each subsection, sentence, clause, and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more subsections, sentences, clauses, or phrases had been declared invalid, and that each subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, word, or other portion is therefore explicitly severable, part-by-part, phrase-by-phrase, and word-by-word, and that if any portion is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unlawful, unenforceable, or otherwise void, voidable, or invalid, that the least amount of language possible shall be severed from the Chapter. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

Redistricting Regulations

Fair Maps Act (AB 849)

SECTION 1.

This act shall be known, and may be cited, as the Fair And Inclusive Redistricting for Municipalities And Political Subdivisions (FAIR MAPS) Act.

SEC. 28.

Section 21620 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21620.

(a) This article applies to charter cities that elect members of the charter city's legislative body by districts or from districts, as defined in Section 34871 of the Government Code.

(b) This article shall not be interpreted to limit the discretionary remedial authority of any federal or state court.

SEC. 29.

Section 21621 of the Elections Code is repealed.

SEC. 30.

Section 21621 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21621.

(a) Following each federal decennial census, and using that census as a basis, the council shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the council districts of the city so that the council districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.

(1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the city as determined by the census.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person, as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a city's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the city, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.

Redistricting Regulations

(b) The council shall adopt council district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).

(c) The council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:

(1) To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

(2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(3) Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the city.

(4) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, council districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

(d) The council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

SEC. 31.

Section 21622 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21622.

(a) The boundaries of the council districts shall be adopted by the council no earlier than August 1, 2021, and August 1 in each year ending in the number one thereafter, but no later than 151 days before the city’s next regular election occurring after March 1, 2022, and after March 1 in each year ending in the number two thereafter. However, this

Redistricting Regulations

subdivision does not prohibit the council from holding public hearings or workshops on the placement of council district boundaries before August 1.

(b) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted a different redistricting deadline by ordinance or in its city charter before October 1, 2021, and October 1 of each year ending in the number one thereafter.

SEC. 32.

Section 21623 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21623.

(a) If the boundaries of a city expand by the addition of new territory, including through annexation of unincorporated territory or consolidation with another city, the council shall add that new territory to the nearest existing council district without changing the boundaries of other council district boundaries.

(b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the council may adopt new boundaries for each council district if both of the following conditions are met:

(1) There are more than four years until the council is next required to redistrict pursuant to Section 21621.

(2) The population of the new territory being annexed or consolidated is greater than 25 percent of the city's population as determined by the most recent federal decennial census.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted, by ordinance or in its city charter, a different standard for adding new territory to existing council districts.

SEC. 33.

Section 21625 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21625.

(a) After redistricting or districting pursuant to Section 21621 or 21623, a council shall not adopt new council district boundaries until after the next federal decennial census, except under the following circumstances:

(1) A court orders the council to redistrict.

Redistricting Regulations

(2) The council is settling a legal claim that its council district boundaries violate the United States Constitution, the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.), or this article.

(3) The boundaries of the city expand by the addition of new territory pursuant to Section 21623.

(b) This section does not prohibit a council from adopting council districts between federal decennial censuses if the council is adopting council districts for the first time, including when a city adopts council districts for the purpose of transitioning from electing its council members in at-large elections to elections by districts or from districts.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted different rules for mid-cycle redistricting in its city charter.

SEC. 34.

Section 21626 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21626.

(a) The term of office of any council member who has been elected and whose term of office has not expired shall not be affected by any change in the boundaries of the district from which the council member was elected.

(b) At the first election for council members in each city following adoption of the boundaries of council districts, a council member shall be elected for each district under the new district plan that has the same district number as a district whose incumbent's term is due to expire.

(c) The successor to the office in a council district for which the boundaries have changed shall be a resident and voter of that council district.

SEC. 35.

Section 21627 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21627.

Before adopting the boundaries of a council district pursuant to Section 21621, 21623, or 21624, or for any other reason, the council shall hold public hearings on the proposal, in accordance with Section 21627.1. This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

Redistricting Regulations

SEC. 36.

Section 21627.1 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21627.1.

(a) Before adopting a final map, the council shall hold at least four public hearings at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of one or more council districts.

(1) At least one public hearing shall be held before the council draws a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

(2) At least two public hearings shall be held after the council has drawn a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

(b) At least one public hearing or public workshop shall be held on a Saturday, on a Sunday, or after 6 p.m. on a weekday Monday through Friday.

(c) Public hearing buildings shall be accessible to persons with disabilities.

(d) If a public hearing is consolidated with a regular or special meeting of the council that includes other substantive agenda items, the public hearing shall begin at a fixed time regardless of its order on the agenda, except that the council may first conclude any item being discussed or acted upon, including any associated public comment, when that time occurs. The time of the public hearing shall be noticed to the public.

(e) The council may have city staff or a consultant conduct one or more public workshops in lieu of holding one of the public hearings required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a).

(f) The council may establish an advisory redistricting commission pursuant to Section 23002 to hold the public hearings required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a).

SEC. 37.

Section 21628 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21628.

(a) The council shall take steps to encourage residents, including those in underrepresented communities and non-English speaking communities, to participate in the redistricting public review process. These steps shall include a good faith effort to do all of the following:

Redistricting Regulations

- (1) Providing information to media organizations that provide city news coverage, including media organizations that serve language minority communities.
- (2) Providing information through good government, civil rights, civic engagement, or community groups or organizations that are active in the city, including those active in language minority communities, or that have requested to be notified concerning city redistricting.
- (b) The council shall arrange for the live translation of a public hearing or workshop held pursuant to this article in an applicable language if a request for translation is made at least 72 hours before the hearing or workshop, unless less than five days' notice are provided for the hearing or workshop, in which case the request shall be made at least 48 hours before the hearing or workshop.
- (c) Notwithstanding Section 54954.2 of the Government Code, the council shall publish the date, time, and location for any public hearing or workshop on the internet at least five days before the hearing or workshop. However, if there are fewer than 179 days until the city's next regular election, the council may publish the agenda on the internet for at least three days before the hearing or workshop.
- (d) (1) A draft map shall be published on the internet for at least seven days before being adopted as a final map by the council provided that, if there are fewer than 179 days until the city's next regular election, the draft map may instead be published on the internet for at least three days.
- (2) Each draft map prepared by a member of the council or by employees of the city shall be accompanied with information on the total population, citizen voting age population, and racial and ethnic characteristics of the citizen voting age population of each proposed council district, to the extent the city has that data.
- (e) The council shall allow the public to submit testimony or draft maps in writing and electronically.
- (f) The city shall either record or prepare a written summary of each public comment and council deliberation made at every public hearing or workshop held pursuant to this article. The city shall make the recording or written summary available to the public within two weeks after the public hearing or workshop.
- (g) The council shall establish, and maintain for at least 10 years after the adoption of new council district boundaries, an internet web page dedicated to redistricting. The web

Redistricting Regulations

page may be hosted on the city's existing internet website or another internet website maintained by the city. The web page shall include, or link to, all of the following information:

- (1) A general explanation of the redistricting process for the city in English and any applicable language.
- (2) The procedures for a member of the public to testify during a public hearing or to submit written testimony directly to the council in English and any applicable language.
- (3) A calendar of all public hearing and workshop dates. A calendar listing that includes the time and location of the public hearing or workshop satisfies the notice required by subdivision (c).
- (4) The notice and agenda for each public hearing and workshop.
- (5) The recording or written summary of each public hearing and workshop.
- (6) Each draft map considered by the council at a public hearing.
- (7) The adopted final map of council district boundaries.
- (h) For purposes of this section, "applicable language" means any language that is spoken by a group of city residents with limited English proficiency who constitute 3 percent or more of the city's total population over four years of age for whom language can be determined. Before January 1, 2021, and before January 1 in every year ending in the number one thereafter, the Secretary of State shall post the applicable languages for each city in a conspicuous location on the Secretary of State's internet website. To determine the applicable languages for each city, in 2020 and in each year ending in the number zero thereafter, the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Statewide Database, shall request a special tabulation from the United States Bureau of the Census of the most recent data on limited English proficiency from the bureau's American Community Survey that satisfies this subdivision. If the bureau is unable to produce that data, the Secretary of State shall base the Secretary of State's determination on the table from the American Community Survey enumerating the number of residents with limited English proficiency that has the largest number of languages included, that is publicly available, and that was produced within the previous ten years.
- (i) This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

Redistricting Regulations

(j) Before January 1, 2021, and before January in each year ending in the number one thereafter, the Secretary of State shall publish on the internet a template explaining the city redistricting process that meets the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2), inclusive, of subdivision (g). The Secretary of State shall publish the template in all of the languages into which ballots are required to be translated in the state pursuant to subdivision (h). The template shall be published in the same conspicuous location on the Secretary of State's internet website that is described in subdivision (h).

SEC. 38.

Section 21629 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21629.

(a) If the council does not adopt council district boundaries by the deadlines set forth in Section 21622 or subdivision (a) or (b) of Section 21624, the council shall immediately petition the superior court in the county in which the city is located for an order adopting council district boundaries. If the council does not petition the superior court within five days after the deadline, any resident of the city may file that petition and shall be entitled to recover the resident's reasonable attorney's fees and costs from the city for doing so.

(b) (1) Upon finding that a petition filed pursuant to this subdivision is valid, the superior court shall adopt council district boundaries in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section 21621, which shall be used in the city's next regular election. The superior court may also order the adjustment of electoral deadlines as necessary to implement the new council district boundaries in the next regular election.

(2) The superior court may appoint a special master to assist the court with adopting the council district boundaries. The city shall pay the cost for the special master and associated costs.

(3) The superior court or the special master shall hold one or more public hearings before the superior court adopts the council district boundaries.

(4) Subject to the approval of the superior court, the special master may employ redistricting experts or other consultants or counsel, independent experts in the field of redistricting and computer technology, and other necessary personnel to assist them in their work. In addition, the special master may seek the full cooperation of the city in producing and using whatever data, computer models and programs, and technical assistance that was made available to the council and city personnel who are

Redistricting Regulations

knowledgeable in the mechanics of drafting redistricting legislation. The superior court may assist the special master in securing the necessary personnel and the physical facilities required for their work, and to prepare for the prompt submission to the city of a request for city funding for the necessary expenses of the special master and the special master's staff.

(5) The council district boundaries adopted by the superior court shall be immediately effective in the same manner as if the court's order were an enacted resolution or ordinance of the city council.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted in its city charter a different method for adopting city council district boundaries when a redistricting deadline is missed.

SEC. 39.

Section 34874 of the Government Code is amended to read:

34874.

(a) An amendatory ordinance altering the boundaries of the legislative districts established pursuant to this article shall not be submitted to the registered voters until the ordinance has been submitted to the planning commission of the city or, in absence of a planning commission, to the legislative body of said city for an examination as to the definiteness and certainty of the boundaries of the legislative districts proposed.

(b) An amendatory ordinance altering the boundaries of legislative districts shall comply with the requirements and criteria of Section 21601 or 21621 of the Elections Code, as applicable.

SEC. 40.

Section 34877.5 of the Government Code is amended to read:

34877.5.

(a) After an ordinance is passed by the voters pursuant to Section 34876.5, or after an ordinance is enacted by the legislative body pursuant to Section 34886, the legislative body shall prepare a proposed map that describes the boundaries and numbers of the districts for the legislative body. In preparing the proposed map, the legislative body shall comply with the requirements and criteria of Section 21601 or 21621 of the Elections

Redistricting Regulations

Code, as applicable, and shall seek public input, including accepting proposed maps submitted by the public.

(b) If the legislative body is changing from an at-large method of election to a district-based election, as those terms are defined in Section 14026 of the Elections Code, the legislative body shall hold public hearings pursuant to Section 10010 of the Elections Code. If the legislative body is otherwise adjusting the district boundaries, the legislative body shall hold public hearings on the proposed district boundaries pursuant to Section 21607 or 21627 of the Elections Code, as applicable.

SEC. 41.

Section 34884 of the Government Code is amended to read:

34884.

(a) If, at the time a vote is held on the subject of incorporation of a new city, a majority of the votes cast is for incorporation and, if, in accordance with Section 57116, a majority of the votes cast on the question of whether members of the city council in future elections are to be elected by district or at large is in favor of election by district, all of the following procedures apply:

(1) Before the first day on which voters may nominate candidates for election at the next regular municipal election, the legislative body shall, by ordinance or resolution, establish the boundaries of the districts of the legislative body. The districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution. The districts shall comply with the requirements and criteria of Section 21601 or 21621 of the Elections Code, as applicable.

(2) The terms of office of the two members elected with the lowest vote shall expire on the Tuesday succeeding the next regular municipal election. At that election, members shall be elected by district in the even-numbered districts and shall hold office for four years.

(3) The terms of office of the three members elected with the highest vote shall expire on the Tuesday succeeding the second regular municipal election following the incorporation. At that election, members shall be elected by district in the odd-numbered districts and shall hold office for four years.

Redistricting Regulations

(b) The result of the vote cast on the question of whether members of the city council in future elections are to be elected by district or at large shall not preclude the submission to the voters at any future election of a measure in accordance with Section 34871.

SEC. 42.

Section 34886 of the Government Code is amended to read:

34886.

Notwithstanding Section 34871 or any other law, the legislative body of a city may adopt an ordinance that requires the members of the legislative body to be elected by district or by district with an elective mayor, as described in subdivisions (a) and (c) of Section 34871, without being required to submit the ordinance to the voters for approval. An ordinance adopted pursuant to this section shall comply with the requirements and criteria of Section 21601 or 21621 of the Elections Code, as applicable, and include a declaration that the change in the method of electing members of the legislative body is being made in furtherance of the purposes of the California Voting Rights Act of 2001 (Chapter 1.5 (commencing with Section 14025) of Division 14 of the Elections Code).

SEC. 43.

The district boundary criteria specified in this act apply to supervisorial and council district boundaries that are adopted or readopted on or after January 1, 2020. Supervisorial and council district boundaries adopted before January 1, 2020, shall comply with the applicable district boundary criteria in effect at the time of their adoption.

SEC. 44.

If the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

Redistricting Regulations

Fair Maps Act (AB 1276)

SEC. 17.

Section 21620 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21620.

(a) This article applies to a charter city that elects members of the city's legislative body by districts or from districts, as defined in Section 34871 of the Government Code.

(b) This article shall not be interpreted to limit the discretionary remedial authority of any federal or state court.

SEC. 18.

Section 21621 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21621.

(a) Following a city's decision to elect its council using district-based elections, or following each federal decennial census for a city whose council is already elected using district-based elections, the council shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the council districts of the city so that the council districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.

(1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the city as determined by the most recent federal decennial census for which the redistricting data described in Public Law 94-171 are available.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person, as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a city's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the city, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.

(b) The council shall adopt council district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).

Redistricting Regulations

(c) The council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:

(1) To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

(2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(3) Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the city.

(4) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, council districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

(d) The council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

(e) Subdivision (c) does not apply to a charter city that has adopted comprehensive or exclusive redistricting criteria in its city charter. For purposes of this subdivision, “comprehensive or exclusive” means either that the city’s charter excludes consideration of redistricting criteria other than those that are identified in the city charter or that the city’s charter provides two or more traditional criteria for redistricting other than the requirement that districts be equal in population.

SEC. 19.

Section 21622 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

Redistricting Regulations

21622.

(a) (1) For redistricting occurring in 2031 and thereafter, the boundaries of the council districts shall be adopted by the council not later than 205 days before the city's next regular election occurring after January 1 in each year ending in the number two.

(2) For redistricting occurring before 2031 and where a city has a regular election occurring after January 1, 2022 and before July 1, 2022, the boundaries of the council districts shall be adopted by the council not later than 174 days before that election. For cities that charge candidates a filing fee, notwithstanding subdivision (b) of Section 8106, the forms required under that subdivision shall not be made available until at least 28 days after the adoption of a final map. The elections official shall reduce the required number of signatures for the in-lieu-filing-fee petition, as specified in subdivision (a) of Section 8106, by the same proportion as the reduction in time for the candidate to collect signatures.

(3) For redistricting occurring before 2031 and where a city does not have a regular election occurring after January 1, 2022 and before July 1, 2022, the boundaries of the council districts shall be adopted by the council not later than 205 days before the city's next regular election occurring on or after July 1, 2022.

(b) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted a different redistricting deadline by ordinance or in its city charter.

(c) This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

SEC. 20.

Section 21623 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21623.

(a) If the boundaries of a city expand by the addition of new territory, including through annexation of unincorporated territory or consolidation with another city, the council shall add that new territory to the nearest existing council district without changing the boundaries of other council district boundaries.

(b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the council may adopt new boundaries for each council district under the circumstances described in subdivision (a) if both of the following conditions are met:

Redistricting Regulations

(1) There are more than four years until the council is next required to redistrict pursuant to Section 21621.

(2) The population of the new territory being annexed or consolidated is greater than 25 percent of the city's population as determined by the most recent federal decennial census.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted, by ordinance or in its city charter, a different standard for adding new territory to existing council districts.

SEC. 21.

Section 21625 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21625.

(a) After redistricting or districting pursuant to Section 21621 or 21623, a council shall not adopt new council district boundaries until after the next federal decennial census, except under the following circumstances:

(1) A court orders the council to redistrict.

(2) The council is settling a legal claim that its council district boundaries violate the United States Constitution, the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.), or this article.

(3) The boundaries of the city change by the addition of territory pursuant to Section 21623 or by the subtraction of territory.

(b) This section does not prohibit a council from adopting council districts between federal decennial censuses if the council is adopting council districts for the first time, including when a city adopts council districts for the purpose of transitioning from electing its council members in at-large elections to elections by districts or from districts.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted different rules for mid-cycle redistricting in its city charter.

SEC. 22.

Section 21626 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

Redistricting Regulations

21626.

(a) The term of office of any council member who has been elected and whose term of office has not expired shall not be affected by any change in the boundaries of the district from which the council member was elected.

(b) At the first election for council members in each city following adoption of the boundaries of council districts, excluding a special election to fill a vacancy or a recall election, a council member shall be elected for each district under the new district plan that has the same district number as a district whose incumbent's term is due to expire. This subdivision does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

(c) For a city employing both a primary and a general election, a change in the boundaries of a council district shall not be made between the direct primary election and the general election.

(d) Except as provided in subdivision (a), a person is not eligible to hold office as a member of a city council unless that person meets the requirements of Section 201 of the Elections Code and Section 34882 of the Government Code.

SEC. 23.

Section 21627 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21627.

Before adopting the boundaries of a council district pursuant to Section 21621 or 21623, or for any other reason, the council shall hold public hearings on the proposal in accordance with Section 21627.1. This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

SEC. 24.

Section 21627.1 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21627.1.

(a) Before adopting a final map, the council shall hold at least four public hearings at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of one or more council districts.

(1) At least one public hearing shall be held before the council draws a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

Redistricting Regulations

(2) At least two public hearings shall be held after the council has drawn a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

(b) At least one public hearing or public workshop shall be held on a Saturday, on a Sunday, or after 6 p.m. on a weekday Monday through Friday.

(c) Public hearing buildings shall be accessible to persons with disabilities.

(d) If a public hearing is consolidated with a regular or special meeting of the council that includes other substantive agenda items, the public hearing shall begin at a fixed time regardless of its order on the agenda, except that the council may first conclude any item being discussed or acted upon, including any associated public comment, when that time occurs. The time of the public hearing shall be noticed to the public.

(e) The council may have city staff or a consultant conduct one or more public workshops in lieu of holding one of the public hearings required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a).

(f) The council may establish an advisory redistricting commission to hold the public hearings required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a).

SEC. 25.

Section 21628 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21628.

(a) The council shall take steps to encourage residents, including those in underrepresented communities and non-English speaking communities, to participate in the redistricting public review process. These steps shall include a good faith effort to do all of the following:

(1) Providing information to media organizations that provide city news coverage, including media organizations that serve language minority communities.

(2) Providing information through good government, civil rights, civic engagement, and community groups or organizations that are active in the city, including those active in language minority communities, and those that have requested to be notified concerning city redistricting.

(b) The council shall arrange for the live translation in an applicable language of a public hearing or workshop held pursuant to this article if a request for translation is made at least 72 hours before the hearing or workshop, unless less than five days' notice are

Redistricting Regulations

provided for the hearing or workshop, in which case the request shall be made at least 48 hours before the hearing or workshop.

(c) Notwithstanding Section 54954.2 of the Government Code, the council shall publish the date, time, and location for any public hearing or workshop on the internet at least five days before the hearing or workshop. However, if there are fewer than 28 days until the deadline to adopt boundaries, the council may publish the agenda on the internet for at least three days before the hearing or workshop.

(d) (1) A draft map shall be published on the internet for at least seven days before being adopted as a final map by the council provided that, if there are fewer than 28 days until the deadline to adopt boundaries, the draft map may instead be published on the internet for at least three days.

(2) Each draft map prepared by a member of the council or by employees or contractors of the city shall be accompanied by information on the total population, citizen voting age population, and racial and ethnic characteristics of the citizen voting age population of each proposed council district, to the extent the city has that data.

(3) (A) The council and employees or contractors of the city shall not release draft maps of council districts earlier than three weeks after the block-level redistricting database required by subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code is first made publicly available. This subparagraph does not prohibit the council from holding public hearings or workshops on the placement of council district boundaries before the earliest date that draft maps of council districts may be released.

(B) If the period of time between the date that the redistricting database is made publicly available and the map adoption deadline is fewer than 90 days and more than 59 days, then the waiting period required by subparagraph (A) is reduced to one week. If the period of time between the date that the redistricting database is made publicly available and the map adoption deadline is fewer than 60 days, then the waiting period required by subparagraph (A) is waived.

(e) The council shall allow the public to submit testimony or draft maps in writing and electronically.

(f) The city shall either record or prepare a written summary of each public comment and council deliberation made at every public hearing or workshop held pursuant to this

Redistricting Regulations

article. The city shall make the recording or written summary available to the public within two weeks after the public hearing or workshop.

(g) The council shall establish, and maintain for at least 10 years after the adoption of new council district boundaries, an internet web page dedicated to redistricting. The web page may be hosted on the city's existing internet website or another internet website maintained by the city. The web page shall include, or link to, all of the following information:

(1) A general explanation of the redistricting process for the city in English and applicable languages.

(2) The procedures for a member of the public to testify during a public hearing or to submit written testimony directly to the council in English and any applicable language.

(3) A calendar of all public hearing and workshop dates. A calendar listing that includes the time and location of the public hearing or workshop satisfies the notice required by subdivision (c).

(4) The notice and agenda for each public hearing and workshop.

(5) The recording or written summary of each public hearing and workshop.

(6) Each draft map considered by the council at a public hearing.

(7) The adopted final map of council district boundaries.

(h) For purposes of this section, "applicable language" means any language that is spoken by a group of city residents with limited English proficiency who constitute 3 percent or more of the city's total population over four years of age for whom language can be determined. Before January 1, 2021, and before January 1 in every year ending in the number one thereafter, the Secretary of State shall post the applicable languages for each city in a conspicuous location on the Secretary of State's internet website. To determine the applicable languages for each city, in 2020 and in each year ending in the number zero thereafter, the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Statewide Database, shall request a special tabulation from the United States Bureau of the Census of the most recent data on limited English proficiency from the bureau's American Community Survey that satisfies this subdivision. If the bureau is unable to produce that data, the Secretary of State shall base the Secretary of State's determination on the table from the American Community Survey enumerating the number of residents with limited

Redistricting Regulations

English proficiency that has the largest number of languages included, that is publicly available, and that was produced within the previous ten years.

(i) This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

(j) Before January 1, 2021, and before January in each year ending in the number one thereafter, the Secretary of State shall publish on the internet a template explaining the city redistricting process that meets the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2), inclusive, of subdivision (g). The Secretary of State shall publish the template in all of the languages into which ballots are required to be translated in the state pursuant to subdivision (h). The template shall be published in the same conspicuous location on the Secretary of State's internet website that is described in subdivision (h).

SEC. 26.

Section 21629 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21629.

(a) If the council does not adopt council district boundaries by the deadlines set forth in Section 21622, the council shall immediately petition the superior court in the county in which the city is located for an order adopting council district boundaries. If the council does not petition the superior court within five days after the deadline, any resident of the city may file that petition and shall be entitled to recover the resident's reasonable attorney's fees and costs from the city for doing so.

(b) (1) Upon finding that a petition filed pursuant to subdivision (a) is valid, the superior court shall adopt council district boundaries in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section 21621, which shall be used in the city's next regular election. The superior court may also order the adjustment of electoral deadlines as necessary to implement the new council district boundaries in the next regular election.

(2) The superior court may appoint a special master to assist the court with adopting the council district boundaries. The city shall pay the cost for the special master and associated costs.

(3) The superior court or the special master shall hold one or more public hearings before the superior court adopts the council district boundaries.

Redistricting Regulations

(4) Subject to the approval of the superior court, the special master may employ redistricting experts or other consultants or counsel, independent experts in the field of redistricting and computer technology, and other necessary personnel to assist them in their work. In addition, the special master may seek the full cooperation of the city in producing and using whatever data, computer models and programs, and technical assistance that was made available to the council and city personnel who are knowledgeable in the mechanics of drafting redistricting legislation. The superior court may assist the special master in securing the necessary personnel and the physical facilities required for their work, and to prepare for the prompt submission to the city of a request for city funding for the necessary expenses of the special master and the special master's staff.

(5) The council district boundaries adopted by the superior court shall be immediately effective in the same manner as if the court's order were an enacted resolution or ordinance of the city council.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted in its city charter a different method for adopting city council district boundaries when a redistricting deadline is missed.

SEC. 27.

Section 21630 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21630.

If a council assigns the responsibility to recommend or to adopt new district boundaries to a hybrid or independent redistricting commission as defined in Section 23000, the charter city remains subject to the redistricting deadlines, requirements, and restrictions that apply to the council under this article, unless otherwise exempted by law. A redistricting commission described in this section may perform the duties required of a city council under this article.

SEC. 28.

Section 23000 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

23000.

For purposes of this chapter, the following terms have the following meanings:

Redistricting Regulations

- (a) “Advisory redistricting commission” means a body that recommends to a legislative body placement of the district boundaries for that legislative body.
- (b) “Family member” means a spouse, parent, sibling, child, or in-law.
- (c) “Hybrid redistricting commission” means a body that recommends to a legislative body two or more maps for the placement of the district boundaries for that legislative body, where the legislative body must adopt one of those maps without modification, except as may be required to comply with state or federal law.
- (d) “Independent redistricting commission” means a body, other than a legislative body, that is empowered to adopt the district boundaries of a legislative body.
- (e) “Legislative body” means a county board of supervisors, a city council of a general law city, a governing board of a school district, a governing board of a community college district, or an elected governing board of a special district.
- (f) “Local jurisdiction” means a county, general law city, school district, community college district, or special district. “Local jurisdiction” does not include a charter city.
- (g) “Redistricting” means either districting or redistricting.
- (h) “Spouse” means a spouse or registered domestic partner.

SEC. 29.

This act and Division 21 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Elections Code shall not be construed as limiting the ability of a charter city to create an advisory, hybrid, or independent redistricting commission. The amendment of Section 23000 of, and the addition of Section 21630 to, the Elections Code made by this act do not constitute a change in, but are declaratory of, existing law.

SEC. 30.

The amendment of Sections 21500 and 21601, and subdivision (a) of Section 21621, of the Elections Code made by this act do not constitute a change in, but are declaratory of, existing law.

Page 87 of 775
BYLAWS OF THE
BERKELEY INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Appendix B

Purpose

These Bylaws establish the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission's guidelines for orderly, consistent, and fair conduct of the Commission's business.

Consensus

The Commission recognizes the importance of bringing diverse perspectives to form collective decisions throughout the redistricting process. To the greatest extent possible, the Commission agrees to strive for consensus when making decisions.

The Commission's principles for building consensus include:

- All participants are equal.
- We will not exclude any relevant topic from discussion.
- We welcome differing opinions as helpful to our work.
- We will listen actively when others are speaking.
- Those who are not in agreement will voice their reservations, concerns, and opinions.

We acknowledge that consensus does not mean unanimous agreement. Below are degrees of agreement that Commissioners may consider as the Commission seeks to build consensus:

- I fully agree with the action.
- I substantially agree with the action.
- I have reservations, but I support the action.
- I do not agree with the action; however, I have shared my opinions during the discussion and I support the Commission's action.

Rule 1. Definition of Terms

The following terms, whenever used or referred to in these Bylaws, have the following and respective meanings, unless a different meaning is clearly made apparent by the context:

- a) **Agenda** means the agenda for the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission.
- b) **Alternate Commissioner** means a non-voting, unseated member of the Redistricting Commission.
- c) **Chair** means the chairperson of the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission.
- d) **Charter** means the Charter of the City of Berkeley.
- e) **Commission** means the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission.
- f) **Commissioner** means a voting, seated member of the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission.
- g) **Member** means Commissioners and Alternate Commissioners collectively.
- h) **Municipal Code** means the City of Berkeley Municipal Code.
- i) **Open Government Ordinance** means City of Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.06.
- j) **Presiding Officer** means the Chair, Vice Chair, or other commissioner presiding over the conduct of the meeting.
- k) **Secretary** means the City Clerk, or their designee, serving as the secretary to the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission.
- l) **Subcommittee** means a sub-group of the Commission composed of less than a quorum of the full commission.
- m) **Vice Chair** means the vice chairperson of the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission.

Page 88 of 775
BYLAWS OF THE
BERKELEY INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Rule 2. Meetings

Except as otherwise determined by the Commission, regular meetings begin at 6:00 p.m. Commission meetings conclude no later than 9:00 p.m., unless extended by majority vote of the Commissioners in attendance.

To accommodate the broadest participation possible, Commission meetings are held on various days of the week, including weekends, and can start at various times of day.

In the course of its regular business, the Commission may form ad hoc subcommittees to review particular topics in depth and recommend future actions on particular topics at a duly noticed Commission meeting. A report from the subcommittee of its recommendations will be provided at a meeting of the full Commission.

Rule 3. Robert's Rules of Order

The business of the Commission is conducted, so far as it is practicable, in accordance with parliamentary rules as contained in Robert's Rules of Order Revised, except as modified by these Bylaws and in accordance with state open meeting laws and the Open Government Ordinance. The Secretary to the Commission serves as the official parliamentarian for meetings of the Commission.

Rule 4. Motions

If any Commissioner makes a motion, such motion is not debated, or further discussed or considered, or voted upon, until after a second to such motion is made by another Commissioner. All motions must be restated by the Chair or Secretary immediately prior to voting.

When a question or motion is before the Commission, no motion is entertained except:

1. To adjourn;
2. To fix the hour of adjournment;
3. To lay on the table;
4. For the previous question;
5. To postpone to a certain day;
6. To refer;
7. To amend;
8. To substitute; and
9. To postpone indefinitely.

These motions have precedence in the order indicated above. Any such motion, except a motion to amend or substitute, must be put to a vote without debate.

Rule 5. Voting Order

The Commissioners vote in alphabetical order according to their surnames, with the Vice Chair, Chair, or presiding officer of the Commission voting last.

Rule 6. Rights of Commissioners Less Than a Quorum

In the absence of a quorum at a noticed meeting of the Commission, no information may be presented and no official action taken by the Commissioners present except to reschedule the meeting, to recess, or to adjourn.

Page 89 of 775
BYLAWS OF THE
BERKELEY INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Rule 7. Commissioner Conduct

Commissioners must be mindful that the Charter states that the purpose of the Commission is to “ensure that the redistricting process is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations.” Commissioners should avoid any action or communication that could be interpreted to compromise their ability to serve in that regard.

Commissioners are expected to attend all meetings and to have read all pertinent materials and reports provided related to items on the agenda.

Commissioners must not publish opinions about Commission redistricting matters on social networking websites. This rule is not intended to prohibit the publication of information regarding the time, place, and agendas of upcoming meetings.

While the Commission is in session, Commissioners must practice civility and decorum in their discussions and debate. Commissioners must value each other’s time and must preserve order and decorum. A Commissioner must not, by conversation or otherwise: delay or interrupt the proceedings of the Commission; use personal, impertinent, or slanderous remarks; disturb any other Commissioner while that Commissioner is speaking; or refuse to obey the orders of the presiding officer or the Commission, except as otherwise provided herein.

The presiding officer has the affirmative duty to maintain order. Commissioners must honor the role of the presiding officer in maintaining order. If a Commissioner believes the presiding officer is not maintaining order, the Commissioner may move that the Vice-Chair, or another Commissioner if the Vice-Chair is acting as the presiding officer at the time, enforce the rules of decorum and otherwise maintain order. If that motion receives a second and is approved by a majority of the Commission, then the Vice-Chair, or other designated Commissioner, must enforce the rules of decorum and maintain order.

Rule 8. Commissioner Protocols at Meetings

All Commissioners have the opportunity to speak, but no Commissioner can speak twice on any given subject unless all other Commissioners have had the opportunity to speak. The Presiding Officer may set a limit on the speaking time allotted to Commissioners during Commission discussion.

Commissioners must request acknowledgment from the Chair to speak to an issue.

Rule 9. Virtual Meeting Protocol

Additional protocols apply during virtual meetings:

- Commissioners should identify themselves by using the title “Commissioner” before their first or last name.
- Staff and presenters should identify themselves by using their official title or the word “staff” after their full name (“First Last, Title”).
- Commissioners should leave their video cameras on when possible, except when doing so would create a disruption to the meeting.
- Commissioners who wish to comment should use the “raise hand” function and wait to be recognized by the Chair.
- Commissioners may eat or drink during meetings but should do so in a manner that limits disruption to the meeting.
- Be mindful that all meetings are recorded and available on the Commission webpage.
- Public speakers cannot yield time to other speakers during virtual meetings.

Page 90 of 775
BYLAWS OF THE
BERKELEY INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Rule 10. Alternate Commissioners

Alternate Commissioners are strongly encouraged to attend all meetings of the Commission so they can stay current on the Commission's business and be prepared for the role, should they be required to fill a vacancy.

Rule 11. Agenda

City staff, in consultation with the Chair, or Vice Chair in the Chair's absence, set the Agenda for Commission meetings. Agendas should reflect materials that cover a 3-hour window to accommodate comments before and after training/agenda items. If a Commissioner requests an item be added to a meeting Agenda, then the member must notify City staff no fewer than nine (9) days prior to the meeting date.

Commissioners may submit written materials or reports for the agenda packet no fewer than nine (9) days prior to the meeting date. All such materials must be in final form, ready to publish when they are submitted.

Estimates of time allocated to each agenda item should be listed on the Commission's agenda or in other materials as an effort to help the Commission manage meetings efficiently. Actual time spent on an item may vary depending on the needs of the Commission and the public's participation.

Rule 12. Non-Agenda Public Comment

Non-Agenda Public Comment must be listed at the beginning of the Agenda. The public must be awarded two (2) minutes to address the Commission under Non-Agenda Public Comment. The Chair or presiding officer may adjust the speaking time for Non-Agenda Public Comment; however, the public must be awarded at minimum one (1) minute to address the Commission.

Rule 13. Speaking Time Limits on Agenda Items

Each public speaker has two (2) minutes to address the Commission on each Agenda item. The presiding officer may adjust the speaking time for Agenda Items; however, the public must be awarded at minimum one (1) minute to address the Commission. A member of the public may speak only once at public comment on any single item, unless called upon by the Chair to answer a specific inquiry.

To ensure that non-English speakers and disabled persons receive the same opportunity to address the Commission as other speakers, the presiding officer must allocate additional time to speakers using translators and to speakers with a disability that necessitates the provision of additional time.

Rule 14. Yielding Time

Public speakers are permitted to yield their time to one other speaker, however no one speaker can have more than four (4) minutes. Speakers wishing to yield their time must: identify themselves, be recognized by the presiding officer, and announce publicly their intention to yield their time. Pursuant to Rule 9, speakers cannot yield time to other speakers during virtual meetings.

Rule 15. Addressing the Commission

Members of the public should address their questions or remarks to the Commission as a whole. Commissioners and City staff can respond to questions only when requested to do so by the

Page 91 of 775
BYLAWS OF THE
BERKELEY INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

presiding officer. Commissioners and City staff must refrain from entering into any debates or discussion with speakers during public comment.

The public has the right to criticize policies, procedures, actions, or omissions of the Commission or City staff. The public should not vocally oppose statements made by anyone while they speak. Speakers should speak clearly and refrain from using profanity, yelling, or screaming.

Rule 16. Audience Conduct (For In-person Meetings)

The public may not display signs that impede the ability of the public or Commission to see or participate in the meeting or that endanger any meeting participants.

No person can disrupt the orderly conduct of the Commission meeting.

Rule 17. Removal of a Disruptive Person

When the public's behavior is disorderly or indecorous, the presiding officer must call for order, inform the person(s) that the conduct is violating the Bylaws, and provide a warning to the person(s) to cease the disruptive behavior. Should the person(s) fail to cease and to desist the disruptive conduct, the presiding officer may call a five (5) minute recess to allow the disruptions to cease.

The presiding officer has the power and duty to order removal from the meeting room (virtual or in-person) any person who is disorderly or indecorous after being warned that such conduct could lead to their removal.

Rule 18. Vacancy

A member voluntarily resigning from the Commission must immediately submit written notice of this action to the Chair and the Secretary. The Chair may call a Special Meeting of the Commission to fill a vacancy.

Rule 19. Eligibility

In the event a Commissioner is no longer eligible to serve on the Commission based on the criteria listed in Charter Section 9.5(b)(3), that Commissioner must immediately submit a written resignation to the Chair and Commission Secretary.

Rule 20. Amendment

The Commission can amend these Bylaws by a majority vote at a publicly noticed meeting and then must submit them to the Commission Secretary to send out with regular Commission notices.

2021 Annual Commission Attendance Report

Appendix C

Reporting Period: September 1, 2020 through August 31, 2021

Vacant seats: <u> 0 </u> (as of August 31, 2021)

Commission: Independent Redistricting Commission

Commission Secretary: Mark Numainville

Example:

Scheduled Meeting Date	Cancelled? If Yes, Provide Reason	Commissioners Present	Public Present	Public Speakers	Meeting Length
9/15/20		8 of 9	5	3	2.5 hrs
2/1/21	Yes – no quorum				

Scheduled Meeting Date	Cancelled? If Yes, Provide Reason	Commissioners Present	Public Present	Public Speakers	Meeting Length
1/20/21		8 of 8	18	3	3.25 hrs
2/10/21		8 of 8	7	0	3 hrs
2/24/21		8 of 8	7	2	2
3/17/21		13 of 13	12	0	3.5 hrs
4/1/21		13 of 13	12	1	2.25
4/15/21		13 of 13	10	1	3 hrs
5/6/21		13 of 13	8	3	3.5 hrs
5/19/21		13 of 13	8	2	3.75 hrs
6/9/21		11 of 13	8	1	3.5 hrs
6/23/21		10 of 13	2	0	2.5 hrs
6/30/21	Yes – lack of business				
7/10/21		12 of 13	22	2	1 hr
7/21/21		11 of 13	6	0	2.25 hrs
8/4/21		11 of 13	0	0	2 hrs
8/18/21		11 of 13	4	0	1.25 hrs
8/30/21		12 of 13	5	0	1.25 hrs



COMMUNITY OUTREACH: RESOURCES AND TIMELINE

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION



City Clerk Department

May 19, 2021

To: Independent Redistricting Commission

From: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary

Subject: Independent Redistricting Commission Communities of Interest/Mapping Outreach Resources and Timeline 2021-2022

Public outreach to the community is an essential element of the redistricting process, and it is mandated in both our local regulations and in state legislation. The City is committed to supporting the work of the Independent Redistricting Commission to ensure it meets and exceeds its obligations to engage the community as the Commission redraws the council district boundaries.

The City is drawing from past experience and existing infrastructure to guide the outreach efforts of the Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC) for the coming year. In the summer and fall of 2020, the City began an ambitious community outreach plan to encourage applicants for the IRC. The plan was curtailed somewhat by the limitations of the COVID-19 Shelter-in-Place orders, but staff was able to pivot away from in-person activities and use more of the outreach budget for print and social media advertising, and harness established networks of the City's community partners.

The IRC and staff can build upon the previous efforts in the next phase of outreach for communities of interest and district mapping by expanding the use of technology. Additionally, if permitted under state and local emergency orders, staff will attend various in-person activities and events throughout the City. Partners in other City departments have proven to be important allies in our outreach efforts so far, and we anticipate continuing to work with our internal counterparts to share the Commission's messaging.

Staff has created a framework for the timeline and resources that are available for the next phase of outreach. The documents that follow provide a summary of the timeline for outreach, the various outreach channels, and a list of community organizations that may assist with messaging and mobilization among Berkeleyans.

The IRC may wish to form a subcommittee to work with staff on the development and implementation of the outreach plan; and to advise staff and the full commission of resource needs, goals, objectives, and accomplishments for public engagement. The outreach subcommittee would round-out a plan that targets a diverse population of Berkeley residents through a variety of outlets.

The IRC can and will play an important role in the development and implementation of the outreach plan, while adhering to the limitation in the municipal code about interacting with the public outside of noticed meetings. The work accomplished at noticed meetings of the IRC is an important part of the engagement with the public, whether virtual or in-person.

Attached to this memo you will find the following documents that outline the Redistricting Outreach Resources and Timeline.

1. Timeline

The timeline proposes dates for major IRC milestones and activities for the next year. A subcommittee of the IRC will be helpful to establish a more fixed schedule and work with the full commission and staff on finalizing dates before publishing an official timeline for the public. Some dates may become clearer when the exact date of US Census data release from UC Berkeley is known.

2. Outreach Channels

The list of outreach channels is based on the previous outreach efforts conducted for the IRC application period. The list has been expanded and revised to account for current health order conditions and the more expansive outreach that is expected for the redistricting process.

3. List of Outreach Organizations

The City has compiled a list of over 250 organizations that have previously partnered with the City on community information campaigns. The list is not exhaustive and more organizations will likely be added to the database. In addition, some of the organizations may fit into more than one category, and some of them may no longer be active due to the pandemic. The City has some contact information for all of the organizations listed and will be gathering new information as it becomes available.

4. Community of Interest Form

Staff has developed a community of interest form to solicit input from residents about important groups, neighborhoods, and communities that can impact how district lines are drawn. The form is based on best practices from other cities in the region and has been reviewed and improved by the expertise of our consultants at Redistricting Partners.

KEY REDISTRICTING OUTREACH DATES AND MILESTONES

Below is the anticipated timeline for major outreach milestones from June 2021 – April 2022.

Summer 2021 – April 2022

Public Outreach (virtual/in-person) about public process, timeliness, and participation

Summer 2021

IRC Public Hearing #1 – Redistricting Process, Timelines, and Requirements

Summer 2021

IRC Community Workshops – Communities of Interest

Early Fall 2021

IRC Community Workshops – Maptitude Software Demo & Public Submission Process

September/October 2021

Release of Final Census Data

October 2021 (Exact Date TBD)

Public map submission period opens

December 2021 (Exact Date TBD)

Public map submission period closes

January 2022

IRC Public Hearing #2 – Public Submissions & Draft Map Development

February 2022

IRC Public Hearing #3 – Public Submissions & Draft Map Development

March 2022

IRC Public Hearing #4 – Public Submissions & Draft Map Development

April 1, 2022

Deadline for IRC Adoption of Final Map

April 17, 2022

Deadline for City Council to Adopt IRC Final Map

April/May 2022

Candidate messaging from the City regarding new boundaries

April – November 2022

Community messaging from the City regarding new boundaries



COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST/MAPPING OUTREACH (2021-2022)

The City's outreach plan consists of the following components. Many of these outlets were successfully used in the public outreach campaign for the IRC application period. All of these resources may be used to increase public participation during key milestones in the redistricting process.

Print Advertising

- ▶ Advertisement in the City's Recreation Activity Guide (Fall & Winter)
- ▶ Announcements in the Berkeley Library newsletter (105,000 recipients monthly)
- ▶ Informational postcards at the City's senior and recreation centers, administrative offices, public meetings, and public libraries; U.C. Berkeley student union; and sent to community agencies and neighborhood associations
- ▶ Announcements in Berkeley Unified School District Newsletter: BUSD A+ News (20,000 recipients; Spanish language version utilized as well)
- ▶ Print advertisements in the Berkeley Times, Daily Cal, Berkeley Voice, East Bay Express, East Bay Times, and Tri-City Post newspapers

Community

- ▶ As permitted under COVID-19 restrictions, City staff will attend a variety of community events across the City including hosting a table at each of the 3 Farmer's Markets in Berkeley
- ▶ Co-host events with community organizations
- ▶ Hold additional Town Hall community meetings upon request (in-person or via videoconference as conditions permit)
- ▶ Send information through existing communication outlets (Council newsletters; neighborhood groups, etc.) for dissemination
- ▶ Communities of Interest outreach meetings
- ▶ Additional outreach regarding Independent Redistricting Commission meetings



COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST/MAPPING OUTREACH (2021-2022)

Media

- ▶ Public notices broadcast on Berkeley Community Media
- ▶ Press releases with targeted outreach to local print, online, radio, and multilingual media sources
- ▶ Coordinating with the Health, Housing, and Community Services Department to reach additional community partners

Internet & Social Media

- ▶ Dedicated page on City's website and front-page advertising
- ▶ Posts on the City's social media accounts, including Twitter and boosted advertisements on Facebook
- ▶ Paid advertisements posted on Berkeleyside including their Twitter and Facebook account
- ▶ Text updates from City account
- ▶ Berkeley Unified School District social media outlets



Redistricting 2021 – Community Outreach Organizations (April 2021)

Arts (Visual / Performing)

Berkeley Academy of Music
Berkeley Art Center
Berkeley Art Studio
Berkeley Artisans Open Studio
Berkeley Arts Festival
Berkeley Camera Club
Berkeley Community Chorus Orchestra
Berkeley Folk Dancers
Berkeley Playhouse
Berkeley Potter Guild
Berkeley Repertory Theatre
Berkeley Symphony
Berkeley West Edge Opera

Business Sector

Adeline/Alcatraz Merchants Association
Adeline/Ashby Merchants Association
Another Bullwinkel Show
Berkeley-Albany Bar Association
Berkeley Albany Licensed Daycare Operators Association
Berkeley Association of Realtors
Berkeley Chamber of Commerce
Berkeley City Club
Berkeley Dental Society

Business Sector

Berkeley Federation of Teachers AFT Local 1078, AFL-CIO
Berkeley Fire Fighters Association
California Federation of Business & Professional Women (CFBPW)
Downtown Berkeley Association
Eastwind Books of Berkeley
Elmwood Merchants Association
Fourth Street Businesses
North Shattuck Association
Northside Merchants Association
Sacramento Street Merchants
Saint John's Child Care Program
Shattuck/Blake Merchants Association
Solano Avenue Merchants Association
Telegraph Business Improvement District
West Berkeley Artisans and Industrial Companies
West Berkeley Design Loop

Communications

Berkeley Community Media
Berkeley Daily Planet
Berkeley Liberation Radio (104.1 FM)

Communications

Free Radio Berkeley
KALX Radio

Community Based

A Better Way
Ala Costa Centers
Alameda County Homeless Action Center
Alameda County Network of Mental Health Clients
Alliance Francaise de Berkeley
Alzheimer's Services of the East Bay
American Association of University Women (AAUW) Berkeley Branch
American Legion. Berkeley Post #7
Athletes United for Peace
Bay Area Community Resources
Bay Area Hispano Institute for Advancement
Berkeley Addiction Treatment Services
Berkeley Age Friendly Continuum
Berkeley Architectural Heritage Association
Berkeley Bipolar Support Group
Berkeley Boosters
Berkeley Business Academy for Youth
Berkeley Citizens Action



Redistricting 2021 – Community Outreach Organizations (April 2021)

Community Based

Berkeley City Club Conservancy
Berkeley Community Fund
Berkeley Copwatch
Berkeley Creative Wellness Center
Berkeley Democratic Club
Berkeley Drop-in Center (Coalition for Alternatives in Mental Health)
Berkeley Earth Day (Vegan Earth Day)
Berkeley Emergency Storm Shelter
Berkeley Finnish Hall
Berkeley Food & Housing Project
Berkeley Food Pantry
Berkeley Foundation for Opportunities in Information Technology
Berkeley Free Clinic
Berkeley Garden Club
Berkeley Geochronology Center
Berkeley Hadassah
Berkeley High School Development Group
Berkeley Hillel Foundation. Jewish Student Community Center
Berkeley Historical Plaque Project
Berkeley Historical Society and Museum
Berkeley Holiday Fund
Berkeley Humane
Berkeley Ironworks

Community Based

Berkeley Juneteenth Association, Inc.
Berkeley Law Foundation
Berkeley Lions Club
Berkeley Mental Health - Family Youth and Children's Clinic
Berkeley Needle Exchange Distribution
Berkeley Parents Network
Berkeley Partners for Parks
Berkeley Place Deaf/Hard of Hearing Project
Berkeley Place, Inc. Casa de la Vida
Berkeley Public Library Foundation
Berkeley Special Education Parents Network
Berkeley Symphony
Berkeley Therapy Institute
Berkeley Youth Alternatives
Black Women Organized for Political Action Berkeley/Oakland Chapter (BWOPA)
Bonita House
Building Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency
California Writers Club - Berkeley Branch
Center for Accessible Technology
Center for Independent Living
Chaparral House
Community Energy Services Corporation

Community Based

Disaster Supply Cache Network
Dorothy Day House
East Bay Asian Youth Center
East Bay Center for the Blind
East Bay Community Law Center
East Bay Community Mediation
Easy Does It
Ecology Center
Ed Roberts Campus
Eden Council for Hope and Opportunity (ECHO Housing)
Ephesian Children's Center
Family Violence Law Center
Fred Finch Youth Center
Friends of the Fountain and Walk
Gray Panthers
Housing Groups
Housing Rights
Inter-City Services
Japanese-American Services of the East Bay
Kiwanis Club of Berkeley
Latinos Unidos/ United In Action
LeConte Neighborhood Association
Lifelong Medical Care
Multicultural Institute



Redistricting 2021 – Community Outreach Organizations (April 2021)

Community Based

Needle Exchange
New Bridge Foundation
Odd Fellows Temple, Berkeley Lodge #270
Options Recovery Services
Pacific Center for Human Growth
Rebuilding Together Albany-Berkeley-Emeryville
Resources for Community Development
Rotary Club of Berkeley
RISE
Rubicon
Satellite Affordable Housing Associates
Satellite Housing
SEEDS Community Resolution Center
Senior Center, North Berkeley
Senior Center, South Berkeley
Senior Center, West Berkeley
Sierra Club (San Francisco Bay Chapter)
Spiral Gardens
The Bread Project
Through The Looking Glass
United for Health
United in Action (Collaborative of 10+ Orgs)
West Berkeley Blogger
West Berkeley Lions Club

Community Based

Women's Daytime Drop-In Center
Youth Spirit Artworks
Youth, Engagement, Advocacy, and Housing
YWCA Berkeley/Oakland
Zonta Club of Berkeley/North Bay

Education

Acupuncture and Integrative Medicine College, Berkeley (AIMC)
Associated Students of the University of CA
Berkeley Adult School - BUSD
Berkeley Alumni Association
Berkeley City College
Berkeley City College
Berkeley Hills Nursery School
Berkeley Public Schools Fund
Berkeley Unified School District
Nia House
Public Tables
Stiles Hall
Student Organizations
UC Berkeley Disabled Student Union

Education

University Avenue Association
University of California, Berkeley. ASUC Student Legal Clinic
University of California, Berkeley. Boost@BerkeleyHaas Alternate Name: Young Entrepreneurs at Haas (YEAH)
UC Berkeley: Cal Camps and Youth Programs
UC Berkeley: Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP)
UC Berkeley: Intercollegiate Athletics. Cal Athletic Camps
UC Berkeley: International House
UC Berkeley: Local Government and Community Relations Office
UC Berkeley: Pre-College Trio Programs-Upward Bound
UC Berkeley: Public Service Center
UC Berkeley: Recreational Sports. Cal Rec Club
UC Berkeley: Recreational Sports. Cal STAR Program
UC Berkeley: Recreational Sports. Fitness and Wellness
University of California, Berkeley. Recreational Sports. Intramural Sports



Redistricting 2021 – Community Outreach Organizations (April 2021)

Education

University of California, Berkeley. School of Public Health. Labor Occupational Health Program and Resource Center
University of California, Berkeley. Student Speakers Bureau (IHSSB)
Voluntary Optometric Services to Humanity (VOSH). Berkeley Chapter

Faith Based

Berkeley Black Ecumenical Ministers Association
Berkeley Baha'I Community
Berkeley Buddhist Monastery
Berkeley Buddhist Priory
Berkeley Buddhist Temple (Satsuki)
Berkeley Eckankar Center
Berkeley Fellowship of Unitarian Universalists
Berkeley Friends Meeting (Quakers)
Berkeley Organizing Congregations for Action
Berkeley Psychic Institute (BPI).
Berkeley Shambhala Center
Berkeley M.T.O. Shahmaghsoudi Center: School of Islamic Sufism
Berkeley Zen Center

Faith Based

Chabad Jewish Center of Berkeley
Church Without Walls
First Presbyterian Church of Berkeley
Lutheran Church of the Cross (YEAH Shelter)
McGee Avenue Baptist Church
South Berkeley Community Church

Neighborhood

Alcatraz Avenue Neighborhood Association
Association for Sports Field Users (ASFU)
Bateman Neighborhood Association
Berkeley Disaster Prep Neighborhood Network
Berkeley Property Owners Association (BPOA)
Berkeley Property Owners Association (BPOA)
Berkeley Property Owners Association (BPOA)
Berkeley Safe Neighborhoods Committee
Dwight-Hillside Neighborhood Association
Halcyon Neighborhood Association
Live Oak Cordornices Creek Neighborhood Assoc

Neighborhood

Monterey/Hopkins Neighborhood Association
North East Berkeley Association
Panoramic Hill Association
Parks Hills Homes Association
San Pablo Neighborhood Council
South Berkeley Neighborhood Development Corporation
South Berkeley Neighborhood Development Corporation
Thousand Oaks Neighborhood Association
Vicente Canyon Neighborhood Association
West Berkeley Neighborhood Development Corporation
Willard Neighborhood Association
Claremont/Elmwood Neighborhood Association
Cordonices Glen Neighborhood Association
Friends of Five Creeks
North East Berk Association
Panoramic Hill Association
San Pablo Neighborhood Council
San Pablo Neighborhood Council



Redistricting 2021 – Community Outreach Organizations (April 2021)

Sports and Recreation

Albany Berkeley Girls Softball League
Albany-Berkeley Soccer Club (ABSC)
Bay Area Outreach and Recreation Program (BORP)
Berkeley All Blues Women's Rugby Club
Berkeley Association of Sports Field Users (ASFU)
Berkeley Ballet Theater
Berkeley Bicycle Club (Berkeley Hills Road Race)
Berkeley Bike Station
Berkeley Chess School
Berkeley City Ballet
Berkeley Echo Lake Camp Association (BELCA)
Berkeley Lacrosse Club
Berkeley Lawn Bowling Club
Berkeley Moving Arts
Berkeley Paddling and Rowing Club (BPRC)
Berkeley Path Wanderers Association
Berkeley Rowing Club
Berkeley Tennis Club
Berkeley Water Ski Club
Berkeley Yacht Club

Sports and Recreation

Berkeley Yoga Center
Cal Adventures
Cal Sailing Club
Waterside Workshops
YMCA



Tell us about your community!



Help shape the future of Berkeley's Council districts

Every ten years, U.S. Census data is used to redraw Berkeley's Council district boundaries. This ensures the districts are balanced for changes in population. Berkeley's Independent Redistricting Commission (composed of 13 volunteers) wants to hear from you on how the boundaries should be drawn. Complete this form to tell the Commission about your **community of interest** so it can be considered in the redistricting process.

What is a "community of interest"?

A community of interest is a group of people in the same geographic area who share common social and economic interests.

Are there things that make your community unique when compared to surrounding areas? What characteristics do you share with your neighbors? How would you describe the area to a friend?

For example, some characteristics a community can share are:

- Resources
- Identity
- Business districts
- Education
- Ancestry
- Shared transportation
- Cultural centers
- History
- Economic interests
- Language
- Common neighborhood activities
- Neighborhoods

Redistricting laws also prohibit a few things from being included when drawing district boundaries – such as political parties and candidates, or where current councilmembers live.

Use our "Community of Interest Form" on the next page to describe your community. You can add more pages if needed. Your input is essential and we welcome your comments.

You can attend public meetings to give more input on this topic. Visit our webpage for dates and times: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting. You can also email the Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.



Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:


Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



Submit this form by XXXXXXXXXXXX:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.




Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest

Berkeley City Charter – Article V, Section 9.5 (f)(2):

For purposes of this subsection “communities of interest” shall mean the following: A community of interest is a contiguous population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Such shared interests include but are not limited to those common to areas in which the people share similar living standards, use the same transportation facilities, have similar work opportunities, or have access to the same media of communication relevant to the election process, as well as neighborhoods, students, organized student housing, shared age, and racial demographics. Communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



GET READY!

TO BE ON THE COMMISSION

The City of Berkeley is convening a Redistricting Commission. The 13-member Commission of Berkeley residents is tasked with redrawing the City Council district boundaries. As a Commissioner, you will engage the public, provide your own input, and ultimately adopt an updated map of the City Council District boundaries. If you are a resident of Berkeley and meet a few other requirements, you are eligible. Get involved and play an important role in elections in the City of Berkeley!

www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info
 510-981-6900



INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION

BERKELEY

GET READY!

REDISTRICTING STARTS SOON

The City of Berkeley is convening a Redistricting Commission. The 13-member Commission of Berkeley residents is tasked with redrawing the City Council district boundaries. As a Commissioner, you will engage the public, provide your own input, and ultimately adopt an updated map of the City Council District boundaries. If you are a resident of Berkeley and meet a few other requirements, you are eligible. Get involved and play an important role in elections in the City of Berkeley!

www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info
510-981-6900

Applications are due October 9, 2020

WHERE CAN I GET MORE INFORMATION?

The webpage www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting has more information. You can also send your questions to the City Clerk Department: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info
510-981-6900



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

GET READY!

REDISTRICTING STARTS SOON

Applications are due October 9, 2020

GET READY!

REDISTRICTING STARTS SOON

WHAT IS REDISTRICTING?

The City of Berkeley utilizes a district-based system of electing councilmembers. There are 8 Council districts and the Mayor is elected at-large [by all Berkeley voters]. In 2016, Berkeley voters amended the City's Charter for drawing electoral boundaries from the City Council to an independent Redistricting Commission. The measure's intent was to establish a process open to the public, that meets legal requirements, and is conducted with integrity, fairness and without personal or political connections.

As a Commissioner, you will be part of a 13-member independent body with broad community representation. The Commission will engage the public, and adopt an updated map of City Council district boundaries.

Applications are due October 9, 2020

www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info
510-981-6900

AM I ELIGIBLE TO SERVE?

You need to be a Berkeley resident to serve on the Commission. You're ineligible if you are a paid City of Berkeley staff member [or family member of one] a consultant or contract with the City, or have held elective office in the last 2 years. There are a few other qualifications, so review the Redistricting Plan on the website for further details.

I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT REDISTRICTING BUT WANT TO LEARN, WILL THERE BE STAFF SUPPORT?

Yes, the Redistricting Commission will have a lot of support. The Commission will receive staff support from the City Clerk

Department, City Attorney's Office, the Department of Information Technology and the City Manager's Office.

Don't worry, you don't have to be a cartographer!

WHAT'S NEXT?

Commissioners are selected January 2021. The Commission meets for the next several months and then adopts a redistricting plan by February 1, 2022.



APPLY NOW

TO BE ON THE COMMISSION

You're invited to apply to be part of a group of 13 Berkeley residents tasked with redrawing the City Council district boundaries. As a Commissioner, you will engage the public, provide your own input, and ultimately adopt an updated map of the City Council District boundaries. Applications are due October 9, 2020. If you are a resident of Berkeley and meet a few other requirements, you are eligible to apply. Get involved and play an important role in elections in the City of Berkeley!

www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info
510-981-6900

Applications are due October 9, 2020

WHERE CAN I GET MORE INFORMATION?

The webpage www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting has more information. You can also send your questions to the City Clerk Department: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info
510-981-6900



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

APPLY NOW

TO BE ON THE COMMISSION

Applications are due October 9, 2020

APPLY NOW

TO BE ON THE COMMISSION

WHAT IS REDISTRICTING?

The City of Berkeley utilizes a district-based system of electing councilmembers. There are 8 Council districts and the Mayor is elected at-large [by all Berkeley voters]. In 2016, Berkeley voters amended the City's Charter for drawing electoral boundaries from the City Council to an independent Redistricting Commission. The measure's intent was to establish a process open to the public, that meets legal requirements, and is conducted with integrity, fairness and without personal or political connections. As a Commissioner, you will be part of a 13-member independent body with broad community representation. The Commission will engage the public, and adopt an updated map of City Council district boundaries.

Applications are due October 9, 2020

www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info
510-981-6900

WHO CAN APPLY?

You must be a Berkeley resident to serve on the commission. You're ineligible if you are a paid City of Berkeley staff member [or family member of one] or have held elective office in the last 2 years. There are a few other qualifications, so review the Redistricting Plan on the website for further details.

I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT REDISTRICTING BUT WANT TO LEARN, SHOULD I STILL APPLY?

Yes, the Redistricting Commission will have a lot of support. The Commission will receive staff support from the City Clerk Department, City Attorney's Office, the


Department of Information Technology and the City Manager's Office.

Don't worry, you don't have to be a cartographer!

WHAT'S NEXT?

Applications are due October 9, 2020. Commissioners are selected January 2021. The Commission meets for the next several months and then adopts a redistricting plan by February 1, 2022.





City Clerk Department
 2180 Milvia Street
 Berkeley, CA 94704
 (510) 981-6900
www.cityofberkeley.info
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Office Use:
 Date Received

APPLICATION FOR THE CITY OF BERKELEY INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Introduction

The City of Berkeley is seeking Berkeley residents to apply for the Independent Redistricting Commission. This 13-member body will be responsible for setting Berkeley's electoral boundaries for City Council districts following the 2020 Census.

Eligibility

There are limitations to who can serve on this commission. Please review Appendix A of this application to verify that you are eligible before you apply. You will be asked questions to verify your eligibility on Page 2 of this application.

SECTION 1. APPLICANT INFORMATION		
FIRST NAME	M.I.	LAST NAME
RESIDENCE (HOME) ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, ZIP)		
EMAIL ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER	
COUNCIL DISTRICT		
SECTION 2. DEMOGRAPHIC SURVEY		
<p>1. Gender: <input type="checkbox"/> Female <input type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Nonbinary <input type="checkbox"/> Prefer not to say</p> <p>2. Are you currently a student? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>3. Which racial/ethnic category do you most closely identify with? Select one category:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> WHITE (Not of Hispanic origin): All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, North Africa, or the Middle East.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> BLACK (Not of Hispanic origin): All persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> HISPANIC: All persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central American, South American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> ASIAN / PACIFIC ISLANDER: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian Subcontinent, or the Pacific Islands. This area includes, for example, China, Japan, Korea, and Samoa.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> AMERICAN INDIAN / ALASKAN NATIVE: All persons having origins in any of the original peoples of North America, and who maintain cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> OTHER / BI-RACIAL: Persons who do not identify with any of the above categories or who have mixed or unknown racial/ethnic origins.</p>		



APPLICATION FOR THE CITY OF BERKELEY INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

SECTION 3. ELIGIBILITY QUESTIONNAIRE		YES	NO
Are you a resident of the City of Berkeley?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Will you be 18 years of age or older at the time you submit your application?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Have you been a candidate for the office of Mayor or Councilmember within two years?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are you (or have you been in the last two years) Berkeley Mayor, Councilmember, Auditor, School Board Director, or Rent Stabilization Board Commissioner?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are you the immediate family of the Mayor or any Councilmember?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are you the immediate family of any staff to the Mayor or Councilmember?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are you an employee of the City of Berkeley?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are you performing paid services under a contract with the City of Berkeley (including subcontractors)?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Have you served as an officer, paid staff, or paid consultant of a campaign committee of a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember within the past two years?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are you currently, or have you been within the last two years, a paid staff member or unpaid intern to the Mayor or any Councilmember?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are you disqualified from serving in public office pursuant to Government Code sections 1021, 1021.5, 1770, or the Constitution and laws of the State of California?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do you currently serve on a City of Berkeley board or commission?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If you currently serve on a City of Berkeley board or commission, are you willing to resign from the board or commission if selected and agree to not serve on another City of Berkeley Board or commission during the term of your office on the Independent Redistricting Commission?	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Have you made disclosable monetary or non-monetary contributions to a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember in the City of Berkeley within the past four years? If yes, please disclose the amount(s) of the contribution(s) and to which candidate(s) in the space provided on the next page.		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do you understand that if you serve on the Independent Redistricting Commission, you are ineligible for employment as a paid staff member for the Mayor or any Councilmember or to serve on a City of Berkeley board or commission for a two-year period?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



APPLICATION FOR THE CITY OF BERKELEY INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

SECTION 4. DISCLOSABLE CONTRIBUTIONS TO MAYOR OR COUNCIL CANDIDATES WITHIN THE PAST FOUR YEARS

If you have made disclosable monetary or non-monetary contributions to a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember in the City of Berkeley within the past four years, disclose the amount(s) of the contribution(s) and to which candidate(s) here. You may attach additional pages if needed.

SECTION 4. WRITTEN STATEMENT

Provide a written statement of qualifications not longer than three hundred (300) words expressing why you believe you are qualified to serve on the Commission. Please attach an additional page if needed. *(statement to be used for at-large appointment process)*



APPLICATION FOR THE CITY OF BERKELEY INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

SECTION 5. CERTIFICATION

I consent to a background check if appointed. (Promptly after reviewing the background check to determine eligibility, the City Clerk will either return it to the applicant or destroy it.) Furthermore, I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the information provided on this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

APPLICANT SIGNATURE/VERIFICATION

DATE SIGNED

APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS

Applications are accepted beginning September 8, 2020. Complete pages 1-4 and submit no later than Friday, October 9, 2020. Submit hard copy applications to the City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia St., 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704 or submit via e-mail to redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.



APPENDIX A – INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION ELIGIBILITY WORKSHEET

Are you a resident of the City of Berkeley and 18 years of age or older?

Yes No (ineligible)



Have you been a qualified candidate for Mayor or Councilmember within the past two years?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you (or have you been in the last two years) Berkeley Mayor, Councilmember, Auditor, School Board Director, or Rent Board Stabilization Board Commissioner?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you the immediate family member of the Mayor or any Councilmember, or immediate family member of any staff to the Mayor or any Councilmember?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you employed by the City of Berkeley?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you performing paid services under contract with the City of Berkeley (including subcontractor employees)?

No Yes (ineligible)



Have you served as an officer, paid staff, or paid consultant of a campaign committee of a candidate for Berkeley Mayor or Councilmember within the past two years?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you currently, or have you been within the last two years, a paid staff member or unpaid intern to the Berkeley Mayor or any Councilmember?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you disqualified from serving in public office pursuant to Government Code sections 1021, 1021.5, or 1770, and the Constitution and laws of the State of California?

No Yes (ineligible)



Do you serve on a City of Berkeley board or commission appointed by the Mayor or Councilmembers?

No Yes → Eligible. However, you must resign from the board or commission if selected and agree not to serve on the City's other boards or commissions during your term on the IRC.



Have you made disclosable monetary or non-monetary contributions to a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember in the City of Berkeley within the past four years?

No Yes → Eligible. However, you must disclose those contributions under penalty of perjury.



Congratulations – you are eligible to serve on the Independent Redistricting Commission!

APPENDIX B – INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION DEFINITIONS

“Immediate Family” means a spouse, domestic partner, cohabitant, child, stepchild, grandchild, parent, stepparent, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, grandparent, great grandparent, brother, sister, half-brother, half-sister, stepsibling, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, aunt, uncle, niece, nephew, or first cousin (that is, a child of an aunt or uncle). (*BMC §2.10.020*)

“Employee” means an employee of the city including a person in any of the following categories:

ACTING EMPLOYEE: An employee who has been appointed to fill a vacancy in any department head or assistant department head position in compliance with these Rules.

AT-WILL EMPLOYEE: An employee who is excluded from the career service by BMC Section 4.04.120 of the Personnel Ordinance and serves at the will of the appointing authority. At-will employees can be dismissed at any time without cause.

EMERGENCY EMPLOYEE: An employee appointed to meet the requirements of an emergency condition. Such appointment may be made without regard to the regulations as to appointments in these rules.

FLSA EXEMPT EMPLOYEE: An employee who is exempt from the overtime pay requirements of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and does not receive overtime pay or compensatory leave.

FLSA NON-EXEMPT EMPLOYEE: An employee who is covered by the overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") and receives overtime pay or compensatory leave.

FULL TIME EMPLOYEE: An employee who is appointed to a position that works the normal number of working hours as defined by the City.

INTERMITTENT EMPLOYEE: An at-will employee who works on an hourly basis on call at irregular intervals or without a set pattern on an intermittent basis.

UNREPRESENTED EMPLOYEE: An employee who is not a member of a designated representation unit that is represented by an employee organization formally certified by the City.

“Contractor” means any person or persons, firm, partnership, corporation, or combination thereof which enters into a contract with the City of Berkeley for construction or improvements to be performed, or for a franchise, concession or lease of property, or for goods, services or supplies to be purchased, at the expense of the City of Berkeley, or to be paid out of moneys deposited in the treasury, or out of trust moneys under the control or collected by the City of Berkeley. (*BMC §13.26.020*)

“Sub-Contractor” means a person or business which has a contract (as an "independent contractor" and not an employee) to provide some portion of the work or services on a project which the “Contractor” as defined above has agreed to perform. (*BMC §9.04.026*)

APPENDIX B – INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION DEFINITIONS

“Disclosable monetary or non-monetary contribution” means a gift, subscription, loan, advance, deposit, pledge, forgiveness of indebtedness, payment of a debt by a third party, contract, agreement, or promise of money or anything of value or other obligation, whether or not legally enforceable, made directly or indirectly in aid of or in opposition to the nomination or election of one or more candidates or the qualification for the ballot or voter approval of one or more measures. The term "contribution" includes the purchase of tickets for events such as dinners, luncheons, rallies and similar fund-raising events; a candidate's own money or property used on behalf of his or her candidacy; the granting to a candidate or committee of discounts or rebates not available to the general public; and payments for the services of any person serving on behalf of a candidate or committee, when such payments are not made from contributions the candidate or committee must otherwise report under the terms of this chapter. The term "contribution" further includes any transfer, gift, loan, advance, deposit, forgiveness of indebtedness, payment of a debt by a third party, pledge, contract, agreement, or promise of money or anything of value or other obligation, whether or not legally enforceable, received directly or indirectly by a committee from another committee. The term "contribution" shall not include a gift of service or labor, but shall include service or labor for which a payment is made, nor shall the term "contribution" include a gift of the use of personal or real property where the value of such use is not in excess of fifty dollars, nor shall it include food and beverages the value of which for any one event is no more than fifty dollars. (*BMC §2.12.100*)

APPENDIX C – STATE CODE REFERENCES

Government Code Section 1021

A person is disqualified from holding any office upon conviction of designated crimes as specified in the Constitution and laws of the State.

Government Code Section 1021.5

(a) If a public employee is convicted of any felony involving accepting or giving, or offering to give, any bribe, the embezzlement of public money, extortion or theft of public money, perjury, or conspiracy to commit any of those crimes arising directly out of his or her official duties as a public employee, he or she shall be disqualified for five years from any public employment, including, but not limited to, employment with a city, county, district, or any other public agency of the state.

(b) The five-year disqualification period described in subdivision (a) shall begin on the later of either of the following:
(1) The date of final conviction.
(2) The date on which the public employee is released from any incarceration.

(c) For purposes of this section, "public employee" means any person employed at will for the purposes of providing services to an elected public officer who takes public office, or is reelected to public office, on or after January 1, 2013.

Government Code Section 1770

An office becomes vacant on the happening of any of the following events before the expiration of the term:

(a) The death of the incumbent.

(b) An adjudication pursuant to a quo warranto proceeding declaring that the incumbent is physically or mentally incapacitated due to disease, illness, or accident, and that there is reasonable cause to believe that the incumbent will not be able to perform the duties of his or her office for the remainder of his or her term. This subdivision shall not apply to offices created by the California Constitution nor to federal or state legislators.

(c) (1) His or her resignation, except as provided in paragraph (2).

(2) In the case of the office of city council member, upon the delivery of a letter of resignation by the resigning council member to the city clerk. The letter of resignation may specify a date on which the resignation will become effective.

(d) His or her removal from office.

(e) His or her ceasing to be an inhabitant of the state, or if the office be local and one for which local residence is required by law, of the district, county, or city for which the officer was chosen or appointed, or within which the duties of his or her office are required to be discharged.

(f) His or her absence from the state without the permission required by law beyond the period allowed by law.

(g) His or her ceasing to discharge the duties of his or her office for the period of three consecutive months, except when prevented by sickness, or when absent from the state with the permission required by law.

(h) His or her conviction of a felony or of any offense involving a violation of his or her official duties. An officer shall be deemed to have been convicted under this subdivision when trial court judgment is entered. For purposes of this subdivision, "trial court judgment" means a judgment by the trial court either sentencing the officer or otherwise upholding and implementing the plea, verdict, or finding.

(i) His or her refusal or neglect to file his or her required oath or bond within the time prescribed.

(j) The decision of a competent tribunal declaring void his or her election or appointment.

APPENDIX C – STATE CODE REFERENCES

Government Code Section 1770 (continued)

(k) The making of an order vacating his or her office or declaring the office vacant when the officer fails to furnish an additional or supplemental bond.

(l) His or her commitment to a hospital or sanitarium by a court of competent jurisdiction as a drug addict, dipsomaniac, inebriate, or stimulant addict; but in that event the office shall not be deemed vacant until the order of commitment has become final.

(m) (1) The incumbent is listed in the Excluded Parties List System and all of the following subparagraphs apply:

(A) The office is one that the incumbent holds ex officio, by virtue of holding another office, or as an appointee.

(B) The appointed or ex officio office is on the governing board of a local agency that is, or may reasonably be expected to be, a participant or principal in a covered transaction, pursuant to federal law.

(C) A federal agency head or designee has not granted the incumbent an exception, in writing, permitting the incumbent to participate in a particular covered transaction in which the local agency is, or may reasonably be expected to be, a participant or principal.

(2) For purposes of this subdivision, the following terms have the following meanings:

(A) "Excluded Parties List System" means the list maintained and disseminated by the federal General Services Administration containing names of, and other information about, persons who are debarred, suspended, disqualified, or otherwise excluded from participating in a covered transaction, pursuant to federal law.

(B) "Local agency" includes, but is not limited to, a county, whether general law or chartered, city, whether general law or chartered, city and county, school district, municipal corporation, district, political subdivision, or any board, commission, or agency of one of these entities.

(C) "Federal law" includes, but is not limited to, federal regulations adopted pursuant to Section 2455 of Public Law 103-355 (108 Stat. 3327), Executive Order No. 11738, Executive Order No. 12549, and Executive Order No. 12689.

(3) This subdivision shall not apply to an elective office.

Tell us about your community!



Help shape the future of Berkeley's Council districts

Every ten years after the Census, the City Council district boundaries are redrawn to ensure the districts are balanced for changes in population. **Complete this form to tell the Independent Redistricting Commission about your community of interest so it can be considered in the redistricting process.**

What is a “community of interest”?

A community of interest is a group of people in the same geographic area who share common social and economic interests.

Are there things that make your community unique when compared to surrounding areas? What characteristics do you share with your neighbors? How would you describe the area to a friend?

For example, some characteristics a community can share are:

- Identity
- Business districts
- Education
- Ancestry
- Shared transportation
- Cultural centers
- History
- Economic interests
- Language
- Neighborhoods


Redistricting laws also prohibit a few things from being included when drawing district boundaries – such as political parties and candidates, or where current councilmembers live.

Use our “Community of Interest Form” on the next page to describe your community. You can add more pages if needed.

Online web form available at: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

You can attend public meetings to give more input on this topic. Visit our webpage for dates and times: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting. You can also email the Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.

To provide feedback on City services or to request specific City services for your neighborhood, please call 311 or contact your Councilmember.



Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?


How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

COMMUNITY MAPPING TOOL

Please use this map to draw your community of interest. It is important to know about communities so that the new council district lines can amplify the voices of residents.

The Fair Maps Act defines "Communities of Interest" as populations that share common social or economic interests and should be included within a single district for purposes of effective and fair representation.


To start, please include a name for your community, your name and email address, and a description of your community. Then draw your community on the map.


COMMUNITY NAME

NAME

EMAIL

DESCRIPTION





CITY OF BERKELEY REDISTRICTING

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

PUBLIC REDISTRICTING EXERCISE

THE RULES

- Create a single city council district or all eight districts using the population blocks in the map.
- New districts should have a population of around 15,500.
- The largest district should be no more than 1,500 people greater

ABOUT THE BLOCKS

Each of these blocks is drawn within Berkeley's existing neighborhoods.
The numbers from the final release of the 2020 US Census as required by the California Fair Maps Act.

ONCE COMPLETE

● **EMAIL A PHOTO** of your completed map to: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

● **MAIL IT TO:** City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor Berkeley,
CA 94704

Write in the population estimates for each of your drawn districts.
~15,500 Target Population Per District

- DISTRICT 1 _____
- DISTRICT 2 _____
- DISTRICT 3 _____
- DISTRICT 4 _____
- DISTRICT 5 _____
- DISTRICT 6 _____
- DISTRICT 7 _____
- DISTRICT 8 _____


#	Date	Type	Topic	Distribution
1	8/12/2020	E-mail	Application period: Push-through message to Council	Mayor and Council
2	8/12/2020	Paid Ad	Application period: East Bay Express (Additional run 9/2)	Print only
3	8/12/2020	Paid Ad	Application period: Tri-City Post (additional run 9/2)	Print only
4	8/13/2020	E-msg	Application Period	Berkeley Library E-Newsletter (105,000)
5	8/13/2020	Paid Ad	Application period: Berkeleyside (run through 10/9/2020)	Online
6	8/14/2020	E-Mail	Application Period	400+ Community Partners
7	8/14/2020	Paid Ad	Application period: Berkeley Voice (additional runs 8/21, 8/28, 9/4)	Print only
8	8/19/2020	E-Mail	Application Period	Office of Economic Development Newsletter
9	8/25/2020	Letter	Application Period	400+ Community Partners
10	8/27/2020	Paid Ad	Application period: Berkeley Times (additional run, 9/10)	Print only
11	9/1/2020	Display	Application Period	Grocery Stores; Laundromats; Public Buildings
12	9/2/2020	E-msg	Application Period	Berkeley Library E-Newsletter (Spanish)
13	9/3/2020	Paid Ad	Application period: Daily Californian	Print only
14	9/6/2020	E-msg	Application Period	Berkeley Library E-Newsletter (105,000)
15	9/7/2020	E-msg	Application Period	BUSD E-Newsletter (20,000)
16	9/8/2020	E-Mail	Application Period	400+ Community Partners; BPL Newsletter; BUSD Newsletter
17	9/8/2020	E-mail	Application period: Push-through message to Council	Mayor and Council
18	9/8/2020	News Msg	Application Period: "Volunteers needed to help Berkeley draw..."	Media Outlets; Website; GovDelivery List
19	9/8/2020	Social Media	Application period	Twitter
20	9/14/2020	Social Media	Application period	Twitter
21	9/19/2020	Social Media	Application period	Twitter
22	9/24/2020	Paid Ad	Application period: Daily Californian (run through 10/9)	Online & Twitter
23	10/5/2020	News Msg	Application period: "Apply by October 9"	Media Outlets; Website; GovDelivery List
24	10/5/2020	Social Media	Application period	Nextdoor
25	10/5/2020	Social Media	Application period	Twitter
26	12/14/2020	Website	New IRC Commission webpage published	Website
27	1/1/2021	Paid ad	Notice of random drawing- Berkeley Voice legal ad	Print only
28	1/7/2021	News Msg	Random drawing of IRC commissioners	Media Outlets; Website; GovDelivery List
29	1/11/2021	Social Media	Random drawing of IRC commissioners	Twitter
30	6/29/2021	Website	New public engagement webpage published	Website
31	6/30/2021	E-msg	Public Hearing #1	Berkeley Library E-Newsletter (105,000)
32	7/1/2021	Media	Public Hearing #1	Earned Media in Berkeleyside, Daily Cal, NBC TV News, Patch
33	7/1/2021	News Msg	Public Hearing #1	Media Outlets; Website; GovDelivery List

#	Date	Type	Topic	Distribution
34	7/1/2021	Paid Ad	Public Hearing #1: Daily Californian (run through 7/10/2021)	Online & Twitter
35	7/2/2021	E-Mail	Public Hearing #1	400+ Community Partners
36	7/2/2021	Paid Ad	Public Hearing #1: Berkeley Voice	Print only
37	7/2/2021	Paid Ad	Public Hearing #1: Berkeleyside (run through 7/10/2020)	Online
38	7/5/2021	Social Media	Public Hearing #1	Twitter
39	7/6/2021	Social Media	Public Hearing #1	Nextdoor
40	7/7/2021	Paid Ad	Public Hearing #1: East Bay Express	Print only
41	7/7/2021	Paid Ad	Public Hearing #1: Tri-City Post	Print only
42	7/8/2021	Social Media	Public Hearing #1	Twitter
43	7/9/2021	Social Media	Public Hearing #1	Twitter
44	7/10/2021	Social Media	Public Hearing #1	Twitter
45	7/11/2021	Media	Independent Redistricting Commission discusses districts, future housing	Earned media in Daily Cal
46	7/13/2021	E-Mail	Presentation Video; COI Form; Presentation on Demand	400+ Community Partners
47	7/26/2021	E-Mail	Online COI Form; Presentation Video	400+ Community Partners
48	8/4/2021	Display	COI Form	South Berkeley Senior Center
49	8/4/2021	Display	COI Form	Berkeley Libraries (5)
50	8/4/2021	E-mail	COI Form link and video	UC Berkeley student groups to distribute to members
51	8/10/2021	E-mail	COI Form link and video	BUSD PTA Board - for distribution
52	8/16/2021	Display	City Recreation Programs	2 City pools, Recreation Admin office and Marina office (4)
53	8/19/2021	E-msg	COI Form and Video	Rosa Parks Elementary PTA newsletter
54	8/21/2021	In-Person	Heart-to-Heart Health Faire (San Pablo Park)	South and West Berkeley Community
55	9/3/2021	In-Person	Cal Football Kickoff (Downtown)	Downtown; UC population; students
56	9/12/2021	In-Person	Solano Avenue Sidewalk a-Faire	North Berkeley Community; Broader Berkeley Community
57	9/15/2021	E-msg	October 2 Public Hearing	BUSD A+ eNewsletter - 25,000 recipients
58	9/15/2021	Letter	Public Hearing #2 and hardcopy COI forms	Targeted Community Organizations (20)
59	9/21/2021	E-Mail	Redistricting Opportunities for Students	BHS Teachers
60	9/23/2021	E-Mail	October 2 Public Hearing	400+ Community Organizations
61	9/23/2021	News Msg	Community Message for 10/2 Hearing	Website, Media List, GovDelivery Subscriber E-Mail
62	9/23/2021	Pass-Thru	City Council Constituent Newsletters	Mayor and Council E-Mail Lists
63	9/23/2021	Pass-Thru	October 2 Public Hearing	UC Students via ASUC e-mail lists
64	9/23/2021	Pass-Thru	October 2 Public Hearing	UC Students via Academic Department Newsletters
65	9/23/2021	Social Media	October 2 Public Hearing	Twitter
66	9/23/2021	Social Media	October 2 Public Hearing	Nextdoor

#	Date	Type	Topic	Distribution
67	9/24/2021	E-Mail	October 2 Public Hearing	Targeted Community Organizations (30)
68	9/24/2021	Paid ad	Public Hearing #2 : Berkeley Voice Full page (9/24 publication)	Print only
69	9/24/2021	Paid Ad	Public Hearing #2: Berkeleyside (runs through 10/1)	Online
70	9/26/2021	Media	'Now is the time to engage': Berkeley to redraw district boundaries	Earned media in Daily Cal
71	9/28/2021	Phone Calls	October 2 Public Hearing	Targeted community organization follow-up to emails/letters (23)
72	9/29/2021	Social Media	October 2 Public Hearing	Twitter
73	9/30/2021	E-mail	October 2 Public Hearing	55 UC Student organizations and department
74	9/30/2021	E-msg	October 2 Public Hearing	BPL Library News - 105,000 Recipients - Direct E-Mail List
75	10/1/2021	Social Media	October 2 Public Hearing	Twitter
76	10/2/2021	Social Media	October 2 Public Hearing	Twitter
77	10/7/2021	E-mail	Public Maps; Maptitude Demo; Offer of In-Person Presentations	400+ Community Organizations
78	10/12/2021	Virtual	Maptitude Demo for Public	Map submitters
79	10/16/2021	In-Person	Harvest Festival (Cedar Rose Park)	West Berkeley and Broader Berkeley Community
80	10/18/2021	Paid Ad	Berkeleyside: Public map submission open	Online
81	10/19/2021	Media	Op-Ed on overall redistricting process	Daily Cal
82	10/21/2021	Social Media	Maptitude Demo for Public	Twitter
83	10/21/2021	Virtual	Maptitude Demo for Public	Map submitters
84	10/21/2021	Media	Online mapping tool & mapping deadline; coverage from Harvest Festival	Earned media in the Berkeley Times
85	11/3/2021	E-Mail	Deadline to Submit Maps for New Council District Boundaries - Nov. 15	400+ Community Organizations
86	11/6/2021	Social Media	Deadline to Submit Maps for New Council District Boundaries - Nov. 15	Twitter
87	11/8/2021	E-mail	Pass through e-mail to Mayor and Councilmembers	Constituent e-mails and newsletters
88	11/8/2021	E-mail	Target reminder to ASUC partners	ASUC Officers and UC Students
89	11/8/2021	News Msg	Community Message for 10/2 Hearing	Website, Media List, GovDelivery Subscriber E-Mail
90	11/8/2021	Paid Ad	Berkeleyside: Public map submission period reminder	Online
91	11/8/2021	Social Media	Deadline to Submit Maps for New Council District Boundaries - Nov. 15	Nextdoor
92	11/8/2021	Media	Deadline to Submit Maps for New Council District Boundaries - Nov. 15	Earned media in Patch
93	11/15/2021	Media	Submit Your Map For New Council District Boundaries Before Nov. 15	Earned media in Patch
94	12/8/2021	Email	Get involved in redistricting map review by Jan 10th [public submissions]	400+ Community Organizations
95	1/13/2022	Email	Get ready to review draft maps	400+ Community Organizations
96	1/14/2022	Newsletter	UC Berkeley Government & Community Relations	700 Recipients; UC Focused
97	1/21/2022	News Msg	"See Draft Maps of Proposed Council District Boundaries"	Website, Media List, GovDelivery Subscriber E-Mail
98	1/21/2022	Paid Ad	Berkeleyside: PH and map release	Online

#	Date	Type	Topic	Distribution
99	1/21/2022	Paid Ad	Berkeley Voice: PH and map release	Print only
100	1/21/2022	In-Person	Large Format Maps	Displayed at Central Library and South Berkeley Senior Center
101	1/21/2022	In-Person	Map Books (11x17 size)	All libraries, rec centers, pools, City Hall
102	1/21/2022	Paid Ad	Daily Cal: PH and Map Release	Online Ad
103	1/21/2022	Email	Public Hearings and draft maps release	400+ Community Organizations
104	1/21/2022	Email	Upcoming Redistricting Open House Events	400+ Community Organizations
105	1/21/2022	Social Media	"See Draft Maps of Proposed Council District Boundaries"	Twitter
106	1/22/2022	In-Person	Draft Map Open House	Open House at Northbrae Community Church
107	1/22/2022	Social Media	"See Draft Maps of Proposed Council District Boundaries"	Nextdoor
108	1/21/2022	Email	Pass through e-mail to Mayor and Councilmembers	Constituent e-mails and newsletters
109	1/24/2022	Media	"Berkeley Redistricting: How new City Council boundaries could affect you"	Earned media in BerkeleySide
110	1/24/2022	In-Person	Draft Map Open House	South Berkeley Senior Center
111	1/25/2022	Social Media	See & give feedback on draft maps at our virtual meeting	Twitter
112	1/27/2022	Newsletter	Library eNews - Draft Maps and Public Hearings	Library eNews (100,000 subscribers)
113	1/27/2022	Social Media	Join us tonight to see the 4 draft maps	Twitter
114	2/1/2022	In-Person	Participate in Draft Map Discussions	Table and Large Maps at Bancroft & Telegraph
115	2/1/2022	Media	Independent Redistricting Commission eliminates 3 proposed maps	Earned media in Daily Cal
116	2/2/2022	Social Media	You can still check out and give feedback on four maps proposing...	Twitter
117	2/3/2022	In-Person	Participate in Draft Map Discussions	Table and Large Maps at Bancroft & Telegraph
118	2/7/2022	Social Media	Share your input on four maps proposing potential boundaries for...	Twitter
119	2/10/2022	Email	See two new draft maps of proposed Council district boundaries	400+ Community Organizations
120	2/14/2022	Social Media	Starting to narrow in on the proposed council district maps...	Twitter
121	2/14/2022	Media	Advocates allege proposed Berkeley City Council districts fail to represent renters	Earned media in Daily Cal
122	2/15/2022	News Msg	See two new draft maps as proposed Council district boundaries near adoption	Website, Media List, GovDelivery Subscriber E-Mail
123	2/16/2022	Social Media	See two new draft maps as proposed Council district boundaries near adoption	Nextdoor
124	2/16/2022	Social Media	Nearing adoption of the final map...	Twitter
125	2/16/2022	Media	In brief: Berkeley residents' input sought on proposed new district maps	Earned media in East Bay Times
126	2/19/2022	Social Media	Share your input on the two latest maps...	Twitter
127	2/25/2022	Email	See two new draft maps of proposed Council district boundaries	400+ Community Organizations
128	2/25/2022	Social Media	Narrowed down to one map	Twitter
129	2/28/2022	Social Media	Final map is selected tonight	Twitter
130	3/1/2022	Media	Berkeley's redistricting commission picks new City Council map	Earned media in BerkeleySide

Redistricting Hub: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION


Berkeley Redistricting

Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC)

Every ten years, U.S. Census data is used to redraw Berkeley's Council district boundaries to account for shifts and changes in the population. The process is guided by an Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC), comprised of 13 members of the Berkeley community, that is responsible to adopting the final version of the new council district map. The IRC collects community input and adopts an updated map through an open public process that meets the requirements of the law, and is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations.

IRC Public Meetings

Redistricting Timeline



Date	Event
January 2021	Selection of eight district commissioners and alternates. Commission convenes and selects five at-large commissioners and alternates.
February – June 2021	Commission training on conflict of interest, transparency, and ethics laws, and federal, state, and local redistricting laws and regulations.
July 10, 2021	Public hearing #1 – Introduction to redistricting for the public.
October 2, 2021	Redistricting information and tools available to the public for submitting maps.
November 15, 2021	Deadline for the public's redistricting plan submittal.
January – March 2022	Commission consideration of public redistricting plans and plans originating from the Commission.
March 2022	Deadline for Commission to adopt a redistricting plan.
November 8, 2022	First election with new districts (unless impasse reached or plan referred).

Redistricting Hub: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Draft Maps: Published February 10, 2022

Two draft maps were published February 10 by the Commission. Each map has been assigned a name: Amber - Version 2 and Violet. As of February 19, the Violet map is no longer being considered. View the draft maps by downloading the PDFs below or using the interactive map on this page. Accessible text-based narratives are available for each draft map: [Amber - Version 2 & Violet](#).



Draft Map 'Amber Version 2'



Draft Map 'Violet'

Draft Maps: Published January 20, 2022

Four draft maps were originally published by the Commission on January 20. Each map is assigned a name: Amber, Blue, Maroon, Orange. As of January 27, Blue, Maroon, and Orange are no longer under consideration.

View the draft maps by downloading the PDF below. You can also review the draft maps in the interactive map tool below. Accessible text-based narratives are available for each draft map: [Amber, Blue, Maroon, and Orange](#).



Draft Map 'Amber'



Draft Map 'Blue'



Draft Map 'Maroon'



Draft Map 'Orange'

Redistricting Hub: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Submitted Maps & Community of Interest Forms


Submitted Plan Interactive Map Open in Map Viewer Classic [Sign In](#)

- Add
- Layers
- More
- Save and open
- Map properties
- Share map
- More
- Information
- Collapse

Legend

Draft Maps


Amber Version 2



Current Council Districts

District

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5



Map showing Berkeley districts (District 1, District 2, District 3, District 4, District 5) and a submitted map overlay. The submitted map is a red-shaded area covering parts of District 1 and District 2. The map includes labels for Point Richmond, Brooks Island, El Cerrito, Albany, Emeryville, Piedmont, and various streets like Market St, Broadway, and Grand Ave. The map is powered by Esri.



Submitted Redistricting Maps



Communities of Interest Map

Map showing the general area described in the submitted communities of interest form



Submitted Communications & Communities of Interest

Redistricting Hub: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/



Communities of Interest



Complete this form to tell the Commission about your community of interest so it can be considered in the redistricting process. Complete the form through the [Online Form](#) or via fillable [PDF Form \(en Español\)](#).

[Launch PDF](#)

You can attend public meetings to give more input on this topic. Visit our webpage for dates and times: cityofberkeley.info/irc/. You can also email the Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.

Submit a Map

The period for public map submissions closed November 15, 2021 at midnight. Community of Interest forms and other general testimony can be submitted up to the time the final map is adopted.



Mapitude

Use the online mapping tool to create and submit a redistricting map for the IRC's..



Mapping Software Demo

Learn how to create and submit redistricting maps using free, online software.



Paper Map

Anyone may submit a paper map to share their preferred district boundaries with the IRC.



Public Submission Packet

Please review for important details about submitting maps and input to the commission.



Presentation on Map Submission Process

Presentation on public participation and the map submission process

Redistricting Hub: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Other Resources



Large Format City Reference Map

City of Berkeley Large Format City Reference Map (Printable PDF Version)



Redistricting Reference Layers

Reference layers to assist in the redistricting exercise for the Census 2020.



Summary of 2020 Census Data and Impact on Current Districts

Redistricting Criteria in City and State Law



Presentation on Redistricting Criteria in City and State Law



Independent Redistricting Commission
Introduction to Redistricting
July 30, 2021

Overview of Berkeley City Council Redistricting



Redistricting Resources



Official Berkeley Redistricting Dataset

Berkeley Redistricting Plan

CITY OF BERKELEY

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION PLAN

REVISED August 2021 – Prepared by the City Clerk Department

INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION



Chris Bazar
Agency Director

Agenda Item _____ December 15, 2020

224 West Winton Ave
Room 110

Hayward, California
94544-1215

phone
510.670.5333

fax
510.670.6374

acgov.org/Census2020

December 1, 2020

Honorable Board of Supervisors
Administration Building
1221 Oak Street, Suite 536
Oakland, California 94612

Dear Board Members:

**SUBJECT: CENSUS 2020 – FINAL STATUS REPORT REGARDING
CENSUS OUTREACH ACTIVITIES FROM THE ALAMEDA
COUNTY COMPLETE COUNT COMMITTEE**

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Review and accept the final status report regarding Alameda County’s Census 2020 outreach program from the Complete Count Committee.

DISCUSSION/SUMMARY:

Census 2020 officially concluded on October 15, 2020. Despite the significant challenges faced by the census in 2020, the final Census 2020 Self-Response Rate for Alameda County was 75.8%, ranking 7th amongst California counties. Alameda County achieved a higher “Self-Response Rate” than Census 2010 by 5.6%.

The Board of Supervisors deemed Census 2020 as a priority because the results will dramatically affect the well-being and political representation of county residents for a decade. In June 2018, your Board invested \$1.5 million as vital seed funding for the community engagement and education efforts needed to attain a complete count of County residents and authorized the creation of the Alameda County Complete Count Committee (CCC). The CCC first met on November 18, 2018 and is chaired by Supervisors Wilma Chan and Nate Miley. The CCC consisted of a vast and deeply committed coalition of community and faith-based organizations, individual volunteers (called Census Ambassadors), educational institutions, cities, and elected officials. The CCC formed 15 subcommittees to frame its community engagement to reach residents who have been historically undercounted, including low-income individuals, immigrants, people with limited English proficiency, people of color, young children, people with disabilities, and people residing in overcrowded housing or whom are unhoused. The US Census Bureau refers to such populations as “Hard-to-Count.” Issued through a Request for Proposal process, Alameda County Census 2020 outreach grants ranging from \$5,000 - \$47,500 were awarded to 70 organizations, 1 grant in the amount of \$150,000 was awarded to Tech Exchange and mini-grants, under \$3,000, were awarded to 37 organizations.

Board of Supervisors

December 1, 2020

Page 2

Subsequently, the State of California (State) directly invested \$1,324,696 in Alameda County's outreach efforts to support the "Hard-to-Count" residents. The State directed an additional \$100,000 to United Way Bay Area to directly help Alameda County with outreach materials and digital marketing. In addition to direct funding, the State provided \$6.5M, including the \$1.3M to Alameda County, to Region 3 - consisting of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, and Solano counties - to ensure community outreach and education coverage.

The census's importance is reflected by the collective effort and resources public and philanthropic entities. The East Bay Community Foundation granted approximately \$1 million dollars to dozens of Alameda County nonprofits for outreach and education as a part of the Bay Area Census Funders coalition.

Challenges

Census 2020 endured significant challenges to ensuring a complete and accurate count of all residents. Federal-level actions and policies, including the proposed citizenship question on the census, changes to the "public charge" regulations, raids and deportations had created a pervasive anti-immigrant climate and instilled fear and mistrust among immigrant communities regarding government activities. Also, there was general distrust in government and concern about sharing personal information through the first online census. The emergence of the COVID-19 pandemic added to the mounting challenges.

Census 2020 officially launched for "self-response" on March 12, 2020 when Census notices were mailed to each address. Simultaneously, the Coronavirus pandemic became a serious threat in Alameda County and the Nation. Corresponding shelter-in-place orders postponed or canceled hundreds of Census specific events, closed the community spaces in which 130 Questionnaire Assistance Centers were planned to open, and shuttered schools where programming was scheduled to include Census education activities.

Response

In response to COVID-19, the Alameda County Complete Count Committee made rapid changes to the outreach plan. Through CCC staff, fellows, and Census Ambassadors, direct Census outreach continued through remote, virtual, and other contact-free methods, such as:

- Phone banking to more than 80,000 residents, using the State's Census PDI system
- 91 Mobile Questionnaire Assistance engagements - over 2,000 individuals completed the Census on-site using tablets and receiving support from trained Census Ambassadors. *Such in-person engagements were only conducted outdoors in compliance with public health guidelines and extensive usage of PPE. Locations included: Multiple sites of Oakland Unified School District's weekly food distributions, three Self Sufficiency Centers operated by Alameda County's Social Services Agency, COVID testing sites coordinated by Alameda County Public Health Department, and multiple grocery stores in low-responding Census tracts*
- Direct mail to targeted populations including Medi-Cal recipients, families with children ages 0-5 receiving services from First Five of Alameda County, tenants of Accessory Dwelling Units, and affordable housing residents
- Doorhanger deliveries and socially-distanced canvassing efforts

Board of Supervisors

December 1, 2020

Page 3

- Incorporating Census materials into hundreds of food and meal distributions
- Participating in online town halls and “Know Your Rights” forums

Grantees also shifted their efforts to methods that were safe to conduct during the pandemic. For example, many community and faith-based organizations had originally planned to hold in-person Census events but pivoted by assigning staff to call their clients or members for one-on-one Census education and support. Others hosted online events on Facebook Live or Zoom. Such efforts successfully ensured that thousands of residents completed their Census because these individuals were contacted directly by organizations and people they know and trust.

The Complete Count Committee staff accomplished the outcomes listed below and in the attached Impact Report:

- Facilitated 20 "Census Solutions Workshops" to solicit feedback from partners about the outreach methods they thought would be most successful. These workshops allowed staff opportunities to educate community leaders, advocates, and nonprofit staff about the fundamentals & mechanics of the Census, take their questions, and invest them in attaining a complete count on Census 2020.
- Supported US Census Bureau enumerator recruitment by coordinating 8 application workshops and a targeted promotion campaign of Census jobs through workforce development partners
- Delivered Census presentations to nearly 250 groups reaching over 6,500 individuals (including County departments, County and City commissions, nonprofits, & clubs)
- Trained over 800 individuals on how to conduct Census outreach
- Advocated on behalf of unhoused residents regarding the deficiencies of the US Census Bureau's plan to enumerate individuals experiencing homelessness (see attached memos)
- Equipped hundreds of partners with materials, incentives, signage, and technology
- Coordinated with County Agencies who serve historically undercounted populations on how to engage clients, including Public Health, Health Care Services, Social Services, Behavioral Health Care Services, and Probation
- Provided technical assistance to cities and the offices of elected officials, such as message development, crafting outreach strategies, and clarifying USCB processes
- Provided thought partnership and individualized support to grantees on how to alter their outreach plans in the wake of the COVID19 pandemic
- Real-time interventions to low-responding Census tracts: recruiting and onboarding new partners, coordinating strategy meetings amongst stakeholders serving those tracts, and enhancing resources such as Mobile Questionnaire Assistance or canvassing

To reach Alameda County's diverse population and people with limited English proficiency, the CCC ensured language access in multiple ways. Census educational materials was produced in multiple languages. For less commonly spoken languages in which translation services were less effective, community-based organizations with linguistic capacity were provided resources to produce translated materials or videos. CCC staff produced a video series of immigrant testimonials in 13 languages, which was available on its YouTube page, promoted through grantees, and featured in United Way Bay Area's digital marketing campaign in Alameda

County. These videos were developed to address key concerns raised by immigrants about Census participation and allow immigrant advocates to express why they were committed to getting counted. In late 2019, CCC staff supported an English as a Second Language (ESL) expert to produce Census curriculum for adult education programs throughout the County. After these materials were produced, County staff led eight presentations to ESL instructors to teach about the Census and preview the materials to them. In lieu of being able to host 3 large Census “Know Your Rights” forums, the CCC activated Spanish speaking partners from Faith in Action East Bay and Centro Legal and produced an online event and video to assuage fears of participation repeatedly raised by immigrants. CCC staff also contracted with various ethnic media outlets to feature Census Ambassadors in “Census Spotlight” programs on both KIQI 1010am (Spanish radio) and Ariana TV (which reached Farsi speaking Afghanis.) County Census materials also referred individuals to the 211 hotline, whose multi-lingual staff were trained to provide Census support. Language access was most significantly achieved through the dozens of ethnic grantees and mini-grantees who are “*trusted messengers*” among immigrants and non-English speakers, and who could conduct outreach in the most linguistically and culturally appropriate ways.

The results of these efforts are illustrated in the County, City, and Community Self Response Rates below.

Area	2020 Self Response Rate	2010 Self Response Rate
Alameda County	75.8%	70.2%
Piedmont	90.0% <i>(highest in CA)</i>	85.8%
Newark	82.8%	73.5%
Livermore	82.6%	75.0%
San Lorenzo	81.7%	76.0%
Union City	81.6%	73.0%
Pleasanton	81.2%	79.3%
Albany	80.9%	50.4%
Castro Valley	80.0%	75.2%
Fremont	79.8%	74.9%
Alameda	79.2%	76.2%
Dublin	78.7%	71.0%
San Leandro	78.0%	75.2%
Fairview	74.3%	69.6%
Area	2020 Self Response Rate	2010 Self Response Rate
Berkeley	72.5%	72.3%

Board of Supervisors

December 1, 2020

Page 5

Hayward	71.6%	67.9%
Oakland	71.3%	66.6%
Emeryville	69.4%	63.5%
Ashland	67.0%	63.7%
Cherryland	65.4%	64.5%

Lessons Learned

The Complete Count Committee staff identified four actions that enabled the development of a coalition of thousands of community leaders and organizations. These leaders recognized the power of civic engagement and understand what is at stake when our diverse and vulnerable populations are not equally represented, resulting in a coalition that effectively fought for an inclusive and accessible Census.

- Starting early (launched in November 2018)
- Rooting the work in community engagement by providing many workshops for partner support and engagement
- Partnering with trusted messengers and supporting them with funding, training, materials, and technical assistance to ensure they would be successful in motivating people to respond to Census 2020
- Providing sufficient resources for community engagement

Future Actions

The accuracy of the census data and how that data will be used for reapportionment may be affected by an on-going court case and a legislative bill. The State of California and numerous cities and counties, and organizations across the country have challenged the July 21, 2020 Presidential Memorandum that excludes undocumented residents for the reapportionment of Representatives following the 2020 census. On November 10th, your Board authorized County Counsel to join the State’s Amicus Brief in the appeal to the Supreme Court (SCOTUS), hearings will begin on November 30th. The SCOTUS decision on the exclusion of undocumented residents will determine how census data informs redistricting at the local level and the impacts on federal funding received by local governments.

On November 23, 2020, your Personnel, Administration, and Legislation (PAL) subcommittee recommended support for the 2020 Census Deadline Extensions Act (Senate 4571), which extends two critical statutory deadlines by four months to give the Census Bureau enough time to compile accurate data sets. The current streamlined data processing under an accelerated census plan presents risks to the data's accuracy and completeness. The Secretary of Commerce is required to provide a report to the president by December 31, 2020. In turn, the president must send a report containing each state's total population and the number of representatives to which each state is entitled to Congress on January 10, 2021. If the legislation passes, the deadline for the delivery of apportionment data will be April 30, 2021 instead of December 31, 2020. The delivery of the redistricting data to the states will change to July 31, 2021 from March 31, 2021.

Board of Supervisors
December 1, 2020
Page 6

Staff will return to your Board in January to report on the status of the SCOTUS decision and the legislation outcomes and review the new California redistricting laws - the Fair and Inclusive Redistricting for Municipalities and Political Subdivisions Act (FAIR MAPS Act), AB 849.

FINANCING:

There is no Net County Cost as a result of this action.

VISION 2026:

The Census count is used to allocate federal funds for vital services, including health care, social services, transportation, education, environmental protection, and housing. Census outreach efforts align with the 10X goal pathways of **Healthcare for All**, **Eliminating Poverty and Hunger**, **Eliminating Homelessness**, and **Accessible Infrastructure** in support of our shared vision of a **Thriving and Resilient Population**, **Safe and Livable Communities**, and a **Prosperous and Vibrant Economy**.

Very truly yours,

Chris Bazar, Director
Community Development Agency

cc: Susan S. Muranishi, County Administrator
Donna R. Ziegler, County Counsel
Melissa Wilk, Auditor-Controller
Jennifer Schulz, County Administrator's Office
Heather M. Littlejohn, Office of the County Counsel
Casey Farmer, Executive Director, Alameda County Census 2020 Program
Sandra Rivera, Community Development Agency

ALAMEDA COUNTY COMPLETE COUNT COMMITTEE IMPACT REPORT



Final Self Response Rate: 75.8%

Increase from 2010: +5.6%

WHY IS THE CENSUS IMPORTANT?

Census data is used to allocate:

- Billions of dollars for important programs including education, housing, transportation, & dozens of social safety net services
- Congressional representation for each state and redistricting



CHALLENGES Alameda County faced unique challenges in attaining a complete count:

FEAR

from immigrant communities



26% of the County population is considered "Hard to Count"



LACK OF KNOWLEDGE

about the Census and **GROWING DISTRUST** in government

IMPACT OF COVID-19

Days before the launch of Census 2020, the pandemic and subsequent shelter-in-place orders **cancelled hundred events & 130 Questionnaire Assistance Centers**. Certain populations became extremely difficult to reach because they were no longer utilizing public spaces where outreach had been planned. Many college students left the area entirely. The CCC staff and partners pivoted their outreach plans to meet community members where they could safely gather while upholding health and safety guidelines.



91

Mobile Questionnaire Assistance events held at food distributions, COVID testing sites, etc.

15,000

Census 2020 masks distributed



BY THE NUMBERS

The Alameda County Census Committee engaged the community by seeking ideas from diverse stakeholders, funding impactful outreach projects, investing in local media, and our Census Ambassador program.



70,000
Phonebanking
calls made



City Engagement:
Worked closely with staff and elected officials from each city in the County to develop outreach strategies & communicate effectively to residents.

14 Subcommittees

1. Affordable Housing
2. Children 0-5
3. Cities
4. Community Based Organizations
5. Elected Officials
6. Immigrants
7. Libraries
8. LGBTQ
9. Health
10. Higher Education
11. People with Disabilities
12. Senior Citizens
13. Unhoused Community
14. Workforce Development

GRANTMAKING

Goal: Invest in effective organizations who are trusted by their hard-to-count communities

\$2 Million
Awarded
In Grants

69
Grantee
Orgs
62
Mini-
Grantees

250
Presentations
Given
37,000
Calls made by
organizations

Total Impressions made:
771,969

CENSUS AMBASSADOR PROGRAM

310
Census Ambassadors

Ambassadors were trusted messengers, trained & equipped by County staff to provide direct outreach to their own communities

DIGITAL OUTREACH

Know Your Rights Video




Immigrant Testimonial Video Series

Immigrants across Alameda County shared their reasons for getting counted!

- Burmese
- Cantonese
- Dari
- Hindi
- Mandarin
- Punjabi
- Spanish
- Tagalog
- Tigrinya



**We are immigrants.
We are getting counted!**



Interactive Online Census Quiz



Census Magic Show




EARNED MEDIA

Various outlets featured and interviewed Alameda County CCC staff and partners



ADVERTISING



Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

The UC Berkeley student body is interested in housing development and affordability, safety around campus, police conduct, sustainability, and transit in the City of Berkeley. This list is not exhaustive; students are interested in all issues pertinent to their educational experience and life as Berkeley residents.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

The UC Berkeley student population is located broadly across District 7, to the south of campus. Many students also live in Districts 4, 6, and 8. Although students can be found living throughout the entire city and East Bay, the majority of students, particularly undergraduates, live within 1 mile of campus.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


Like mentioned above, anything acted upon by the City Council and the City that can affect Berkeley students' educational experiences will impact this community. Students are particularly concerned about housing, and thus any City policy regarding zoning, development, tenant rights, and rent policies will impact this community, potentially either hurting or helping their time as a Cal student. Similarly, many students are interested in public transit, sustainability, safety, and police conduct.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

The community of UC Berkeley students comprises a large share of the City's population, standing at more than 40,000 students. While attending school here, many students become active and engaged members of the city of Berkeley, adopting it as a second home. This is evidenced by the student representation on City Commissions and the needs students communicate to the ASUC Office of the External Affairs Vice President, which often serves as the liaison between student voices and the City. UC Berkeley students are invested in the governance of their city alongside long-term residents, and seek to see their interests adequately represented and concerns addressed.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Poor quality roads filled with pot holes/bumps/ cracks/ uneven pavement; outdated as in over 100 years old electrical infrastructure; crime; pollution from Ashby and San Pablo Ave.; pedestrian friendly ways to cross San Pablo Ave

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

I am located in south west berkeley. We are the borders of south west berkeley.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Lack of street paving in the area. I noticed that district 7 seems to get tons of street paving in the north south direction. On the other hand, District 2 gets maybe a street here and there. San Pablo park is an extremely high use park. There are permanent lines for the tennis courts, children cover the playground, every basketball court is in use and the fields are always in use for soccer, volleyball, picnics, birthday parties and dog walkers. However, the streets surrounding the park are complete junk.

Berkeley wants people to use 9th street for biking. How are people supposed to get from designated bike path Russel Street to 9th street? By biking on a poorly paved Russel Street and crossing 3 lanes of traffic on San Pablo to get to the left hand turn signal to get to 9th street.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

The infrastructure and pollution in the area is bad. Pretty much all the public housing and multi story buildings are built in my district or downtown. When is north berkeley going to get a multi story building for public housing? District 2 is lower income than the rest of the districts. We have Ashby and San Pablo Ave running through the district. The schools are over crowded. Malcolm X is hundreds of students over capacity. The roads are crap. I have no idea why district 7 gets so much repaving and District 2 might get a street of repaving. The roads surrouding the San Pablo park require an off road vehicle. The amount of use San Pablo park ges is far higher than other parks. I have been to strawberry creek, ohlone, willard, and grove parks. No other parks comes close to how packed san pablo park gets yet. There quite literally, 5-8 birthday parties that happen in the park every weekend. The roads get worse week by week.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION BERKELEY

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We are in touch via email with any safety concerns. We meet annually. We know each other by name. We should be in District 1, where we used to be. When the lines were redrawn, they went right down the middle of our street.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

We are on Ada Street between Ordway and Acton. Our neighborhood group includes many neighbors on Ada between Acton and Sacramento, folks on Acton and on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, a few folks on Hopkins just below and just above Orway.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

I would like to be in District 1 again, where I was for many years before the lines were redrawn. There's a line right down the middle of Ada Street. So I have a different representative than the folks across the street, and I lost my voting place. Our neighborhood, Ada Street between Ordway and Acton, has an active mailing list and participates in National Night Out Against Crime. I organize the gatherings, and 98% of the people on our list are in District 1. My representative will never come to our National Night Out gatherings because only our side of the street is in her district, so I effectively have no representation. It's one block, It belongs in District 1, not District 5. And if you wanted to be really effective, you'd also include Ada between Acton and Sacramento in District 1. Everyone in that block lives directly across the street from Ruth Acty Elementary School and Crowden Music School, which are both in District 1.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



Bunting, Sarah K.

From: Barbara Ann Yoder [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, November 6, 2021 10:31 PM
To: Independent Redistricting Commission
Subject: Re: COI Outreach Worksheet Attached
Attachments: Berkeley COI Outreach Worksheet-B Yoder-UPDATED.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: COI Submission

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, there.

I previously submitted a form, but I just updated my responses. **Please include the attached PDF in your deliberations on redistricting.**

Our neighborhood group, currently 65 homes, was established probably in the 1980s, when former fire chief Bill Brock and his wife initiated annual National Night Out neighborhood gatherings. The black oval in the District 1 map below shows the general area of our group. It runs along Ada Street from Ordway to Acton and about halfway up the next block toward Sacramento. It includes homes on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, several homes on Rose and on Hopkins that back to Ada between Ordway and Acton, and most homes on Acton from Hopkins to Ada. **The backbone of the group is Ada Street.** Everyone in this oval is welcome in our group.

The red lines in the image below show how I would like to see the lines redrawn. If a street needs to be split down the middle, it should be a busy street with a double yellow line, like Hopkins from Ordway to Acton. **Ada Street should *not* be split down the middle.** All of Ada Street below Sacramento belongs in District 1, where it was for many years. If Ordway between Hopkins and Ada is also split down the middle, it too should be reintegrated into District 1.

Please see the attached PDF for more details on our group. Thank you!

Barbara Ann Yoder



From: Barbara Ann Yoder [REDACTED]
Date: Tuesday, July 20, 2021 at 9:45 PM
To: <redistricting@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: COI Outreach Worksheet Attached

Hi, there.

I filled out the attached form. Downloaded it from Berkeleyside.

B Yoder

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

I am part of a vibrant neighborhood group established probably in the 1980s, when former fire chief Bill Brock and his wife initiated annual gatherings during National Night Out. For the last 13 years since I moved to Ada Street, our neighborhood group has worked together sharing safety concerns and looking out for each other. We currently have 65 households in our group. We are in touch via email. We meet annually. We know each other by name. We have a neighborhood earthquake cache and a neighbor on Ordway offers trainings. All of Ada Street below Sacramento should be in District 1, where we used to be. When the lines were redrawn, they went right down the middle of our street. As a neighborhood we are impacted by development plans at N. Berkeley BART, Ruth Acty School traffic and events, Cedar-Rose Park events, traffic on Hopkins and the Ohlone Greenway—all in District 1. We should be rejoined with District 1.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Our neighborhood group currently includes 65 homes along Ada Street from Ordway to Acton and about halfway up the next block toward Sacramento. It includes most homes on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, several homes on Rose and on Hopkins that back to Ada between Ordway and Acton, and most homes on Acton from Hopkins to Ada. Everyone in these blocks are welcome in our group. When you redraw the lines, if a street needs to be split down the middle, it shouldn't be a quiet short street like Ada; it should be a busy through street with double yellow lines, like Hopkins from Ordway to Acton. Also, if Ordway between Hopkins and Ada is split down the middle, it too should be reincorporated into District 1.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


We are impacted by traffic on Hopkins and the Ohlone Greenway, and by traffic on Acton, Ordway, and Ada, where many drivers like to ignore stop signs. The recent repaving of Ada Street to replace the crumbling roadway that was installed about 35 years ago will improve the safety of kids and grownups on foot and bikes, but already drivers who don't live in our neighborhood are speeding down our street. We appreciate the new pavement. Now we need to lobby for speed bumps. Development plans at N. Berkeley BART will affect parking on our streets, and the removal of parking at the station could jeopardize the safety of elderly and disabled neighbors, even those who live w/i walking distance. A high-rise apartment building will negatively affect the character of the neighborhood. Where are those people going to park? Not everyone is young, mobile, and fit.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We are educated, active, diverse, interested in social justice, a mix of young families with children, new retirees, and the elderly. We can walk or bicycle to most destinations. We look out for each other. We share resources. We would like to be back in District 1, where we were for many years before the lines were redrawn. Here on Ada Street between Ordway and Acton we have a different representative than the folks across the street, and we lost our voting place, and it feels like we lost our voice. Ten people on Ada Street lobbying for, say, a painted crosswalk at Ordway and Hopkins have about 15% the effect of 65 households lobbying for the same. On Ada between Acton and Sacramento everyone lives across the street from Ruth Acty Elementary and Crowden Music School, which are both in District 1. We all belong in District 1.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Bunting, Sarah K.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2021 10:40 PM
To: Independent Redistricting Commission
Subject: Division along Ada Street

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

It is helpful when neighbors in close proximity are represented by the same office holder. Several years ago Ada street was split down the middle, with the south side staying in District 1 as it always had been and the Northside parceled out to District 5. As a District 5 member, I have no polling place, and for neighborhood issues, my side of the street must reach out to different city council people. This disadvantages us as we cannot present a unified voice on important concerns.

Please place us back in District 1.

[REDACTED]

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Unfortunately, as a result of past racist zoning and other exclusions, this is a largely single family home community that is now only affordable by very well-off people or those who inherit homes here. The result is a split in perceived interests within the Community between those who want to see change in the direction of more economic, racial and housing-type diversity and those who want to preserve the current overwhelmingly white, single family home, wealthy character for property value and other reasons. There is active debate at this time and one result is contested elections in the past 3 elections for District 5 council member. One key issue is resistance to affordable housing in the area. Another is that a high % of the newer younger folks (many also richer) in the neighborhood no longer send their kids to BPS but rather to private schools. Some of this is comes from both resistance to having their kids mix with poor kids and/or Black and brown kids. This is a change for decades.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Lower hills, near Marin/Arlington Circle.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


Street repair, other utilities, parking regs due to very narrow streets and too many cars resident. Park decisions due to 3 parks in immediate area (Indian Rock, etc.). (Great to have the bike racks now!) Repairs and status of paths and walkways and sidewalks. Total lack of multi-family and affordable housing with the sole exceptions of some in-law apartments in some houses. On edge of fire zones so affected by decisions regarding fire safety and possible evacuation routes.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

I think the definition of Community that is posited for this form as being geographic is overly restrictive from the start and not in keeping with the spirit of the redistricting intent to include other interests (like students status, race, economic status, class, etc.)

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> District 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 4 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> District 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 7 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 8 |

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Gardening, art, music, food, being outdoors, all ways for our neighbors to share and connect with one another.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

We are on Ada Street between Ordway and Acton. We are in District 1 but the other side of the street is District 5.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


Our community was split by the last redistricting, putting the north side of Ada Steet in District 5. This has made organizing events and dealing with issues like street paving and requesting crosswalks more challenging. We would love to have both sides of Ada in the same district again.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

The most important thing for me is maintaining affordability and accessibility for our elderly neighbors. The diversity in age in our neighborhood is one of its greatest strengths, and I believe it is incumbant upon the city to make sure that we don't lose that.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [REDACTED]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1
 District 2
 District 3
 District 4
 District 5
 District 6
 District 7
 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

- High quality public schools is No. 1 on the list for everyone I know. That will enable the everything else.
- Development at North Berkeley Bart: We want to ensure an equitable, beautiful, and vibrant mixed-use area that is safe and convenient for residents.
- Most importantly, we need to increase affordable housing stock to enable our residents to thrive.
- Maintaining / improving the vibrancy of the Hopkins and Gilman shopping / restaurants.
- Creation of usable bike lanes, and the renewal of the marina and waterfront areas. These areas are declining and need help.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Bound by Hopkins Street on the north, Sacramento on the west (or San Pablo) MLK on the east, and Cedar on the south.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Decisions made regarding North Berkeley BART impact us. The creation and maintenance of REAL and SAFE bike lanes are very important to our future. We need to maintain our parks and public spaces for all Berkeleyans. At this time, I think twice about bringing my kids downtown after an unhoused and mentally ill person screamed at my 8 year old.

The waterfront area is languishing and has a lot of potential. It has become a den of lawlessness and trash. While I sympathize with the plight of the unhoused, this is a national problem that requires coordinated effort to build more housing and pay workers a living wage. Our city must enforce codes and laws to prevent this area from devolving further.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We are neighbors that look after each other. During the pandemic, we've cordoned off our streets to allow our kids to play and flourish. We care deeply about the quality of life here, and wish all of Berkeley has safe and clean spaces to gather.

Although I live in North Berkeley, I can see the greatest upside on the west side of town. We've got great potential in in the waterfront/marina. Tons of mixed use areas that can foster innovative business and sustain a vibrant, diverse community. But it's stifled by homelessness and lack of affordable housing. The waterfront is a diamond in the rough. We need to invest in that area to ensure the public can enjoy it for generations to come.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4 [REDACTED]
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We were gerrymandered out of District 4 (Arreguin) into Capitelli's district so his chosen Stephen Murphy could run against Sophie Hahn. Itvsplit the 2 sides of our block which we used to hold block parties--closing the street. My address is [REDACTED] --Stephen Murphy is around the corner from Milvia and Ceder. a few houses down Ceder.Sophie won, Capitelli lost for Mayor. I would like to return to District 4 with Kate whose home is a few blocks from mine while Hahn relates more to Solano Avenue area.


Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Helping each other - sharing tools, offering rides, celebrating wins, informing each other about goings on that may impact (e.g. noisy construction or house repairs).

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Tilden park to the east and south, grizzly peak to the west and Cragmont to the north.


How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

By the restrictions created and services offered

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

The Willard neighborhood is a community seeking a reduction in crime and maintaining the character of the neighborhood - largely single family houses or single family houses with ADU's.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Telegraph / Parker

College / Ashby

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


Lack of street maintenance. Slow response to party noise. Lack of a pool in S. Berkeley when Willard Middle School had a pool while the pool in N. Berkeley remained open. Lack of outreach regarding our one patch of open space that is currently looking to have 5,000 sq ft. taken by new City construction.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

This neighborhood was the epicenter in the fight to keep one street (Telegraph) as an artery to move people in and out of the S. Campus area when AC Transit and City Planners wanted to make Telegraph a two lane road with two dedicated bus lanes (taking away two lanes of existing roadway). We were not opposed to better transit. We proposed and pushed hard for Rapid Bus Plus that eventually forced Council to rethink Telegraph. Many of our proposals have now been incorporated in AC Transit's plans.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [REDACTED]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

- Neighborhood beautification
- Solano Ave corridor development
- Property crime

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Far north Berkeley adjacent to Solano Ave to Albany border in the west.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


- Solano Ave development
- Zoning laws
- Building permits

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

It's a lovely quiet corner of Berkeley.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Our portion of the neighborhood is working families, many with kids, many I see walking to school each day as we set out to leave too. Living near the school has its benefits, but our District has its drawbacks too. As we walk to school each day we pass sidewalks littered with trash. Not just someone's belongings strewn about, but literal trash and garbage. A few times we have passed broken glass, discarded syringes, and tragically a dead cat that looked as if it had been "tampered" with. While there is sympathy for those on hard times, this is not something children should have to pass on their way to school. Not because it hurts their precious eyes, but because it hurts their souls and it hurts our communities. There is absolutely no compassion in allowing this to continue.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Fourth & Fifth, from Dwight to Addison.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


Our District, along with the Gilman District are both disproportionately affected by the City Council's decisions/indecisions regarding homelessness. There are no RVs lining the streets up on Grizzly Peak, there are no tent encampments on Piedmont Ave., there are no syringes on the sidewalks of The Arlington. Our parks and our streets are made unsafe by decisions of those living in the hills who never have to experience what we do on a daily basis.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We work hard, we love our families, we want to do right and it is disheartening and demoralizing to be subjected to the whims of our millionaire class because whatever policy "feels good" to them. Feels real good to them, up in the hills and all, far away from our parks that we don't feel safe going to and our sidewalks which are biohazard disasters at times.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

clean air, affordable low density housing, transportation networks that dont smash thru our neighborhoods, slow streets, public safety, litter and street trash, childcare, parks, trees, community green space

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

north west berkeley - west of San Pablo to University

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


appears to be little interest of council and the city in our neighborhood. a place to dump trash, homeless, traffic...

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

rapidly changing but with many long term committed residents. long history of waves of communities. home to last of Berkeley's industry and many jobs. need protection from freeways and commuters.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional - Name / Email: [REDACTED]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> District 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 4 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> District 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 7 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 8 |

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Families - safety - community

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

North Berkeley BART.
Adult School on Virginia
Rose St. on other side of Cedar-Rose Park (+park)
San Pablo Avenue
Cedar Street

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


- housing density around BART
- San Pablo Avenue as a cross-city corridor for drive thru crime
- Adult school boundaries for strangers
- Homeless camps @ Park + on West St. bike + pedestrian corridor

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We are fairly cohesive through CERT + dumpster program.
Please continue these programs.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION BERKELEY

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional - Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

District 3 is more racially mixed than North or Central Berkeley.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

I live on the corner of Parker St & McGee.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

I love our city council!
Housing prices are higher for Central Berk. compared to So. Berk. so would like my house/area to be renamed Central Berk.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Let's make districts more diverse by redistricting like how they assign schools.

COMMUNITY OF INTEREST
EASY ONLINE FORM:
www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION BERKELEY

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional - Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> District 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 4 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> District 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 7 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 8 |

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

*International Coastal Clean-up North
 Disaster Relief committee
 Food/Beverage committee
 Clean-up committee*

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

B/W West Berkeley & North

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

*Making things affordable was good but
 I'm wondering about the bldg. There is a lot
 of things I want around here but I don't
 want it to be cluttered. And decent parking is
 going to have to be considered Downtown Berk
 needs to make over*

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

*It just really needs to keep everybody
 in the area in mind not just a select
 few*

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



**INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> District 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 4 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> District 5 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> District 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 7 | <input type="checkbox"/> District 8 |

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Context (scale and mix), distant views, especially of the bay and the coastal hills; mainly a residential area with single-family homes, many with secondary units, typically with backyards and gardens; could see a mix of smaller vehicles and better transit, but it needs to be phased in, grandfathering older residents who depend on cars. Streets could be rethought. Filling every backyard with an ADU or building out single-family sites would be a mistake, but a thoughtful mix would be fine. Same comment about the Shattuck corridor - don't overload it. Some density, but not a view-blocking wall.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Oxford Street and east. Odd situation where three districts overlap and near neighbors are represented by Hahn, Harrison, and Wengraf, whose districts differ substantially. District 6 should take in the north Shattuck corridor. We are closer to Thousand Oaks (Hahn) than to west of Shattuck (Harrison) in interests, I sense.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


The City's grandstanding on issues like gas stoves, demanding that kitchens be electrified on sale, and rumored movement to make car parking permits more expensive and less available are seen in the district as a prejudice against home owners. Some of these measures benefit home flippers, a plague here and elsewhere, by making it harder for ordinary people to buy and renovate properties at their own pace. Nuance, phasing, and help with financing should be considered.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

The interests of District 6 are ignored by the Mayor and Council. They align with other residential neighborhoods in the city, but not with the political agendas of the Council majority. Three of the Council are thoughtful and able to take our district and others into account. The others march to their own ideologies, and seem to think Berkeley should be thoroughly urban, from shoreline to the foothills, probably, housing in the hills being banned above Spruce Street.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [Redacted] [Redacted]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We are latinos with a long history of home ownership and multiple generation households.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

We are between 5th st and San Pablo, between University and Dwight.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Our community is impacted by decisions regarding single family home ownership. We are already, but not considered high density. We are usually an extended family (we specifically are 11 people with three generations ages ranging from 4-62) living in a single family home with maybe an in-law unit. We are impacted by parking decisions, schooling decisions - for example our community was deeply impacted by the moving of the Spanish immersion program from Rosa Parks to Silvia Mendez - to accommodate the more affluent and white family's desire for a local school. Now we have to commute to school with less means to do so. We are not granted residential permits despite the desperate need for parking. Our interests are not represented and our concerns are not heard.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We have been discriminated against by the city in the allocation of resources for public swimming, again in favor of the more affluent/white communities of Berkeley. All of the good public swim and lap swimming hours are designated to King pool, with scant public transportation there and even worse parking. West Campus pool is allocated hours in the morning and during work. Evening and weekend hours go to King. We have had all of the traffic mitigation push traffic (and related pollution) to our neighborhood with little if any effort made to control traffic (speed and amount) on 6th St. Our houses, property, bicycles, outdoor toys and sadly our lungs are impacted by the constant pollution from trucks and other traffic pushed to our neighborhood.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

public safety, education, beautification (highly interpretative) -

however, this district is currently a diverse group of people. I think this is the goal for a district. Why would you only consider putting like-minded folks in the same district? What happens to the population of residents who A) do not fill out online forms, B) do not participate in community input like this), C) do not have the resources or bandwidth to help create and support their district?

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

San Pablo Park neighborhood, West Berkeley, Left Bank are all names used for D2. It is a diverse neighborhood - like Sesame Street.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


West Berkeley bears the brunt of the effects of the homeless population, physical (trash) and industrial pollution, and how public money is used in Berkeley.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Crime reduction, clean streets (eliminate illegal dumping), affordable housing

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

My neighborhood is considered South Berkeley. It seems that we are the forgotten neighborhood. We have been left out of upkeep and improvement efforts. Illegal dumping, stolen cars dumped and lack of upkeep of foliage and trees is making it unpleasant to say the least. This despite police cars being parked at the empty headstart building parking lot on the corner of Fairview and Sacramento for hours.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

We are impacted mostly by the lack of action.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We are a diverse and peaceful community that looks out for each other and really want each other to thrive

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1
 District 2
 District 3
 District 4
 District 5
 District 6
 District 7
 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

I'm not sure because although I'm very friendly, only of my neighbors take the time to speak and chat. Here are my interests though. Cultural and arts events; African American health and wellbeing; Health Equity; Economic Equity; Housing Equity; Housing Cooperatives; Cultural Centers; Recreation; Activities for Children and Youth; Food access and security; Senior benefits

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

My community of interest is South West Berkeley beginning at Cedar and 4th Street and ending at 62nd and Adeline.

West Berkeley

South Berkeley

Loren District

Berkeley Black Community

State of Black Berkeley

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

My community is impacted in all areas of life and all of the social determinants of health are impacted by what the city funds and doesn't fund.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

The South Berkeley Community Based Organizations are very underfunded. There needs to be economic development programs for small businesses, start ups and small non-profits in my community.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [Redacted]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Public safety

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Gourmet ghetto

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

We are more and more living in fear due to the increase of homeless and mentally ill people wandering our streets.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

we are all tired of the handcuffs placed on our own BPD when it comes to public safety.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:
diversity in ppl and architecture. nice flat and walkable, close to SF, Oakland, easy access

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?
Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

South Berkeley

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Very much impacted by the City Manager lack of enforcing our 2018 current sidewalk ordinances. No tents over 9sf (i.e. 3x3). District 3 is bearing the brunt of homeless encampments, meth dealing, aggravated assaults.
Ben Bartlett's 'equitable clean streets' act is NOT being enforced. Almost every street corner on Shattuck Ave (esp 8 blocks btwn Channing and Ward) has tent encampments/blight, effecting health, safety for businesses and residents. More and more boarded up shop fronts. Tim SouthWick Jr. - owner of Toyota Berkeley has tried to get the city to clear an active meth dealing tent for 2 years at Blake/Shattuck, with no success.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

It's sad to see the split between North and South - hills/north getting city resources, moving along service-resistant homeless and letting them fester on the streets in South Berkeley. NO OK.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.



ROAD WORK AHEAD

MILVIA ST IS ONE-WAY SOUTHBOUND

9am to 6pm
PAY TO PARK
Except Sundays and Holidays

2 HR PARKING
9:00 AM TO 6:00 PM
EXCEPT SUNDAYS & HOLIDAYS

TIME FOR NAILS

WAXING
510-540-4874

MINUTE WAIT



FROM 7 AM TO 12 NOON
3RD MONDAY
EACH MONTH
STREET CLOSING

SIDEWALK CLOSED
CROSS HERE

DETOUR
←

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Creating a safe neighborhood for my family. This would be a neighborhood that is walkable and bike friendly. I want the city to stop prioritizing cars with high speed limits and lack of traffic signals late at night. I want the city to prioritize the people who live in Berkeley rather than the homeless who litter our streets. I'd also like the city to stand up to UC Berkeley and their take over of our town.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Central Berkeley between Sacramento and downtown.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Greatly! The city council makes numerous decisions that impact us long term as residents while favoring transient students and homeless.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Berkeley could be such a beautiful Bay Area city. Instead it has turned into a unsafe and scary town overrun with homeless and crime.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: north Shattuck

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We care about the environment, trees, city upkeep, art, ease of shopping, parking, good food, lovely parks, socializing, access to BART

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

From Marin Circle to University Avenue, from Grizzly Peak to Sacramento streets.

North Shattuck.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The repair of streets is terrible. Many potholes, rough roads.

Many homeless, mentally ill people without care on the streets.

Housing plans the city is taking on without considering the danger of fire, narrow streets, lack of additional parking and other hill housing concerns.

The uncoordinated stop signals at many intersections.

Excess curbs at Hopkins and the Alameda; who was paid off for this excess concrete? They are hazards, see attached photos with tire tracks of people who have run into them.

Not enough attention paid to those who must travel by car, who cannot bicycle.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

North Shattuck is populated by people who care about Berkeley, the community, the environment, and who respect good planning and good city services.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.



Hazards at the corner of Hopkins and The Alameda





that share common social or economic interests and should be included within a single district for purposes of effective and fair representation.

To start, please include a name for your community, your name and email address, and a description of your community. Then draw your community on the map.

THE ELMWOOD

COMMUNITY NAME

Elizabeth A.

NAME

EMAIL

DESCRIPTION



Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Strawberry Creek Park is an absolute focal point for our neighborhood (Poet'sCorner) -- Not only does it feature a deeply loved playground, but an adjacent Community Garden and Berkeley Youth Alternatives -- Not to mention the basketball, mini-soccer, tennis and volleyball courts. I worked hard with Laura Margulies to assure that bond money was spent to upgrade that park and have participated in several "parks cleanup" days with the Parks Dept and spearheaded by local neighbor and master gardener, Stephen Moros.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

In general, this is Poet's Corner. I would define this community bounded by Alston, Sacramento, Sacramento and Dwight St. I have lived in the community for twenty one years.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

I am concerned that redistricting could split up this community. We all should remain in District 2.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
 Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We have a very sweet community formed around strawberry creek with active family involvement.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Poets corner. University to dwight, Sacramento to San Pablo

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Funds for strawberry park. Actions on homelessness.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Families raising young children, retirees and elders aging in place, multigenerational housing--all of whom patronize the businesses along the San Pablo and University corridors and make use of primary parks like San Pablo Park, Strawberry Creek Park & Aquatic Park. Neighbors band together to monitor safety & crime, pedestrian/bike safety, working closely with our new Councilmember Taplin. There is a cohesive atmosphere which underscores a sense of this being a true community of individuals, looking out for each other.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

"Poet's Corner"--a new-ish name created by realtors, and which I believe refers to the greater area extending beyond the poet-named streets: south to San Pablo Park, the 9th St. bike boulevard to the west, north to University Ave., and east to Sacramento St. (Interestingly, University Ave. does seem to be a true dividing line and an appropriate boundary between D2 and D1, and somehow San Pablo does not divide the community.)

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The growing development of housing and new business enterprises along San Pablo and the south side of University is largely supported by Poet's Corner neighbors. Improvement to abandoned, derelict properties is finally gaining steam after so many years of neglect.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Having Rosa Parks and now Oxford School within our community improves the street life in a wonderful way, with many kids and parents present both on foot and bicycle. The CoB's investments in both Strawberry Creek & San Pablo Parks have enhanced these existing gathering places, and to see how speedily neighbors have flocked to these green oases has been inspiring. (Check out either on a weekend afternoon--it's a wonderful sight!)

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Preserving the residential character of the neighborhood for the sake of livability. Ensuring the safety of the residents, for obvious reasons, meaning both crime prevention and optimal traffic/pedestrian flow.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

For me, it's San Pablo to the west, University Ave to the south, Shattuck to the east, and maybe Vine to the north. I've heard "Northbrae" but it sounds more like a realtor construction than an identity to me.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The push on the part of the city to densify at any cost seems totally misguided to me. Berkeley is pretty well filled in compared to other locales, and turning it into another Emeryville or San Jose would be a shame. There's such a thing as livability, and CA is a state on the edge, subject to all sorts of natural violence. You can't build your way out of a lack of resources. And the fact that the city is pushing development of market rate rentals means that home ownership declines, which means loss of community and opportunity to build family wealth. This is simply wrong and the city could and should strive to change this. To me, this all presents as ambitious politicians climbing onto the state-level YIMBY bandwagon in hopes of moving up the ladder into the state senate. It does not present as honest brokers listening to their residents and trying to do what's best for the city.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

It's stereotyped as bourgeoisie and white but I looked up the demographics - it's pretty diverse despite all the PC rhetoric about redlining.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Common interests: We share a commitment to Family, school, community events, shared political affiliations, diverse cultures, mixed low and middle income housing and proximity to shopping. We enjoy our Great walking and biking score!

The quality of all of these elements create the environment that makes living in Berkeley worth it. We pay high taxes, high rent and high housing costs to live in an area that is noisy and a bit crowded, but we look out for each other and appreciate what does work for us.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

The neighborhood I call home extends from the Bay to Sacramento St , from University to Bancroft.

These are the blocks I am usually traversing. I have lived here with my family for over 30 years and am very much connected to my neighbors, particularly those on Byron Street and Cowper Street. We use Strawberry Park for recreation, swim at West Campus and spend time on San Pablo Ave shopping.

This area is known as the Poet's Corner.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Local government affects us in terms of traffic control. We are impacted by our proximity to San Pablo Ave and University Ave. Our streets need repaving, and considerations need to be made regarding the increased density brought on by the new construction on San Pablo Ave. The vacant stores on San Pablo and University Ave are of concern. We want to encourage small business. The West Campus swimming pool is a great asset we want to preserve. The sports and playground at Strawberry Park are crucial. Bayer Lab construction may impact us. Parking may be more challenging with new apartments coming in. Our street trees need trimming. All this and more are impacted by the actions of the Council.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

It's definitely a neighborhood in transition - we have vacant commercial and simultaneously new investment on San Pablo Ave. There is increased commercial, and new mixed use development (more than any other except downtown) happening all around us. We are one of the most diverse districts. We could use some more support. Terry Taplin has a large area of responsibility. The facilities at the waterfront are our recreation area. We'd love to see a proper ferry terminal and more restaurants near the water.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

our community is very diverse in nearly every category and I believe that most of us share an appreciation for this diversity. if we have a common interest it's perhaps that there continue to be a place for all of us in this neighborhood.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

our neighborhood is called Poet's Corner and the boundaries are San Pablo to Sacramento, and University Ave to Dwight Way.

We surround Strawberry Creek Park and claim that space as an important community meeting place.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

With a major park in the center of our community we are impacted by the actions the city does or does not take that changes the park. We also house Corp Yard and the vacant lot that used to be part of the bowling green. We need to remain a district together so that we can decide collectively the future of that space.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We could really use more help from the city in trash collection. I wish the city looked at blocks with higher pop density and realized they need a concerted litter collection program. Bonar Street works hard to house more people than any other block in our neighborhood and that is often apparent by the amount of trash left out on the curb. It would be nice if the city gave that block a little extra attention given what it provides the city in housing.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** **BERKELEY**

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form **RECEIVED**

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5
- District 6
- District 7
- District 8

OCT 18 2021
CITY OF BERKELEY
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

The traffic has been pushed on to Divisadero way
the street is not even my block (1200) has a hump
the force the car to the left and increases speed

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

"It's the West Berkeley flat lands" except Sunnyvale
between Sae → San Pablo no stop sign or lights

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

We need traffic calming big time no police no tickets
city vehicles speed down our street - Huge Trucks, Race cars speed up
down


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

The city leader have let us Down

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Communities of interest that previous cycles of redistricting have dismissed

1. Prospect Street is a community of interest currently split between District 7 and District 8. The east side of Prospect is in District 8, and the west side is in District 7. Both sides of the street should be in the same district. Both sides of Prospect Street have more in common with Southside than with Panoramic Hill or Elmwood-Claremont.
2. LeConte is split among District 3, District 7, and District 8.
3. Thousand Oaks is split between Districts 5 and District 6.
4. Westbrae is split between District 1 and District 5.
5. Northbrae is split between District 1 and District 5.
6. Halcyon is split between District 3 and District 8.
7. Clark Kerr Campus is in District 8. The students who live there have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont.
8. Redwood Gardens, a senior housing facility located on the Clark Kerr Campus, has more in common with Elmwood-Claremont neighbors than with students and should be considered a community of interest separate from students' community of interest.
9. Faculty housing on Clark Kerr Campus is a community of interest that has more in common with Elmwood-Claremont than with students.
10. The blocks within Dwight-Waring-Derby-Telegraph have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont.
11. I House and the student co-ops behind it are in District 8. The residents of these dorms have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont.
12. The blocks within Cedar-Oxford-Hearst-Arch are part of Northside and not split between District 5 and District 6. Northside should extend to Walnut, maybe even Shattuck.
13. The blocks within Sacramento-Ashby-California-border are in District 2. They should be in District 3.
14. The blocks within University-Acton-Allston-Sacramento are part of Poet's Corner, which is in District 2.
15. Part of Cragmont is in District 6, and part is in District 5.
16. The blocks within Fulton-Dwight-Dana-Channing are in District 4 and should be in District 7.
17. Using Ellsworth as the border between District 3 and District 7 and District 8 is wrong. Fulton is a better border, and Shattuck even better.
18. Codonices, in District 6, has more in common with Live Oak than the Berkeley Hills.
19. The "hat" of District 4, where Jesse lives, should be in District 5.
20. The "dog leg" of District 7, where Kriss lives, includes parts of LeConte and Willard that should be in District 8 and District 3.

CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT BOUNDARIES

- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5
- District 6
- District 7
- District 8


RECEIVED

NOV 15 2021

CITY OF BERKELEY
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT

goes w/ COI #34 (2)


Miss and On
The...




0 0.25 0.5 Miles

goes w/
COI #34
③

BERKELEY
ALAMEDA COUNTY
CALIFORNIA
Scale in Feet




Council Districts
 Ordinance 6,679-N.S. / April 18, 2002
 Effective November 2002

goes w/
COI #34
(4)

BERKELEY
ALAMEDA COUNTY
CALIFORNIA
Scale 1/4" = 100'




EXHIBIT N

City Clerk Department
Per Ord. 6098-N.S.
December 19, 1991

13 Lorin

6 Halcyon

17 Ellsworth

STREET NAMES:
Listed on the map

CITY
DEPARTMENT

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

By the looks of things in my part of D-1, this is a townish and family (dwellings) area of the city. I see family activity and the retail and recreational which sustain them. There is no overt presence of UC students; they seem to be elsewhere. Retired friends gather here, internet junkies there.

San Pablo Avenue provides a traditionally gritty 'home' to a constant presence of lost and forgotten citizen/ghosts and their movable social spots.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

My 'felt' boundaries, running from close-by San Pablo/Delaware as center, are: Addison (south); 9th St. (west); Gilman (north), indeterminate (east). Beyond are several commercial pockets--lower Gilman; Fourth St.; Berkeley Bowl west area (it's a treasure); Monterey/Hopkins--and strips: University Ave.; further San Pablo, our alimentary canal; and Shattuck and Solano Avenues.

Geographic contours of ancient Berkeley lie beneath a carpet of buildings and infrastructure. Our several creeks were culverted after a 1910 cholera outbreak but may be evoked by looking north or south along our numbered streets, whose undulations hint at long-ago creek beds. Settlement along them has been de-emphasized historically, a riparian lifestyle we can only imagine today.

I call my area's center 'Cutthroat Corner' due to the several killings over ten years, but 'Almost Oceanview' will work as well.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

We have our homeless (understandable) and our severely disturbed (not acceptable), left to their own and awaiting a deliverer.

The odious well-sold and gleefully-endorsed state housing bills of recent months will create scars and outrage all over the place. A bomb is about to land on the North Berkeley BART Station, and fortunes will be made.

Government up and down has been Trumpized into irreconcilable camps, including our council. Perhaps we'll find ourselves bailing together ..

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Incremental loss of closed businesses and institutions--beloved, needed, helpfully close, and unique--festers in many hearts. I find myself asking, 'What happened? Where did everyone go?'


Berkeley is beiging. What an inspiring hue! The formerly rich sub-culture of music clubs, neat theaters, and Med-like coffee houses just had to go. It's economics-- nothing personal, or impersonal. The hard-edge replacements of cookie-cutter l-cafes, sandwich-and-chips joints, pricey boutiques and gourmet restaurants seem like placeholders for some future resurrection of what was. Old Brennan's warmed the community in its large green hall; nothing has replaced it. Or will be, by the current power brokers.

Covid-19 aside, I have little desire to hit the town anymore. What is Berkeley's branding now, dead laurels?

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

I am part of a vibrant neighborhood group established probably in the 1980s, when former fire chief Bill Brock and his wife initiated annual gatherings during National Night Out. For the last 13 years since I moved to Ada Street, our neighborhood group has worked together sharing safety concerns and looking out for each other. We currently have 65 households in our group. We are in touch via email. We meet annually. We know each other by name. We have a neighborhood earthquake cache and a neighbor on Ordway offers trainings. All of Ada Street below Sacramento should be in District 1, where we used to be. When the lines were redrawn, they went right down the middle of our street. As a neighborhood we are impacted by development plans at N. Berkeley BART, Ruth Acty School traffic and events, Cedar-Rose Park events, traffic on Hopkins and the Ohlone Greenway—all in District 1. We should be rejoined with District 1.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Our neighborhood group currently includes 65 homes along Ada Street from Ordway to Acton and about halfway up the next block toward Sacramento. It includes most homes on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, several homes on Rose and on Hopkins that back to Ada between Ordway and Acton, and most homes on Acton from Hopkins to Ada. Everyone in these blocks are welcome in our group. When you redraw the lines, if a street needs to be split down the middle, it shouldn't be a quiet short street like Ada; it should be a busy through street with double yellow lines, like Hopkins from Ordway to Acton. Also, if Ordway between Hopkins and Ada is split down the middle, it too should be reincorporated into District 1.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


We are impacted by traffic on Hopkins and the Ohlone Greenway, and by traffic on Acton, Ordway, and Ada, where many drivers like to ignore stop signs. The recent repaving of Ada Street to replace the crumbling roadway that was installed about 35 years ago will improve the safety of kids and grownups on foot and bikes, but already drivers who don't live in our neighborhood are speeding down our street. We appreciate the new pavement. Now we need to lobby for speed bumps. Development plans at N. Berkeley BART will affect parking on our streets, and the removal of parking at the station could jeopardize the safety of elderly and disabled neighbors, even those who live w/i walking distance. A high-rise apartment building will negatively affect the character of the neighborhood. Where are those people going to park? Not everyone is young, mobile, and fit.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We are educated, active, diverse, interested in social justice, a mix of young families with children, new retirees, and the elderly. We can walk or bicycle to most destinations. We look out for each other. We share resources. We would like to be back in District 1, where we were for many years before the lines were redrawn. Here on Ada Street between Ordway and Acton we have a different representative than the folks across the street, and we lost our voting place, and it feels like we lost our voice. Ten people on Ada Street lobbying for, say, a painted crosswalk at Ordway and Hopkins have about 15% the effect of 65 households lobbying for the same. On Ada between Acton and Sacramento everyone lives across the street from Ruth Acty Elementary and Crowden Music School, which are both in District 1. We all belong in District 1.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Bunting, Sarah K.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, November 6, 2021 10:31 PM
To: Independent Redistricting Commission
Subject: Re: COI Outreach Worksheet Attached
Attachments: Berkeley COI Outreach Worksheet-B Yoder-UPDATED.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: COI Submission

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, there.

I previously submitted a form, but I just updated my responses. **Please include the attached PDF in your deliberations on redistricting.**

Our neighborhood group, currently 65 homes, was established probably in the 1980s, when former fire chief Bill Brock and his wife initiated annual National Night Out neighborhood gatherings. The black oval in the District 1 map below shows the general area of our group. It runs along Ada Street from Ordway to Acton and about halfway up the next block toward Sacramento. It includes homes on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, several homes on Rose and on Hopkins that back to Ada between Ordway and Acton, and most homes on Acton from Hopkins to Ada. **The backbone of the group is Ada Street.** Everyone in this oval is welcome in our group.

The red lines in the image below show how I would like to see the lines redrawn. If a street needs to be split down the middle, it should be a busy street with a double yellow line, like Hopkins from Ordway to Acton. **Ada Street should *not* be split down the middle.** All of Ada Street below Sacramento belongs in District 1, where it was for many years. If Ordway between Hopkins and Ada is also split down the middle, it too should be reintegrated into District 1.

Please see the attached PDF for more details on our group. Thank you!

[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]
Date: Tuesday, July 20, 2021 at 9:45 PM
To: <redistricting@cityofberkeley.info>
Subject: COI Outreach Worksheet Attached

Hi, there.

I filled out the attached form. Downloaded it from Berkeleyside.

[REDACTED]

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

My communities of interest are students and renters. I moved to Berkeley in 2016 to work for a non-profit, and have since enrolled in a PhD program at Cal. UC Berkeley has about 40,000 students, most of whom live in Berkeley, thus students represent about a third of Berkeley's population. According to the most-recent ACS estimates, renters represent about two-thirds of the Berkeley population. The renting population is probably half students.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

There is considerable overlap between renters and students, and we are concentrated in the areas around Berkeley main campus and the two BART stations. As I look at the maps already submitted, I think that Alfred Twu's "Compact Donut" map does a good job of collecting the main student populations into 2 districts on the southside and the areas just west and north of the main campus. It's unfortunate that we don't have 9 districts to work with, as I think—for population balancing purposes—Alfred Twu's map is unable to extend far enough North-South along the Shattuck corridor or far enough south on the Telegraph corridor to create 3 renter/student districts (Southside, Northside and "Westside"). Stephen Young's excellent map (which has several nice innovations, including taking the hillier parts of current Districts 5 and 6 and combining them into a single district) creates a district for the Northside renting community, but, in the process, splits the student population along the North and West sides into minority voting blocks (given lower turnout among students)—which is my reason for preferring Alfred Twu's map.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Based on the fractions presented above, a representative city council of 8 members would have 5 or 6 members fighting for issues important to renters, and 2 or 3 members would be looking out for students. I think that our interests focus on up-zoning, creating more housing of all kinds and on good access to public transit.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Although it is likely not within the power of IRC to propose a 9 district map, adding more districts would allow for better representation of the diverse set of communities of interest in Berkeley. The current 8 district system has been in place since 1923 or 1924. In 1920, Berkeley's population was 56 thousand and in 1930 it was 82 thousand meaning that each district has grown in population by roughly 80%, and each councilmember represents 6 or 7 thousand more people than the original council members. If current projection of population growth hold, the size of the districts will have more than doubled (compared to 1924 levels) by the next redistricting in 2030. Along with it's new 8 district map of Berkeley, I urge the IRC to recommend that City Council take appropriate steps to add a 9th district in the 2030 redistricting process.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
 Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

The community in this neighborhood is tied together not only by our geographical proximity and walkability of the neighborhood but our shared interest in many activities that are within walking distance in the nearby Downtown and Theatre districts. Also because of our close proximity to the University, we also share the common interests of cultural and intellectual events held on the UC Berkeley campus.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

The community bounded by Dwight Way (South) and University Ave (North) and MLK (East) and Sacramento (West) is a walkable and historic neighborhood (Spaulding-McGee tract).

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

We are currently well represented by Councilmember Kate Harrison.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Please do not break up this community by drawing lines which would bisect the natural geographic boundaries of this community.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1
 District 2
 District 3
 District 4
 District 5
 District 6
 District 7
 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

The Flatlands.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

As a resident of the Flatlands since 1969, I have a strong interest in how District 4 is drawn or redrawn. When I first moved here, the neighborhood bounded by Shattuck/University/Sacramento/Dwight Way was primarily a working class area, predominantly white, but with some black families on Jefferson and Spaulding. It was a fairly tight community, located between the student/University area to the east, the historic black community to the west. There were lots of families here and a lot of kids. My son grew up & went to Washington school in this area. I worked hard to build a tot lot on Roosevelt and get a barrier at Channing & Roosevelt as well as a stop sign on McGee. (Pedestrian safety is still a major worry here, though) The housing used to be affordable. No longer, of course, like the rest of Berkeley. My neighborhood on Channing Way has been affected by the homeless crisis. Because we have a free box on Channing, between Roosevelt ...

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

As a resident of the Flatlands since 1969, I have a strong interest in how District 4 is drawn or redrawn. When I first moved here, the neighborhood bounded by Shattuck/University/Sacramento/Dwight Way was primarily a working class area, predominantly white, but with some black families on Jefferson and Spaulding. It was a fairly tight community, located between the student/University area to the east, the historic black community to the west. There were lots of families here and a lot of kids. My son grew up & went to Washington school in this area. I worked hard to build a tot lot on Roosevelt and get a barrier at Channing & Roosevelt as well as a stop sign on McGee. (Pedestrian safety is still a major worry here, though) The housing used to be affordable. No longer, of course, like the rest of Berkeley.

My neighborhood on Channing Way has been affected by the homeless crisis. Because we have a free box on Channing, between Roosevelt & McGee, we get a lot of homeless traffic from Downtown. Also, until we, reluctantly, agreed to have parking restrictions, the streets were jammed with UC students' parking. We supported making Channing Way a bike street although the condition of the road makes biking hazardous.

The park area on the Ohlone strip was a great addition to the area. Aside from the park at Washington (where I participated in the redesign and addition of a regulation size basketball court when I was chair of the remodel committee) and the Tot Lot on Roosevelt, there isn't a lot of open space in our neighborhood. Civic Center Park was for so many years not a hospitable space. There is still a great deal of drug dealing going on there, probably migrating down from Shattuck.

While much has changed, a lot hasn't. There's still a strong culture of neighborliness and cooperation. And a sense that this is the area where the much-talked-about middle class lives. I would like to keep and strengthen that. So adding in Shattuck Ave. with all the new apartments being built there (which I support) would dramatically alter the character of my neighborhood.

For the same rationale, it's never been clear to me what the areas north of Ohlone were doing in District 4. University is a natural boundary and the two areas are different in character.

Lastly, I want to say that opening the Downtown Berkeley BART station was a game-changer. It made commuting to SF almost a pleasure. It's perfect for my niece (who lives with me) who works in Castro Valley and doesn't own a car. Being within walking/biking distance to both Berkeley Bowl and Trader Joe's is also a plus.

So I'd like to see a District 4 as bounded by University/Shattuck/Dwight Way/Sacramento. Thank you for your consideration. [REDACTED]

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Strawberry Creek Park brings our neighbors together - park should be in one district with all of its surrounds.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?


How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: District 2

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Culture, history, community - preservation of those
Black Repertory Group has been a vital part of that for almost 60 years

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?
Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

South Berkeley, Adeline Corridor

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


Redistricting such that would exclude Black Repertory Group from district 2 will mean that Black Repertory group and the commitment BRG has to district 2 and the community has to BRG are not being recognized or considered. please keep Black Repertory Group in district 2

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

I live and work in the Lorin District. The Lorin District 2 is historical African American community. I would like the community to remain unchanged and that Black Reperatory Group remain in the district.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1
 District 2
 District 3
 District 4
 District 5
 District 6
 District 7
 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Protect neighborhood resources of light, air, space, open areas, common spaces
 Help neighbors and be vigilant for diverse communities who have been marginalized/victimized - particularly Muslim people, Asians and African Americans who reside in our immediate neighborhood.
 We live near/adjacent to Shattuck, with lots of traffic in and out of Berkeley and so we keep an eye out for each other.
 Socializing in the neighborhood is important - especially since the pandemic. Our door gatherings are now a thing.
 Watching our for children and making sure that traffic -vehicular and pedestrian- respect the ability of children to run around and play outside in a positive environment.
 Solar access for gardens and solar panels is a concern in our neighborhood in every house. Our western sky/space is especially important for the sunlight, air and views.
 The area is densely populated with small houses, apartments, coop houses and group living. With this density and close proximity people are very respectful.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?
 Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Walker Street has become our gathering spot - that runs between Derby and Ward that runs from Shattuck on the West to east of Fulton. We also have gatherings on Fulton with the blocks running East up towards Telegraph. Walker Street is a frequent name for our neighborhood.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The city council has a huge impact on our neighborhood. We consistently feel left out of a meaningful dialog. Basically, we organize dozens of people, on many occasions to get noticed.
 The proximity of South Shattuck means that decisions/actions on or about the commercial district have a direct impact on us: from delivery trucks to Honda backing up at 5 am beeping, construction, unsheltered folks, the fire station, future building plans, police, buses, foot traffic to the Berkeley Bowl, Kerala - whatever - good, wonderful, bad, depressing - are always a factor.
 The City Council, while sometimes individually attentive, seem to collectively regard us an irritant, and merely caricatures they smirk at on Zoom. We are at the friction point between a neighborhood of people and their grand schemes.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

People in our neighborhood get along and smile at each other.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION
 BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
 Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Bunting, Sarah K.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 15, 2021 12:05 PM
To: Independent Redistricting Commission
Subject: Re: Community of Interest Form - City of Berkeley Redistricting - Enclosed - District 3
Attachments: thumbnail_IMG_4544.jpg; thumbnail_IMG_4545.jpg; thumbnail_IMG_4540.jpg; thumbnail_IMG_4542.jpg

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Redistricting Commission

I would like to add our support of the map that will be submitted today from the BNC (Berkeley Neighborhood Council). (Please consider this a withdrawal of support for Harold Rosenberg's map suggested below). Thank you for your email confirmation in advance.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Sun, Nov 14, 2021 at 8:18 PM
Subject: Community of Interest Form - City of Berkeley Redistricting - Enclosed - District 3
To: <redistricting@cityofberkeley.info>

Common interests and why they're important:

Many African Americans (AA) moved to South Berkeley during WW2 to support the war effort. They were restricted from living in other parts of Berkeley due to redlining laws. Together, with other newcomers from Asia and Central America, they shaped the neighborhood into a thriving community which reflected their cultural, artistic, religious and political beliefs. Key tenets of our historical neighborhood are shared by my community members today. Those beliefs include, but are not limited to, respecting the civil rights for every citizen, housing rights for all, rights to jobs and a right to worship.

The faith community is actively engaged in supporting the values upheld by my community and is represented with churches scattered around South Berkeley. For example, The Church by The Side of the Road located east of Shattuck on Russell St. is leading a consortium of church leaders in the mentoring of young people. The Ephesian Church, with the active support of community groups in this area, is committed to building low/low-income housing on its site. The Buddhist Temple on Russell is also engaged in service in the community and is a welcoming place to families and neighbors for outdoor weekend lunches.

There are many places of interest and programs serving my community like Kiwi Pediatrics on Alcatraz, Healthy Black Families, The Farmer's Market on Adeline/62nd, the NAACP office on Adeline, Community Acupuncture on Sacramento and the Women's Cancer Resource Center on Ellsworth. The Berkeley Bowl has a special place in our community. They sell foods representing many cultures in our neighborhood and it's also a place to run into your neighbors.

The shared interests of the community are also reflected in annual cultural activities like Juneteenth as well arts organizations like Youth Spirit Artworks, The Black Repertory Theater and The Ashby Stage. We also created a beautiful mural on Ashby Avenue at Ellis Street which depicts our history and local neighborhood heroes. It truly captures the spirit of our neighborhood. (See photos enclosed separately.)

And schools like Malcolm X at Ashby and Ellis Streets and Sylvia Mendez on Ellsworth (which is the only Spanish immersion school in the City) have been instrumental in educating and fostering pride in our children about the history of our neighborhood and its contributions to the community and to the city at large.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

This area is known as South Berkeley. The current geographic location is Dwight Way on the North, Ellsworth on the East, 62nd on the South and Sacramento and California on South.

The eastern swath of this area (from Dwight on the North going south on Ellsworth to Ashby and moving slightly westward at Deakin) should remain intact to represent the shared interests (stated above) of this community.

Additionally, the southern swath of this District (going from Dwight on the North to 62nd on the South) is instrumental in reflecting the common shared interests of our community.

Based on the maps that have been submitted to date, Howard Rosenberg's map looks the closest to what we might envision for District #3 if it was necessary to change any of the current boundaries.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Our neighborhood is affected by the lack of support from the City Council for low/low income housing, as the City remains focused on securing deals with developers who build housing for mid-income and high-income earners. We need housing for people who have household incomes of \$60K or less. We believe that housing is a human right.

We are affected by the lack of accountability from our police department. Evidence of racial profiling is clearly established in the number of pullovers by BPD, especially relative to the city's overall population as evidenced by the 2018 report here: https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Police_Review_Commission/Commissions/2018/Berkeley%20Report%20-%20May%202018.pdf

An amendment to the City Charter was passed in November to create an impartial Police Accountability Board. It had the support of 85% of the voters. The amendment states that the the new Board is independent of the City Manager and reports *to the Council directly*. *My community is keen to see greater evidence that this is in play*. Additionally, the City Council unanimously passed a police reform bill in February, we are still waiting for the full implementation of these important reforms. The police budgets, use of weapons, surveillance and body cameras and training must be transparent to all of us.

During CoVid the ACT bus service was cut back. Since Covid is hopefully on the wane, it would be ideal to reinstate the bus service going east/west down Ashby Avenue for everyone. It helps students going to Willard or MX or even to UC (transfer at Adeline or Shattuck). If you are elderly and want to go to the Credit Union which moved to San Pablo from Adeline/Ashby, and don't drive, the bus service is essential. It is too far to walk. Given the importance of driving less (reducing climate change), supporting additional bus service is key.

Bunting, Sarah K.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 8:19 PM
To: Independent Redistricting Commission
Subject: Community of Interest Form - City of Berkeley Redistricting - Enclosed - District 3
Attachments: thumbnail_IMG_4544.jpg; thumbnail_IMG_4545.jpg; thumbnail_IMG_4540.jpg; thumbnail_IMG_4542.jpg
Categories: COI Submission

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Common interests and why they're important:

Many African Americans (AA) moved to South Berkeley during WW2 to support the war effort. They were restricted from living in other parts of Berkeley due to redlining laws. Together, with other newcomers from Asia and Central America, they shaped the neighborhood into a thriving community which reflected their cultural, artistic, religious and political beliefs. Key tenets of our historical neighborhood are shared by my community members today. Those beliefs include, but are not limited to, respecting the civil rights for every citizen, housing rights for all, rights to jobs and a right to worship.

The faith community is actively engaged in supporting the values upheld by my community and is represented with churches scattered around South Berkeley. For example, The Church by The Side of the Road located east of Shattuck on Russell St. is leading a consortium of church leaders in the mentoring of young people. The Ephesian Church, with the active support of community groups in this area, is committed to building low/low-income housing on its site. The Buddhist Temple on Russell is also engaged in service in the community and is a welcoming place to families and neighbors for outdoor weekend lunches.

There are many places of interest and programs serving my community like Kiwi Pediatrics on Alcatraz, Healthy Black Families, The Farmer's Market on Adeline/62nd, the NAACP office on Adeline, Community Acupuncture on Sacramento and the Women's Cancer Resource Center on Ellsworth. The Berkeley Bowl has a special place in our community. They sell foods representing many cultures in our neighborhood and it's also a place to run into your neighbors.

The shared interests of the community are also reflected in annual cultural activities like Juneteenth as well arts organizations like Youth Spirit Artworks, The Black Repertory Theater and The Ashby Stage. We also created a beautiful mural on Ashby Avenue at Ellis Street which depicts our history and local neighborhood heroes. It truly captures the spirit of our neighborhood. (See photos enclosed separately.)

And schools like Malcolm X at Ashby and Ellis Streets and Sylvia Mendez on Ellsworth (which is the only Spanish immersion school in the City) have been instrumental in educating and fostering pride in our children about the history of our neighborhood and it's contributions to the community and to the city at large.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

This area is known as South Berkeley. The current geographic location is Dwight Way on the North, Ellsworth on the East, 62nd on the South and Sacramento and California on South.

The eastern swath of this area (from Dwight on the North going south on Ellsworth to Ashby and moving slightly westward at Deakin) should remain intact to represent the shared interests (stated above) of this community.

Additionally, the southern swath of this District (going from Dwight on the North to 62nd on the South) is instrumental in reflecting the common shared interests of our community.

Based on the maps that have been submitted to date, Howard Rosenberg's map looks the closest to what we might envision for District #3 if it was necessary to change any of the current boundaries.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Our neighborhood is affected by the lack of support from the City Council for low/low income housing, as the City remains focused on securing deals with developers who build housing for mid-income and high-income earners. We need housing for people who have household incomes of \$60K or less. We believe that housing is a human right.

We are affected by the lack of accountability from our police department. Evidence of racial profiling is clearly established in the number of pullovers by BPD, especially relative to the city's overall population as evidenced by the 2018 report
here: https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Police_Review_Commission/Commissions/2018/Berkeley%20Report%20-%20May%202018.pdf

An amendment to the City Charter was passed in November to create an impartial Police Accountability Board. It had the support of 85% of the voters. The amendment states that the the new Board is independent of the City Manager and reports *to the Council directly*. *My community is keen to see greater evidence that this is in play*. Additionally, the City Council unanimously passed a police reform bill in February, we are still waiting for the full implementation of these important reforms. The police budgets, use of weapons, surveillance and body cameras and training must be transparent to all of us.

During CoVid the ACT bus service was cut back. Since Covid is hopefully on the wane, it would be ideal to reinstate the bus service going east/west down Ashby Avenue for everyone. It helps students going to Willard or MX or even to UC (transfer at Adeline or Shattuck. If you are elderly and want to go to the Credit Union which moved to San Pablo from Adeline/Ashby, and don't drive, the bus service is essential. It is too far to walk. Given the importance of driving less (reducing climate change, supporting additional bus service is key.









Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

There are several common interests in our community: we are a transit oriented community bounded by BART, AC Transit and cars looking for parking once BART depletes the number of spaces. It makes sense to sever the district at Sacramento Street since the communities East of Sacramento do not have the same interests, development and history as the communities West of Sacramento. It is a community of interest because it has a major street that runs all the way to the hills and down to the Bay, East to West. It is a community of interest because it includes a highway as a street (San Pablo Ave). Furthermore, the community is also one that experiences toxic fumes from industrial corporate concerns. Finally, it is a community of interest because several of the houses are still owned by people of color who were not allowed to buy homes east of Sacramento.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Geographically it is a community of interest because it includes the Berkeley Marina district and so should expand past University (not stop there as it does now). Geographically, the community is flat and down hill from the rest of Berkeley. The community is also an international community from the Brazilian cultural center, to Spanish table, to the Halal restaurants, our community is international and cosmopolitan.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The community is impacted by the lack of adequate lighting on the street preventing people who want to walk to and from BART during the dark winter days. The community is also impacted by the lack of city regulation of toxic industry in the district, and a lack of an adequate safety plan if something should happen to the industry (as the 2018 Berkeley Asphalt fire demonstrated) or to the gas pipeline that runs north - south by the Berkeley Marina. The Community is also impacted by the traffic on San Pablo and the lack of planning for a community in the San Pablo corridor. Although the community is part of a whole, we are often not invited as a whole community to meet and talk and congregate as a community with the city officials.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

The community houses several families. It really is a community about families, schools and play grounds. The community is a conduit for evacuation from the hills if there should be a fire emergency. The community also is a nexus for growth over the next decade. The community includes Cedar up until Sacramento, West to Oceanview and the Marina. The community also currently houses a great majority of the unhoused people in Berkeley and these unhoused people live in the most heavily polluted areas in the city such as Harrison Street and also close to Berkeley Asphalt on 2nd street.

MAP WE SUPPORT: We are supporting the BNC redistricting map with one minor change. We suggest that the around the Berkeley BART the line should be extended to Sacramento West side of the street.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Bunting, Sarah K.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, November 14, 2021 10:40 PM
To: Independent Redistricting Commission
Subject: community of interest and map of interest
Attachments: Anonymous 1 Community of Interest.pdf

Categories: COI Submission

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Please accept my comments as a community of interest in District 1 and in favor of the BNC map but in consideration of a slight change to run the east-west line along Sacramento and include BART.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

- Renters
- Pedestrians, cyclists, and transit riders
- Students

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

This is concentrated in the areas with a high density of renters, including Downtown, southside blocks south of the current District 7, Clark Kerr campus, and "northside" up to Virginia Street.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

City policies on tenant protections and housing development affect the renter community very closely. Renters are also more likely to walk instead of drive than other communities, are more likely to be affected by street safety issues, and are concentrated in highly walkable areas.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

The renter community is overwhelmed in the current districting by being split among districts dominated by homeowners. Renters vote less frequently than homeowners as a community and are therefore further drowned out. We need an additional district that protects and represents the significant number of renters in the City, such as the donut district on the map proposed by Alfred Twu, one draft example attached.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



Occupied	ACS_14_18_Renter_occupied	% ACS_14_18_Renter_occupied	ACS_14_18_HH_Median_income	ACS_14_18_Median_Age
33.33	4,546	66.77	849,586	404
12.01	4,625	88.43	530,947	211
43.44	3,555	56.36	1,426,094	499
85.20	921	14.80	2,384,607	745
54.62	3,005	45.17	1,651,219	621
41.80	3,613	58.46	1,074,762	472
3.51	1,951	96.49	191,392	111
37.24	3,430	62.65	851,367	426

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

- 1) Housing affordability. As renters, and especially for residents of new buildings, downtown residents are among the most impacted by the high cost of housing in Berkeley.
- 2) Bike/pedestrian/transit access & safety. Downtown residents are among the least likely to own an automobile in Berkeley, yet Downtown also accounts for some of the highest pedestrian injury streets. We rely on non-automobile forms of transportation to visit other neighborhoods and destinations.
- 3) Homelessness. Downtown Berkeley has the highest concentration of visible homelessness of anywhere in Berkeley, and many of our homeless residents are longtime neighbors who simply have nowhere else to go.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

My community is Downtown Berkeley. Downtown is bordered by Hearst to the north, Oxford/Fulton to the east, Dwight to the south, and MLK to the west.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Downtown Berkeley is an incredibly diverse community of over 6,000 residents, 95% of whom are renters. Downtown is comprised of students, young professionals, immigrants, families, retirees, and long-time residents alike, including both housed and unhoused neighbors.

Downtown has been historically considered "everyone's neighborhood" because of the diverse commercial and leisure activities and the access to transit and major institutions (UC Berkeley, LBNL, City of Berkeley). However, for the thousands of people who call Downtown home on a daily basis, it is also a residential community, where we need to be able to get home safely and comfortably at night, have non-automotive transportation options that make it easy to get to our destinations, and have enough housing options and tenant protections to keep rent affordable. City Council has historically neglected the residential experience in Downtown Berkeley.

Downtown Berkeley is most similar to the mixed-use and medium density neighborhoods immediately north and south along Shattuck Avenue. Because the most unifying experience of living in Downtown is "renters who don't have cars", other neighborhoods with high concentrations of renters who don't have cars are particularly good matches for joining into a Council district. Other campus periphery areas, such as Northside or Southside, are good candidates.

Because renters in Berkeley typically vote at lower rates than homeowners (for a variety of reasons - in Downtown, many renters are either immigrants ineligible to vote, or students who register to vote in their hometown), Berkeley's renter-heavy neighborhoods should be grouped together to help achieve better representation. Renters are 60% of Berkeley's population, yet only 3 of our 8 Council districts today are represented by renters.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Berkeley's Black community was once a vibrant core of the city with churches, businesses, homeowners and tenants in primarily South Berkeley's area which now falls into "District 3." In part due to the pernicious practices of Redlining, racially restrictive covenants on deeds and racist realtor practices, 'Sundown Laws,' and other ways to segregate our community, we nonetheless persisted in maintaining our rightful place in the community that we largely helped build. We have history and ancestors in common; many of us moved in the migration west from the Deep South after slavery and Jim Crow, many of us share a deep and abiding faith in God (Black Christian Churches are historic pillars of the city for a hundred years: Church by the Side of the Road, McGee Ave Baptist Church, St. Paul's AME Church, Ephesians Church, Phillips AME, etc)

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

We also have a Muslim community who worship in local mosques. Our proud Black community - we have foods, customs and traditions in common. Berkeley hosts one of the oldest Juneteenth Celebrations in the state. Our community is described in Wikipedia: "South Berkeley is a neighborhood in the city of Berkeley, CA. It extends roughly from Dwight Way to city's border with Oakland, between Telegraph Ave in east and either Sacramento St or San Pablo in west. This neighborhood is the center for Berkeley's African-American community, with a population of 9,341 that is roughly 52% African-American... During WWII, Camp Ashby was established for African American soldiers. Dr. William Byron Rumford pharmacy (legislator) 3332 Adeline St landmark South Berkeley Bank, once anchored Lorin business district streetcar. Mildred and Mable Howard.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The city's decisions have tacitly or explicitly allowed racial segregation and discrimination (read Prof. Rothstein's The Color of Law). The city's failure to implement a 'racial equity lense' has allowed massive market rate housing development, displacing many Black people, and not doing more to ensure affordable housing built. Now the city is prioritizing "Equitable" anti-displacement programs (but the lost opportunity has displaced our 40% of the city population down to a mere 8% in a very short time) City funds 60% of its budget to police and disinvested from community programs, mental health, job training, housing subsidies, and public safety measures to prevent escalation of crime or violence. If the city dilutes the strong base of Black neighborhoods by improper map redistricting, we are erased/Extinct. Black businesses also need more support.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Black academics, artists and activists are a core part of our community; housing should be available to ensure Black members thrive in our historic district that should be deemed the Black Community Historical Zone. The Adeline Corridor and So Berkeley needs to not be further displaced or diluted. Berkeley NAACP, BEEMA and other Black organizations in Berkeley strongly urge that District 3 remain without alteration. Specifically: McGee Ave Baptist Church should not be placed into District, 2, nor should Church by Side of Road be drawn into District 8. Without exception, the new African American Holistic Resource Center @1890 Alcatraz, Black Rep, Ephesians, Ebenezer, McGee, Phillips, St. Paul's must remain 'as is'

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Bunting, Sarah K.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 15, 2021 2:14 PM
To: Independent Redistricting Commission
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Ancestral Concerns from Berkeley African-American/Black Communities.
Attachments: Berkeley COI Outreach Worksheet.pdf

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Please accept the attached form submitted by the Berkeley Branch of the NAACP regarding redistricting of District 3. We have significant concerns about the possible relocation of African-American/Black Ancestral sites in District 3; therefore, the attached form documents our position regarding South Berkeley redistricting. Moving forward, we trust that the Independent Redistricting Commission will consider our concerns. Thank You

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

I live on the upper side of the "Oceanview" in Northwest Berkeley (above 6th Street but below San Pablo). This is one of the oldest communities in Berkeley (and was actually annexed by Berkeley at the turn of the 20th century). While the community is in the late stages of gentrification, it started out - and still retains the DNA - of having a working-class neighborhood, which includes many Craftsman-style homes (and a few Victorians), built by blue-collar workers for their families. It also retains a - albeit diminishing - level of racial and socioeconomic diversity as one of the only neighborhood in which restrictive covenants were not placed on housing (as a formerly redlined area). This area bounds the MU-R and MU-LI areas, and neighborhoods have striven to coexist with industry that would now be deemed to be incompatible with residential - and has embraced the ecosystem of small manufacturing, arts, and crafts businesses, some of whose owners reside in live-work units in the neighborhood.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

The Oceanview District is roughly bounded by San Pablo on the East Side, the waterfront on the West Side, Gilman St. on the North Side, and University Ave. on the South Side. However, our community arguably has more in common with the entire area below San Pablo than other neighborhoods. We certainly have more in common with other areas below Sacramento (roughly bounded by the North Berkeley BART) than areas to the east of Sacramento.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

We have been particularly impacted by issues dealing with residential-industrial boundaries and relationships (such as the ongoing air quality saga with the Berkeley Asphalt plant) and retaining the arts, crafts, and artisanal nature of the MU-R district. Agree or disagree with the proposal, our neighborhood was also significantly impacted by the Council decision to transition away from single-family zoning, given that the majority of our neighborhood that's not mixed industrial is zoned as R-1


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

For your consideration, a West Berkeley Business District oriented map proposal has been created (most districts except 2 are within less than 1% of the threshold for compactness, the least compact district is D6 and it's within 3.6%).

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



RECEIVED

NOV 15 2021

CITY OF BERKELEY
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT

Central Berkeley

Flatlands Community of Interest Worksheet

Central Berkeley Flatlands~MAAGNA~

X District 4

Common Interests-in Maintaining Berkeley Flatlands & North Berkeley Residential Neighborhoods:

Reflect Actual Residential Neighborhood Boundaries of North & South Berkeley Flatlands and North Berkeley as a Contiguous District

District Equal Configuration—Intact Greater Flatlands Center Encircled by Equal-sized districts.

Non-Flatlands areas have not densified at a rate equal to that in the flatlands Districts 1, 2 & 7, closely followed by District 4 & then District 3. District 3 is currently undergoing densification construction. To begin to equalize the population allocation between District, those areas without densification but adjacent to density should absorb some of the new densification as part of their geographic expansion. Population added to those Districts (5, 6 & 8) from Downtown or North Shattuck, without breaking up the long-standing Flatlands residential neighborhood communities, who have shared commonalities of interest.

By splitting Downtown, where more of the newest density is disproportionately located, among Districts 5 & 6 as well as 8, to add contiguous new density to each of the Districts, which failed to densify within existing boundaries, is a fair way to allocate the disproportionate new density. Downtown and North Shattuck are economically tied to Districts 5 & 6. District 7 has continued to densify over the decades and cannot further expand its student base to include Downtown.

Instead of the number of Districts staying constant, Districts were reduced to 4 or 6 in number from 8, all of the areas surrounding UCB could be consolidated into one area). District 7 already has 2500 new residents in its existing boundaries, nearly 2000 more residents than the slowest growing, and is growing 3x faster than its neighbors in Districts 5, 7 & 8.

For Economic Vitality, Include in District the Retail Commercial Collectors/Arteries with their related Residential Neighborhood Communities Areas Citywide (Not to be divisive District Boundaries down the middle of the Street in greater flatlands) & apportion Densification

In past decades, existing, cohesive greater flatlands community residential neighborhood areas absorbed increasing densification along their neighborhood's commercial arteries/major collectors – 6th St., San Pablo, Shattuck, Adeline, Telegraph, University, Ashby and Alcatraz and to a lesser extent along College and Solano.

In many District residential neighborhoods, main arteries are within the District: Claremont, College, Solano, Marin, Hopkins, Gilman, MLK, Ashby, Alcatraz and Oxford are examples where retail corridors connected to their supporting residential neighborhoods are successful. In other Districts where the retail arteries are divided from their connected, residential communities of interest, neighborhood commercial often struggles for vitality and success (North Shattuck, University Avenue, Telegraph are examples).

Improved Community of Interest between residents & connected retail activity - More balance between the District configurations could ensure that all Districts have connection between their residents and the retail businesses they are connected to, strengthening their shared community of interest and absorbing a proportionate share of the last decade's densification located on these same business corridors. From the redistricting process, one or two districts should not emerge unduly contorted in order that other districts avoid absorbing the business districts their residents are connected to and a portion of the densification they have crammed into an abutting area.

Downtown/UCB and North Shattuck were originally attached to District 4, where they have little or no commonality of interest, even though they geographically abut and naturally are connected with but avoided by Districts 5 & 6. If Downtown and North Shattuck sections, on both sides of each artery, are each within a single district, retail economic activity can be better protected. Splitting District boundaries down main flatlands thoroughfares has historical, disparate strong negative impacts on the neighborhood vitality and economic success of those business corridor arteries and injured adjacent residential neighborhoods as commute traffic domination increased.

Thus, economic activity benefits and densification impacts would be shared (at least somewhat more equally) citywide. One area of centralized density is no longer gerrymandered all into a one district while dividing longstanding Flatlands & North Berkeley resident neighborhood communities of shared interests.

FLATLANDS & North Berkeley have shared Common Geographic, Historical, Economic, Cultural, and Racial/Ethnic Diversity Interests Evidencing an Existing Shared, Common Extended Neighborhood

Geographic The Flatlands and North Berkeley (greater flatlands) are geographically farmland sloping west, bisected by creeks, between the first Ocean View town and the second East Berkeley UCB & Rail Stop. It was developed as a family residential community. Greater flatlands is economically working/professional resident family homeowners and long-term tenants - a community welcoming racial and ethnic diversity and cultural cohesion. Although historically segregated, the greater neighborhood integrated itself and the current District 4 has maintained its diversity despite gentrification through 2020.

Neighborhood Health, Safety & Prosperity of Greater Flatlands:

Common interests in quiet with less noise, less litter, less flooding, less air pollution, fewer vehicles, improved traffic safety, reduction of danger from cut through and commute traffic. (There are only two diverters in central Berkeley core, Roads such as University Ave bifurcated both the Hardy Tract and the greater flatlands, only for the benefit of UCB & Downtown, destructive of our common interests)

Our community residents throughout the greater flatlands, have worked on many improvement projects over the years including University Ave. Gardeners and City's first densification via UA Plan, Neighborhood Preservation in the 70s, and BART station undergrounding with 1200 home loss to Ohlone Park. More recently greater flatlands' neighborhood traffic calming, residential permit parking, zoning impact mitigations, creek daylighting/stormwater and runoff protection. Improving pedestrian and bicycle safety, civic center preservation, priority undergrounding of major thoroughfare evacuation/emergency routes, disaster preparedness, arts tours/fairs and other citywide neighborhood improvement efforts continue.

Religious centers, from Buddhist Monastery to Temple to St. Joseph's Catholic church draw residents from both Hardy Tract north across North and South Flatlands, as do elementary and middle school district boundaries extending across the breadth of the flatlands. Thousands of the City's high school students travel through Central Berkeley daily, creating 20% of daily morning & afternoon traffic. Much of the Ohlone Park residential community were Catholic, members of St. Joseph's across UA. In recent decades, the Catholic residential neighborhood community of interest was improperly split between two districts, when unity within one is appropriate.

Greater Flatlands residential neighborhood community have shared common interest in fighting neighborhood negative traffic impacts.

Negative impacts have resulted in

Excessive Air Pollution,
Dangers to Residents - Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety/local street vehicle traffic
Increased Noise, Litter, Infringement on Flatlands residential
neighborhood community cohesion & quality of life
Cause: Traffic Volumes and Speeds of commuter traffic into/out of Berkeley (Bay Area regional commute destinations into: UCB, City Hall & Downtown as well as Berkeley resident regional commuters to South Bay, SF & greater Bay Area) –
Lack of protection on major thoroughfares (University Ave & MLK Jr)
Lack of Traffic Calming and Protection from Cut Through Traffic--Speed and Volumes (Neighborhood has highest concentration of public and private schools (pre-school through grade 12 adding 20% to AM & PM volumes)
Citywide truck deliveries restricted north and east, forced onto University Ave, Ashby, Sacramento, Adeline and Shattuck

Greater Flatlands Neighborhood Residential Community with Neighborhood Supportive Economic Activity on main streets – Area between original towns of Ocean View and UCB-East Berkeley rail head:

Traditional Flatlands, when converted from historical farming, became residential community of interest, composed of smaller compatible neighborhood subdivisions or community cohorts. Historical Berkeley Flatlands Community Preservation-Farmland now Residential Neighborhood

Definition: Greater Flatlands Delaware to Ashby, Sacramento to MLK, North & South Flatlands

Originally the flatlands were the farms between the town of Ocean View (West Berkeley maritime fishing) and UCB & its railstop (UCB & Downtown), Lorin to the South Cedar/Westbrae/Northbrae to the North, Ashby to the South. These areas have been roughly designated to be North Berkeley flatlands (run from Cedar or Virginia south to UA, from Curtis to MLK or Milvia (See Map B). North Flatlands is Mcgees Farm, Spaulding & Hardy Tracts. South Flatlands are Dwight to Ashby/ Sacramento or Curtis to MLK, Milvia, Shattuck or Haste. The greater flatlands is larger than the area generally described as "Central Berkeley" (Hearst to Dwight/Sacramento to MLK) (MAP B).

We would prefer our District boundaries to include all of the greater Berkeley flatlands. Our transportation main roads are University Avenue, MLK and Ashby. On the west we are bounded by Curtis or San Pablo, depending of the expansiveness included in 'flatlands' Derby or Ashby to the South, Milvia to the east (plus Milvia to Haste, Bancroft to Dwight. To a far lesser degree, are we economically or culturally tied to Shattuck, Hopkins, or Telegraph.

Development to the west, south, north and east impinged on Berkeley Flatlands and Districts took pieces from the rectangular Center , but our greater flatlands continues to share the common interest a residential flatlands community, scrunched between the Lorin, Ocean View, UCB expansions, Southside and the streetcar subdivision developments north of Cedar and Hopkins.

Concentrically, smaller, Central Berkeley, west of Milvia, north no farther than Delaware or Cedar, east to either Curtis or Sacramento and south to Derby or Ashby would be a rectangle of flatlands residential neighbors with similar challenges from traffic, working/professional economic wealth, diverse mix of homeowner and tenant residents, and a stable cultural, racial and ethnic diversity.

Central Berkeley does not have the same commonality of interest with Downtown where the residents are now predominantly students, predominantly tenants and a commuter economic base with retail influenced by the needs of UC Berkeley, Downtown, City & Berkeley High employees and students, not by residential neighbors. Central Berkeley neighbors lost their fight to keep downtown responsive to the adjacent neighborhoods.

We do not have commonality with Downtown but do have commonality with all of the flatlands neighborhoods to the north, south and west of "Central Berkeley."

Central Berkeley/North Flatlands Core

Since City of Berkeley in 1878 from Hopkins to Blake, Bay to MLK, greater flatlands is almost all of that other than the original Ocean View, West Berkeley. The area's 25,000+ current population far exceeds one-eighth and is therefore too large to be only 1 of 8 districts.

We narrow our focus to all our too-small nuclear North Flatlands neighborhood, extending outward to as much of the original Berkeley greater flatlands as we can include in one contiguous district. All of McGee's Farm together with the Hardy and Spaulding Tracts [outline in solid line], are the historical farming center and then City Center where City Hall has been located for more than a hundred years. This area is bounded by Dwight, Sacramento, Milvia & Virginia – total population is too small for a District as it is only – 9200.

Our smallest most intense family is a subset --MAAGNA – McKinley Addison Allston Grant Neighborhood which extends a minimum of one block on either side of those streets. Residents' shared experiences--to mitigate impacts of the first City densification along University Avenue to the City's Public Safety Building development on residentially zoned property to the Trader Joe's MLK/UA project--intensified our flatlands commonality to a deeper level.

We expand to the North Flatlands family rectangle from Virginia to Derby/Sacramento to Milvia, totals 11,850.

Commonality to the West

Since Sacramento Street is not a commercial hub of neighborhood supporting retail needing economic cohesion, it can as easily be the boundary as can a street mid-section to either side. Commonality of interest has taught us that both block faces on a main thoroughfare need to be in one district for it to be a thriving commercial neighborhood-serving retail area. In most cases, we oppose the main street as the boundary between districts.

Since we are economically and culturally tied to University Ave, we propose extension on both sides of University Ave from Sacramento further west to Chestnut Street (Hearst to Addison) to allow continuity of the expanded commonality of interest among University Ave. merchants and residents. (+1,000 residents).

The greater flatlands includes Strawberry Creek which we share in common with 'Poet's Corner' and Action neighborhood. [If District 1 does not lose northwestern population to District 5 and is able to retain Northbrae intact, then District 1 could have population overage along University Avenue, which could be added to D.4 to keep UA commonality intact]. District 4 total with UA to Chestnut (+1000) then totals 13,000.

Further southwest in District 2, adding Addison to Dwight from Sacramento to Chestnut adds another 2150, a total of 15,150.

Commonality to the South and Southeast

Since, after including both sides of University Ave, west to Chestnut, north from Hearst (but not to Virginia), south to Addison), an alternative is for the District to include South Flatlands South of Dwight, to the rectangle bounded on the west by Sacramento from Addison to Derby, on the north to Hearst, on the east to Milvia (so as to include both sides of MLK), (or to Ellsworth or South Shattuck), and on the south by Derby St (to include both sides of Dwight Way), Milvia from Bancroft.

We have commonality on the southern border of Central Berkeley with South Shattuck where residential areas also are experiencing flatlands development pressure from Downtown and UCB. The flatland blocks from Bancroft to Dwight or Derby and from Milvia to Oxford, Ellsworth or Dana, depending on whether those neighborhoods want to be split from Districts 3 or 7.

Commonality to the Southeast Commonality to the West

If less population is needed, the southeast corner would extend only to Haste, not Derby, from Ellsworth to Oxford, continuing on the east only to Milvia from Oxford to Dwight, not to Derby.

Current District 3 and Southwest District 7, are in natural commonality of interest with District 4 Central Berkeley. In total, that area of almost 4000 residents is too large to include in District 4 unless North Berkeley north of Hearst is excluded from Central but added to District 1 or 5.

Adding the blocks shared with Southside from Milvia to Oxford/Bancroft to Dwight is +2000, for a Central Berkeley total of $11,850 + 2000 = 13,850$.

For at least the last two decades, District 4 has included Milvia to Ellsworth/Bancroft to Haste (+2250). This would total 16,100.

Instead from Milvia to Shattuck/ Haste to

Derby, adds 750 and one more 4 block square from Shattuck to Oxford/ Haste to Derby adds 850, just short of the goal, at a 15,450 total.

Alternatively, extending north from Virginia to Cedar (between Sacramento and Milvia) is +1200 more, for a total of 14,650.

Our larger commonality of interests, Berkeley Flatlands, is over twice the size of a current District, so we are forced to be divided inappropriately in the current redistricting. District 4 can move closer to its original boundaries either to the north Berkeley flatlands, Acton west flatlands, South/Southeast flatlands, depending on the equalization between District 1, 2, 3 & 7.

3. Our community is impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council by the following:

City government is located within District 4 and within Central Berkeley flatlands, so we are impacted by City employee and resident traffic and by its construction and expansion both in terms of people and building infrastructure. Corp yard traffic to and from City Hall cuts through our local streets. Central Berkeley has the greatest density of pre-school through-high schools across the City. Many of the City's homeless services are located in Central Berkeley.

Traffic impacts without residential community engagement

Council actions on creeks impact Strawberry Creek intersecting the District. The Downtown Area has been expanded by City Council action across Milvia to MLK and from Center to University Avenue and south to Dwight with relaxed development standards. Downtown has been the focus of Council densification efforts. Flatlands


neighborhoods worked together to influence City Council citywide action to mitigate impacts and advance flatlands interests including sidewalk and crosswalk maintenance plans, Downtown Area development, University Avenue Plan (City's first densification), Downtown Hotel & Museum, redistricting, UCB Long Range Plans, Earthquake retrofit, BSEP, Streets & Sewer, Park & School bonds, and Civic Center Historic District.

The City redeveloped and expanded the residentially-zoned Public Safety/ Courthouse/ Old City Hall block of Central Berkeley, impacting the MAAGNA neighborhood significantly and major flatlands impacts from traffic. Instead of the promised protection of the neighborhood from traffic by barriers or half barriers, traffic circles were provided in MAAGNA and spread throughout the Flatlands. Traffic volumes and speed have moderated slightly but remain far above citywide local residential street averages. Neighborhood pedestrian and bicycle injury crashes also remain disproportionately high with disproportionately low neighborhood safety investment. All City Council citywide actions impact every District, particularly Central Berkeley, as it is in the middle of the City and is impacted by actions in every other Council District.

Central Berkeley and transit hubs, especially UCB Downtown has such a strong regional impact, there is no reason to stuff it all in one district

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



DISTRIGT 4
Central Berkeley CORE
PLAN

San Francisco Regional Research Lab

San Francisco Bay

Berkeley Aquatic

Telegraph Ave

Ashby Ave

Univ of Cal Berkele

Hearst Ave

Cedar St

Furice St

University Ave

Virginia St

Gilman St

San Pablo Ave

Russell St

City Limits



Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: Greater Flatlands Central Berkeley
District 4 Residents Redistricting - [Redacted]

Which district do you live in, write your address and we'll look it up:

District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Common Interests-in Maintaining Greater Flatlands Berkeley Residential Neighborhood District:
 Reflect Actual Residential Neighborhood Greater Flatlands Boundaries (North & South Berkeley Flatlands and North Berkeley Flatlands) as a Contiguous District
 District Equal Configuration—Intact Greater Flatlands Center Encircled by Equal-sized districts.
 Non-Flatlands areas have not densified at a rate equal to that in the flatlands Districts 1, 2 & 7, closely followed by District 4 & then District 3.
 District 3 is currently undergoing densification construction. To begin to equalize the population allocation between District, those areas without densification but adjacent to density should absorb some of the new densification as part of their geographic expansion. Population added to those Districts (5, 6 & 8) from Downtown or North Shattuck, without breaking up the long-standing Flatlands residential ...

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

2. Geographical Location of Greater Flatlands Community of Interest
 Definition: Greater Flatlands—North Berkeley flatlands plus Historical North & South Flatlands [Original Berkeley]
 Originally the greater flatlands were defined as the farms between the town of Ocean View (West Berkeley maritime fishing) and UCB & its' railstop (UCB & Downtown), Lorin Adeline to the South, and Cedar/Westbrae/Northbrae to the North. North Berkeley flatlands run from Cedar or Virginia south to UA, from Curtis or Chestnut to MLK or Milvia (See Map B). The original North Flatlands is McGee's Farm, together with Spaulding & Hardy Tracts. South Flatlands are Dwight to Ashby/ from Sacramento or Curtis to MLK, Milvia, Shattuck or Haste. The greater flatlands are larger than the area generally described as "Central Berkeley" (Hearst to Dwight/Sacramento to MLK) (MAP B).
 We would prefer our District boundaries to include all of the greater Berkeley flatlands. Our transportation main roads are University Avenue,

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

.Our community is impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council by the following:
 City government is located within District 4 and within Central Berkeley flatlands, so we are impacted by City employee and resident traffic and by its construction and expansion both in terms of people and building infrastructure. Corp yard traffic to and from City Hall cuts through our local streets. Central Berkeley has the greatest density of pre-school through-high schools across the City. Many of the City's homeless services are located in Central Berkeley.
 Traffic impacts result from development without active residential community engagement (more than a yellow notice is required).
 Council actions on creeks impact Strawberry Creek intersecting the District. The Downtown Area has been expanded by City Council action across Milvia to MLK and from Center to University Avenue and south to Dwight with relaxed development standards. Downtown has been ...

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
 Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



**INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Common Interests-in Maintaining Greater Flatlands Berkeley Residential Neighborhood District:

Reflect Actual Residential Neighborhood Greater Flatlands Boundaries (North & South Berkeley Flatlands and North Berkeley Flatlands) as a Contiguous District

District Equal Configuration—Intact Greater Flatlands Center Encircled by Equal-sized districts.

Non-Flatlands areas have not densified at a rate equal to that in the flatlands Districts 1, 2 & 7, closely followed by District 4 & then District 3. District 3 is currently undergoing densification construction. To begin to equalize the population allocation between District, those areas without densification but adjacent to density should absorb some of the new densification as part of their geographic expansion. Population added to those Districts (5, 6 & 8) from Downtown or North Shattuck, without breaking up the long-standing Flatlands residential neighborhood communities, who have shared commonalities of interest.

By allocating Downtown, where more of the newest density is disproportionately located, among Districts 5 & 6 as well as 8, to add contiguous new density to each of the Districts, which failed to densify within existing boundaries, is a fair way to allocate the disproportionate new density. Downtown and North Shattuck are economically tied to Districts 5 & 6. District 7 has continued to densify over the decades and cannot further expand its student base to include Downtown.

Instead of the number of Districts staying constant, Districts were reduced to 4 or 6 in number from 8, all of the areas surrounding UCB could be consolidated into one area). District 7 already has 2500 new residents in its existing boundaries, nearly 2000 more residents than the slowest growing, and is growing 3x faster than its neighbors in Districts 5, 7 & 8.

For Economic Vitality, Include in District the Retail Commercial Collectors/Arteries with their related Residential Neighborhood Communities Areas Citywide (Not to be divisive District Boundaries down the middle of the Street in greater flatlands) & apportion Densification

In past decades, existing, cohesive greater flatlands community residential neighborhood areas absorbed increasing densification along their neighborhood's commercial arteries/major collectors – 6th St., San Pablo, Shattuck, Adeline, Telegraph, University, Ashby and Alcatraz and to a lesser extent along College and Solano.

In many City residential neighborhoods, main arteries are within a District: Claremont, College, Solano, Marin, Hopkins, Gilman, MLK, Ashby, Alcatraz and Oxford are examples where retail corridors connected to their supporting residential neighborhoods are successful. In other Districts where the retail arteries are divided from their connected, residential communities of interest, neighborhood commercial often struggles for vitality and success (North Shattuck, University Avenue, Telegraph are examples).

Improved Community of Interest between residents & connected retail activity –

More balance among District configurations could ensure that all Districts have connection between their residents and the retail businesses the residents are connected to, strengthening their shared community of interest and absorbing a proportionate share of the last decade's densification located on these same business corridors. From the redistricting process, one or two districts should not emerge

unduly contorted in order that other districts avoid absorbing the business districts their residents are connected to and a portion of the densification they have crammed into an abutting area.

Downtown/UCB and North Shattuck were originally attached to District 4, where they have little or no commonality of interest, even though they geographically abut and naturally are connected with but avoided by Districts 5 & 6. If Downtown and North Shattuck sections, on both sides of each artery, are each within a single district, retail economic activity can be better protected. Splitting District boundaries down main flatlands thoroughfares has historical, disparate strong negative impacts on the neighborhood vitality and economic success of those business corridor arteries and injured adjacent residential neighborhoods as commute traffic domination increased.

Thus, economic activity benefits and densification impacts would be shared (at least somewhat more equally) citywide. One area of centralized density is no longer gerrymandered all into a one district while dividing longstanding Flatlands & North Berkeley resident neighborhood communities of shared interests.

FLATLANDS & North Berkeley have shared Common Geographic, Historical, Economic, Cultural, and Racial/Ethnic Diversity Interests Evidencing an Existing Shared, Common Extended Neighborhood

Geographic The Flatlands and North Berkeley (greater flatlands) are geographically farmland sloping west, bisected by creeks, between the first Ocean View town and the second East Berkeley UCB & Rail Stop. It was developed as a family residential community. Greater flatlands is economically working/professional resident family homeowners and long-term tenants - a community welcoming racial and ethnic diversity and cultural cohesion. Although historically segregated, the greater neighborhood integrated itself and the current District 4 has maintained its diversity despite gentrification through 2020.

Neighborhood Health, Safety & Prosperity of Greater Flatlands:

Common interests in quiet with less noise, less litter, less flooding, less air pollution, access to nature and parks, fewer vehicles, improved traffic safety, reduction of danger from cut through and commute traffic. (There are only two diverters in central Berkeley core, Roads such as University Ave bifurcated both the Hardy Tract and the greater flatlands, only for the benefit of UCB & Downtown, destructive of our common interests). Our neighborhood serving walking park-Ohlone Park is north of University Avenue, for the east-west width of our district from Milvia to Sacramento, while our events park (including weekly Farmers' Market) is Civic Center Park between MLK and Milvia/Center to Allston, drawing residents from the greater flatlands and citywide. Lot sized mini parks are scattered through the district.

Our community residents throughout the greater flatlands, have worked on many improvement projects over the years including University Ave. Gardeners and City's first densification via UA Plan, Neighborhood Preservation in the 70s, and BART station undergrounding with 1200 home loss to Ohlone Park. More recently greater flatlands' neighborhood traffic calming, residential permit parking, zoning impact mitigations, creek daylighting/stormwater and runoff protection. Improving pedestrian and bicycle safety, civic center preservation, priority undergrounding of major thoroughfare evacuation/emergency routes, disaster preparedness, arts tours/fairs and other citywide neighborhood improvement efforts continue.

Religious centers, from Buddhist Monastery to Temple to St. Joseph's Catholic church draw residents from both Hardy Tract north across North and South Flatlands, as do elementary and middle school district boundaries extending across the breadth of the flatlands. Thousands of the City's high school students travel through Central Berkeley daily, creating 20% of daily morning & afternoon traffic. Much of the Ohlone Park residential community were Catholic, members of St. Joseph's across UA. In recent decades, the Catholic residential neighborhood community of interest was improperly split between two districts, when unity within one is appropriate.

Greater Flatlands residential neighborhood community have shared common interest in fighting neighborhood negative traffic impacts.

Negative impacts have resulted in

- Excessive Air Pollution,
- Dangers to Residents - Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety/local street vehicle traffic
- Increased Noise, Litter, Infringement on Flatlands residential neighborhood community cohesion & quality of life

Causes:

- Traffic Volumes and Speeds of commuter traffic into/out of Berkeley (Bay Area regional commute destinations into: UCB, City Hall & Downtown as well as Berkeley resident regional commuters to South Bay, SF & greater Bay Area) –
- Lack of protection on major thoroughfares (University Ave & MLK Jr)
- Lack of Traffic Calming and Protection from Cut Through Traffic--Speed and Volumes (Neighborhood has highest concentration of public and private schools (pre-school through grade 12 adding 20% to AM & PM volumes)
- Citywide truck deliveries restricted north and east, forced onto University Ave, Ashby, Sacramento, Adeline and Shattuck

Greater Flatlands Neighborhood Residential Community with Neighborhood Supportive Economic Activity on main streets – Area between original towns of Ocean View and UCB-East Berkeley rail head:

Traditional Flatlands, when converted from historical farming, became residential community of interest, composed of smaller compatible neighborhood subdivisions or community cohorts. Historical Berkeley Flatlands Community Preservation-Farmland now Residential Neighborhood.

**Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?
Does your community/neighborhood have a name?**

2. Geographical Location of Greater Flatlands Community of Interest

Definition: Greater Flatlands—North Berkeley flatlands plus Historical North & South Flatlands [Original Berkeley]

Originally the greater flatlands were defined as the farms between the town of Ocean View (West Berkeley maritime fishing) and UCB & its' railstop (UCB & Downtown), Lorin Adeline to the South, and Cedar/Westbrae/Northbrae to the North. North Berkeley flatlands run from Cedar or Virginia south to UA, from Curtis or Chestnut to MLK or Milvia (See Map B). The original North Flatlands is McGee's Farm, together with Spaulding & Hardy Tracts. South Flatlands are Dwight to Ashby/ from Sacramento or Curtis to MLK, Milvia, Shattuck or Haste. The greater flatlands are larger than the area generally described as "Central Berkeley" (Hearst to Dwight/Sacramento to MLK) (MAP B).

We would prefer our District boundaries to include all of the greater Berkeley flatlands. Our transportation main roads are University Avenue, MLK and Ashby. On the west we are bounded by Curtis or San Pablo, depending of the expansiveness included in 'flatlands' Derby or Ashby to the South, Milvia to the east (plus Milvia to Haste, Bancroft to Dwight. To a far lesser degree, are we economically or culturally tied to Shattuck, Hopkins, or Telegraph.

Development to the west, south, north and east impinged on Berkeley Flatlands and Districts took pieces from the rectangular Center, but the greater flatlands continue to share the common interests of a residential flatlands community, scrunched between the Lorin, Ocean View, UCB expansions, Southside and the streetcar subdivision developments north of Cedar.

Concentrically, smaller, Central Berkeley, west of Milvia, north no farther than Virginia or Cedar, east to either Curtis, Chestnut or Sacramento and south to Derby or Ashby would be a rectangle of flatlands residential neighbors with similar challenges from traffic, working/professional economic wealth, diverse mix of homeowner and tenant residents, and a stable cultural, racial and ethnic diversity.

Central Berkeley does not have the same commonality of interests with Downtown where the residents are now predominantly students, predominantly tenants and a commuter economic base with retail influenced by the needs of UC Berkeley, Downtown, City & Berkeley High employees and students, not by residential neighbors. Central Berkeley neighbors lost their fight to keep downtown responsive to the adjacent neighborhoods.

We do not have commonality with Downtown but do have commonality with all of the flatlands neighborhoods to the north, south and west of "Central Berkeley."

Central Berkeley/North Flatlands Core

Since City of Berkeley in 1878 from Hopkins to Blake, Bay to MLK, greater flatlands is almost all of that other than the original Ocean View, West Berkeley. The area's 25,000+ current population far exceeds one-eighth and is therefore too large to be only 1 of 8 districts.

We narrow our focus to all our too-small nuclear North Flatlands neighborhood, then extending outward to as much of our original Berkeley greater flatlands community as we can include in one contiguous district. All of McGee's Farm together with the Hardy and Spaulding Tracts [outline in solid line], are the historical farming center and then City Center where City Hall has been located for more than a hundred years. This area is bounded by Dwight, Sacramento, Milvia & Virginia – total population is too small for a District -- only 9200.

Our smallest most intense family is a subset --MAAGNA – McKinley Addison Allston Grant Neighborhood which extends a minimum of one block on either side of those streets. Residents' shared experiences--to mitigate impacts of the first City densification along University Avenue to the City's Public Safety Building development on residentially zoned property to the Trader Joe's MLK/UA project--intensified our flatlands commonality to a deeper level.

We expand to the North Flatlands family rectangle from Virginia to Derby/Sacramento to Milvia, totaling 11,850.

Commonality to the West

Since Sacramento Street is not a commercial hub of neighborhood supporting retail needing economic cohesion, it can as easily be the boundary as can a street mid-section to either side. Commonality of interest has taught us that both block faces on a main thoroughfare need to be in one district for it to be a thriving commercial neighborhood-serving retail area. In most cases, we oppose the main street as the boundary between districts.

Since we are economically and culturally tied to University Ave, we propose extension on both sides of University Ave from Sacramento further west to Chestnut Street (Hearst to Addison) to allow continuity of the expanded commonality of interest among University Ave. merchants and residents. (+1,000 residents).

The greater flatlands include Strawberry Creek which we share in common with 'Poet's Corner' and Acton neighborhood. [If District 1 does not lose northwestern population to District 5 and is able to retain Northbrae intact, then District 1 could have population overage along University Avenue, which could be added to D.4 to keep UA commonality intact]. District 4 total with UA to Chestnut added (+1000) then totals 13,000.

Further southwest in District 2, adding Addison to Dwight from Sacramento to Chestnut adds another 2150, a total of 15,150.

Commonality to the South and Southeast

After including western University Ave, west to Chestnut, an alternative is to include South Flatlands South of Dwight, to the rectangle bounded on the west by Sacramento from Addison to Derby, on the north to Hearst, on the east to Milvia (so as to include both sides of MLK) (or to Ellsworth or South Shattuck), and on the south to Derby St (to include both sides of Dwight Way), from Milvia to Bancroft.

We have commonality on the southern border of Central Berkeley with South Shattuck where residential areas also are experiencing flatlands development pressure from Downtown and UCB. The flatland blocks from Bancroft to Dwight or Derby and from Milvia to Oxford, Ellsworth or Dana, depending on whether those neighborhoods want to be split from Districts 3 or 7.

Commonality to the Southeast Commonality to the West

If less population is needed, the southeast corner would extend only to Haste, not Derby, from Ellsworth to Oxford, continuing on the east only to Milvia from Oxford to Dwight, not to Derby.

Current District 3 and Southwest District 7, share natural commonality of interest with District 4 Central Berkeley as residential neighborhoods with a mix of long term apartment tenant and homeowner housing. In total, that area of almost 4000 residents is too large to include in District 4 unless North Berkeley north of Hearst is excluded from Central but added to District 1 or 5.

Small Alternatives to Equalize the District Numbers

Adding the blocks shared with Southside from Milvia to Oxford/Bancroft to Dwight is +2000, for a Central Berkeley total of $11,850 + 2000 = 13,850$.

For at least the last two decades, District 4 has included Milvia to Ellsworth/ Bancroft to Haste (+2250). This would total 16,100.

Instead, from Milvia to Shattuck/ Haste to Derby, adds 750 and one more 4 block square from Shattuck to Oxford/ Haste to Derby adds 850, just short of the goal, at a 15,450 total.

Alternatively, extending north from Virginia to Cedar (between Sacramento and Milvia) is +1200 more, for a total of 14,650.

Our larger commonality of interests, Berkeley Flatlands, is over twice the size of a current District, so we are forced to be divided inappropriately in the current redistricting. District 4 can expand closer to its original boundaries either to the north Berkeley greater flatlands, to Acton west flatlands, or to South/Southeast flatlands, depending on the equalization between District 1, 2, 3 & 7 and 4.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

.Our community is impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council by the following:

City government is located within District 4 and within Central Berkeley flatlands, so we are impacted by City employee and resident traffic and by its construction and expansion both in terms of people and building infrastructure. Corp yard traffic to and from City Hall cuts through our local streets. Central Berkeley has the greatest density of pre-school through-high schools across the City. Many of the City's homeless services are located in Central Berkeley.

Traffic impacts result from development without active residential community engagement (more than a yellow notice is required).

Council actions on creeks impact Strawberry Creek intersecting the District. The Downtown Area has been expanded by City Council action across Milvia to MLK and from Center to University Avenue and south to Dwight with relaxed development standards. Downtown has been the focus of Council densification efforts. Flatlands neighborhoods worked together to influence City Council citywide action to mitigate those adverse impacts and advance flatlands interests including sidewalk and crosswalk routine maintenance plans, climate change action, Downtown Area development, University Avenue Plan (City's first densification), Downtown Hotel & Museum, redistricting, UCB Long Range Plans, Earthquake building retrofit requirements, disaster preparedness, BSEP, Streets & Sewer, Park & School bonds, and Civic Center Historic District.

The City redeveloped and expanded the residentially-zoned Public Safety/ Courthouse/ Old City Hall block of Central Berkeley, impacting the MAAGNA neighborhood significantly. Greater flatlands have impacts from traffic too. Instead of the promised protection of the neighborhood from traffic by barriers or half barriers, traffic circles were provided in MAAGNA and spread throughout the Flatlands. Traffic volumes and speed have moderated slightly but remain far above citywide local residential street averages and far below barrier protected neighborhoods. Neighborhood pedestrian and bicycle injury crashes also remain disproportionately high with disproportionately low neighborhood safety investment.

All City Council citywide actions impact every District, particularly Central Berkeley, as it is in the middle of the City and is impacted by most actions in every other Council District.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We all like our sunlight, our air, and our space - because we have so little of it because we are a very dense, close packed neighborhood. Sun, air and space are resources we want to protect.

We have just enough space, and we get along well and watch out for each other. This is important because we have small children, senior citizens and members of marginalized communities that have seen a lot of hatred: Muslims, Asian, and African American. We keep an eye out for our neighbors and have a history of showing up, in person to take care of mutual concerns.

We love the sound of children playing outside, and during the pandemic our outside space on Walker Street became the focus of neighborhood outdoor gatherings. These gatherings were about the only "socializing" any of us did for a year.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

We are bounded by Shattuck to the West. We refer to the area as "Walker Street" but it comprises Derby and Ward all the way past Fulton and is also called "Le Conte". Our pandemic gatherings were almost always on Walker. (We did one on Derby but the City decided to give us grief so we moved it.)

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

We feel the impact of City decisions very strongly. We are tight knit, densely packed residential neighborhood next to Shattuck. The City Council are sometimes nice to us as individuals, but they don't really seem that concerned about our concerns when it comes to their actions. We are treated as irritant, spoiling their grand schemes for Shattuck.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.


We are as dense a community as is actually sustainable and healthy. Just enough room to ride out the pandemic, just enough room for the kids to play in the street, (a cul de sac), and just enough room to have solar panels, and grow a bit of food. Small houses, coop houses, group living houses, and apartments. Nothing over two stories. We have a range of economic classes here from Uber/taxi drivers, mechanics, carpenters, retirees, teachers, engineers, artists, and on our sidewalks - plenty of unhoused people.

Our unity is surprisingly strong.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
 Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

I am writing for Berkeley Visionary Equity Summit Alliance as a member who lives in District 1. raise up the history of the historically Black community in Districts 1, 2, and 3, District 1 was a place of inclusion, tolerance, and caring when I was growing up. Youth and elders are reconnecting over what it was like then and now. We are a voices for more affordable housing and a fair and inclusive approach to development that benefits low-income residents including a right of return for those displaced or unhoused, and safe community policing.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Maintain current District 1 boundaries and relationships. Our West Berkeley community was inclusive and tolerant, a good place to raise children and grow old for people of all races. We ask you to retain the current boundaries of District 1 at least as far east as Sacramento Street, the former "color line." This includes the most concentrated and historic parts close to Albany west of San Pablo, and the later access to homes and schools we gained in the Franklin School area.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

City Council is supportive when we have a strong clear voice and tends to undermine us in other times. The Black community fought for a West Berkeley Senior Center, which had the first bilingual program in the city. The City was often trying to defund and close it. They finally succeeded after two Black city council members died. Our District is often treated as a cash cow and open for development while the wellbeing and services to residents (housed and unhoused) go neglected. We will have to organize to be heard.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

There is a deep and rich history that needs to be told about the history and accomplishments of the Black and multi-racial communities of Berkeley before this tsunami of displacement and broken families from the Crack epidemic, War on Drugs, and racialized policing, to the real estate scams and speculations took displaced so many.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

I am a 30 year old resident of historic West Berkeley (split between District 1 and 2), and a current member of the Poet's Corner Advocates for the Unhoused and the Berkeley Visionary Equity Alliance. We want an inclusive community, and are working with existing institutions like Women's Day Time Drop In Center and Youth Spirit Artworks to recognize the massive displacement of the Black and Hispanic community members. We support a variety of truly affordable housing. strategies to reintegrate, including "good neighbor" shelters, tiny home villages, and safe parking lots while more affordable housing can be built.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

For our purposes, retaining the current boundaries the District works well University, Sacramento, and Dwight Way works pretty well for our purposes. Strawberry Creek Park neighborhood with Berkeley Youth Alternatives, the park, the corp yard, Daytime Drop In Center and Strawberry Creek Lodge, Berkeley Youth Alternatives, belong in District 2. West Berkeley from south of University Avenue, to the Marina, Aquatic Park and east to Sacramento Street (the old de facto "color line" reflect. I am suprised to see the decline of West Berkeley and the Oceanview Neighborhood in favor of "South West Berkeley" and Northwest Berkeley." San Pablo Park was/is a distinctive neighborhood. The displacement of Black neighbors is striking west of San Pablo - the current census map looks scrubbed.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Too much to say; but I was very upset the Council wouldn't support the YSA Youth Village on San Pablo Avenue - and let a few ugly neighbors take down the mosts imaginative and care-full project ever. How do we stop fear mongering imagining these homeless and displaced West Berkeley young adults as buying and doing drugs,

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

There is such an amazing history to West Berkeley, especially San Pablo and west, a place of many firsts still evolving. We need more community-centered affordabe housing and cooperative communities along San Pablo and in our neighborhoods. The early death, disruption, and displacement among so many Black and Hispanic families and youth makes me very sad.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
 Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We have interests with our neighbors concerning things like fast traffic on our streets, lighting, crime, trash pick-up, water run-off when it rains, etc. We are a neighborhood. In fact, for several years, we've had a block party in the Fall on Spruce between Cedar and Virginia, even though we were put into two different districts during the last redistricting.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

We call ourselves 'Lower Spruce'. At present I live at [redacted] Spruce Street. I used to live at [redacted] Arch Street. Both dwellings are on the west side of the respective streets--Spruce and Arch. Before the last redistricting, both dwellings were in District 6.

As a result of the last redistricting, Spruce and Arch Streets were split down the middle. Those who lived on the west side of the street were put into District 4, while those who lived on the East side of the street remained in District 6. Before, when we had common problems, we could go to our District 6 representative. Now, while we may have common problems--traffic, trash pick-up, lighting for the street, we now have to go to two different District representatives, even though we have the same problem.

There were various community meetings during the last redistricting, and I remember one at the Hillside Club in which a person who lived in District 6 north of this Lower Spruce/Arch area thought that his area of Spruce Street should be in District 5. I remember that the group with which I met (I can't remember the name), submitted a request that his area of Spruce be added to District 5. If that had happened, we might have been able to remain in District 6.

District 4 does not serve our needs. It is more oriented to Berkeley businesses. I always have had good responses from District 6 and still receive their newsletter. That's where I find out what is going on in Berkeley. I asked to be put on a District 4 newsletter, but it may be they don't have one.

District 6 should continue south to Hearst and west to the east side of Oxford, instead of a little chunk being taken out and added to District 4. By the way, I found out about this form from the District 6 newsletter.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

We're basically ignored by the City/District in our little section of District 4.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Fix what you messed up last time and return us to District 6. We wanted to remain in District 6. You shouldn't split residents on an ordinary street into two different districts. District boundaries should be at large arteries, e.g., Hearst or Oxford.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: _____

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We own and live in a single family home as do all of the residents on both sides of our block and the adjacent streets. The neighborhood consists of many older, retired people like ourselves as well as young families with school age children

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Our neighborhood is roughly bordered by Cedar to the North, Hearst to the South, Oxford to the West and Euclid to the East. Currently our neighborhood is divided into at least three separate districts. We live on the south side of Virginia St and ten years ago were removed from District 6 and assigned to District 4 which is a district with a large student constituency.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

For the past ten years those of us assigned to District 4 have not felt our interests could be adequately addressed. Older homeowners and student interests do not always coincide. We would prefer to be in a district comprised of other homeowners and long term residents in our neighborhood.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Please consider boundary adjustments that would reunite us with the rest of our home owning neighbors.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Bunting, Sarah K.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, November 28, 2021 3:43 PM
To: Independent Redistricting Commission
Subject: Redistricting Request

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I have resided in what is District 8 for over 34 years. I share that to say that I have experienced changes to our voting district more than once.

I would like to ask that your committee reconsider what comprises District 8. Presently, parts of the Willard Neighborhood are outside of District 8.

The Willard neighborhood runs from Ashby to Dwight and from telegraph to College. To me it makes common sense that a given neighborhood is within one voting district.

Thank you for your consideration.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Parks. Walkways and parks are important to our community. They need to be neat, clean, well kept and most of all safe.

We want to see homeless cared for properly for and not living on the street, in tents, on park benches or in inoperable RVs or vans for days on end.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

We are on Virginia St near Cedar Rose Park and the walkway that replaced the old Southern Pacific right of way. We would consider our area to extend to the North to shops on Hopkins, West to San Pablo and East to BART and on to Shattuck

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Safety is an impact. We need safety for people walking to and from BART. When housing is built on the BART parking lot we have concerns that a high rise built over a tunnel could have stability problems like the Millenium Tower in SF. We have concern that when the high rise is being built that there will be congestion, disruption of the streets, dust, dirt and debris for a long time to come and we want to see how that can be mitigated. We have concern that homeless should be housed. We have concern that the parks be safe and clean for people to use comfortably for children and adults.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We are concerned that changes taking place will make our community less habitable, walkable, attractive and safe. Changes could encircle the area and make it less safe looking and thus attract people who could prowl and do harm. This includes the possibility of homeless housing on San Pablo and Cedar which while providing needed housing, could become poorly maintained and attract visitors who might prey on the residents and the neighborhood. RV parking at Cedar Rose Park, which makes it impossible to see into the park, and thus it's hard to tell whether it's safe for children to play there. And housing to be built at BART will cause disruption during building and become an attractant to prowlers looking for supplies they can steal. This behavior could then spread and cause an overall neighborhood decline.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Long time residents, with a mix of renters and homeowners.

Close to a small shopping village.

Walkability

Friendly, neighborly with an annual block party

Until recently, this was an affordable neighborhood with neighbors that have a variety of income levels

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Westbrae

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The Whole Foods market on Gilman St. changed the neighborhood greatly. We have noticeably more traffic on Gilman St and housing prices sky rocketed. This is no longer an affordabe neighborhood.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

I live on a block where people look out for eachother. There are some older neighbors and families with kids. When you go out of town, you can ask your neighbor to keep an eye on your house or bring in your packages.

Recently though, you are very likely to see homes selling for \$1.5M and lots of construction and renovations as houses double in size.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Aged largely middle class but not more than c. 2/3 Caucasian; but across those lines very community-centered and community active, working on local causes together and keeping in touch with others,

We've had a number of new residents arriving from the suburbs just to live in such an active and

diverse neighborhood. New residents from Kansas to Thailand to India are swiftly welcome here

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

We have been calling ourselves Walkable Westbrae, which adequately describes our growing attachment to all the food, goods and services that are close enough for us to leave our cars parked and walk for combined shopping and walking. In that sense we're a materialist, future-oriented and add to our peaceful diversity. You could stretch the boundaries from say Monterey Market to Whole Foods — tolerating non-evil corporations but totally committed to local commercial efforts — and from the Albany line a few blocks.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


In part by bureaucratic slowness In approving small obvious proposals for local approval. One simple stop sign we knew would eliminate an endless series of local accidents took three years even when there was local support. Other than that we are probably less-afflicted than other neighbors by the lower degree of routine crime and mayhem — we'll probably not be a major pest to the mayor.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

More industrial pollutants here than any other Berkeley district.

Lower income than rest of Berkeley/ greater need for social services.

Greatest number of tent/rv cities in Berkeley.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Northwest Berkeley; we tend to identify with Northwest Berkeley BART station.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

So far I don't see any positive action from the Council regarding our problems. I have visible, toxic dust entering my home every single day. The number of people living on the street, in tents, cars and rv's makes my home neighborhood a depressing place to live.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Let's start with reining in toxic pollution and helping house less persons get into motels or shelters. Please help us have a better quality of life. Please hear me. Please.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: Cathy Brown

browncat1515@gmail.com

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We are a neighborhood, linked by proximity, friendship, and our CERT group. Most of the neighbors have lived here for more than 25 years; some much longer. People know each other, look out for one another, share keys, newspapers, tools, lemons, and kid and elder equipment. The neighborhood kids go to Berkeley public schools; we're 6 blocks from Ruth Acty and 2 blocks from King.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Generally, the 1500 block of McGee and the 1700 block of Vine. Vine/McGee Neighbors.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Our taxes contribute to the City's general fund, special parcel taxes and assessments for various needs, and public safety. To the extent that the City and City Council allocate resources to maintain infrastructure and public safety, we are affected.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We love our neighborhood. It is one of the most walkable neighborhoods in town, less than a half mile from Shattuck, the North Branch of the Public Library, the Hopkins commercial corridor, and BART. The 1500 block of McGee badly needs repaving. The pavement has deteriorated to the point that the storm drains collect pebbles, dirt and bits of asphalt. The street sweeper generates huge clouds of dust; it is pointless to sweep.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important: neighborliness, taking care of our homes, crime prevention, safety, small neighborhood stores, businesses and restaurants.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Westbrae, "Gilman District", East of San Pablo, near Gilman Street

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The city is discussing removing parking along Hopkins and near BART. I am retired on fixed income and have mobility limitations.(So I can't walk far and cannot afford taxis) I tried once to take a bus to the University and no bus came on schedule. If I cannot drive places and park, I will be unable to go anywhere. Well, I guess I can drive to Richmond or El Cerrito instead of to my closer Berkeley stores. What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We need NEW 21rst century transport for the "last mile" - self driving minibuses? moving sidewalks?

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Walking to local restaurants, post office, parks, library, shops. This is extremely important, that's why we chose this area.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

North of University and east of San Pablo. People refer to this area as Poets Corner but it is not. I've seen it referred to as Curtis Tract on official city plans

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

My community is being drastically impacted by the city and the actions of the city council. Massive building projects are looming in our back yards, literally! Parking is a nightmare and the lack of parking is not going to reduce the number of cars. People are buying electric, smaller cars. Homeless folks wander up and down the street. Human feces is common. The city council is rolling over on us. I honestly think the city would prefer older residents leave the area.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

As the previous city council member said this area is a "step child". We are on the border of districts 1 and 2 and no one wants to commit to us. Curtis Street is the only street you can travel on from Dwight to Kensington and we get massive amount of traffic avoiding San Pablo. Other than Sacramento every other street between Shattuck and San Pablo is restricted in some way leaving us extremely vulnerable to impatient, inconsiderate drivers. I think this district should span University Ave. Using main arteries as dividing lines leaves people unrepresented.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Living within walking distance of North Berkeley BART. This is important because drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians have different interests. Also, our community has many members in "regular" jobs (teaching, retail, government, transportation) versus some of Berkeley where residents are uniformly in higher income jobs.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

West of Sacramento, North of University, South of Gilman

West Berkeley

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Pedestrian safety is vital in our neighborhood, because many people see it as a place to speed through on the way to the hills. We also care more about inclusive housing policies. Also, there are issues around unhoused people that impact us more directly than they impact people in more uniformly wealthy neighborhoods.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We are the place where the artists and educators in Berkeley live.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [Redacted]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

A wide range of people living here- students, elderly, families, homeowners, renters, unhoused, Black, Native American, Asian, Latino and all other groups that are not "census defined".

Access to transit, cafe's, restaurants, grocery stores, services. Walkable and bike-able neighborhood.

On street parking for residents.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Rose or Hopkins street, Shattuck Ave, University Avenue, the Marina. I don't know the name of my specific neighborhood. I live at [Redacted] 2 blocks from the BART Station.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Decisions about the BART station development are key in the coming year. Those decisions should be majorly influenced by those that will live next door to it, and not those who drive to it. Enlarging District 5 will disadvantage those of us who live next door.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Some people are writing in form letters to enlarge District 5. Please ignore form letters. Create districts that make geographic sense. On the north, Rose or Hopkins is the obvious boundary.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

██████████ ██████████

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

The parks and paths: Strawberry Creek Park + the West Street path, Ohlone Park and paths, Cedar Rose Park, plus Totland. That's where I see my neighbors (particularly during COVID), where I see kids playing, where I see people walking their dogs.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

I live in the neighborhood around the North Berkeley BART station. To me, the most central elements are North Berkeley BART, the path along West Street, Strawberry Creek Park, and Cedar Rose Park. My conception of the neighborhood extends south to Dwight (+ Homemade Cafe!) and north to Hopkins. On the west it goes at least to San Pablo Avenue, or maybe all the way to the railroad tracks (to include Rosa Parks + the Adult School and Cafe Leila and Viks -- but it doesn't include the Fourth Street shopping district). On the east it goes at least to California (Monterey Market + the softball field next to the BART station), or maybe as far as MLK Jr Way (for Totland, the rest of Ohlone Park, and King Middle School).

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

City decisions about development at North Berkeley BART, along major corridors (University, Sacramento, San Pablo, maybe MLK + the 6th St corridor).


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

The North Berkeley BART station is part of the Berkeley flats.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Living so close to the North Berkeley BART station, I see that as a focal point for the community, and something that priorities and interests are organized around. Those who walk or bike to that BART station, and are invested and impacted by it as a use, definitely feel like one economic community. One of the biggest factors for lifestyle in Berkeley is whether you live in a walkable/bikable neighborhood in the flats, or in a driving neighborhood in the hills. Those are two very distinct lifestyles and interests, and residents of each lifestyle should be able to elect councilmembers that advocate for those interests and needs.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

To me, my neighborhood stretches from Gilman/Hopkins in the north (Monterrey Market, Gioia Pizza, and the swimming pool at King Middle being key parts of my community), San Pablo to the west (Casa Latina, Cafe Leila, and Acme being core parts of what I access), MLK to the east (Ohlone Park is important to me), and Allston to the south (I take Allston to get to Aquatic Park and Cesar Chavez park). I think of the BART station as a central organizing feature of my neighborhood, as well as the Ohlone Greenway.

Urban Adamah and the Gilman Corridor is also an important part of my community, and something I access often.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Given the planning around the BART housing project, the city's planning on that project is hugely impactful to my neighborhood. Bike and pedestrian access is also impactful, given the prominence of the Ohlone Greenway, as well as the relative walkability (or not) of various parts of my community (very easy to get to Gilman/Hopkins, less easy/pedestrian friendly to get to San Pablo).


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

I truly believe how you get around is a distinct marker in distinguishing one community from another. A primarily car-oriented community and neighborhood has vastly different needs and priorities than a primarily walking and biking-oriented neighborhood. My neighbors and I walk and bike regularly, and choose the flats with many walkable and bikable amenities on purpose. That is a key element of something that makes us a neighborhood. My nextdoor neighbors walk their daughters to school every day. My roommates and I bike to the grocery store. My neighbors and I use BART, the Ohlone Greenway, and the Bike Blvds on California, Milvia, and 9th to get where we need to go, and we chose this place to live specifically so we could do that. Mobility is a core issue when determining a community, and that should be taken into account when drawing district maps.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

The North Berkeley Bart station is the single biggest defining feature of my neighborhood, and the landmark used to convey the neighborhood within a 15-20 minutes walk of the station.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

The area is described as the "neighborhood around the North Berkeley Bart station", or just "North Berkeley Bart". Because the station is named "North Berkeley", many people around here use that name to refer to the area around the station rather than the wider part of Berkeley towards Hopkins and Gilman. The use of this station version of the name usually refers to the area between San Pablo Ave, Martin Luther King, Hopkins and University.

Because the Ohlone Greenway (and Ohlone Park) transport people by foot and bike to the Bart station, many Bart commuters who use the station consider themselves affiliated with "North Berkeley" by virtue of the station they use.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The City's investment and upkeep of the bike, transit and pedestrian infrastructure leading in all directions from the North Berkeley Station affects our community's daily commutes to the station. The quality of that infrastructure is experienced twice daily by the thousands of people who move on foot to the station.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

It's very important to our neighborhood's identity that the area around the Bart station not be split into different districts. The walkshed/bikeshed around the station has build that community identity. Those who walk and bike to the station see the same people people (our neighbors who walk and bike) daily, exchange news and create community. Because of the Ohlone Greenway in particular, which acts as a feeder from the blocks to the north, there is a strong culture of people who walk or bike to transit. Many people I know moved to this neighborhood in order to drive less. This an "eco neighborhood" of people making transportation choices in line with their sustainability objectives.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

One extremely important common interest in my community is that we have common means of transportation, most notably the ability to walk to North Berkeley BART and bike to various places. I believe that when developing the council districts, North Berkeley BART and ones proximity to it should be considered a factor.

Another common interest in my community is that we live near Cedar Market and Monterey Market/Magninis etc. Another example of community is the night that my community puts out lights for our annual Luminaria night (Christmas eve) - people from all over the city come to walk the streets in this community that are bounded by MLK, University, Rose and Sacramento (or North Berkeley BART) to enjoy the luminaria's and the community that we have built over the years.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

I consider my community to be North Berkeley BART East. The boundaries are Berkeley BART to Rose Street to MLK to University.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

It is very important that this community and its interests/concerns be recognized and that the city makes sure that this community stays together. Please do not separate the neighborhoods between University, MLK, Sacramento and Rose. Again, because of the ability to walk to North Berkeley BART and because of current events concerning North Berkeley BART I firmly believe that when developing the council districts, BART and ones proximity to it should be considered a factor.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

My community and its concerns/interests are unique from that of the Berkeley Hills/Thousand Oaks neighborhoods. It is important to NOT lump us in with the Berkeley Hills or Thousands Oaks neighborhoods.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor




Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.



Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Many people chose to live in this neighborhood because of the walkable streets and proximity to buses and the North Berkeley BART station (NBB). We enjoy walking or biking to places and patronizing local businesses. Our neighborhood has a grid, not wavy switchback style streets seen in the Berkeley Hills.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

The attached map shows the area around North Berkeley BART where one can walk to the station from their home in less than 10 minutes. Residents living in that area are most affected by regional usage of BART and zoning changes there. Because of the grid, the walkable area is more of a diamond shape than a square.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The zoning of the North Berkeley BART station is a major area that affects us, as well as general plans of the Bike, Paving, and Climate Action variety. The effort to update the Housing Element will affect us as the North Berkeley BART area is a high opportunity area that is largely zoned for single family homes.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Judging by the size of the North Berkeley BART station parking lot, there was an idea sometime in the past to make this neighborhood more suburban, but when you spend a good amount of time here you see people using the streets with anything but cars. There's also an unusually high amount of working and retired architects, planners, and urbanists concentrated here now. We chose this place because of its urban qualities, not the suburban ones.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** **BERKELEY**

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [Redacted] [Redacted]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Our community is WALKABLE! We walk to run errands, go to school and work, visit each other and exercise our dogs and ourselves. Most importantly, we can walk to the North Berkeley BART station, which is why we chose to purchase our home 28 years ago.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Sacramento and the North Berkeley BART station to the east, Ohlone Greenway to the south, MLK to the east and Rose to the north.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Pavement quality, street-sweeping, disaster preparedness and development of the North Berkeley BART station.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

This is a world-class neighborhood! To be able to learn, shop and exercise in a lovely, tree-filled, architecturally interesting area that is such close walking distance to a transit system that can whisk us to work, across the Bay and to two international airports is a privilege.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [REDACTED]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4 1704 Vine Street
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

The common interests of my community are the many different interests that are shared by the wonderfully different people who live nearby. For many it's books. For others it's gardening. We spend a lot of time in political discussions. We spend even more time discussing most anything. But the underlying glue that holds us together and inspires us is the joy of engaging with our neighbors during our walks in our community. As a result, "an easy walking distance" limits the size of our community. And having somewhere there's a reason to walk to in our community gives walking a purpose. Our two most popular walking destinations are the North Berkeley BART station and the neighborhood commercial center at the Hopkins/California/Monterey intersection.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

The "community" in which I reside encompasses more than the few adjacent blocks that surround the block on which I live. But my community is smaller than the many neighborhoods often referred to as "North Berkeley." I've heard it called "Cow Hollow," perhaps because the area was occupied by dairy farms before it was subdivided over a century ago. Our community is bordered on the north by its upscale neighbor, Northbrae, on the east by the wide commercial corridor of Shattuck Avenue, on the east by the Ohlone Greenway with a little bump to University Avenue along Sacramento Street and on the west by San Pablo Avenue. Everywhere within our community is within walking distance of it hub, the North Berkeley BART station.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Through its political, planning and legal actions the institution known as the "City of Berkeley" can inspire and facilitate the transformation of North Berkeley BART's suburban style commuter rail station and surrounding sea of surface parking lots into an attractive and vibrant mixed-housing and community-serving neighborhood center.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

When my wife and I decided to buy our particular little starter house on Vine Street 44 years ago, we did so in large part because we were charmed by the character and the then affordability of the "Baja Vine Street" neighborhood and because both of us worked in San Francisco. The easy commute on BART accommodated both our professional careers and our love affair with Berkeley. Both of us are now retired. Allowing many more people to share the opportunity we had is both just and will make our community even better than it is now.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
 Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
 District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

A walkable neighborhood with safe bike and pedestrian access to everyday needs (e.g. Ashby BART, Berkeley Bowl, UC Berkeley, libraries, parks and trails, commercial districts at Elmwood or Rockridge or downtown Berk).

A diverse, friendly and caring community of neighbors who support each other with health, housing, food, gardening and pet care.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Halcyon community centered on Halcyon Park with strong borders at Ashby and Telegraph (due to intense traffic, dangerous crossings), Woolsey (due to Oakland city boundary), and Ashby BART at Adeline. We feel connected to Ashby BART. We feel more connected to our neighbors to the north because we visit and traverse through the neighborhood often (to Berkeley Bowl, UC Berkeley). We feel less connected to neighborhoods in the Berkeley hills, that seems more exclusive and white and car-oriented.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Most impacted by (1) dangerous pedestrian and bike crossings at Ashby and Telegraph, (2) lack of bike infrastructure throughout the city, and (3) Ashby BART planning.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Pulling our sliver of neighborhood into District 8 is not a good fit unless we are joined by LeConte neighborhood (blue map).

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Mainly small, residential neighborhoods with middle-age and older residents, of middle income, primarily college educated, mainly English speaking. Share transportation nearby, of buses and BART.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

South Berkeley. Near University in the north, Dwight in the south, Sacramento to the west. McGee Spaulding neighborhood is the name of this community.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


We are an active area and pay close attention to the actions of the City and the City Council. We are hoping that District 4 will remain, and thus "vote" for either the orange or amber district maps.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

[Redacted Name] [Redacted Email]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

We have a lower average income, more diversity, and generally less clout with respect to local politics compared with the people who live in the hills.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

From 3 blocks East of San Pablo to the Bay

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

More outreach time is required for our area compared to the hills because people have less leisure time for political involvement and somewhat less confidence in local government.

Environmental impact of businesses need to be constantly addressed. This necessarily consumes a lot of councilperson(s) time. That's why we need two.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We need two different councilpersons like or much like we have now.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [REDACTED]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1
 District 2
 District 3
 District 4
 District 5
 District 6
 District 7
 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

My Community of Interest is South Berkeley: District 3. A member of my family lived in South Berkeley. I visited and became part of the community. I made my way back and have been a part of the South Berkeley neighborhood, workforce and services for over 13 years. I could only find housing in the North of Berkeley, but I am working hard to get back home.

Like all of Berkeley, as portrayed in Berkeleyvisit tourist comment, we represent the beauty of diversity in all our districts. District 3's cultural and historical ethnic diversity and traditions are a rich contribution to Berkeley's appeal to all. I agree with the history of Berkeley's democratic process, that all districts should be afforded the basic right to choose what cultural, historical diversity in which they identify.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

District 3's boundaries that if redlined, will sever a cultural diverse distinctness for generations for South Berkeley. A ethnic flavor that has contributed to the appeal to residents and tourists of Berkeley.

It should be left intact from east to west district limits from San Pablo Avenue to Telegraph Avenue; and south to north boundaries limits(Alcatraz Ave to Dwight Way). There are funding projects and investments that South Berkeley's long-time residents and neighborhood improvement nonprofits have in place based on the current district mapping.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

The City Council I was told is independent of the redistricting process. They hired an independent consultant to propose how the population by the census could be better served. The Independent Redistricting Commissions' actions seemed to be conflicted. They excluded the chair of the CAG (Citizens' Advisory Group) and they only got impact responses of the South Berkeley community form select groups they let know about the community input for their mapping proposals (above Shattuck to Telegraph). They eliminated and redistricted those areas without the input of the whole South Berkeley community. Only a small population and not the population that they impacted (BART station dedicated riders, Phillips AME, Ed Roberts Campus, Black Healthy Families, Lifelong Medical Over 60 campus, Inner City Services (computer training for at-risk youth) Berkeley Drop in (Wellness, housing and stability services).

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We, South Berkeley neighbors and associations, are developing our home so all (those of the long-time residents presentation) and those who were displaced can live well. To choose and have access to what they identify as their heritage. South Berkeley for nearly a century have had leaders that benefited all of Berkeley. Our families have been disrupted by eminent domain, predatory loans, high rental and homeownership rates by corporate, penal profit, high mortality rates and extreme inhumane conditions that caused life-threatening physical and mental health conditions. Yet, we rallied together to make South Berkeley better. Now, here we go again; there is a so-called "independent" redistricting strategy to erase generations of the richness of the African-American cuisine, culture, tradition and presence concentrated in the district or township we called home.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:



Email:


redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email: [Redacted] [Redacted]

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1
 District 2
 District 3
 District 4
 District 5
 District 6
 District 7
 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Historically redlined, recently gentrifying. Pressure on housing, people who grew up here can't afford to stay here (like Mildred Howard).
 Newer Americans also settle here esp in cheaper & section 8 apartments-this has been the case for the whole brief history of this city
 -Little India around lower University Ave.
 -Unstably housed folks camp around Aquatic Park.
 -Orthodox Jews live here to be within the "eruv" (zone in which they are permitted to walk to 2 synagogues; eruv is delimited by the rabbis), but because it's Berkeley these are socially progressive Jews.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

HOLC maps from 1940s show redlined boundaries.
 Newer Americans reside between Sacramento-Sixth-University-Oakland border.
 Little India clusters within lower University-Sacramento-Dwight-San Pablo.
 Unstably housed—Aquatic Park
 Poorer folks, newer Americans—large older apartment complexes esp which allow Section 8
 Eruv for Observant Jews—Ask Rabbis of Netivot Shalom and Beth Israel for a map of the eruv boundaries

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

If districts break up voting blocks, people can lose their say.
 If business-zoned areas are overconcentrated in one or two districts, the residents of those districts bear the burden of any traffic, parking, crime, environmental impacts (like toxic waste in air) associated with businesses
 If some districts have few residents but other districts have many residents, but each district has one council rep, people in smaller zones are overrepresented (like in US Senate now).
 It looks like the Amber map best addresses those concerns.


What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Berkeley has a history of and reputation for progressive politics; would be a shame to lose that.
 Berkeley has been a lovely place with lots of greenery (yards, trees, parks) and views of the hills and the Bay--would be a shame to see it all go concrete and sky high.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



INDEPENDENT
 REDISTRICTING
 COMMISSION
 BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
 Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Common goals to keep our community safe and help people in need especially older folks, environmentally conscious, community clean ups especially Strawberry Creek Park, neighbors look out for one another.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Poets Corner

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

Very much so with all the development and construction on San Pablo, traffic congestion, parking availability, increase in crime and homelessness, lack of enforcement of codes.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Two representatives are needed to represent West Berkeley to address citizens concerns with all the development, homeless issues, the industrial district, the marina, Aquatic Park, etc and of course homeowners and increase in renters that will house these developments. Poets Corner should remain in district 2. There should be fair representation in West Berkeley. Looking at the maps what makes a majority of UC students who live in Berkeley for 2 to 4 years get more representation in some of the maps?

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION
BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Public safety, traffic and walkability to stores near by. The walking nature of our community is a real core to what I see. We all are connected and visible to each other on a daily basis.

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?

neighbors that are east of San Pablo Avenue. Our common thread is that we are mostly small homes and families that range across "the flatlands" of Berkeley. I consider our community to be from Albany in the north to roughly Univesity to the south and Sacramento to the east. The similarities, connections and boundaries of streets really define this area. And, I should add the type of use, residential single family with a few in-fill apartment buildings and ADUs.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?


Well, it's more the lack of actions. Street repair, traffic and speed of cars has an impact. However with the redistricting that's occuring it's really obvious that unifying West Berkeley as ONE district in district #2 and making DIstrict #1 a single district spanning the residential neighborhoods above San Pable to Dwight Way makes so much more rational sense when it comes to "common interests and issues".

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

We are a mix of young families, kids, retired people and some single folks. Families tend to dominate. We all look out for each other as much as we can.

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or visit our webpage at www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/.



Submit this form by:



Email: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: Call (510) 981-6908 to make an appointment.



Mail: City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

As the elected External Affairs Vice President in UC Berkeley's Graduate Assembly, I represent the interests of 13,000 graduate and professional students at UC Berkeley.

Our community is incredibly diverse. We share two primary conditions:

- Student status at UC Berkeley
- Limited income, often extremely low income (average graduate student salary is approximately \$25,000 per year), which produces housing and food insecurity and educational challenges

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries?
Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

Graduate students live in every one of Berkeley's districts.

Many of Berkeley's districts favor homeowners. Graduate students almost never own homes. Redistricting that increases the equitable voting power of renters is super important to my constituency.

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

A full 25% of UC Berkeley graduate students have been housing-insecure in the past 6 months. City Council actions have typically exacerbated student housing insecurity.


Also, most graduate students commute to campus with methods of transportation that don't involve cars - walking, biking, busing, BARTing, etc. City of Berkeley decisions on mobility infrastructure (especially street crossing and bikes lanes) impact graduate student safety, quality of life, and educational/workplace access.

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Submit this form by:



Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Friday, February 25, 2022

To: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Subject: UC Berkeley Graduate Assembly Recommends Postponing Feb 28th meeting

Honorable Commissioners,

I represent the 13,000 graduate and professional degree students at the University of California, Berkeley as the External Affairs Vice President for the Graduate Assembly. UC Berkeley graduate students live in and contribute to every district across Berkeley.

For a variety of CVRA considerations, the Graduate Assembly opposes both amber and violet maps in their current forms. Berkeley is a city proud of its equity and inclusivity. Both equity and inclusivity are at the core of CVRA's legislative intent for a protected class "to elect candidates of its choice." More maps will serve CVRA's charge than the amber and violet maps discussed currently.

Ahead of your deliberations, I recommend postponing your meeting scheduled for February 28th and scheduling additional meetings in March. The longer timeframe will enable more stakeholder input, including graduate student input, into new or modified maps. We are hopeful the commission agendas maps submitted by the public to discuss at the Feb 28th meeting and subsequent meetings.

Thanks!

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the sender.

External Affairs Vice President
UC Berkeley Graduate Assembly

"Submitted Maps" refer to those created by the public and submitted to the Cx

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
1	7/19/2021	7	Raina Zhao on behalf of ASUC	The UC Berkeley student body is interested in housing development and affordability, safety around campus, police conduct, sustainability, and transit in the City of Berkeley. This list is not exhaustive; students are interested in all issues pertinent to their educational experience and life as Berkeley residents.	District 7, south of UC Berkeley campus. Majority of students, particularly undergraduates, live within 1 mile of campus. Many students also live in Districts 4, 6, and 8.	2490 Channing Way, 94704		STUDENT REPRESENTATION - HOUSING; SAFETY; PUBLIC TRANSIT	NO	YES	YES, STUDENTS SHOULD BE GROUPEd TOGETHER	Incorporated into map making process, but irreconcilable with City Charter requirements, criteria by the IRC, and/or other COIs	
2	7/20/2021	2	Joanna Louie	Poor quality roads filled with pot holes/bumps/ cracks/ uneven pavement; outdated as in over 100 years old electrical infrastructure; crime; pollution from Ashby and San Pablo Ave.; pedestrian friendly ways to cross San Pablo Ave	South west Berkeley	2995 San Pablo Ave, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD EQUITY; CRIME/SAFETY	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
3	7/20/2021	5	B. Yoder	I would like to be in District 1 again, where I was for many years before the lines were redrawn. There's a line right down the middle of Ada Street. So I have a different representative than the folks across the street, and I lost my voting place. Our neighborhood, Ada Street between Ordway and Acton, has an active mailing list and participates in National Night Out Against Crime. I organize the gatherings, and 98% of the people on our list are in District 1. My representative will never come to our National Night Out gatherings because only our side of the street is in her district, so I effectively have no representation. It's one block, it belongs in District 1, not District 5. And if you wanted to be really effective, you'd also include Ada between Acton and Sacramento in District 1. Everyone in that block lives directly across the street from Ruth Acton Elementary School and Crowden Music School, which	Ada Street between Ordway and Acton. Ada between Acton and Sacramento, folks on Acton and on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, a few folks on Hopkins just below and just above Orway.	1400 Ada St, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS / SPLIT; CRIME/SAFETY	NO	YES	YES, RETURN TO D1 - by keeping Ada Street between Ordway and Acton in D1	Included in Amber map	
4	7/20/2021	5	Margot Dashiell	It is helpful when neighbors in close proximity are represented by the same office holder. Several years ago Ada street was split down the middle, with the south side staying in District 1 as it always had been and the Northside parceled out to District 5. As a District 5 member, I have no polling place, and for neighborhood issues, my side of the street must reach out to different city council people. This disadvantages us as we cannot present a unified voice on important concerns.	Ada street	1400 Ada St, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS / SPLIT	NO	YES	YES, RETURN TO D1 - by keeping Ada Street between Ordway and Acton in D1 (not D5)	Included in Amber map	
5	7/21/2021	5	Joe Berry	Unfortunately, as a result of past racist zoning and other exclusions, this is a largely single family home community that is now only affordable by very well-off people or those who inherit homes here. The result is a split in perceived interests within the Community between those who want to see change in the direction of more economic, racial and housing-type diversity and those who want to preserve the current overwhelmingly white, single family home, wealthy character for property value and other reasons. There is active debate at this time and one result is contested elections in the past 3 elections for District 5 council member. One key issue is resistance to affordable housing in the area. Another is that a high % of the newer younger folks (many also richer) in the neighborhood no longer send their kids to BPS but rather to private schools. Some of this comes from both resistance to having their kids mix with poor kids and/or Black and brown kids. This is a change for decades	Lower hills, near Marin/Arlington Circle.	2100 Marin Ave, 94707		AFFORDABLE HOUSING; HOUSING EQUITY; SOCIOECONOMIC DIVERSITY; HISTORIC REDLINING	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
6	7/21/2021	5	John	Gardening, art, music, food, being outdoors, all ways for our neighbors to share and connect with one another. Our community was split by the last redistricting, putting the north side of Ada Street in District 5. This has made organizing events and dealing with issues like street paving and requesting crosswalks more challenging. We would love to have both sides of Ada in the same district again	Ada Street between Ordway and Acton.	1400 Ada St, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS / SPLIT; HOUSING AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY FOR ELDERLY	NO	YES	YES, RETURN TO D1 - Ada Street between Ordway and Acton in D1 (not D5)	Included in Amber map	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
7	7/27/2021	1	Prateek Halder	<p>*High quality public schools is No. 1 on the list for everyone I know. That will enable the everything else.</p> <p>*Development at North Berkeley Bart: We want to ensure an equitable, beautiful, and vibrant mixed-use area that is safe and convenient for residents.</p> <p>*Most importantly, we need to increase affordable housing stock to enable our residents to thrive.</p> <p>*Maintaining / improving the vibrancy of the Hopkins and Gilman shopping / restaurants.</p> <p>*Creation of usable bike lanes, and the renewal of the marina and waterfront areas. These areas are declining and need help.</p> <p>We are neighbors that look after each other. During the pandemic, we've cordoned off our streets to allow our kids to play and flourish. We care deeply about the quality of life here, and wish all of Berkeley has safe and clean spaces to gather. Although I live in North Berkeley, I can see the greatest upside on the west side of town. We've got great potential in in the waterfront / marina. Tons of mixed use areas that can foster innovative business and sustain a vibrant, diverse community. But it's stifled by homelessness and lack of affordable housing. The waterfront is a diamond in the rough. We need to invest in that area to ensure the</p>	Bound by Hopkins Street on the north, Sacramento on the west (or San Pablo) MLK on the east, and Cedar on the south.	1359 Rose St, 94702		HOUSING EQUITY; HOUSING DEVELOPMENT; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; BUSINESS DISTRICTS SUPPORT; MULTIMODAL TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; HOMELESSNESS; MIXED USE	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
8	7/28/2021	5	1546 Milvia	<p>We were gerrymandered out of District 4 (Arreguin) into Capitelli's district so his chosen Stephen Murphy could run against Sophie Hahn. It split the 2 sides of our block which we used to hold block parties--closing the street. Stephen Murphy is around the corner from Milvia and Ceder. a few houses down Ceder. Sophie won, Capitelli lost for Mayor. I would like to return to District 4 with Kate whose home is a few blocks from mine while Hahn relates more to Solano</p>	Milvia at District 4/5 - split the 2 sides of the block and put in District 5	1450 Milvia St, 94709		COUNCILMEMBER RESIDENCY; NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS / SPLIT	NO	YES	YES, RETURN TO D4 - BOUNDARIES SHOULDN'T BE DRAWN BASED ON COUNCILMEMBER RESIDENCY	Included in Amber map	
9	7/28/2021	None/N	Anonymous	<p>Helping each other- sharing tools, offering rides, celebrating wins, informing each other about noisy construction, or house repairs</p>	Tilden Park to the east and south, grizzly peak to the west and Cragmont to the north	50 Whitaker Ave, 94708	(Unclear geographic location. Selected Grizzly Peak Park address.)	NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
10	7/30/2021	8	Vincent Casalaina	<p>The Willard neighborhood [...] seek[s] a reduction in crime and maintaining the character of the neighborhood - largely single family houses or single family houses with ADU's.</p>	Willard neighborhood. Telegraph/Parker & College/Ashby.	2730 Hillegass Ave, 94705		SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING; IMPROVED RESOURCE/SERVICE EQUITY; PUBLIC TRANSIT; CRIME/SAFETY	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
11	8/16/2021	5	Anonymous (kktompkins@gmail.com)	<p>Beautification, Solano Ave corridor development, property crime</p>	Far north Berkeley adjacent to Solano Ave to Albany border in the west.	1559 Solano Ave, 94707		NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION; CRIME/SAFETY	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
12	8/20/2021	2	Anonymous	<p>Our portion of the neighborhood is working families, many with kids, many I see walking to school each day as we set out to leave too. Living near the school has its benefits, but our District has its drawbacks too. As we walk to school each day we pass sidewalks littered with trash. Not just someone's belongings strewn about, but literal trash and garbage. A few times we have passed broken glass, discarded syringes, and tragically a dead cat that looked as if it had been "tampered" with. While there is sympathy for those on hard times, this is not something children should have to pass on their way to school. Not because it hurts their precious eyes, but because it hurts their souls and it hurts our communities. There is absolutely no compassion in allowing this to continue.</p>	Fourth & Fifth, from Dwight to Addison	800 Bancroft Way, 94710		CLEANLINESS; SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS; NEIGHBORHOOD EQUITY / SERVICES	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
13	8/26/2021	None/N	Anonymous	<p>clean air, affordable low density housing, transportation networks that dont smash thru our neighborhoods, slow streets, public safety, litter and street trash, childcare, parks, trees, community green space rapidly changing but with many long term committed residents. long history of waves of communities. home to last of Berkeley's industry and many jobs. need protection</p>	north west berkeley - west of San Pablo to University	1529 Sixth St, 94710		NEIGHBORHOOD EQUITY/SERVICES; INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY/ DEVELOPMENT/POLLUTION; HOMELESSNESS; CLEANLINESS; HOUSING DEVELOPMENT	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
14	8/26/2021	1	nan@essentialbusinessbehaviors.com	<p>Families, safety, community</p>	North Berkeley BART, Adult school on Virginia, Rose St. on other side of Cedar	1201 Virginia St, 94702		PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; HOMELESSNESS; HOUSING DENSITY	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
15	9/12/2021	3	Anonymous	<p>D3 is more racially mixed than North or Central Berkeley</p>	Corner of Parker and McGee	1700 Parker St, 94703		RACIAL DIVERSITY; PROPERTY VALUES	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
16	9/12/2021	None/N	Anonymous	International Coastal Clean-up month; Disaster Relief Committees; Food/beverage committee; Clean-up committee	B/W West Berkeley and North	1720 Eighth St, 94710	Changed it to James Kenney (Unclear geographic location. Picked an intersection in Northwest Berkeley neighborhood for	AFFORDABILITY; PARKING; INCLUSION	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
17	9/14/2021	6	Anonymous	Context (scale & mix), distant views, especially of the bay and the coastal hills; mainly a residential area with single-family homes, many with secondary units, typically with backyards and gardens; could see a mix of smaller vehicles and better transit, but it needs to be phased in, grandfathering older residents who depend on cars. Streets could be rethought. Filling every backyard with an ADU or building out single-family sites would be a mistake, but a thoughtful mix would be fine. Same comment about the Shattuck corridor - do't overload it. Some density but not a	Oxford Street and east. Odd situation where three districts overlap and near neighbors are represented by Hahn, Harrison, and Wengraf, whose districts differ substantially. District 6 should take in the north Shattuck corridor. We are closer to Thousand Oaks (Hahn) than the west side of Shattuck (Harrison) in interests, I sense.	1600 Oxford St, 94709		HOMEOWNERSHIP; VIEW PRESERVATION; DISTRICT BOUNDARIES (5&6); SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING; PUBLIC TRANSIT; PHASING IN CHANGES	NO	YES	YES, EXPAND BOUNDARIES FOR D6 TO TAKE IN N. SHATTUCK CORRIDOR	Included in Amber map	
18	9/23/2021	2	Veronica	Latinos with long history of home ownership and multiple generation households We have been discriminated against by the city in the allocation of resources for public swimming, again in favor of the more affluent/white communities of Berkeley. All of the good public swim and lap swimming hours are designated to King pool, with scant public transportation there and even worse parking. West Campus pool is allocated hours in the morning and during work. Evening and weekend hours go to King. We have had all of the traffic mitigation push traffic (and related pollution) to our neighborhood with little if any effort made to control traffic (speed and amount) on 6th St. Our houses, property, bicycles, outdoor toys and sadly our lungs are impacted by the constant pollution from trucks and other traffic pushed	5th street and San Pablo, between University and Dwight	920 Allston Way, 94710		SERVICE ALLOCATION; RACIAL EQUITY; HISTORICALLY LATINO COMMUNITY; RESOURCE EQUITY; MULTIGENERATIONAL LIVING; HOMEOWNERSHIP; AIR POLLUTION	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
19	9/27/2021	2	Sheryl	public safety, education, beautification (highly interpretative) - however, this district is currently a diverse group of people. I think this is the goal for a district. Why would you only consider putting like-minded folks in the same district? What happens to the population of residents who A) do not fill out online forms, B) do not participate in community input like this, C) do not have the resources or bandwidth to help create and support their district?	San Pablo Park neighborhood, West Berkeley, Left Bank are all names used for D2	2501 San Pablo Ave, 94702		HOMELESSNESS; INDUSTRIAL POLLUTION; DIVERSITY; SAFETY	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
20	9/28/2021	2	Ms. Ty	Crime reduction, clean streets (eliminate illegal dumping), affordable housing	South Berkeley	3100 Adeline St, 94703	(Unclear geographic location. Selected park near Sacramento and Fairview)	ILLEGAL DUMPING; CRIME; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; DIVERSITY	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
21	9/28/2021	3	Ayanna Davis	Berkeley Black Community, State of Black Berkeley	My community of interest is South West Berkeley beginning at Cedar and 4th Street and ending at 62nd and Adeline. West Berkeley, South Berkeley, Loren District	2546 Tenth St, 94710	(Large geographic location. Selected an address central to the described area)	HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY; HOUSING/RESOURCE EQUITY; POVERTY; FOOD INSECURITY; HEALTH/ECONOMIC EQUITY; RESOURCE EQUITY	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D3 - HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY	Included in Amber map	
22	9/29/2021	1	James	Public safety (homelessness/mentally ill people)	Gourmet Ghetto	1549 Shattuck Ave, 94709	(Unclear geographic area. Selected address in North Shattuck neighborhood)	PUBLIC SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS; MENTAL ILLNESS	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
23	9/29/2021	3	Anonymous	diversity in ppl and architecture. nice flat and walkable, close to SF, Oakland, easy access It's sad to see the split between North and South - hills/north getting city resources, moving along service-resistant homeless and letting then fester on the streets in South Berkeley, N.O.A.K	South Berkeley	3075 Adeline St, 94703	(Unclear geographic area. Selected address near streets named in COI form.)	HOMELESSNESS; CRIME; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; RESOURCE EQUITY; DIVERSITY; WALKABILITY	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
24	10/2/2021	4	Anonymous	Creating a safe neighborhood for my family. This would be a neighborhood that is walkable and bike friendly. I want the city to stop prioritizing cars with high speed limits and lack of traffic signals late at night. I want the city to prioritize the people who live in Berkeley rather than the homeless who litter our streets. I'd also like the city to stand up to UC Berkeley and their take over of our town	Central Berkeley between Sacramento and downtown.	2246 McGee Ave, 94703		PUBLIC SAFETY; MULTI-MODAL TRANSPORTATION; HOMELESSNESS; UC BERKELEY RELATIONSHIP	NO	NO	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
25	10/8/2021	5	north Shattuck	Environment, trees, city upkeep, art, ease of shopping, parking, good food, lovely parks, socializing, access to BART. North Shattuck is populated by people who care about Berkeley, the community, the environment, and who respect good planning and good city services	Marin Circle to University Avenue, from Grizzly Peak to Sacramento streets. Name provided: North Shattuck	1444 Shattuck Pl, 94709	Changed the pin to the Safeway in North Berkeley	PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION; FIRE SAFETY/EVACUATION; ROADWAY CONDITIONS; HOMELESSNESS; HILLS-SPECIFIC CONCERNS	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
26	10/16/2021	8	Elizabeth	None provided.	Elmwood District	2703 Stuart Street, 94705		None provided.	NO	YES	YES, SEE MAP	Included in Amber map	
27	10/16/2021	2	Ben Gardella	Strawberry Creek Park is an absolute focal point for our neighborhood (Poet's Corner) I am concerned that redistricting could split up this community. We all should remain in District 2	Alston, Sacramento, Sacramento and Dwight Street Name provided: Poet's Corner	1314 Bancroft Way, 94702		COI NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY; PARK/RECREATION	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 - POET'S CORNER / STRAWBERRY CREEK	Included in Amber map	
28	10/16/2021	2	Heather Clauge	We have a very sweet community formed around strawberry creek with active family involvement	University to Dwight, Sacramento to San Pablo Name provided: Poet's Corner	1298 Bancroft Way, 94702		PARK/RECREATION; HOMELESSNESS	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 - POET'S CORNER / STRAWBERRY CREEK	Included in Amber map	
29	10/17/2021	2	Douglas Smith	Families raising young children, retirees and elders aging in place, multigenerational housing--all of whom patronize the businesses along the San Pablo and University corridors and make use of primary parks like San Pablo Park, Strawberry Creek Park & Aquatic Park. Neighbors band together to monitor safety & crime, pedestrian/bike safety, working closely with our new Councilmember Taplin. There is a cohesive atmosphere which underscores a sense of this being a true community of individuals, looking out for each other. Having Rosa Parks and now Oxford School within our community improves the street life in a wonderful way, with many kids and parents present both on foot and bicycle. The CoB's investments in both Strawberry Creek & San Pablo Parks have enhanced these existing gathering places, and to see how speedily neighbors have flocked to these green	South to San Pablo Park, the 9th Street Bike Boulevard to the west, north to University Avenue, and east to Sacramento Street. University Avenue does seem to be a true dividing line and an appropriate boundary between D2 and D1; somehow San Pablo does not divide the Community. Name provided: Poet's Corner	1312 Bancroft Way, 94702		MULTIGENERATIONAL HOUSING; PARKS/RECREATION; CRIME/SAFETY; COHESIVE COMMUNITY; BUSINESSES	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 - POET'S CORNER / STRAWBERRY CREEK	Included in Amber map	
30	10/17/2021	1	Anonymous	Preserving residential character of neighborhood for livability. Safety of residents (crime prevention and optimal traffic/pedestrian flow). It's stereotyped as bourgeoisie and white but I looked up the demographics - it's pretty diverse despite all the PC rhetoric about redlining	San Pablo to the west, University Avenue to the South; Shattuck to the East; and Vine to the north. Name provided: Northbrae	1619 Edith St, 94703		LOW-DENSITY HOUSING; TRAFFIC; CRIME/SAFETY; NEIGHBORHOOD LIVABILITY; ZONING; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; HOMEOWNERSHIP	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
31	10/18/2021	2	Anonymous	commitment to Family, school, community events, shared political affiliations, diverse cultures, mixed low and middle income housing and proximity to shopping. We enjoy our Great walking and biking score!The quality of all of these elements create the environment that makes living in Berkeley worth it. We pay high taxes, high rent and high housing costs to live in an area that is noisy and a bit crowded, but we look out for each other and appreciate	From the Bay to Sacramento Street; from University to Bancroft. Connected to neighbors, particularly on Byron Street and Cowper. Name provided: Poet's Corner	2228 San Pablo Ave, 94702		TRAFFIC CONTROL; ROAD CONDITIONS; DENSITY; PARKS/RECREATION; SMALL BUSINESSES; MIXED DEVELOPMENT; HOUSING DEVELOPMENT; WALKABILITY; DIVERSITY	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 - POET'S CORNER / STRAWBERRY CREEK	Included in Amber map	
32	10/18/2021	2	Ariel Smith-Iyer	Appreciation for diversity; common interest in continuing to be a place for all in the neighborhood. Strawberry Creek Park is an important community meeting place; area surrounding the park, Corp Yard, and bowling green vacant lot should remain together to collectively decide the future of the area	San Pablo to Sacramento; University Avenue to Dwight Way. Name provided: Poet's Corner	1302 Bancroft Way, 94702		DIVERSITY; PARKS/RECREATION; HOMELESSNESS; REFUSE COLLECTION	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 - POET'S CORNER / STRAWBERRY CREEK	Included in Amber map	
33	10/18/2021	2	Anonymous	Traffic and speeding; traffic calming	West Berk Flat Lands between Sacramento & San Pablo.	2500 Bonar St, 94702	Incomplete boundaries; selected address at intersection of Dwight Way & Bonar Street	TRAFFIC SAFETY; SERVICE ALLOCATION	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
34	10/26/2021	None/N	Anonymous	Communities of interest that previous cycles of redistricting have dismissed: 1. Prospect Street is a community of interest currently split between District 7 and District 8. The east side of Prospect is in District 8, and the west side is in District 7. Both sides of the street should be in the same district. Both sides of Prospect Street have more in common with Southside than with Panoramic Hill or Elmwood-Claremont. 7. Clark Kerr Campus is in District 8. The students who live there have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont. 8. Redwood Gardens, a senior housing facility located on the Clark Kerr Campus, has more in common with Elmwood-Claremont neighbors than with students and should be considered a community of interest separate from students' community of interest. 9. Faculty housing on Clark Kerr Campus is a community of interest that has more in common with Elmwood-Claremont than with students. 10. The blocks within Dwight-Waring-Derby-Telegraph have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont. 11. I House and the student co-ops behind it are in District 8. The residents of these dorms have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont. 12. The blocks within Cedar-Oxford-Hearst-Arch are part of Northside and not split between District 5 and District 6. Northside should extend to Walnut, maybe even Shattuck. 13. The blocks within Sacramento-Ashby-California-border are in District 2. They should be in District 3. 14. The blocks within University-Acton-Allston-Sacramento are part of Poet's Corner, which is in District 2. 15. Part of Cragmont is in District 6, and part is in District 5. 16. The blocks within Fulton-Dwight-Dana-Channing are in District 4 and should be		2180 Milvia Street, 94704	Not specific to one address or area; used Civic Center address as a general location,	Corrections to maps based on previous redistricting efforts	NO	YES	YES, SEE MAP	Included in Amber map	
35	11/3/2021	1	Phil Allen	By the looks of things in my part of D-1, this is a townish and family (dwellings) area of the city. I see family activity and the retail and recreational which sustain them. There is no overt presence of UC students; they seem to be elsewhere. Retired friends gather here, internet junkies there. San Pablo Avenue provides a traditionally gritty 'home' to a constant presence of lost and forgotten citizen/ghosts and their movable social spots.	My 'felt' boundaries, running from close-by San Pablo/Delaware as center, are: Addison (south); 9th St. (west); Gilman (north), indeterminate (east). Names provided: Cutthroat Corner or Almost Oceanview	1740 San Pablo Avenue, 94702	Incomplete boundaries; selected intersection of San Pablo/Delaware.	HOMELESSNESS; MENTAL ILLNESS; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; FORGOTTEN CITIZENS; LOCAL BUSINESSES; FAMILY; RECREATION; CHARACTER/UNIQUENESS	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
36	11/6/2021	5	Barbara Ann Yoder	I am part of a vibrant neighborhood group established probably in the 1980s, when former fire chief Bill Brock and his wife initiated annual gatherings during National Night Out. For the last 13 years since I moved to Ada Street, our neighborhood group has worked together sharing safety concerns and looking out for each other. We currently have 65 households in our group. We are in touch via email. We meet annually. We know each other by name. We have a neighborhood earthquake cache and a neighbor on Ordway offers trainings. All of Ada Street below Sacramento should be in District 1, where we used to be. When the lines were redrawn, they went right down the middle of our street. As a neighborhood we are impacted by development plans at N. Berkeley BART, Ruth Acty School traffic and events, Cedar-Rose Park events, traffic on Hopkins and the Ohlone Greenway—all in District 1. We should be rejoined with District 1.	Our neighborhood group currently includes 65 homes along Ada Street from Ordway to Acton and about halfway up the next block toward Sacramento. It includes most homes on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, several homes on Rose and on Hopkins that back to Ada between Ordway and Acton, and most homes on Acton from Hopkins to Ada. Everyone in these blocks are welcome in our group. When you redraw the lines, if a street needs to be split down the middle, it shouldn't be a quiet short street like Ada; it should be a busy through street with double yellow lines, like Hopkins from Ordway to Acton. Also, if Ordway between Hopkins and Ada is split down the middle, it too should be reincorporated into District 1	1400 Ada St, 94702	Appended to COI Form #3 (submitter's first COI form)	NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS; SAFETY; PUBLIC TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE/DEVELOPMENT; RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER; FAMILIES; AGE DIVERSITY;	NO	YES	YES, RETURN TO D1 - Ada Street to D1	Included in Amber map	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
37	11/8/2021	3	Carl McPherson	Students & Renters	There is considerable overlap between renters and students, and we are concentrated in the areas around Berkeley main campus and the two BART stations. As I look at the maps already submitted, I think that Alfred Twu's "Compact Donut" map does a good job of collecting the main student populations into 2 districts on the southside and the areas just west and north of the main campus. It's unfortunate that we don't have 9 districts to work with, as I think—for population balancing purposes—Alfred Twu's map is unable to extend far enough North-South along the Shattuck corridor or far enough south on the Telegraph corridor to create 3 renter/student districts (Southside, Northside and "Westside"). Stephen Young's excellent map (which has several nice innovations, including taking the hillier parts of current Districts 5 and 6 and combining them into a single district) creates a district for the Northside renting community, but, in the process, splits the student population along the North and West sides into minority voting blocks (given lower turnout among students)—which	1947 Center Street, 94704	(Unclear geographic boundaries; selected 1947 Center Street as central location).	RENTERS; STUDENT DISTRICT; UP-ZONING (HOUSING DIVERSITY); PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION	YES, ALFRED TWU'S DONUT MAP; STEPHEN YOUNG'S MAP; PREFER ALFRED TWU'S	YES	YES, ADD NEW RENTER/STUDENT DISTRICT	Incorporated into map making process, but irreconcilable with City Charter requirements, criteria by the IRC, and/or other COIs	
38	11/8/2021	4	David Ushijima	The community in this neighborhood is tied together not only by our geographical proximity and walkability of the neighborhood but our shared interest in many activities that are within walking distance in the nearby Downtown and Theatre districts. Also because of our close proximity to the University, we also share the common interests of cultural and intellectual events held on the UC Berkeley campus.	Dwight Way (south), University Avenue (north); MLK (east), Sacramento (west). Name provided: Spaulding-McGee tract. Please do not break up this community by drawing lines which would bisect the natural geographic boundaries of this community.	1700 Bancroft Way, 94703		COMMUNITY CONTIGUITY; CULTURAL ACCESS; WALKABILITY; RELATIONSHIP WITH UCB	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D4	Included in Amber map	
39	11/12/2021	4	Stephanie Allan	As a resident of the Flatlands since 1969, I have a strong interest in how District 4 is drawn or redrawn. When I first moved here, the neighborhood bounded by Shattuck/University/Sacramento/Dwight Way was primarily a working class area, predominantly white, but with some black families on Jefferson and Spaulding. It was a fairly tight community, located between the student/University area to the east, the historic black community to the west. There were lots of families here and a lot of kids. My son grew up & went to Washington school in this area. I worked hard to build a tot lot on Roosevelt and get a barrier at Channing & Roosevelt as well as a stop sign on McGee. (Pedestrian safety is still a major worry here, though) The housing used to be affordable. No longer, of course, like the rest of Berkeley. My neighborhood on Channing Way has been affected by the homeless crisis. Because we have a free box on Channing, between Roosevelt & McGee, we get a lot of homeless traffic from Downtown. Also, until we, reluctantly, agreed to have parking restrictions, the streets were jammed with UC students' parking. We supported making Channing Way a bike street although the condition of the road makes biking hazardous. The park area on the Ohlone strip was a great addition to the area. Aside from the park at Washington (where I participated in the redesign and addition of a regulation size basketball court when I was chair of the remodel committee) and the Tot Lot on Roosevelt, there isn't a lot of	University/Shattuck/Dwight Way/Sacramento Name provided: The Flatlands	1712 Channing Way, 94703		TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; PARKS/RECREATION; CRIME; PARKING; MIDDLE CLASS; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; HOUSING DEVELOPMENT; AFFORDABLE HOUSING	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D4	Included in Amber map	
40	11/13/2021	2	Anonymous	Strawberry Creek Park brings our neighbors together - park should be in one district with all of its surrounds.	Strawberry Creek	1260 Allston Way, 94702	Strawberry Creek address	NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY; PARKS/RECREATION	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 - POET'S CORNER / STRAWBERRY CREEK	Included in Amber map	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
41	11/14/2021	2	Anonymous	Culture, history, community - preservation of those. Black Repertory Group has been a vital part of that for almost 60 years. Redistricting such that would exclude Black Repertory Group from district 2 will mean that Black Repertory group and the commitment BRG has to district 2 and the community has to BRG are not being recognized or considered. please keep Black Repertory Group in district 2	South Berkeley, Adeline Corridor Name provided: District 2	3201 Adeline St, 94703	Used Black Repertory Group address	BLACK CULTURAL COHESIVENESS/HISTORY; HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 - HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY	Included in Amber map	
42	11/14/2021	2	Monika Scott	I live and work in the Lorin District. The Lorin District 2 is historical African American community. I would like the community to remain unchanged and that Black Reperatory Group remain in the district.	Name provided: The Lorin / District 2	3215 Adeline St, 94703	Address next to the Black Repertory Group	BLACK CULTURAL COHESIVENESS/HISTORY; HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 - HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY	Included in Amber map	
43	11/15/2021	3	Anonymous	Protect neighborhood resources of light, air, space, open areas, common spaces. Help neighbors and be vigilant for diverse communities who have been marginalized/victimzied - particularly Muslim people, Asians and African Americans who reside in our immediate neighborhood. We live near/adjacent to Shattuck, with lots of traffic in and out of Berkeley and so we keep an eye out for each other. Socializing in the neighborhood is important - especially since the pandemic. Our door gatherings are now a thing. Watching our for children and making sure that traffic - vehicular and pedestrian- respect the ability of children to run around and play outside in a positive environment. Solar access for gardens and solar panels is a concern in our neighborhood in every house. Our western sky/space is especially important for the sunlight, air and views. The area is densely populated with small houses, apartments, coop houses and group living. With this density and close proximity people are very respectful.	Walker Street has become our gathering spot - that runs between Derby and Ward that runs from Shattuck on the West to east of Fulton. We also have gatherings on Fulton with the blocks running East up towards Telegraph. Walker Street is a frequent name for our neighborhood. Name provided: Walker Street	2655 Shattuck Ave, 94704	Used intersection of Walker Street and Shattuck Avenue	NEIGHBORHOOD RESOURCES; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; DIVERSITY; MARGINALIZED COMMUNITIES; RESOURCE EQUITY; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; LOCAL BUSINESSES; WALKABILITY	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
44	11/14/2021	3	C. Hutching	Many African Americans (AA) moved to South Berkeley during WW2 to support the war effort. They were restricted from living in other parts of Berkeley due to redlining laws. Together, with other newcomers from Asia and Central America, they shaped the neighborhood into a thriving community which reflected their cultural, artistic, religious and political beliefs. Key tenets of our historical neighborhood are shared by my community members today. Those beliefs include, but are not limited to, respecting the civil rights for every citizen, housing rights for all, rights to jobs and a right to worship. The faith community is actively engaged in supporting the values upheld by my community and is represented with churches scattered around South Berkeley. For example, The Church by The Side of the Road located east of Shattuck on Russell St. is leading a consortium of church leaders in the mentoring of young people. The Ephesian Church, with the active support of community groups in this area, is committed to building low/low-income housing on its site. The Buddhist Temple on Russell is also engaged in service in the community and is a welcoming place to families and neighbors for outdoor weekend lunches. There are many places of interest and programs serving my community like Kiwi Pediatrics on Alcatraz, Healthy Black Families, The Farmer's Market on Adeline/62nd, the NAACP office on Adeline, Community Acupuncture on Sacramento and the Women's Cancer Resource Center on Ellsworth. The Berkeley Bowl has a special place in our community. They sell foods representing many cultures in our neighborhood and it's also a place to run into your neighbors.	This area is known as South Berkeley. The current geographic location is Dwight Way on the North, Ellsworth on the East, 62nd on the South and Sacramento and California on South. The eastern swath of this area (from Dwight on the North going south on Ellsworth to Ashby and moving slightly westward at Deakin) should remain intact to represent the shared interests (stated above) of this community. Additionally, the southern swath of this District (going from Dwight on the North to 62nd on the South) is instrumental in reflecting the common shared interests of our community. Based on the maps that have been submitted to date, Howard Rosenberg's map looks the closest to what we might envision for District #3 if it was necessary to change any of the current boundaries. Name provided: South Berkeley	1730 Oregon St, 94703	Used Grove Park address	BLACK CULTURAL COHESIVENESS; FAITH COMMUNITY; PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION; HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY; PUBLIC SAFETY; INDUSTRIAL POLLUTION; POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY; COMMUNITY RESOURCES; LOCAL BUSINESSES; DIVERSITY; PUBLIC SCHOOLS; AFFORDABLE HOUSING	YES, HOWARD ROSENBERG'S MAP FOR D3	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2/D3 - HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY	Included in Amber map	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
45	11/14/2021	1	Meryl Siegal	<p>There are several common interests in our community: we are a transit oriented community bounded by BART, AC Transit and cars looking for parking once BART depletes the number of spaces. It makes sense to sever the district at Sacramento Street since the communities East of Sacramento do not have the same interests, development and history as the communities West of Sacramento. It is a community of interest because it has a major street that runs all the way to the hills and down to the Bay, East to West. It is a community of interest because it includes a highway as a street (San Pablo Ave). Furthermore, the community is also one that experiences toxic fumes from industrial corporate concerns. Finally, it is a community of interest because several of the houses are still owned by people of color who were not allowed to buy homes east of Sacramento.</p> <p>The community houses several families. It really is a community about families, schools and play grounds. The community is a conduit for evacuation from the hills if there should be a fire emergency. The community also is a nexus for growth over the next decade. The community includes Cedar up until Sacramento, West to Oceanview and the Marina. The community also currently houses a great majority of the unhoused people in Berkeley and these unhoused people live in the most heavily polluted areas in the city such as Harrison Street and also close to Berkeley Asphalt on 2nd street.</p>	Geographically it is a community of interest because it includes the Berkeley Marina district and so should expand past University (not stop there as it does now). Geographically, the community is flat and down hill from the rest of Berkeley. The community is also an international community from the Brazilian cultural center, to Spanish table, to the Halal restaurants, our community is international and cosmopolitan.	1529 San Pablo Ave, Berkeley, CA 94702	Used address central to current District 1 (intersection of San Pablo and Cedar)	PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT; INFRASTRUCTURE; TRANSPORTATION; INDUSTRIAL/ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION; HISTORIC REDLINING; DIVERSITY; FAMILIES; FIRE EMERGENCY; HOUSING DEVELOPMENT; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; HOMELESSNESS	YES, BNC MAP WITH ONE MINOR CHANGE--LINE AROUND BERKELEY BART SHOULD EXTEND TO SACRAMENTO WEST SIDE	YES	YES, EXPAND BOUNDARIES FOR D1	Included in Blue and Orange Maps	
46	11/15/2021	7	Anonymous	<p>MAP WE SUPPORT: We are supporting the BNC redistricting Renters; pedestrians, cyclists, and transit riders; students.</p> <p>The renter community is overwhelmed in the current districting by being split among districts dominated by homeowners. Renters vote less frequently than homeowners as a community and are therefore further drowned out. We need an additional district that protects and represents the significant number of renters in the City, such as the donut district on the map proposed by Alfred Twu, one draft example attached.</p>	This is concentrated in the areas with a high density of renters, including Downtown, southside blocks south of the current District 7, Clark Kerr campus, and "northside" up to Virginia Street	2355 Telegraph Ave, 94704	Incomplete boundaries provided; selected address at Durant/Telegraph Street	RENTERS/STUDENT COMMUNITY; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS; PUBLIC TRANSIT; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION	YES, ALFRED TWU'S DONUT MAP	YES	YES, ADD NEW RENTER/STUDENT DISTRICT	Incorporated into map making process, but irreconcilable with City Charter requirements, criteria by the IRC, and/or other COIs	
47	11/15/2021	4	Ben Gould	<p>1. Housing affordability; 2. Bike/pedestrian/transit access & safety; 3. Homelessness</p> <p>Downtown Berkeley is an incredibly diverse community of over 6,000 residents, 95% of whom are renters. Downtown is comprised of students, young professionals, immigrants, families, retirees, and long-time residents alike, including both housed and unhoused neighbors.</p> <p>Downtown has been historically considered "everyone's neighborhood" because of the diverse commercial and leisure activities and the access to transit and major institutions (UC Berkeley, LBNL, City of Berkeley). However, for the thousands of people who call Downtown home on a daily basis, it is also a residential community, where we need to be able to get home safely and comfortably at night, have non-automotive transportation options that make it easy to get to our destinations, and have enough housing options and tenant protections to keep rent affordable. City Council has historically neglected the residential experience in Downtown Berkeley.</p> <p>Downtown Berkeley is most similar to the mixed-use and medium density neighborhoods immediately north and south along Shattuck Avenue. Because the most unifying experience of living in Downtown is "renters who don't have cars", other neighborhoods with high concentrations of renters who don't have cars are particularly good matches for joining into a Council district. Other campus periphery areas, such as Northside or Southside, are good candidates.</p>	Hearst to the north; Oxford/Fulton to the east; Dwight to the south; MLK to the west. Name provided: Downtown Berkeley	2272 Shattuck Ave, 94704		AFFORDABLE HOUSING; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; PUBLIC TRANSIT ACCESS; HOMELESSNESS; RENTER/STUDENT REPRESENTATION;	NO	YES	YES, ADD NEW RENTER/STUDENT DISTRICT	Incorporated into map making process, but irreconcilable with City Charter requirements, criteria by the IRC, and/or other COIs	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
48	11/15/2021	3	Berkeley Branch of the NAACP	<p>Berkeley's Black community; churches, businesses, homeowners and tenants in primarily South Berkeley's area which now falls into "District 3." History and ancestors in common; many of us moved in the migration west from the Deep South after slavery and Jim Crow, many of us share a deep and abiding faith in God (Black Christian Churches are historic pillars of the city for a hundred years: Church by the Side of the Road, McGee Ave Baptist Church, St. Paul's AME Church, Ephesians Church, Phillips AME, etc).</p> <p>Black academics, artists and activists are a core part of our community; housing should be available to ensure Black members thrive in our historic district that should be deemed the Black Community Historical Zone. The Adeline Corridor and So Berkeley needs to not be further displaced or diluted. Berkeley NAACP, BEEMA and other Black organizations in Berkeley strongly urge that District 3 remain without alteration. Specifically: McGee Ave Baptist Church should not be placed into District, 2, nor should Church by Side of Road be drawn into District 8. Without exception, the new African American Holistic Resource Center @1890 Alcatraz, Black Rep, Ephesians, Ebenezer, McGee, Phillips, St. Paul's must remain 'as is'</p>	Current District 3	1730 Oregon St, 94703	Used Grove Park address for pin	<p>HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY; NO HISTORICALLY MUSLIM COMMUNITY; HISTORIC REDLINING; CULTURALLY SIGNIFICANT LOCAL BUSINESSES; FAITH BASED COMMUNITY; DISPLACEMENT; AFFORDABLE HOUSING;</p>		YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D3 - HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY	Included in Amber map	
49	11/15/2021	1	Anonymous	<p>Working-class neighborhood, which includes many Craftsman-style homes (and a few Victorians), built by blue-collar workers for their families. It also retains a - albeit diminishing - level of racial and socioeconomic diversity as one of the only neighborhood in which restrictive covenants were not placed on housing (as a formerly redlined area). This area bounds the MU-R and MU-LI areas, and neighborhoods have striven to coexist with industry that would now be deemed to be incompatible with residential - and has embraced the ecosystem of small manufacturing, arts, and crafts businesses, some of whose owners reside in live-work units in the neighborhood.</p>	<p>The Oceanview District is roughly bounded by San Pablo on the East Side, the waterfront on the West Side, Gilman St. on the North Side, and University Ave. on the South Side. However, our community arguably has more in common with the entire area below San Pablo than other neighborhoods. We certainly have more in common with other areas below Sacramento (roughly bounded by the North Berkeley BART) than areas to the east of Sacramento. Name provided: Oceanview District</p> <p>For your consideration, a West Berkeley Business District oriented map proposal has been created (most districts except 2 are within less than 1% of the threshold for compactness, the least compact district is D6 and it's</p>	1720 Eighth St, 94710	Used James Kenney Community Center	<p>SINGLE FAMILY ZONING; LOCAL/SMALL BUSINESSES; WORKING CLASS NEIGHBORHOOD; HOUSING DENSITY; MANUFACTURING/ARTS & CRAFTS; LIVE/WORK UNITS; LIGHT INDUSTRIAL; COMMON CULTURE; HISTORIC REDLINING</p>	YES, WEST BERKELEY BUSINESS DISTRICT ORIENTED MAP--SEE ATTACHED	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES - OCEANVIEW DISTRICT, SEE COI FORM	Included in Blue and Orange Maps	
50	11/15/2021	4	Wendy Alfsen & Nancy Holland	<p>Geographic, historical, economic, cultural, and racial/ethnic diversity interests; common interests in quiet with less noise, less litter, less flooding, less air pollution, fewer vehicles, improved traffic safety, reduction of danger from cut-through & commute traffic; religious centers.</p>	<p>See map attachment to COI form Name provided: Greater Flatlands</p>	1607 Bancroft Way, 94703	Used intersection of McGee Avenue & Bancroft Way.	<p>MAJOR TRAFFIC ARTERY; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; DENSITY; TRAFFIC</p>	YES, SEE SUBMITTED MAP FOR D4	YES	YES, RECONFIGURE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D4	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
51	11/16/2021	3	Anonymous	<p>Sun, air, and space are resources we want to protect.</p> <p>We have just enough space, and we get along well and watch out for each other. This is important because we have small children, senior citizens and members of marginalized communities that have seen a lot of hatred: Muslims, Asian, and African American. We keep an eye out for our neighbors and have a history of showing up, in person to take care of mutual concerns.</p> <p>We love the sound of children playing outside, and during the pandemic our outside space on Walker Street became the focus of neighborhood out door gatherings. These gatherings were about the only "socializing" any of us did for a year.</p>	<p>Shattuck to the west. Comprises Derby and Ward all the way past Fulton. Name provided: Walker Street / Le Conte</p>	2108 Derby St, 94705	Used intersection of Derby Street & Walker Street.	<p>INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; HOUSING DENSITY; HOMELESSNESS; ECONOMIC/RACIAL DIVERSITY; FAMILIES; MARGINALIZED COMMUNITIES; DIVERSITY</p>	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D3	Included in Amber map	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
52	11/16/2021	1	Afi Kambon for Berkeley Visionary Equity Summit Alliance	Historically Black community. A place of inclusion, tolerance, and caring; youth and elders connecting; affordable housing and a fair and inclusive approach to development that benefits low-income residents including a right of return for those displaced or unhoused, and safe community policing. There is a deep and rich history that needs to be told about the history and accomplishments of the Black and multi-racial communities of Berkeley before this tsunami of displacement and broken families from the Crack epidemic, War on Drugs, and racialized policing, to the real estate scams and speculations took displaced so many	Maintain current District 1 boundaries; at least as far east as Sacramento Street, the former "color line." Name provided: District 1	1531 San Pablo Ave, 94702	Intersection of Cedar & San Pablo.	HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY; NO AFFORDABLE HOUSING; LOW INCOME RESIDENTS; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; SENIOR RESOURCE EQUITY; CRIME/POLICING; DIVERSITY; HISTORIC REDLINING; HOMELESSNESS		YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D1 - HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY	Included in Amber map	
53	11/16/2021	2	Betsy Morris	I am a 30 year old resident of historic West Berkeley (split between District 1 and 2), and a current member of the Poet's Corner Advocates for the Unhoused and the Berkeley Visionary Equity Alliance. We want an inclusive community, and are working with existing institutions like Women's Day Time Drop In Center and Youth Spirit Artworks to recognize the massive displacement of the Black and Hispanic community members. We support a variety of truly affordable housing. strategies to reintegrate, including "good neighbor" shelters, tiny home villages, and safe parking lots while more affordable housing can be built.	Current boundaries work well (University, Sacramento, and Dwight Way). Strawberry Creek Park neighborhood with Berkeley Youth Alternatives, the park, the corp yard, Daytime Drop In Center and Strawberry Creek Lodge, Berkeley Youth Alternatives, belong in District 2. West Berkeley from south of University Avenue, to the Marina, Aquatic Park and east to Sacramento Street (the old de facto "color line" reflect. I am suprised to see the decline of West Berkeley and the Oceanview Neighborhood in favor of "South West Berkeley" and Northwest Berkeley." San Pablo Park was/is a distinctive neighborhood. The displacement of Black neighbors is striking west of San Pablo - the current census map looks scrubbed.	2246 San Pablo Ave, 94702	Used intersection of San Pablo Avenue & Bancroft Way	DIVERSITY; DISPLACEMENT OF COMMUNITIES OF COLOR; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; DISTRICT INEQUITY; HOMELESSNESS; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 AND WEST BERKELEY - HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY, SEE COI FORM	Included in Amber map	
54	11/24/2021	4	Helene Whitson	We have interests with our neighbors concerning things like fast traffic on our streets, lighting, crime, trash pick-up, water run-off when it rains, etc. We are a neighborhood. In fact, for several years, we've had a block party in the Fall on Spruce between Cedar and Virginia, even though we were put into two different districts during the last redistricting As a result of the last redistricting, Spruce and Arch Streets were split down the middle. Those who lived on the westside of the street were put into District 4, while those who lived on the East side of the street remained in District 6. Before, when we had common problems, we could go to our District 6 representative. Now, while we may have common problems--traffic, trash pick-up, lighting for the street, we now have to go to two different District representatives, even though we have the same problem. District 4 does not serve our needs. It is more oriented to Berkeley businesses. I always have had good responses from District 6 and still receive their newsletter. That's where I find out what is going on in Berkeley. I asked to be put on a District 4 newsletter, but it may be they don't have one. District 6 should continue south to Hearst and west to the east side of Oxford, instead of a little chunk being taken out and added to District 4.	Lower Spruce area including Spruce Street and Arch Street Name provided: Lower Spruce			TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; LIGHTING; CRIME; REFUSE COLLECTION; WATER RUN-OFF; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION;	No	YES	YES, RETURN TO D6 - D6 should continue south to Hearst and west to Oxford, instead of a little chunk being taken out and added to D4. District boundaries should be at large arteries, e.g. Hearst or Oxford	Included in Amber map	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
55	11/25/2021	4	Charlotte and Adolph Martinelli	We own and live in a single family home as do all of the residents on both sides of our block and the adjacent streets. The neighborhood consists of many older, retired people like ourselves as well as young families with school age children. For the past ten years those of us assigned to District 4 have not felt our interests could be adequately addressed. Older homeowners and student interests do not always coincide. We would prefer to be in a district comprised of other homeowners and long term residents in our neighborhood. Please consider boundary adjustments that would reunite us with the rest of our home owning neighbors.	Cedar to the North, Hearst to the South, Oxford to the West and Euclid to the East			NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS; SINGLE FAMILY HOMES; SMALL ADJUSTMENTS TO THE EXISTING DISTRICT MAP; RETIRED POPULATION; YOUNG FAMILIES; HOMEOWNER / LONG-TERM RESIDENTS VS STUDENT CONSTITUENCY	NO	YES	YES, RETURN TO D6 - Unite with the rest of homeowning neighbors. Want south side of Virginia Street added to District 6; "Our neighborhood is roughly bordered by Cedar to the North, Hearst to the South, Oxford to the West and Euclid to the East. Currently our neighborhood is divided into at least three separate districts."	Included in Amber map	
56	11/29/2021	8	Lzamarra on behalf of Willard Neighborhood Association	I have resided in what is District 8 for over 34 years. I share that to say that I have experienced changes to our voting district more than once. I would like to ask that your committee reconsider what comprises District 8. Presently, parts of the Willard Neighborhood are outside of District 8. The Willard neighborhood runs from Ashby to Dwight and from telegraph to College. To me it makes common sense that a given neighborhood is within one voting district.	Willard Neighborhood - Ashby to Dwight and Telegraph to College Avenue Name provided: Willard Neighborhood			NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D8 - WILLARD NEIGHBORHOOD SHOULD STAY IN D8; "The Willard neighborhood runs from Ashby to Dwight and from Telegraph to College"	Included in Amber map	
57	1/11/2022	1	Anonymous	Parks. Walkways and parks are important to our community. They need to be neat, clean, well kept and most of all safe. We want to see homeless cared for properly for and not living on the street, in tents, on park benches or in inoperable RVs or vans for days on end.	We are on Virginia St near Cedar Rose Park and the walkway that replaced the old Southern Pacific right of way. We would consider our area to extend to the North to shops on Hopkins, West to San Pablo and East to BART and on to Channing Avenue. Name provided: Westbrae			PARK/RECREATION; WALKABILITY; HOMELESSNESS; SAFETY; PUBLIC TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT; HOUSING DEVELOPMENT	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
58	1/11/2022	1,5	Anonymous	Long time residents, with a mix of renters and homeowners. Close to a small shopping village. Walkability. Friendly, neighborly with an annual block party. Until recently, this was an affordable neighborhood with neighbors that have a variety of income levels	Westbrae Name provided: Westbrae			LONG-TERM RESIDENTS; RENTER AND HOMEOWNERS; WALKABILITY; NEIGHBORLINESS; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; DEVELOPMENT	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
59	1/11/2022	5	Alan Tobey	Aged largely middle class but not more than c. 2/3 Caucasian; but across those lines very community centered and community active, working on local causes together and keeping in touch with others. We've had a number of new residents arriving from the suburbs just to live in such an active and diverse neighborhood. New residents from Kansas to Thailand to India are swiftly welcome here	Walkable Westbrae. You could stretch the boundaries from say Monterey Market to Whole Foods, and from the Albany line a few blocks Name provided: Walkable Westbrae			COMMUNITY CENTERED; WALKABILITY; THRIVING LOCAL BUSINESS SCENE; TRAFFIC CONCERNS	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
60	1/11/2022	1	Anonymous	More industrial pollutants here than any other Berkeley district. Lower income than rest of Berkeley/ greater need for social services. Greatest number of tent/rv cities in Berkeley.	Northwest Berkeley; we tend to identify with Northwest Berkeley BART station.			POLLUTION; SOCIAL SERVICES NEEDS; LOW INCOME; HOMELESSNESS; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
61	1/11/2022	5	Cathy Brown	We are a neighborhood, linked by proximity, friendship, and our CERT group. Most of the neighbors have lived here for more than 25 years; some much longer. People know each other, look out for one another, share keys, newspapers, tools, lemons, and kid and elder equipment. The neighborhood kids go to Berkeley public schools; we're 6 blocks from Ruth Acty and 2 blocks from King. We love our neighborhood. It is one of the most walkable neighborhoods in town, less than a half mile from Shattuck, the North Branch of the Public Library, the Hopkins commercial corridor, and BART. The 1500 block of McGee badly needs repaving. The pavement has deteriorated to the point that the storm drains collect pebbles, dirt and bits of asphalt. The street sweeper generates huge clouds of dust;	Generally, the 1500 block of McGee and the 1700 block of Vine. Vine/McGee Neighbors.			LONG TERM RESIDENTS; NEIGHBORLINESS; BERKELEY PUBLIC SCHOOL STUDENTS; WALKABILITY; ROADWAY CONDITIONS	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
62	1/11/2022	1,5	Deborah Malbec	neighborliness, taking care of our homes, crime prevention, safety, small neighborhood stores, businesses and restaurants.	Westbrae, "Gilman District", East of San Pablo, near Gilman Street			NEIGHBORLINESS; CRIME PREVENTION; SAFETY; LOCAL BUSINESS AND RESTAURANTS; PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION; LAST MILE NEEDS	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
63	1/13/2022	None/Not selected accurately	Anonymous	Walking to local restaurants, post office, parks, library, shops. This is extremely important, that's why we chose this area.	North of University and east of San Pablo. People refer to this area as Poets Corner but it is not. I've seen it referred to as Curtis Tract on official city plans. I think this district should span University Ave. As the previous city council member said this area is a "step child". We are on the border of districts 1 and 2 and no one wants to commit to us			WALKABILITY; LOTS OF DEVELOPMENT; PARKING ISSUES; HOMELESSNESS; CLEANLINESS; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; FEELING OF OLDER RESIDENTS BEING PUSHED OUT	NO	YES	YES, EXPAND BOUNDARIES TO SPAN UNIVERSITY AVE. "Using main arteries as dividing lines leaves people unrepresented"	Included in Amber map	
64	1/14/2022	None/Not selected accurately	Anonymous	Living within walking distance of North Berkeley BART. This is important because drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians have different interests. Also, our community has many members in "regular" jobs (teaching, retail, government, transportation) versus some of Berkeley where residents are uniformly in higher income jobs.	Name provided: West Berkeley West of Sacramento, North of University, South of Gilman			MULTIMODAL TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; "REGULAR" INCOME EARNERS--ARTISTS AND TEACHERS; PEDESTRIAN SAFETY; INCLUSIVE HOUSING POLICIES; HOMELESSNESS	NO	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
65	1/14/2022	5	Iris Starr	A wide range of people living here- students, elderly, families, homeowners, renters, unhoused, Black, Native American, Asian, Latino and all other groups that are not "census defined". Access to transit, cafe's, restaurants, grocery stores, services. Walkable and bike-able neighborhood. On street parking for residents. Decisions about the BART station development are key in the coming year. Those decisions should be majorly influenced by those that will live next door to it, and not those who drive to it. Enlarging District 5 will disadvantage those of us who live next door. Some people are writing in form letters to enlarge District 5. Please ignore form letters. Create districts that make geographic sense. On the north, Rose or Hopkins is the obvious boundary.	Rose or Hopkins street, Shattuck Ave, University Avenue, the Marina. ~2 blocks from the BART station.			DIVERSE RESIDENTS; WALKABILITY; PUBLIC TRANSIT; PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; AGAINST ENLARGEMENT OF DISTRICT BOUNDARY	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D5 - DO NOT EXPAND D5, Rose / Hopkins suggested as North boundary	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
66	1/16/2022	2	Jeff Hobson	The parks and paths: Strawberry Creek Park + the West Street path, Ohlone Park and paths, Cedar Rose Park, plus Totland. That's where I see my neighbors (particularly during COVID), where I see kids playing, where I see people walking their dogs.	North Berkeley BART, the path along West Street, Strawberry Creek Park, and Cedar Rose Park. My conception of the neighborhood extends south to Dwight (+ Homemade Cafe!) and north to Hopkins. On the west it goes at least to San Pablo Avenue, or maybe all the way to the railroad tracks (to include Rosa Parks + the Adult School and Cafe Leila and Viks -- but it doesn't include the Fourth Street shopping district). On the east it goes at least to California (Monterey Market + the softball field next to the BART station), or maybe as far as MLK Jr Way (for Totland, the rest of Ohlone Park, and King Middle School). Name provided: North Berkeley BART			PARKS/RECREATION; PATHWAYS; PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; MAJOR CORRIDORS	NO	YES	YES, COI COHESION - NORTH BERKELEY BART COMMUNITY. Berkeley Bart Station is part of the Berkeley flats. See Geographic Region for specifics on boundaries	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
67	1/17/2022	None/N	Anonymous	Living so close to the North Berkeley BART station, I see that as a focal point for the community, and something that priorities and interests are organized around. Those who walk or bike to that BART station, and are invested and impacted by it as a use, definitely feel like one economic community. One of the biggest factors for lifestyle in Berkeley is whether you live in a walkable/bikable neighborhood in the flats, or in a driving neighborhood in the hills. Those are two very distinct lifestyles and interests, and residents of each lifestyle should be able to elect councilmembers that advocate for those interests and needs.	Gilman/Hopkins in the north (Monterrey Market, Gioia Pizza, and the swimming pool at King Middle being key parts of my community), San Pablo to the west (Casa Latina, Cafe Leila, and Acme being core parts of what I access), MLK to the east (Ohlone Park is important to me), and Allston to the south (I take Allston to get to Aquatic Park and Cesar Chavez park). I think of the BART station as a central organizing feature of my neighborhood, as well as the Ohlone Greenway. Urban Adamah and the Gilman Corridor is also an important part of my community, and something I access often. Name provided: North Berkeley BART			WALKABILITY; PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; MAJOR CORRIDORS; PARKS; MULTIMODAL TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; MOBILITY	NO	YES	YES, COI COHESION - NORTH BERKELEY BART COMMUNITY. Suggestion to use mobility to inform neighborhood boundaries and thus district maps	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	
68	1/17/2022	None/N	Blaine Merker	The North Berkeley Bart station is the single biggest defining feature of my neighborhood, and the landmark used to convey the neighborhood within a 15-20 minutes walk of the station. It's very important to our neighborhood's identity that the area around the Bart station not be split into different districts. The walkshed/bikeshed around the station has build that community identity. Those who walk and bike to the station see the same people people (our neighbors who walk and bike) daily, exchange news and create community. Because of the Ohlone Greenway in particular, which acts as a feeder from the blocks to the north, there is a strong culture of people who walk or bike to transit. Many people I know moved to this neighborhood in order to drive less. This an "eco neighborhood" of people making transportation choices in line with their sustainability objectives.	Name provided: North Berkeley BART The area is described as the "neighborhood around the North Berkeley Bart station", or just "North Berkeley Bart". Because the station is named "North Berkeley", many people around here use that name to refer to the area around the station rather than the wider part of Berkeley towards Hopkins and Gilman. The use of this station version of the name usually refers to the area between San Pablo Ave, Martin Luther King, Hopkins and University. Because the Ohlone Greenway (and Ohlone Park) transport people by foot and bike to the Bart station, many Bart commuters who use the station consider themselves affiliated with "North Berkeley" by virtue of the station they use.			PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; PARKS; MULTIMODAL TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; SUSTAINABILITY; ECO; MOBILITY	NO	YES	YES, COI COHESION - NORTH BERKELEY BART COMMUNITY. Suggestion to use mobility to inform neighborhood boundaries and thus district maps; those living around public transit should be kept as one district and not be split	Included in Amber map	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
69	1/17/2022	None/N	Riti Dhesi	Common means of transportation, most notably the ability to walk to North Berkeley BART and bike to various places. I believe that when developing the council districts, North Berkeley BART and ones proximity to it should be considered a factor. Another common interest in my community is that we live near Cedar Market and Monterey Market/Magninis etc. Another example of community is the night that my community puts out lights for our annual Luminaria night (Christmas eve) - people from all over the city come to walk the streets in this community that are bounded by MLK, University, Rose and Sacramento (or North Berkeley BART) to enjoy the luminaria's and the community that we have built over the years. My community and its concerns/interests are unique from that of the Berkeley Hills/Thousand Oaks neighborhoods. It is important to NOT lump us in with the Berkeley Hills or	Name provided: North Berkeley BART East. Boundaries: Berkeley BART to Rose Street to MLK to University.			PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; MULTIMODAL TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; COMMUNITY FESTIVALS; WALKABILITY	NO	YES	YES, COI COHESION - NORTH BERKELEY BART COMMUNITY. North Berkeley BART Station is part of the Berkeley flats not Thousand Oaks or Hills	Included in Amber map	
70	1/17/2022	None/N	Libby Lee-Egan	Many people chose to live in this neighborhood because of the walkable streets and proximity to buses and the North Berkeley BART station (NBB). We enjoy walking or biking to places and patronizing local businesses. Our neighborhood has a grid, not wavy switchback style streets seen in the Berkeley Hills.			The attached map shows the area around North Berkeley BART where one can walk to the station from their home in less than 10 minutes. Residents living in that area are most affected by regional usage of BART and zoning changes there. Because of the grid, the walkable area is more of a diamond shape than a square.	WALKABILITY; PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; MULTIMODAL TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; GRID PLANNING; DENSE HOUSING; LOTS OF URBANISTS, ARCHITECTS, PLANNERS	YES, SEE MAP INCLUDED	YES	YES, COI COHESION - NORTH BERKELEY BART COMMUNITY. Suggestion to use mobility to inform neighborhood boundaries and thus district maps; those living around public transit should be kept as one district and not be split	Included in Amber map	
71	1/18/2022	None/N	Victoria Eisen	Our community is WALKABLE! We walk to run errands, go to school and work, visit each other and exercise our dogs and ourselves. Most importantly, we can walk to the North Berkeley BART station, which is why we chose to purchase our home 28 years ago.			Sacramento and the North Berkeley BART station to the east, Ohlone Greenway to the south, MLK to the east and Rose to the north.	WALKABILITY; PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; PARKS; ROAD AND PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE; DISASTER PREPAREDNESS	NO	YES	YES, COI COHESION - NORTH BERKELEY BART COMMUNITY.	Included in Amber map	
72	1/18/2022	None/N	Will Travis	Books, gardening, political discussions, "the joy of engaging with our neighbors during our walks in our community". Our two most popular walking destinations are the North Berkeley BART station and the neighborhood commercial center at the Hopkins/California/Monterey intersection. Everywhere within our community is within walking distance of its hub, the North Berkeley BART station.		1704 Vine Street	Name provided: Cow Hollow Bordered on the north by Northbrae, east by Shattuck Avenue, east by the Ohlone Greenway with a little bump to University Avenue along Sacramento Street and on the west by San Pablo Avenue.	WALKABILITY; PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING; PARKS; MIXED DEVELOPMENT; COMMUNITY CENTRIC	NO	YES	YES, COI COHESION - NORTH BERKELEY BART COMMUNITY.	Included in Amber map	
73	1/24/2022	3	Anonymous	A walkable neighborhood with safe bike and pedestrian access to everyday needs (e.g. Ashby BART, Berkeley Bowl, UC Berkeley, libraries, parks and trails, commercial districts at Elmwood or Rockridge or downtown Berk). A diverse, friendly and caring community of neighbors who support each other with health, housing, food, gardening and pet care. Pulling our sliver of neighborhood into District 8 is not a good fit unless we are joined by LeConte neighborhood (blue map).			Name provided: Halcyon Halcyon community centered on Halcyon Park with strong borders at Ashby and Telegraph (due to intense traffic, dangerous crossings), Woolsey (due to Oakland city boundary), and Ashby BART at Adeline. We feel connected to Ashby BART. We feel less connected to neighborhoods in the Berkeley hills, that seems more	WALKABILITY; MULTIMODAL TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE; DIVERSE; COMMUNITY; PUBLIC TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT / DECISIONMAKING	NO	YES	YES, COI COHESION - INCLUDE HALCYON WITH NORTH FLATS NOT HILLS - D8 is NOT a good fit unless joined by LeConte	Included in Amber map	
74	1/24/2022	4	Anonymous	Mainly small, residential neighborhoods with middle-age and older residents, of middle income, primarily college educated, mainly English speaking. Share transportation nearby, of buses and BART. We are an active area and pay close attention to the actions of the City and the City Council. We are hoping that District 4 will remain, and thus "vote" for either the orange or amber			Name provided: South Berkeley Near University in the north, Dwight in the south, Sacramento to the west. McGee Spaulding neighborhood is the name of this community	MIDDLE-AGED AND OLDER RESIDENTS; MIDDLE INCOME; COLLEGE-EDUCATED; PUBLIC TRANSIT	YES, AMBER OR ORANGE MAPS BC D4 SHOULD REMAIN AS IS	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D4	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
75	1/25/2022	None/N	Laurie Nardinelli	<p>We have a lower average income, more diversity, and generally less clout with respect to local politics compared with the people who live in the hills.</p> <p>More outreach time is required for our area compared to the hills because people have less leisure time for political involvement and somewhat less confidence in local government.</p> <p>Environmental impact of businesses need to be constantly addressed. This necessarily consumes a lot of councilperson(s) time. That's why we need two.</p> <p>We need two different councilpersons like or much like we</p>	From 3 blocks East of San Pablo to the Bay			<p>LOWER AVERAGE INCOME; DIVERSE; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; NEED GREATER OUTREACH; LOCAL BUSINESSES REQUIRE SIGNIFICANT TIME SO NEED MORE REPRESENTATION</p>	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES - WEST BERKELEY. Need two districts to adequately represent needs of residents and businesses	Included in Amber map	
76	1/27/2022	3	Sylvia C.	<p>A member of my family lived in South Berkeley. I visited and became part of the community. I made my way back and have been a part of the South Berkeley neighborhood, workforce and services for over 13 years. I could only find housing in the North of Berkeley, but I am working hard to get back home.</p> <p>Like all of Berkeley, as portrayed in Berkeley visit tourist comment, we represent the beauty of diversity in all our districts. District 3's cultural and historical ethnic diversity and traditions are a rich contribution to Berkeley's appeal to all. I agree with the history of Berkeley's democratic process, that all districts should be afforded the basic right to choose what cultural, historical diversity in which they identify.</p> <p>We, South Berkeley neighbors and associations, are developing our home so all (those of the long-time residents presentation) and those who were displaced can live well. To choose and have access to what they identify as their heritage. South Berkeley for nearly a century have had leaders that benefited all of Berkeley. Our families have been disrupted by eminent domain, predatory loans, high rental and homeownership rates by corporate, penal profit, high mortality rates and extreme inhumane conditions that caused life-threatening physical and mental health conditions. Yet, we rallied together to make South Berkeley better. Now, here we go again; there is a so-called "independent" redistricting strategy to erase generations of the richness of the African-American cuisine, culture, tradition and presence concentrated in the district</p>	District 3's boundaries that if redlined, will sever a cultural diverse distinctness for generations for South Berkeley. A ethnic flavor that has contributed to the appeal to residents and tourists of Berkeley. It should be left intact from east to west district limits from San Pablo Avenue to Telegraph Avenue; and south to north boundaries limits(Alcatraz Ave to Dwight Way). There are funding projects and investments that South Berkeley's long-time residents and neighborhood improvement nonprofits have in place based on the current district mapping			<p>CULTURAL, HISTORICAL DIVERSITY; COMMUNITY; ORGANIZING; REDLINING AND DISCRIMINATION</p>		YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D3 - HISTORICALLY BLACK COMMUNITY	Included in Amber map	
77	1/27/2022	None/N	Juliet Lee	<p>Historically redlined, recently gentrifying. Pressure on housing, people who grew up here can't afford to stay here (like Mildred Howard).</p> <p>Newer Americans also settle here esp in cheaper & section 8 apartments-this has been the case for the whole brief history of this city</p> <p>-Little India around lower University Ave.</p> <p>-Unstably housed folks camp around Aquatic Park.</p> <p>-Orthodox Jews live here to be within the "eruv" (zone in which they are permitted to walk to 2 synagogues; eruv is delimited by the rabbis), but because it's Berkeley these are socially progressive Jews.</p> <p>If districts break up voting blocks, people can lose their say. If business-zoned areas are overconcentrated in one or two districts, the residents of those districts bear the burden of any traffic, parking, crime, environmental impacts (like toxic waste in air) associated with businesses</p> <p>If some districts have few residents but other districts have many residents, but each district has one council rep, people in smaller zones are overrepresented (like in US Senate now).</p> <p>It looks like the Amber map best addresses those concerns.</p>	<p>HOLC maps from 1940s show redlined boundaries.</p> <p>-Newer Americans reside between Sacramento-Sixth-University-Oakland border.</p> <p>-Little India clusters within lower University-Sacramento-Dwight-San Pablo.</p> <p>-Unstably housed: Aquatic Park</p> <p>-Poorer folks, newer Americans: large older apartment complexes esp which allow Section 8</p>			<p>GENTRIFICATION; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; REDLINING</p>	YES, AMBER MAP TO ADDRESS ADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; BUSINESSES NOT OVERCONCENTRATED OVERBURDENED IN A COUPLE OF DISTRICTS	YES	NO	Shared communication with City Council in IRC Report	

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Maps? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Related Request by Submitter (Y/N)	Action Taken by IRC	Notes
78	1/27/2022	2	Anonymous	Common goals to keep our community safe and help people in need especially older folks, environmentally conscious, community clean ups especially Strawberry Creek Park, neighbors look out for one another. Two representatives are needed to represent West Berkeley to address citizens concerns with all the development, homeless issues, the industrial district, the marina, Aquatic Park, etc and of course homeowners and increase in renters that will house these developments. Poets Corner should remain in district 2. There should be fair representation in West Berkeley. Looking at the maps what makes a majority of UC students who live in Berkeley for 2 to 4 years get more representation in some of the maps?	Name Provided: Poets Corner			COMMUNITY CENTERED; PARKS; TRAFFIC; PARKING; DEVELOPMENT; HOMELESSNESS; CRIMES	NO	YES	YES, MAINTAIN DISTRICT BOUNDARIES FOR D2 - WEST BERKELEY, POET'S CORNER. NEEDS TWO DISTRICTS FOR REPRESENTATION; POETS CORNER SHOULD STAY IN D2	Included in Amber map	
79	1/31/2022	None/N	Donaldson ot selecte d accurat ely	Public safety, traffic and walkablity to stores near by. The walking nature of our community is a real core to what I see. We all are connected and visible to each other on a daily basis. We are a mix of young families, kids, retired people and some single folks. Families tend to dominate. We all look out for each other as much as we can.	neighbors that are east of San Pablo Avenue. Our common thread is that we are mostly small homes and families that range accross "the flatlands" of Berkeley. I consider our community to be from Albany in the north to roughly Univesity to the south and Sacramento to the east. The similarities, connections and boundaries of streets really define this area. And, I should add the type of use, residential single family with a few in-fill apartment buildings and ADUs.			WALKABILITY; PUBLIC SAFETY; MIX OF RESIDENTS; SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING; ROAD MAINTENANCE; TRAFFIC	NO	YES	YES, UNITE WEST BERKELEY INTO ONE DISTRICT (BUT THEY ARE EAST OF SAN PABLO)	Incorporated into map making process, but irreconcilable with City Charter requirements, criteria by the IRC, and/or other COIs	

Public Submission Packet

Council District Maps & Community of Interest Testimony






City Council Redistricting Public Submission Packet

Table of Contents

Introductory Letter from IRC Commissioners	3
Proceso de Redistribución de Distritos	4
What is a Community of Interest?	5
Community of Interest Form	6
Guidelines for Creating a Map	10
City of Berkeley Map	11
Ways to Provide Input	12
City, State, and Census Resources	13
Berkeley Charter Article V Section 9.5	14
Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.10	22
Fair Maps Act (AB 849)	29
Fair Maps Act (AB 1276)	40



October 2, 2021

Dear Berkeley Residents,

Every ten years, we Berkeley residents have the opportunity to adjust our eight City Council District boundaries to account for changes in Berkeley's population. This process is called "redistricting" and is based on the latest Census. This year, for the first time, the Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC) manages redistricting. The IRC comprises volunteers who live in Berkeley and were selected through an open and rigorous process. **The City Council's only role in redistricting is to adopt the map approved by the IRC.**

While U.S., State, and City laws apply, the basic goal of redistricting is simple: redraw the Berkeley City Council District boundaries so that Berkeley residents are fairly represented in our City Council. **The IRC needs the public's input to create a fair, people's map.** The IRC is committed to ensuring an open and transparent redistricting process that welcomes public comment on the drawing of district boundaries, is conducted with integrity, fairness, without personal or political considerations, and creates a fair, people's map.

Public participation is essential to a successful redistricting process. Please provide your input to the IRC by submitting Community of Interest Forms, Proposed Maps, or public comments. A packet of instructions to submit these is available at www.CityofBerkeley.info/redistricting and in paper format at the Berkeley City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street 1st Floor Berkeley, CA 94704. The IRC will analyze, synthesize, and balance the public's input so that the final people's map is the fairest, most compliant map possible.

Key dates for the redistricting process are:

- October 2nd: IRC Public Hearing to Request Proposed Maps
- November 15th: Deadline to Submit Proposed Maps

Please send the IRC your Proposed Maps, Community of Interest Form, or comments to www.CityofBerkeley.info/redistricting or the Berkeley City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. **The deadline for Proposed Maps is November 15th, 2021. You may submit COI Forms and comments any time during the redistricting process.**

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Watson, Chair, IRC

PROCESO DE REDISTRIBUCIÓN DE DISTRITOS DE LA CIUDAD DE BERKELEY

Cada diez años los gobiernos locales hacen uso de los datos procedentes del censo para volver a trazar las líneas de sus distritos con fines de reflejar el cambio en las poblaciones locales. El Proyecto de Ley 849 de la Asamblea les exige a las ciudades y condados la participación de sus comunidades en el proceso de redistribución de distritos mediante audiencias públicas y talleres al igual que actividades de alcance público que incluyan a comunidades que no hablen inglés.

¿Qué es la redistribución de distritos?

Cada diez años es necesario volver a trazar las líneas distritales con el fin de que cada distrito sea esencialmente igual en términos de población. Este proceso, llamado redistribución de distritos, es importante para asegurar que cada concejal municipal represente aproximadamente el mismo número de pobladores representados. En Berkeley, una Comisión Independiente de Redistribución de Distritos se encarga de trazar los distritos del Concejo municipal. La redistribución de distritos se efectúa haciendo uso de los datos del Censo estadounidense que se publicarán alrededor del 30 de septiembre de 2021.

¿Cómo puedo participar?

La Comisión Independiente de Redistribución de Distritos celebrará reuniones destinadas a recibir aportes públicos referentes a dónde han de trazarse las líneas de los distritos. Las reuniones tomarán lugar distintos días entre semana y los fines de semana a varias horas del día para acomodar la más amplia participación posible.

Usted además podrá presentar modelos de mapas propuestos enviándolos:

redistricting.cityofberkeley.info/berkeley

Usted además podrá presentar comentarios públicos por correo electrónico al:

redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

[Comunidad de Interés \(Español PDF\)](#)

El formulario web está disponible en línea visitando www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

¿Dónde puedo averiguar más detalles?

La Ciudad mantiene una página web referente al proceso de redistribución de distritos municipales aquí: www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

COMMUNITY OF INTEREST (COI)

The Berkeley City Charter sets specific criteria for redistricting, which are explained in the following instructions for Proposed Maps. Communities of Interest are specifically referenced in the Charter, and the IRC will consider this in the redistricting process.

A Community of Interest is a contiguous population that shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its **effective and fair representation**.

Such shared interests include but are not limited to those common to areas in which the people share **similar living standards**, use the **same transportation** facilities, have **similar work opportunities**, or have access to the **same media** of communication relevant to the election process, as well as **neighborhoods**, **students**, organized student housing, shared age, and **racial demographics**.

Communities of Interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Public input is essential in cataloging and understanding the Communities of Interest within Berkeley. You know the most about your Community of Interest!

Please note that the COI Forms are not an effective way to communicate service requests to the City of Berkeley. While the IRC will take into account issues raised about city services, the IRC does not have the authority to dispatch public services. If you have specific issues for which you seek city services, then please call the City's Hotline, 311 or 510-981-2489.

Instructions for telling the IRC about your Community of Interest are at <https://www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting>. A paper Community of Interest Form with instructions is available at the Berkeley City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704.

You do not have to use the COI Form. You can mail or email a description of your Community of Interest in any format and language to the Berkeley City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704, or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info. The IRC will analyze, synthesize, and balance the public's input so that the final people's map is the fairest, most compliant map possible.

Submitted Community of Interest Forms and Proposed Maps are available for public viewing at <https://www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting>.

You may submit COI Forms and comments any time during the redistricting process.

Thank you for contributing to a fair people's map.

Tell us about your community!



Help shape the future of Berkeley’s Council districts

Every ten years after the Census, the City Council district boundaries are redrawn to ensure the districts are balanced for changes in population. **Complete this form to tell the Independent Redistricting Commission about your community of interest so it can be considered in the redistricting process.**

What is a “community of interest”?

A community of interest is a group of people in the same geographic area who share common social and economic interests.

Are there things that make your community unique when compared to surrounding areas? What characteristics do you share with your neighbors? How would you describe the area to a friend?

For example, some characteristics a community can share are:

- Identity
- Business districts
- Education
- Ancestry
- Shared transportation
- Cultural centers
- History
- Economic interests
- Language
- Neighborhoods


Redistricting laws also prohibit a few things from being included when drawing district boundaries – such as political parties and candidates, or where current councilmembers live.

Use our “Community of Interest Form” on the next page to describe your community. You can add more pages if needed.

Online web form available at: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

You can attend public meetings to give more input on this topic. Visit our webpage for dates and times: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/. You can also email the Commission at redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.

To provide feedback on City services or to request specific City services for your neighborhood, please call 311 or contact your Councilmember.



Community of Interest Form

Optional – Name / Email:

Which district do you live in? If you're not sure, write your address and we'll look it up:

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4
- District 5 District 6 District 7 District 8

What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the boundaries? Does your community/neighborhood have a name?

How is your community impacted by the City and the actions of the City Council?

What else would you like to tell us about your community? Add more pages if you need to.

Online web form available at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Questions or comments?

Email the Independent Redistricting Commission:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

Submit this form by:




Email:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



In person: City Clerk Department,
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor



Mail: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia
Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704



**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** BERKELEY

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

¡Cuéntenos de su comunidad!



Ayúdenos a definir el futuro de los distritos del Concejo de Berkeley

Cada diez años, tras el Censo se vuelven a trazar los límites distritales para asegurar un equilibrio debido al efectuarse cambios en la población. **Se le invita a llenar este formulario para informarle a la Comisión Independiente de Redistribución de Distritos sobre su comunidad de interés con el fin de ser considerada en el proceso de redistribución de distritos.**


¿Qué es una “comunidad de interés”?

Una comunidad de interés se refiere a un grupo de individuos que compartan una región geográfica al igual que intereses comunes sociales y económicos.

¿Hay elementos que determinen una singularidad en su comunidad al compararse con regiones cercanas? ¿Qué características comparte usted con sus vecinos? ¿Cómo le describiría la región a un amigo?

Por ejemplo, algunas características que podría compartir una comunidad son:

- Identidad
- Distritos comerciales
- Educación
- Ascendencia
- Transporte compartido
- Centros culturales
- Historia
- Intereses económicos
- Idioma
- Vecindarios



Las leyes referentes a la redistribución de distritos prohíben que se incluyan ciertas cosas al trazar los límites distritales – tales como partidos políticos y candidatos o donde viven los concejales actuales.

Use nuestro “Formulario de Comunidad de Interés” en la página siguiente para describir a su comunidad. Puede agregar más páginas si fuera necesario.

El formulario web está disponible en línea visitando:
cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

Si desea aportar más al respecto, se le invita asistir a las reuniones públicas. Para información referente a fechas y horarios, favor de visitar nuestra página web: cityofberkeley.info/redistricting. También puede comunicarse con la Comisión por correo electrónico redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.

Para ofrecer comentarios referentes a servicios municipales o para solicitar servicios específicos de la Ciudad para su vecindario, favor de llamar al 311 o comunicarse con su concejal.



Formulario de Comunidad de Interés

Opcional – Nombre / Correo electrónico:

¿En qué distrito vive usted? Si no está seguro, indíquenos su dirección y nosotros lo averiguaremos:

- Distrito 1 Distrito 2 Distrito 3 Distrito 4
 Distrito 5 Distrito 6 Distrito 7 Distrito 8

¿Cuáles son los intereses comunes de su comunidad? Describa cómo es que son importantes:

Explique la ubicación geográfica de su comunidad de interés. ¿Cuáles son sus límites o confines?
¿Tiene nombre su comunidad /vecindario?

¿Como le afectan las medidas del Concejo Municipal y la Ciudad en sí a su comunidad?

¿Que más le gustaría comentarnos con respecto a su comunidad? Agregue más paginas si fuera necesario.

El formulario web está disponible en línea visitando cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/

¿Tiene preguntas o comentarios?

Comuníqueseles a la Comisión Independiente de Redistribución de Distritos por correo electrónico al: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.

**INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION** **BERKELEY**

Mapeo de las comunidades de Berkeley: Redistribución de Distritos efectuada por el pueblo.

Entregue este formulario por:



Correo electrónico:
redistricting@cityofberkeley.info



En persona: Secretaría Municipal
[City Clerk Department]
2180 Milvia Street, 1er Piso



Por correo: City Clerk Dept., 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

PROPOSED MAPS

The Berkeley City Charter sets out the following criteria for redistricting:

...the [IRC] shall take into consideration topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity and compactness of territory of the districts, as well as existing **communities of interest** ... and shall utilize easily understood district boundaries such as major traffic arteries and geographic boundaries to the extent they are consistent with communities of interest. The geographic integrity of a neighborhood or **community of interest** shall be respected to the extent possible. ... Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party. ... The [IRC] shall not consider the residence of sitting Councilmembers.

Below are some definitions to help guide you in creating your Proposed Map.

- Nearly Equal Population: Acceptable difference between the smallest and largest district is no more 1,556 people or 10% of the equal district population number.
- Cohesiveness: When a neighborhood has defined territory and interests.
- Contiguity: All parts of a district are connected to one another.
- Compactness: Not bypassing nearby populated areas in favor of more distant populated areas.
- Integrity: Keep neighborhoods in one district.
- Census Block: Smallest unit of area for which Census population data exists; district boundaries cannot split a Census block.

Your Proposed Map does not have to meet all criteria. The IRC will accept every Proposed Map. The IRC will analyze, synthesize, and balance the public's input so that the final people's map is the fairest, most compliant map possible.

Your Proposed Map can include all districts, some districts, or just your district!


Create your Proposed Map based on the 2020 US Census data using the Maptitude online mapping tool: redistricting.cityofberkeley.info/berkeley

You also can draw your own map or pick up a paper Berkeley redistricting map at the Berkeley City Clerk Department, 2180 Milvia Street 1st Floor Berkeley CA 94704, and return it to that office or email an image to redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.

Link to file of [Official Berkeley Dataset](#) for population and census block data.



Submitted Proposed Maps and Community of Interest Forms are available for public viewing at <https://www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting>.

The deadline for Proposed Maps is November 15, 2021.



CITY OF BERKELEY
 Information Technology Department
 2180 Milvia Street, Berkeley CA 94704

- Schools**
- Berkeley Alternative High School
 - Berkeley Arts Magnet/Whitter
 - Berkeley High School
 - Rosa Parks Elementary School
 - Cragmont Elementary School
 - Emerson Elementary School
 - Berkeley Adult School
 - Jefferson Elementary School
 - John Muir Elementary School
 - LeConte Elementary School
 - Longfellow Magnet Middle School
 - Malcolm X Elementary School
 - Martin Luther King Middle School
 - Oxford Elementary School
 - Thousand Oaks Elementary School
 - Willard Middle School
- Libraries**
- North Branch Library
 - Claremont Library
 - South Branch Library
 - West Branch Library
 - Central Public Library
- Senior Centers**
- North Berkeley Senior Center
 - South Berkeley Senior Center
 - West Berkeley Senior Center
- Recreation Centers**
- Francis Albrier Community Center
 - James Kenney Community Center
 - MLK Jr. Youth Services Center
 - Willard Park Clubhouse
 - People's Park Facility
 - Live Oak Community Center
- Fire Stations/Administration**



HOW TO PROVIDE INPUT TO THE IRC

Community of Interest Forms

A **community of interest** is a contiguous population that shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation.

<https://www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting>

Or send your input on what your community of interest is to the City Clerk Department:

City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street,
1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Or email it to: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

You may submit COI Forms and comments any time during the redistricting process.

Proposed Maps

Use our online tool: redistricting.cityofberkeley.info/berkeley

Pick up a paper map from the City Clerk Department:

City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street,
1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Return it in-person, via mail, or email it to: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

The deadline for Proposed Maps is November 15th, 2021

General Public Feedback

Send your feedback to the City Clerk Department:

City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street,
1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704

Or email it to: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

You may submit comments any time during the redistricting process.

Redistricting Resources

Below are additional resources and tools for redistricting. Please be aware that these resources are in addition to the regulations that guide the City of Berkeley's redistricting process and should be used in conjunction with the [Berkeley Charter Article V Section 9.5](#) and [Municipal Code Chapter 2.10](#).

Redistricting Data by City

Contains datasets for the reallocated incarcerated persons, Citizen Voting Age Populations adjusted for reallocated incarcerated persons, and voter registration information on 2020 Census blocks. This is the official population data for redistricting purposes in Berkeley. <https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/cities.html#>

Census Bureau Data

Census Data, maps and tools for all the data across the United States <https://www.census.gov/data.html>

Census Bureau Data Tutorials

Training modules for comparing Census data over time and accessing demographics <https://www.census.gov/data/academy.html>

California Redistricting Commission

Data and information on the California State redistricting process <https://www.wedrawthelinesca.org/>

Fair Maps Act (AB 849 and AB 1276)

State Assembly bills that create standardized, fair redistricting criteria that keeps communities together, prohibits partisan gerrymandering for local districts, and adjusts timing of map adoption so they fit with the California election cycle. Please be advised that only certain portions of these bills apply to Charter Cities such as Berkeley.

[Assembly Bill 849](#)

[Assembly Bill 1276](#)

Redistricting Regulations

Berkeley Charter Article V

Section 9.5. Independent Redistricting Commission

The purposes of this Section are to: 1) establish a redistricting process that is open and transparent and allows public comment on the drawing of district boundaries; 2) ensure that City Council district boundaries are drawn according to the redistricting criteria set forth in this Charter and applicable State and Federal laws; and 3) ensure that the redistricting process is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations. In order to accomplish these purposes, an Independent Redistricting Commission (Commission) is hereby created.

(a) Duties and authority of Commission and City Council.

(1) The Independent Redistricting Commission shall be solely responsible for drawing City Council district boundaries in accordance with state and federal law and this Charter, and shall make adjustments as appropriate, taking into consideration public comment at public meetings and public hearings. The City Council shall have no role in developing or adopting a redistricting plan, and its sole responsibilities in redistricting shall be to: adopt an ordinance establishing procedures to implement this Section; adopt a redistricting ordinance as set forth in subdivision (d)(3); submit a final redistricting plan to the voters as set forth in subdivision (d)(4); submit a redistricting ordinance that is the subject of a referendum to the voters as set forth in subdivision (d)(5); and to adopt the redistricting plan determined by a special master as set forth in subdivision (d)(4).

(2) The City Council, as part of the adoption of the City Budget, shall allocate sufficient funds to support the work of the Independent Redistricting Commission, including funds necessary for community outreach, costs for city staff time associated with supporting the work of the Independent Redistricting Commission, and the hiring of any necessary consultants or outside counsel.

(3) The City Clerk or their designee shall serve as Secretary to the Commission.

(4) The City Council, by a two-thirds vote, shall adopt an ordinance establishing procedures to implement this Charter section. An implementation ordinance cannot be modified by the Council for a period of five years after initial adoption, and without a two-thirds vote of the Council, unless adoption of an amendment to the Charter, a change in applicable state or federal statute, or court decision necessitates an earlier modification.

Redistricting Regulations

(b) Appointment of Commission.

(1) Membership. The Commission shall consist of thirteen members, each of whom is a resident of the City of Berkeley. The application and selection process set forth below and by ordinance is intended to produce an Independent Redistricting Commission that is independent from legislative and political influence, and reasonably representative of the City's population.

(2) Term. Members of the Independent Redistricting Commission shall be appointed following each decennial federal census as set forth below. The term of office of each member of the Commission shall expire upon the effectiveness of a redistricting plan for that decennial federal census period.

(3) Qualifications and eligibility. All Berkeley residents who are 18 years of age or older at the time their application is submitted, are eligible for membership on the Independent Redistricting Commission, subject to the following limitations.

(i) The following individuals are prohibited from serving on the Citizens Redistricting Commission:

(A) any individual who currently holds, has held, or who has been a qualified candidate for the office of Mayor or City Councilmember within the two years preceding the date of application;

(B) any other individual who holds or has held any City of Berkeley elective office identified in this Charter within the two years preceding the date of application;

(C) the immediate family of the Mayor or any Councilmember, as well as immediate family of staff to the Mayor or Councilmember;

(D) any employee of the City of Berkeley;

(E) any person performing paid services under a contract with the City of Berkeley, including employees of subcontractors;

Redistricting Regulations

(F) any individual who has served as an officer, paid staff, or paid consultant of a campaign committee of a candidate for Mayor or City Council within the two years preceding the date of the application;

(G) any individual who is currently, or within the two years preceding the date of application, has been a paid staff member or unpaid intern to the Mayor or any Councilmember;

(H) any individual ineligible to serve in public office under Government Code sections [1021](#), [1021.5](#), [1770](#), or the Constitution and laws of the State of California.

(ii) If an applicant currently serves on a City of Berkeley board or commission whose members are appointed by the Mayor, a City Councilmember, or the full City Council, they may serve on the Independent Redistricting Commission if selected, provided they resign from the board or commission and they agree not to serve on another City of Berkeley board or commission during their term of office on the Independent Redistricting Commission.

(iii) If an applicant has made a disclosable monetary or non-monetary contribution to a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember, they shall be permitted to serve on the Independent Redistricting Commission if selected, under the condition that they disclose under penalty of perjury all monetary and non-monetary contributions made within the four years prior to the date of application to a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember in the City of Berkeley.

(iv) No person, within two years after the termination of their service on the Commission, will be eligible for employment as a paid staff member for the Mayor or any Councilmember or to serve on a City of Berkeley board or commission.

(4) Outreach. The City shall widely publicize the fact that an Independent Redistricting Commission will be appointed during the following year, the date by which applications for appointment to the Commission must be received, and such other information as will adequately inform potentially interested residents of the Commission. The City shall conduct outreach throughout the City of Berkeley in order to solicit a large pool of applicants and applicant diversity by race, ethnicity, gender, and geography.

Redistricting Regulations

(5) Application process. The City Clerk shall initiate and advertise a 30-day nomination period for appointment to the Independent Redistricting Commission. The nomination process shall be open to Berkeley residents who are 18 years of age or older at the time their application is submitted, and be conducted in a manner that promotes a diverse and qualified applicant pool.

(6) Selection process.

(i) The City Clerk shall screen all applications submitted to ensure that each applicant satisfies the eligibility criteria of subsection (b)(3)(i). Procedures to implement the nomination and screening process that are not specified in this Section will be specified in the implementing ordinance adopted by Council.

(ii) At a time and place open to the public, and subject to at least ten days' public notice, the City Clerk shall select the initial eight members of the Independent Redistricting Commission. The City Clerk shall randomly select one person from each of the eight council districts. The first person chosen from each pool shall be appointed to the Independent Redistricting Commission. The City Clerk shall then randomly select one additional individual from each of the eight council districts to serve as an alternate for the individual who has been appointed from that district. To implement this paragraph, the City Clerk shall determine a randomized method that meets professional standards and best achieves a random selection.

(iii) The Independent Redistricting Commission, consisting of the initial eight (8) members, shall then convene within ten days for the purpose of selecting the remaining five members and five alternates from the pool of eligible applicants. In appointing the remaining ("at-large") five members, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall attempt to achieve community representation by taking into consideration geographic diversity, race, age and gender. At-large alternates shall be appointed as voting members as the at-large commissioners leave office for any reason. The order in which the alternates shall be seated on the Commission as voting members shall be established by a random method at the time they are selected. All appointments under this paragraph shall be at a noticed meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission open to the public.

Redistricting Regulations

(c) Commission procedures.

(1) The Independent Redistricting Commission shall establish and implement an open process for public input and Commission deliberation that shall be promoted through a thorough outreach program to solicit broad public participation in the redistricting process. All Independent Redistricting Commission meetings shall be open to the public unless necessary to convene in closed session under California Government Code sections [54950](#) et seq. Members of the public shall have the opportunity to provide written and oral comments to the Independent Redistricting Commission. The Commission's process must be designed to provide the widest public access reasonably possible to draft redistricting maps and to provide ample opportunity for the public to observe and participate in the redistricting process.

(2) The City Manager shall produce redistricting plans and maps based on specific direction from the Commission. The Commission shall also accept and consider maps that are submitted by the public.

(d) Commission redistricting proceedings.

(1) The Independent Redistricting Commission shall adopt City Council district boundaries no later than February 1st of the second year after the year in which each decennial federal census is taken, or nine months after final adjustments are made to the census data, whichever is later. The boundaries shall be effective until the adoption of new district boundaries following the next decennial federal census. The City Council may not rescind, supersede or revise the district boundaries adopted by the Independent Redistricting Commission.

(2) Decisions by the Independent Redistricting Commission to adopt a redistricting plan shall be by seven votes of the Commission.

(3) Concurrently with its adoption of a redistricting plan, the Commission shall issue a report that explains its decisions in achieving compliance with the criteria listed in this Section and shall include definitions of the terms and standards used in drawing the final City Council districts map. The redistricting plan adopted by the Commission shall be submitted to the City Council at its next regular or special meeting consistent with Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter [2.06](#), and the City Council shall at that meeting adopt a redistricting ordinance that implements the redistricting plan without change.

Redistricting Regulations

(4) Impasse procedure. If the Commission is unable to achieve seven affirmative votes to adopt a redistricting plan, then the Commission shall submit to the City Council the map which received the most votes of the Commission to be placed on the ballot. In the event that redistricting plan is rejected by the voters, the Commission shall have 30 days to adopt a new redistricting plan by seven affirmative votes. If the Commission, after rejection of the map by the voters, cannot adopt a final redistricting plan by seven affirmative votes, then the Commission shall request that the City Clerk recommend a list of at least three special masters to develop a redistricting plan. The Commission shall consider the recommendations of the City Clerk and select a special master, by majority vote, to develop a redistricting plan. The City Council shall adopt by ordinance the redistricting plan determined by the special master.

(5) A redistricting ordinance adopted by the City Council shall be subject to referendum in the same manner that an ordinance is subject to referendum pursuant to state law and Article XIV of the City Charter. The date of final adoption of the ordinance by the City Council shall be deemed the date of final passage for the purposes of Section 93 of the Charter. The procedures of Section 93 shall apply to a referendum of a redistricting ordinance, except that if a referendum petition is signed by the requisite number of qualified electors the City Council shall submit the ordinance to the voters at the next General Municipal Election.

(e) Removal of Commissioners.

(1) Commissioners should apply the law in a manner that is impartial and reinforces public confidence and integrity in the redistricting process.

(2) In the event of substantial neglect of duty, gross misconduct in office or inability to discharge the duties of office, or if it is determined that a commissioner is ineligible under subdivision (b)(3), a Commissioner may be removed by a two-thirds vote of the Independent Redistricting Commission, after having been served written notice and provided with an opportunity to respond.

(3) Any vacancy, whether created by removal, resignation, or absence pursuant to Berkeley Municipal Code Section [3.02.020](#) or its successor, shall be filled by the alternate for that Commission seat selected at the time of the original selection. If the alternate is unable to serve, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall fill the vacancy by selecting an applicant from the original pool of applicants by a two-thirds vote at a noticed

Redistricting Regulations

meeting open to the public. If the seat to be filled is one representing a specific City Council district, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall appoint an individual who resides in that City Council district.

(4) No disqualification of a commissioner shall have any effect on the validity of any action by the Commission or any redistricting map it may adopt.

(f) Criteria for redistricting.

(1) The Commission shall adjust the boundaries of City Council districts in a manner that complies with the Constitution and statutes of the United States and the State of California, in order that the eight City Council districts shall be as nearly equal in population as may be according to the most recent decennial federal census, except where deviation is required to comply with the federal Voting Rights Act.

(2) In establishing and modifying district boundaries, the Independent Redistricting Commission shall take into consideration topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity and compactness of territory of the districts, as well as existing communities of interest as defined below, and shall utilize easily understood district boundaries such as major traffic arteries and geographic boundaries to the extent they are consistent with communities of interest. The geographic integrity of a neighborhood or community of interest shall be respected to the extent possible without violating State or Federal law or the requirements of this Section. For purposes of this subsection “communities of interest” shall mean the following: A community of interest is a contiguous population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Such shared interests include but are not limited to those common to areas in which the people share similar living standards, use the same transportation facilities, have similar work opportunities, or have access to the same media of communication relevant to the election process, as well as neighborhoods, students, organized student housing, shared age, and racial demographics. Communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(3) Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party.

Redistricting Regulations

(4) The Independent Redistricting Commission may consider existing district boundaries as a basis for developing new district boundaries. Should the Commission deviate substantially in its redistricting plan from the previous district boundaries in order to reflect population growth, protect communities of interest or better comply with the redistricting criteria in the Charter, it shall issue a report explaining its reasons for doing so.

(5) The Independent Redistricting Commission shall not consider the residence of sitting Councilmembers.

(6) If the Independent Redistricting Commission adopts a redistricting plan that removes the residence of a sitting Councilmember from their then-current district, that Councilmember shall continue to serve on the City Council until the expiration of their term.

(g) Severability. Should any provision of this Section be held invalid, the remainder of this Section shall not be affected thereby, and such word, phrase, sentence, part, section, subsection, or other portion shall be severable, and the remaining provisions of this Section shall remain in full force and effect. The voters hereby declare that they would have passed this Section and each subsection, sentence, clause and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases had been declared invalid

Redistricting Regulations

Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.10

Citizens Redistricting Commission

2.10.010 Purpose.

The purpose of this Chapter is to implement Article V, Section 9.5 of the Charter, which provides for the decennial establishment of a Citizens Redistricting Commission in order to ensure an open and transparent redistricting process that allows public comment on the drawing of district boundaries and is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.020 Definitions.

- A. “Commission” means the Citizens Redistricting Commission.
- B. “Immediate Family” means a spouse, domestic partner, cohabitant, child, stepchild, grandchild, parent, stepparent, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, grandparent, great grandparent, brother, sister, half-brother, half-sister, stepsibling, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, aunt, uncle, niece, nephew, or first cousin (that is, a child of an aunt or uncle).
- C. “Special Master” means an individual with the requisite expertise and qualification on the subject of redistricting that is appointed by the commission to create a council district map pursuant to the impasse procedures of the City Charter. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.030 Commission Composition.

- A. The Commission shall consist of eight (8) District Commissioners (one (1) for each Council district) and five (5) At-Large Commissioners.
- B. There shall be eight (8) Alternate District Commissioners and five (5) alternate At-Large Commissioners. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.040 Duties of the City Clerk.

- A. Beginning no later than September 1st of the year in which the decennial federal census is taken, the City Clerk shall conduct public outreach as specified in Charter Section 9.5(b)(4).

Redistricting Regulations

- B. No later than February 1st of the year after the decennial federal census is taken, the City Clerk shall initiate the nomination process as specified in Charter Section 9.5(b)(5).
- C. The City Clerk shall develop and recommend a budget for the Commission sufficient to carry out the requirements of the City Charter and this Chapter.
- D. The City Clerk or City Clerk's designee shall serve as Secretary to the Citizens Redistricting Commission.
- E. At each meeting or public hearing of the Commission, the City Clerk shall make available for public viewing copies of each Commissioner's application to serve on the Commission as well as copies of all political, financial or other disclosures required of each Commissioner by Section 9.5 of the Charter or any other provision of City or state law, including but not limited to each Commissioner's Statement of Economic Interests and any disclosures under Charter Section 9.5(b)(3)(iii). (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.050 Application and Selection of Commissioners.

- A. All applicants shall submit their application on a form provided by the City Clerk, which shall include a declaration under penalty of perjury that the applicant meets the eligibility criteria set forth in Charter Section 9.5 and this Chapter, has made all required disclosures, and that the statements they are making are true and correct.
- B. The Commission application shall include questions asking if an applicant falls into one or more of the prohibited categories set forth in Charter Section 9.5(b)(3)(i).
 - 1. If an applicant discloses that they fall into any of the prohibited categories set forth in Charter Section 9.5(b)(3)(i), the applicant shall be removed from the applicant pool and shall not be considered for appointment.
 - 2. If it is determined at any point during the selection process that an applicant falls into one or more of the prohibited categories as set forth in Charter Section 9.5(b)(3)(i) then that applicant shall be disqualified.
 - 3. If, after being selected and appointed to the Commission, it is determined that a Commissioner falls into one of the prohibited categories set forth in Charter

Redistricting Regulations

Section 9.5(b)(3)(i), the Commissioner shall be immediately removed from the Commission, as provided for in Charter Section 9.5(e) and this Chapter.

C. All applicants shall affirm that, if selected, they shall comply with all requirements of the Charter and this Chapter applicable to members of the Commission.

D. Applicants shall file a Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700), provide a written statement of qualifications not longer than three hundred (300) words expressing why they believe they are qualified to serve on the Commission, and consent to a background check if appointed. Promptly after reviewing the background check to determine eligibility, the City Clerk shall either return it to the applicant or destroy it.

E. To the extent permitted by law, all application forms, materials and disclosures shall be kept confidential, and shall not be released to the public until all thirteen (13) Commissioners have been appointed.

F. After closure of the 30-day nomination period provided for in Charter Section 9.5(b)(5), the City Clerk shall remove from the applicant pool any applicants who do not satisfy the eligibility criteria set forth in Charter Section 9.5(b)(3), and shall divide the remaining applicants into separate pools, one for each then-existing City Council district in which the applicants reside. If there is an insufficient number of applicants in the pool of eligible applicants to fill a district seat or an alternate seat, the Commission may request, by a majority vote, that the City Clerk conduct a new, accelerated nomination and selection process to add additional applicants to the pool of eligible applicants.

G. The selection process for District Commissioners and At-Large Commissioners shall be conducted in accordance with Section 9.5(b)(6) of the City Charter and this Chapter.

H. When selecting the five (5) At-Large Commissioners, if there is an insufficient number of applicants in the remaining pool of eligible applicants to fill five (5) at-large seats and five (5) alternate at-large seats, the Commission may request, by a majority vote, that the City Clerk conduct a new, accelerated nomination and selection process to add additional applicants to the remaining pool of eligible applicants.

1. Once the pool of eligible applicants has a sufficient number of applicants to fill five (5) at-large seats and five (5) alternate at-large seats, the Commission shall, by a majority vote, select five (5) additional individuals from the remaining pool to serve

Redistricting Regulations

as At-Large Commissioners and five (5) more individuals to serve as alternate At-Large Commissioners.

I. All commissioners and alternate commissioners shall swear or affirm the oath for public officials prescribed by the California Constitution. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.060 Commission procedures.

A. The Commission shall operate under the provisions of the Commissioners' Manual, as adopted by resolution of the City Council, except when superseded by the City Charter or the provisions of this Chapter.

B. After selection of the five (5) At-Large Commissioners pursuant to Charter Section 9.5(b)(6)(iii), the Commission shall elect one (1) of its members to serve as Chair and one (1) to serve as the Vice-Chair. The term of the Chair and Vice-Chair is the term of their service on the Commission as defined in Charter Section 9.5(b)(2), unless the Chair or Vice-Chair resign the position or is removed from the position by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the Commission. The Commissioner selected by the body to fill a vacancy in the position of Chair or Vice-Chair shall serve the remainder of the term.

C. Once all commissioners and alternate commissioners have completed training related to their service on the Commission, the Commission shall convene for the purpose of drawing City Council district boundaries.

D. For the purpose of selecting the At-Large Commissioners and At-Large Alternates, a quorum of the eight (8) District Commissioners is always five (5), and five (5) affirmative votes are always needed to take action unless otherwise specified by statute.

E. A quorum of the full thirteen (13) member Commission is always seven (7), and seven (7) affirmative votes are always needed to take action unless otherwise specified by statute.

F. Commissioners may make a request for a Leave of Absence from a Commission meeting, or for a period of time not to exceed three (3) months, by submitting a written request to the City Clerk by 5:00pm on the business day prior to the Commission meeting. The temporary vacancy will be filled as specified in Charter Section 9.5(e)(3).

Redistricting Regulations

G. The Commission shall comply with all relevant provisions of the Open Government Ordinance (Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter [2.06](#)).

H. The Commission shall hold at least three (3) public hearings, each at a different location, to solicit public input on redistricting priorities and allow for submission of redistricting proposals by members of the public and public review of and input on any map proposed to be adopted by the Commission. The Commission shall display draft redistricting maps for public comment in a manner designed to achieve the widest public access reasonably possible and shall provide ample opportunity for public input.

I. Commissioners are strictly prohibited from communicating with or initiating or receiving communications about redistricting matters from anyone outside of a public meeting or hearing; however, communications outside of a meeting between Commissioners, staff, legal counsel, and consultants retained by the City, that are otherwise permitted by the Brown Act (California Government Code Section [54950](#) et seq.) or its successor, are not prohibited. The receipt of written communications (whether through paper or electronic format) from the public submitted at a public meeting of the Commission or submitted prior to a Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting to the Secretary and made part of the public record are not prohibited. Any communication received by a Commissioner inconsistent with this subsection shall be promptly disclosed to the Secretary for the public record. Failure to disclose received communications or a Commissioner's response to such communications may be considered gross misconduct and grounds for removal from the Commission.

J. Alternate commissioners may attend Commission meetings, other than closed session meetings under the Brown Act, and may give public comment to the Commission. Unless appointed to serve on the Commission, Alternate Commissioners may not vote in Commission meetings or hearings.

K. Upon the expiration of thirty (30) days after the Council's final approval by ordinance of the Commission's redistricting plan, the City Clerk shall submit the new district boundaries to the Alameda County Registrar of Voters for implementation starting with the next General Municipal Election. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.070 Removal of Commissioners and Alternate Commissioners.

If a Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner is eligible to be removed pursuant to Charter Section 9.5(e), the Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner shall be provided

Redistricting Regulations

written notice. The vote to remove the Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner shall appear on the next Commission agenda for which no posting or publication deadline has passed, occurring not more than thirty (30) days from the date the notice was mailed. The Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner may provide a written response or may provide a verbal response at the meeting of the Commission where the vote for removal will occur. The Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner may be removed by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the Commission. A Commissioner or Alternate Commissioner subject to removal may vote on their own removal. The decision of the Commission is final and may not be appealed. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.080 Selection of Special Master.

In the event of an impasse in which the City Clerk is required to recommend, and the Commission is required to select, a Special Master pursuant to Charter Section 9.5(d)(4), the City Clerk and Commission shall consider retired judges, professors with knowledge about redistricting and reapportionment law, experts with experience advising government agencies on redistricting, and other persons with appropriate knowledge, expertise and experience. The Commission must select a Special Master within thirty (30) days of the City Clerk presenting their recommendations. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

2.10.090 Compensation.

A. Voting members of the Commission shall be compensated at a rate of one hundred dollars (\$100) per meeting for attendance at a regular meeting of the full Commission to compensate for the time the Commissioner is engaged in Commission business.

B. The rate of compensation for Commissions seated after each of the subsequent federal decennial censuses will be calculated based on the increase in the Consumer Price Index over the compensation provided for in paragraph (A) of this section.

C. Members of the Commission who meet eligibility requirements may also receive reimbursement for expenses for child care, dependent care, or disabled support services in the same manner as members of City boards and commissions. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

Redistricting Regulations

2.10.100 Severability.

Should any provision of this Chapter be held invalid, the remainder of this Chapter shall not be affected thereby, and such word, phrase, sentence, part, section, subsection, or other portion shall be severable, and the remaining provisions of this Chapter shall remain in full force and effect. The voters hereby declare that they would have passed this Chapter and each subsection, sentence, clause, and phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more subsections, sentences, clauses, or phrases had been declared invalid, and that each subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, word, or other portion is therefore explicitly severable, part-by-part, phrase-by-phrase, and word-by-word, and that if any portion is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unlawful, unenforceable, or otherwise void, voidable, or invalid, that the least amount of language possible shall be severed from the Chapter. (Ord. 7699-NS § 1 (part), 2020)

Redistricting Regulations

Fair Maps Act (AB 849)

SECTION 1.

This act shall be known, and may be cited, as the Fair And Inclusive Redistricting for Municipalities And Political Subdivisions (FAIR MAPS) Act.

SEC. 28.

Section 21620 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21620.

(a) This article applies to charter cities that elect members of the charter city's legislative body by districts or from districts, as defined in Section 34871 of the Government Code.

(b) This article shall not be interpreted to limit the discretionary remedial authority of any federal or state court.

SEC. 29.

Section 21621 of the Elections Code is repealed.

SEC. 30.

Section 21621 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21621.

(a) Following each federal decennial census, and using that census as a basis, the council shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the council districts of the city so that the council districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.

(1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the city as determined by the census.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person, as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a city's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the city, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.

Redistricting Regulations

(b) The council shall adopt council district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).

(c) The council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:

(1) To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

(2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(3) Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the city.

(4) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, council districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

(d) The council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

SEC. 31.

Section 21622 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21622.

(a) The boundaries of the council districts shall be adopted by the council no earlier than August 1, 2021, and August 1 in each year ending in the number one thereafter, but no later than 151 days before the city’s next regular election occurring after March 1, 2022, and after March 1 in each year ending in the number two thereafter. However, this

Redistricting Regulations

subdivision does not prohibit the council from holding public hearings or workshops on the placement of council district boundaries before August 1.

(b) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted a different redistricting deadline by ordinance or in its city charter before October 1, 2021, and October 1 of each year ending in the number one thereafter.

SEC. 32.

Section 21623 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21623.

(a) If the boundaries of a city expand by the addition of new territory, including through annexation of unincorporated territory or consolidation with another city, the council shall add that new territory to the nearest existing council district without changing the boundaries of other council district boundaries.

(b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the council may adopt new boundaries for each council district if both of the following conditions are met:

(1) There are more than four years until the council is next required to redistrict pursuant to Section 21621.

(2) The population of the new territory being annexed or consolidated is greater than 25 percent of the city's population as determined by the most recent federal decennial census.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted, by ordinance or in its city charter, a different standard for adding new territory to existing council districts.

SEC. 33.

Section 21625 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21625.

(a) After redistricting or districting pursuant to Section 21621 or 21623, a council shall not adopt new council district boundaries until after the next federal decennial census, except under the following circumstances:

(1) A court orders the council to redistrict.

Redistricting Regulations

(2) The council is settling a legal claim that its council district boundaries violate the United States Constitution, the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.), or this article.

(3) The boundaries of the city expand by the addition of new territory pursuant to Section 21623.

(b) This section does not prohibit a council from adopting council districts between federal decennial censuses if the council is adopting council districts for the first time, including when a city adopts council districts for the purpose of transitioning from electing its council members in at-large elections to elections by districts or from districts.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted different rules for mid-cycle redistricting in its city charter.

SEC. 34.

Section 21626 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21626.

(a) The term of office of any council member who has been elected and whose term of office has not expired shall not be affected by any change in the boundaries of the district from which the council member was elected.

(b) At the first election for council members in each city following adoption of the boundaries of council districts, a council member shall be elected for each district under the new district plan that has the same district number as a district whose incumbent's term is due to expire.

(c) The successor to the office in a council district for which the boundaries have changed shall be a resident and voter of that council district.

SEC. 35.

Section 21627 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21627.

Before adopting the boundaries of a council district pursuant to Section 21621, 21623, or 21624, or for any other reason, the council shall hold public hearings on the proposal, in accordance with Section 21627.1. This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

Redistricting Regulations

SEC. 36.

Section 21627.1 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21627.1.

(a) Before adopting a final map, the council shall hold at least four public hearings at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of one or more council districts.

(1) At least one public hearing shall be held before the council draws a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

(2) At least two public hearings shall be held after the council has drawn a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

(b) At least one public hearing or public workshop shall be held on a Saturday, on a Sunday, or after 6 p.m. on a weekday Monday through Friday.

(c) Public hearing buildings shall be accessible to persons with disabilities.

(d) If a public hearing is consolidated with a regular or special meeting of the council that includes other substantive agenda items, the public hearing shall begin at a fixed time regardless of its order on the agenda, except that the council may first conclude any item being discussed or acted upon, including any associated public comment, when that time occurs. The time of the public hearing shall be noticed to the public.

(e) The council may have city staff or a consultant conduct one or more public workshops in lieu of holding one of the public hearings required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a).

(f) The council may establish an advisory redistricting commission pursuant to Section 23002 to hold the public hearings required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a).

SEC. 37.

Section 21628 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21628.

(a) The council shall take steps to encourage residents, including those in underrepresented communities and non-English speaking communities, to participate in the redistricting public review process. These steps shall include a good faith effort to do all of the following:

Redistricting Regulations

(1) Providing information to media organizations that provide city news coverage, including media organizations that serve language minority communities.

(2) Providing information through good government, civil rights, civic engagement, or community groups or organizations that are active in the city, including those active in language minority communities, or that have requested to be notified concerning city redistricting.

(b) The council shall arrange for the live translation of a public hearing or workshop held pursuant to this article in an applicable language if a request for translation is made at least 72 hours before the hearing or workshop, unless less than five days' notice are provided for the hearing or workshop, in which case the request shall be made at least 48 hours before the hearing or workshop.

(c) Notwithstanding Section 54954.2 of the Government Code, the council shall publish the date, time, and location for any public hearing or workshop on the internet at least five days before the hearing or workshop. However, if there are fewer than 179 days until the city's next regular election, the council may publish the agenda on the internet for at least three days before the hearing or workshop.

(d) (1) A draft map shall be published on the internet for at least seven days before being adopted as a final map by the council provided that, if there are fewer than 179 days until the city's next regular election, the draft map may instead be published on the internet for at least three days.

(2) Each draft map prepared by a member of the council or by employees of the city shall be accompanied with information on the total population, citizen voting age population, and racial and ethnic characteristics of the citizen voting age population of each proposed council district, to the extent the city has that data.

(e) The council shall allow the public to submit testimony or draft maps in writing and electronically.

(f) The city shall either record or prepare a written summary of each public comment and council deliberation made at every public hearing or workshop held pursuant to this article. The city shall make the recording or written summary available to the public within two weeks after the public hearing or workshop.

(g) The council shall establish, and maintain for at least 10 years after the adoption of new council district boundaries, an internet web page dedicated to redistricting. The web

Redistricting Regulations

page may be hosted on the city's existing internet website or another internet website maintained by the city. The web page shall include, or link to, all of the following information:

- (1) A general explanation of the redistricting process for the city in English and any applicable language.
- (2) The procedures for a member of the public to testify during a public hearing or to submit written testimony directly to the council in English and any applicable language.
- (3) A calendar of all public hearing and workshop dates. A calendar listing that includes the time and location of the public hearing or workshop satisfies the notice required by subdivision (c).
- (4) The notice and agenda for each public hearing and workshop.
- (5) The recording or written summary of each public hearing and workshop.
- (6) Each draft map considered by the council at a public hearing.
- (7) The adopted final map of council district boundaries.
- (h) For purposes of this section, "applicable language" means any language that is spoken by a group of city residents with limited English proficiency who constitute 3 percent or more of the city's total population over four years of age for whom language can be determined. Before January 1, 2021, and before January 1 in every year ending in the number one thereafter, the Secretary of State shall post the applicable languages for each city in a conspicuous location on the Secretary of State's internet website. To determine the applicable languages for each city, in 2020 and in each year ending in the number zero thereafter, the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Statewide Database, shall request a special tabulation from the United States Bureau of the Census of the most recent data on limited English proficiency from the bureau's American Community Survey that satisfies this subdivision. If the bureau is unable to produce that data, the Secretary of State shall base the Secretary of State's determination on the table from the American Community Survey enumerating the number of residents with limited English proficiency that has the largest number of languages included, that is publicly available, and that was produced within the previous ten years.
- (i) This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

Redistricting Regulations

(j) Before January 1, 2021, and before January in each year ending in the number one thereafter, the Secretary of State shall publish on the internet a template explaining the city redistricting process that meets the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2), inclusive, of subdivision (g). The Secretary of State shall publish the template in all of the languages into which ballots are required to be translated in the state pursuant to subdivision (h). The template shall be published in the same conspicuous location on the Secretary of State's internet website that is described in subdivision (h).

SEC. 38.

Section 21629 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21629.

(a) If the council does not adopt council district boundaries by the deadlines set forth in Section 21622 or subdivision (a) or (b) of Section 21624, the council shall immediately petition the superior court in the county in which the city is located for an order adopting council district boundaries. If the council does not petition the superior court within five days after the deadline, any resident of the city may file that petition and shall be entitled to recover the resident's reasonable attorney's fees and costs from the city for doing so.

(b) (1) Upon finding that a petition filed pursuant to this subdivision is valid, the superior court shall adopt council district boundaries in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section 21621, which shall be used in the city's next regular election. The superior court may also order the adjustment of electoral deadlines as necessary to implement the new council district boundaries in the next regular election.

(2) The superior court may appoint a special master to assist the court with adopting the council district boundaries. The city shall pay the cost for the special master and associated costs.

(3) The superior court or the special master shall hold one or more public hearings before the superior court adopts the council district boundaries.

(4) Subject to the approval of the superior court, the special master may employ redistricting experts or other consultants or counsel, independent experts in the field of redistricting and computer technology, and other necessary personnel to assist them in their work. In addition, the special master may seek the full cooperation of the city in producing and using whatever data, computer models and programs, and technical assistance that was made available to the council and city personnel who are

Redistricting Regulations

knowledgeable in the mechanics of drafting redistricting legislation. The superior court may assist the special master in securing the necessary personnel and the physical facilities required for their work, and to prepare for the prompt submission to the city of a request for city funding for the necessary expenses of the special master and the special master's staff.

(5) The council district boundaries adopted by the superior court shall be immediately effective in the same manner as if the court's order were an enacted resolution or ordinance of the city council.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted in its city charter a different method for adopting city council district boundaries when a redistricting deadline is missed.

SEC. 39.

Section 34874 of the Government Code is amended to read:

34874.

(a) An amendatory ordinance altering the boundaries of the legislative districts established pursuant to this article shall not be submitted to the registered voters until the ordinance has been submitted to the planning commission of the city or, in absence of a planning commission, to the legislative body of said city for an examination as to the definiteness and certainty of the boundaries of the legislative districts proposed.

(b) An amendatory ordinance altering the boundaries of legislative districts shall comply with the requirements and criteria of Section 21601 or 21621 of the Elections Code, as applicable.

SEC. 40.

Section 34877.5 of the Government Code is amended to read:

34877.5.

(a) After an ordinance is passed by the voters pursuant to Section 34876.5, or after an ordinance is enacted by the legislative body pursuant to Section 34886, the legislative body shall prepare a proposed map that describes the boundaries and numbers of the districts for the legislative body. In preparing the proposed map, the legislative body shall comply with the requirements and criteria of Section 21601 or 21621 of the Elections

Redistricting Regulations

Code, as applicable, and shall seek public input, including accepting proposed maps submitted by the public.

(b) If the legislative body is changing from an at-large method of election to a district-based election, as those terms are defined in Section 14026 of the Elections Code, the legislative body shall hold public hearings pursuant to Section 10010 of the Elections Code. If the legislative body is otherwise adjusting the district boundaries, the legislative body shall hold public hearings on the proposed district boundaries pursuant to Section 21607 or 21627 of the Elections Code, as applicable.

SEC. 41.

Section 34884 of the Government Code is amended to read:

34884.

(a) If, at the time a vote is held on the subject of incorporation of a new city, a majority of the votes cast is for incorporation and, if, in accordance with Section 57116, a majority of the votes cast on the question of whether members of the city council in future elections are to be elected by district or at large is in favor of election by district, all of the following procedures apply:

(1) Before the first day on which voters may nominate candidates for election at the next regular municipal election, the legislative body shall, by ordinance or resolution, establish the boundaries of the districts of the legislative body. The districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution. The districts shall comply with the requirements and criteria of Section 21601 or 21621 of the Elections Code, as applicable.

(2) The terms of office of the two members elected with the lowest vote shall expire on the Tuesday succeeding the next regular municipal election. At that election, members shall be elected by district in the even-numbered districts and shall hold office for four years.

(3) The terms of office of the three members elected with the highest vote shall expire on the Tuesday succeeding the second regular municipal election following the incorporation. At that election, members shall be elected by district in the odd-numbered districts and shall hold office for four years.

Redistricting Regulations

(b) The result of the vote cast on the question of whether members of the city council in future elections are to be elected by district or at large shall not preclude the submission to the voters at any future election of a measure in accordance with Section 34871.

SEC. 42.

Section 34886 of the Government Code is amended to read:

34886.

Notwithstanding Section 34871 or any other law, the legislative body of a city may adopt an ordinance that requires the members of the legislative body to be elected by district or by district with an elective mayor, as described in subdivisions (a) and (c) of Section 34871, without being required to submit the ordinance to the voters for approval. An ordinance adopted pursuant to this section shall comply with the requirements and criteria of Section 21601 or 21621 of the Elections Code, as applicable, and include a declaration that the change in the method of electing members of the legislative body is being made in furtherance of the purposes of the California Voting Rights Act of 2001 (Chapter 1.5 (commencing with Section 14025) of Division 14 of the Elections Code).

SEC. 43.

The district boundary criteria specified in this act apply to supervisorial and council district boundaries that are adopted or readopted on or after January 1, 2020. Supervisorial and council district boundaries adopted before January 1, 2020, shall comply with the applicable district boundary criteria in effect at the time of their adoption.

SEC. 44.

If the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

Redistricting Regulations

Fair Maps Act (AB 1276)

SEC. 17.

Section 21620 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21620.

(a) This article applies to a charter city that elects members of the city's legislative body by districts or from districts, as defined in Section 34871 of the Government Code.

(b) This article shall not be interpreted to limit the discretionary remedial authority of any federal or state court.

SEC. 18.

Section 21621 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21621.

(a) Following a city's decision to elect its council using district-based elections, or following each federal decennial census for a city whose council is already elected using district-based elections, the council shall, by ordinance or resolution, adopt boundaries for all of the council districts of the city so that the council districts shall be substantially equal in population as required by the United States Constitution.

(1) Population equality shall be based on the total population of residents of the city as determined by the most recent federal decennial census for which the redistricting data described in Public Law 94-171 are available.

(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), an incarcerated person, as that term is used in Section 21003, shall not be counted towards a city's population, except for an incarcerated person whose last known place of residence may be assigned to a census block in the city, if information about the last known place of residence for incarcerated persons is included in the computerized database for redistricting that is developed in accordance with subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code, and that database is made publicly available.

(b) The council shall adopt council district boundaries that comply with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.).

Redistricting Regulations

(c) The council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:

(1) To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

(2) To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(3) Council district boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. To the extent practicable, council districts shall be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, by streets, or by the boundaries of the city.

(4) To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, council districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.

(d) The council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

(e) Subdivision (c) does not apply to a charter city that has adopted comprehensive or exclusive redistricting criteria in its city charter. For purposes of this subdivision, “comprehensive or exclusive” means either that the city’s charter excludes consideration of redistricting criteria other than those that are identified in the city charter or that the city’s charter provides two or more traditional criteria for redistricting other than the requirement that districts be equal in population.

SEC. 19.

Section 21622 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

Redistricting Regulations

21622.

(a) (1) For redistricting occurring in 2031 and thereafter, the boundaries of the council districts shall be adopted by the council not later than 205 days before the city's next regular election occurring after January 1 in each year ending in the number two.

(2) For redistricting occurring before 2031 and where a city has a regular election occurring after January 1, 2022 and before July 1, 2022, the boundaries of the council districts shall be adopted by the council not later than 174 days before that election. For cities that charge candidates a filing fee, notwithstanding subdivision (b) of Section 8106, the forms required under that subdivision shall not be made available until at least 28 days after the adoption of a final map. The elections official shall reduce the required number of signatures for the in-lieu-filing-fee petition, as specified in subdivision (a) of Section 8106, by the same proportion as the reduction in time for the candidate to collect signatures.

(3) For redistricting occurring before 2031 and where a city does not have a regular election occurring after January 1, 2022 and before July 1, 2022, the boundaries of the council districts shall be adopted by the council not later than 205 days before the city's next regular election occurring on or after July 1, 2022.

(b) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted a different redistricting deadline by ordinance or in its city charter.

(c) This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

SEC. 20.

Section 21623 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21623.

(a) If the boundaries of a city expand by the addition of new territory, including through annexation of unincorporated territory or consolidation with another city, the council shall add that new territory to the nearest existing council district without changing the boundaries of other council district boundaries.

(b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the council may adopt new boundaries for each council district under the circumstances described in subdivision (a) if both of the following conditions are met:

Redistricting Regulations

(1) There are more than four years until the council is next required to redistrict pursuant to Section 21621.

(2) The population of the new territory being annexed or consolidated is greater than 25 percent of the city's population as determined by the most recent federal decennial census.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted, by ordinance or in its city charter, a different standard for adding new territory to existing council districts.

SEC. 21.

Section 21625 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21625.

(a) After redistricting or districting pursuant to Section 21621 or 21623, a council shall not adopt new council district boundaries until after the next federal decennial census, except under the following circumstances:

(1) A court orders the council to redistrict.

(2) The council is settling a legal claim that its council district boundaries violate the United States Constitution, the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (52 U.S.C. Sec. 10301 et seq.), or this article.

(3) The boundaries of the city change by the addition of territory pursuant to Section 21623 or by the subtraction of territory.

(b) This section does not prohibit a council from adopting council districts between federal decennial censuses if the council is adopting council districts for the first time, including when a city adopts council districts for the purpose of transitioning from electing its council members in at-large elections to elections by districts or from districts.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted different rules for mid-cycle redistricting in its city charter.

SEC. 22.

Section 21626 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

Redistricting Regulations

21626.

(a) The term of office of any council member who has been elected and whose term of office has not expired shall not be affected by any change in the boundaries of the district from which the council member was elected.

(b) At the first election for council members in each city following adoption of the boundaries of council districts, excluding a special election to fill a vacancy or a recall election, a council member shall be elected for each district under the new district plan that has the same district number as a district whose incumbent's term is due to expire. This subdivision does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

(c) For a city employing both a primary and a general election, a change in the boundaries of a council district shall not be made between the direct primary election and the general election.

(d) Except as provided in subdivision (a), a person is not eligible to hold office as a member of a city council unless that person meets the requirements of Section 201 of the Elections Code and Section 34882 of the Government Code.

SEC. 23.

Section 21627 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21627.

Before adopting the boundaries of a council district pursuant to Section 21621 or 21623, or for any other reason, the council shall hold public hearings on the proposal in accordance with Section 21627.1. This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

SEC. 24.

Section 21627.1 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21627.1.

(a) Before adopting a final map, the council shall hold at least four public hearings at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of one or more council districts.

(1) At least one public hearing shall be held before the council draws a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

Redistricting Regulations

(2) At least two public hearings shall be held after the council has drawn a draft map or maps of the proposed council boundaries.

(b) At least one public hearing or public workshop shall be held on a Saturday, on a Sunday, or after 6 p.m. on a weekday Monday through Friday.

(c) Public hearing buildings shall be accessible to persons with disabilities.

(d) If a public hearing is consolidated with a regular or special meeting of the council that includes other substantive agenda items, the public hearing shall begin at a fixed time regardless of its order on the agenda, except that the council may first conclude any item being discussed or acted upon, including any associated public comment, when that time occurs. The time of the public hearing shall be noticed to the public.

(e) The council may have city staff or a consultant conduct one or more public workshops in lieu of holding one of the public hearings required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a).

(f) The council may establish an advisory redistricting commission to hold the public hearings required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a).

SEC. 25.

Section 21628 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21628.

(a) The council shall take steps to encourage residents, including those in underrepresented communities and non-English speaking communities, to participate in the redistricting public review process. These steps shall include a good faith effort to do all of the following:

(1) Providing information to media organizations that provide city news coverage, including media organizations that serve language minority communities.

(2) Providing information through good government, civil rights, civic engagement, and community groups or organizations that are active in the city, including those active in language minority communities, and those that have requested to be notified concerning city redistricting.

(b) The council shall arrange for the live translation in an applicable language of a public hearing or workshop held pursuant to this article if a request for translation is made at least 72 hours before the hearing or workshop, unless less than five days' notice are

Redistricting Regulations

provided for the hearing or workshop, in which case the request shall be made at least 48 hours before the hearing or workshop.

(c) Notwithstanding Section 54954.2 of the Government Code, the council shall publish the date, time, and location for any public hearing or workshop on the internet at least five days before the hearing or workshop. However, if there are fewer than 28 days until the deadline to adopt boundaries, the council may publish the agenda on the internet for at least three days before the hearing or workshop.

(d) (1) A draft map shall be published on the internet for at least seven days before being adopted as a final map by the council provided that, if there are fewer than 28 days until the deadline to adopt boundaries, the draft map may instead be published on the internet for at least three days.

(2) Each draft map prepared by a member of the council or by employees or contractors of the city shall be accompanied by information on the total population, citizen voting age population, and racial and ethnic characteristics of the citizen voting age population of each proposed council district, to the extent the city has that data.

(3) (A) The council and employees or contractors of the city shall not release draft maps of council districts earlier than three weeks after the block-level redistricting database required by subdivision (b) of Section 8253 of the Government Code is first made publicly available. This subparagraph does not prohibit the council from holding public hearings or workshops on the placement of council district boundaries before the earliest date that draft maps of council districts may be released.

(B) If the period of time between the date that the redistricting database is made publicly available and the map adoption deadline is fewer than 90 days and more than 59 days, then the waiting period required by subparagraph (A) is reduced to one week. If the period of time between the date that the redistricting database is made publicly available and the map adoption deadline is fewer than 60 days, then the waiting period required by subparagraph (A) is waived.

(e) The council shall allow the public to submit testimony or draft maps in writing and electronically.

(f) The city shall either record or prepare a written summary of each public comment and council deliberation made at every public hearing or workshop held pursuant to this

Redistricting Regulations

article. The city shall make the recording or written summary available to the public within two weeks after the public hearing or workshop.

(g) The council shall establish, and maintain for at least 10 years after the adoption of new council district boundaries, an internet web page dedicated to redistricting. The web page may be hosted on the city's existing internet website or another internet website maintained by the city. The web page shall include, or link to, all of the following information:

(1) A general explanation of the redistricting process for the city in English and applicable languages.

(2) The procedures for a member of the public to testify during a public hearing or to submit written testimony directly to the council in English and any applicable language.

(3) A calendar of all public hearing and workshop dates. A calendar listing that includes the time and location of the public hearing or workshop satisfies the notice required by subdivision (c).

(4) The notice and agenda for each public hearing and workshop.

(5) The recording or written summary of each public hearing and workshop.

(6) Each draft map considered by the council at a public hearing.

(7) The adopted final map of council district boundaries.

(h) For purposes of this section, "applicable language" means any language that is spoken by a group of city residents with limited English proficiency who constitute 3 percent or more of the city's total population over four years of age for whom language can be determined. Before January 1, 2021, and before January 1 in every year ending in the number one thereafter, the Secretary of State shall post the applicable languages for each city in a conspicuous location on the Secretary of State's internet website. To determine the applicable languages for each city, in 2020 and in each year ending in the number zero thereafter, the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Statewide Database, shall request a special tabulation from the United States Bureau of the Census of the most recent data on limited English proficiency from the bureau's American Community Survey that satisfies this subdivision. If the bureau is unable to produce that data, the Secretary of State shall base the Secretary of State's determination on the table from the American Community Survey enumerating the number of residents with limited

Redistricting Regulations

English proficiency that has the largest number of languages included, that is publicly available, and that was produced within the previous ten years.

(i) This section does not apply when a city transitions from at-large to district-based elections.

(j) Before January 1, 2021, and before January in each year ending in the number one thereafter, the Secretary of State shall publish on the internet a template explaining the city redistricting process that meets the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2), inclusive, of subdivision (g). The Secretary of State shall publish the template in all of the languages into which ballots are required to be translated in the state pursuant to subdivision (h). The template shall be published in the same conspicuous location on the Secretary of State's internet website that is described in subdivision (h).

SEC. 26.

Section 21629 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

21629.

(a) If the council does not adopt council district boundaries by the deadlines set forth in Section 21622, the council shall immediately petition the superior court in the county in which the city is located for an order adopting council district boundaries. If the council does not petition the superior court within five days after the deadline, any resident of the city may file that petition and shall be entitled to recover the resident's reasonable attorney's fees and costs from the city for doing so.

(b) (1) Upon finding that a petition filed pursuant to subdivision (a) is valid, the superior court shall adopt council district boundaries in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section 21621, which shall be used in the city's next regular election. The superior court may also order the adjustment of electoral deadlines as necessary to implement the new council district boundaries in the next regular election.

(2) The superior court may appoint a special master to assist the court with adopting the council district boundaries. The city shall pay the cost for the special master and associated costs.

(3) The superior court or the special master shall hold one or more public hearings before the superior court adopts the council district boundaries.

Redistricting Regulations

(4) Subject to the approval of the superior court, the special master may employ redistricting experts or other consultants or counsel, independent experts in the field of redistricting and computer technology, and other necessary personnel to assist them in their work. In addition, the special master may seek the full cooperation of the city in producing and using whatever data, computer models and programs, and technical assistance that was made available to the council and city personnel who are knowledgeable in the mechanics of drafting redistricting legislation. The superior court may assist the special master in securing the necessary personnel and the physical facilities required for their work, and to prepare for the prompt submission to the city of a request for city funding for the necessary expenses of the special master and the special master's staff.

(5) The council district boundaries adopted by the superior court shall be immediately effective in the same manner as if the court's order were an enacted resolution or ordinance of the city council.

(c) This section does not apply to a charter city that has adopted in its city charter a different method for adopting city council district boundaries when a redistricting deadline is missed.

SEC. 27.

Section 21630 is added to the Elections Code, to read:

21630.

If a council assigns the responsibility to recommend or to adopt new district boundaries to a hybrid or independent redistricting commission as defined in Section 23000, the charter city remains subject to the redistricting deadlines, requirements, and restrictions that apply to the council under this article, unless otherwise exempted by law. A redistricting commission described in this section may perform the duties required of a city council under this article.

SEC. 28.

Section 23000 of the Elections Code is amended to read:

23000.

For purposes of this chapter, the following terms have the following meanings:

Redistricting Regulations


- (a) “Advisory redistricting commission” means a body that recommends to a legislative body placement of the district boundaries for that legislative body.
- (b) “Family member” means a spouse, parent, sibling, child, or in-law.
- (c) “Hybrid redistricting commission” means a body that recommends to a legislative body two or more maps for the placement of the district boundaries for that legislative body, where the legislative body must adopt one of those maps without modification, except as may be required to comply with state or federal law.
- (d) “Independent redistricting commission” means a body, other than a legislative body, that is empowered to adopt the district boundaries of a legislative body.
- (e) “Legislative body” means a county board of supervisors, a city council of a general law city, a governing board of a school district, a governing board of a community college district, or an elected governing board of a special district.
- (f) “Local jurisdiction” means a county, general law city, school district, community college district, or special district. “Local jurisdiction” does not include a charter city.
- (g) “Redistricting” means either districting or redistricting.
- (h) “Spouse” means a spouse or registered domestic partner.

SEC. 29.

This act and Division 21 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Elections Code shall not be construed as limiting the ability of a charter city to create an advisory, hybrid, or independent redistricting commission. The amendment of Section 23000 of, and the addition of Section 21630 to, the Elections Code made by this act do not constitute a change in, but are declaratory of, existing law.

SEC. 30.

The amendment of Sections 21500 and 21601, and subdivision (a) of Section 21621, of the Elections Code made by this act do not constitute a change in, but are declaratory of, existing law.



CITY OF BERKELEY REDISTRICTING

PUBLIC REDISTRICTING EXERCISE

THE RULES

- Create a single city council district or all eight districts using the population blocks in the map.
- New districts should have a population of around 15,500.
- The largest district should be no more than 1,500 people greater

ABOUT THE BLOCKS

Each of these blocks is drawn within Berkeley's existing neighborhoods.
 The numbers from the final release of the 2020 US Census as required by the California Fair Maps Act.

ONCE COMPLETE

● **EMAIL A PHOTO** of your completed map to:
 redistricting@cityofberkeley.info

● **MAIL IT TO:** City Clerk
 Department
 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor
 Berkeley, CA 94704

Write in the population estimates for each of your drawn districts.
 ~15,500 Target Population Per District

DISTRICT 1 _____

DISTRICT 2 _____

DISTRICT 3 _____


DISTRICT 4 _____

DISTRICT 5 _____

DISTRICT 6 _____

DISTRICT 7 _____

DISTRICT 8 _____



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Howard Rosenberg (10/08/2021)

First Name: Howard

Last Name: Rosenberg


Comments: Please include in dist. 8 this small area that was carved out and placed in dist. 7 to include former home of K. Worthington when he was in office.

Date: October 8, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	16077
Deviation	523
% Deviation	3.36%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2283
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.2%
PPA_White	8166
% PPA_White	50.79%
PPA_AfAm	1578
% PPA_AfAm	9.82%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2479
% PPA_Asian	15.42%
PPA_HoPI	64
% PPA_HoPI	0.4%
PPA_Other	169
% PPA_Other	1.05%
PPA_CVAP_19	11175
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.51%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1223
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.94%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6891
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.66%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	850
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.61%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1721
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.4%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6480
18_Owner_occupied	2935
18_Owner_occupied	45.29%


District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	16202
Deviation	648
% Deviation	4.17%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2811
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.35%
PPA_White	6873
% PPA_White	42.42%
PPA_AfAm	2923
% PPA_AfAm	18.04%
PPA_AiAn	40
% PPA_AiAn	0.25%
PPA_Asian	2082
% PPA_Asian	12.85%
PPA_HoPI	54
% PPA_HoPI	0.33%
PPA_Other	178
% PPA_Other	1.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11290
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.68%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1506
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.34%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5397
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.8%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2813
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.92%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	918
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.13%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	113
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6424
18_Owner_occupied	2474
18_Owner_occupied	38.51%

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15340
Deviation	-214
% Deviation	-1.38%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2307
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.04%
PPA_White	7229
% PPA_White	47.13%
PPA_AfAm	2273
% PPA_AfAm	14.82%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	2052
% PPA_Asian	13.38%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.11%
PPA_CVAP_19	11579
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.48%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1197
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.34%
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6679
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.68%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1805
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.59%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1276
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.02%
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6014
18_Owner_occupied	2102
18_Owner_occupied	34.95%
18_Renter_occupied	3915
18_Renter_occupied	65.1%

District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15736
Deviation	182
% Deviation	1.17%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1795
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.41%
PPA_White	6479
% PPA_White	41.17%
PPA_AfAm	1183
% PPA_AfAm	7.52%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	5002
% PPA_Asian	31.79%
PPA_HoPI	40
% PPA_HoPI	0.25%
PPA_Other	158
% PPA_Other	1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11952
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.95%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1265
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.58%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5983
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.06%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	866
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.25%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3081
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.78%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	212
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.77%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6590
18_Owner_occupied	1291
18_Owner_occupied	19.59%
18_Renter_occupied	5311
18_Renter_occupied	80.59%


District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14810
Deviation	-744
% Deviation	-4.78%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1074
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.25%
PPA_White	10178
% PPA_White	68.72%
PPA_AfAm	295
% PPA_AfAm	1.99%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	1939
% PPA_Asian	13.09%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.11%
PPA_Other	103
% PPA_Other	0.7%
PPA_CVAP_19	11175
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.46%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	582
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.21%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8608
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	77.03%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	167
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.49%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1328
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.88%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6067
14_18_Owner_occupied	4367
14_18_Owner_occupied	71.98%
14_18_Renter_occupied	1709
14_18_Renter_occupied	28.17%

District: 6



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	14629
Deviation	-925
% Deviation	-5.95%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1368
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.35%
PPA_White	9197
% PPA_White	62.87%
PPA_AfAm	430
% PPA_AfAm	2.94%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	2438
% PPA_Asian	16.67%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.23%
PPA_Other	140
% PPA_Other	0.96%
PPA_CVAP_19	10255
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.1%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	696
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.79%
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7387
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	72.03%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	272
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.65%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1566
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.27%
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.33%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5227
18_Owner_occupied	3569
18_Owner_occupied	68.28%
18_Renter_occupied	1649
18_Renter_occupied	31.55%

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	16637
Deviation	1,083
% Deviation	6.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3762
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	22.61%
PPA_White	5645
% PPA_White	33.93%
PPA_AfAm	453
% PPA_AfAm	2.72%
PPA_AiAn	15
% PPA_AiAn	0.09%
PPA_Asian	5720
% PPA_Asian	34.38%
PPA_HoPI	7
% PPA_HoPI	0.04%
PPA_Other	90
% PPA_Other	0.54%
PPA_CVAP_19	11921
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.65%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1925
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	16.15%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4860
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	40.77%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	363
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	3.05%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	55
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.46%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4022
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	33.74%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2826
18_Owner_occupied	322
18_Owner_occupied	11.39%
18_Renter_occupied	2497
18_Renter_occupied	88.36%


District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	14981
Deviation	-573
% Deviation	-3.68%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1627
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.86%
PPA_White	8675
% PPA_White	57.91%
PPA_AfAm	445
% PPA_AfAm	2.97%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.11%
PPA_Asian	2990
% PPA_Asian	19.96%
PPA_HoPI	21
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	106
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	12362
% PPA_CVAP_19	82.52%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1231
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.96%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7859
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.57%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	271
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.19%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.4%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2402
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.43%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5270
18_Owner_occupied	2205
18_Owner_occupied	41.84%

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Anonymous (10/12/2021)

First Name: Anonymous

Last Name:


Comments:

Date: October 12, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age



District: 1



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	13953
Deviation	-1,601
% Deviation	-10.29%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1980
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.19%
PPA_White	7520
% PPA_White	53.9%
PPA_AfAm	1312
% PPA_AfAm	9.4%
PPA_AiAn	47
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	1760
% PPA_Asian	12.61%
PPA_HoPI	63
% PPA_HoPI	0.45%
PPA_CVAP_19	9855
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.63%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6233
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.25%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	745
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.56%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1344
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.64%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.14%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5375.00
S_18 Owner occupied	2977.00
S_18 Owner occupied	55.39%
S_18 Renter occupied	2417.00
S_18 Renter occupied	44.97%
S_3 HH_Median income	1347026.00
S_14-18 Median Age	532.94


District: 2




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15764
Deviation	210
% Deviation	1.35%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2689
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.06%
PPA_White	6733
% PPA_White	42.71%
PPA_AfAm	2793
% PPA_AfAm	17.72%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.14%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_CVAP_19	11034
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5267
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.73%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	24.54%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6275.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2414.00
14-18 Owner occupied	38.47%
14-18 Renter occupied	3863.00
14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
3 HH_Median income	929633.00
S_14-18 Median Age	450.23

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15883
Deviation	329
% Deviation	2.12%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2425
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.27%
PPA_White	7489
% PPA_White	47.15%
PPA_AfAm	2441
% PPA_AfAm	15.37%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	1961
% PPA_Asian	12.35%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11816
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.39%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6809
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.63%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1952
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	16.52%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1180
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9.99%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6239.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2248.00
4-18 Owner occupied	36.03%
4-18 Renter occupied	3989.00
4-18 Renter occupied	63.94%
3 HH_Median income	1102942.00
S_14-18 Median Age	481.61



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 4



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE



Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15127
Deviation	-427
% Deviation	-2.75%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1664
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11%
PPA_White	7167
% PPA_White	47.38%
PPA_AfAm	1127
% PPA_AfAm	7.45%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	3797
% PPA_Asian	25.1%
PPA_HoPI	38
% PPA_HoPI	0.25%
PPA_CVAP_19	11143
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.66%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6279
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.35%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	834
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.48%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2522
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	22.63%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	97
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.87%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6515.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2052.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	31.5%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	4445.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	68.23%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	680615.00
S_14-18 Median Age	339.64

District: 5




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	13453
Deviation	-2,101
% Deviation	-13.51%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1409
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.47%
PPA_White	7028
% PPA_White	52.24%
PPA_AfAm	511
% PPA_AfAm	3.8%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.07%
PPA_Asian	3474
% PPA_Asian	25.82%
PPA_HoPI	28
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_CVAP_19	9395
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.84%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6022
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	64.1%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	206
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.19%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1763
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.77%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	10
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.11%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5068.00
S_18 Owner occupied	1682.00
S_18 Owner occupied	33.19%
S_18 Renter occupied	3394.00
S_18 Renter occupied	66.97%
S_3 HH_Median income	953244.00
S_14-18 Median Age	343.73

District: 6

Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15893
Deviation	339
% Deviation	2.18%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1033
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.5%
PPA_White	11415
% PPA_White	71.82%
PPA_AfAm	297
% PPA_AfAm	1.87%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1768
% PPA_Asian	11.12%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11871
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.69%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9354
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	78.8%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	239
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.01%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1350
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.37%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	24
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.2%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6416.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	5391.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	84.02%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	1027.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	16.01%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	2450087.00
S_14-18 Median Age	760.89



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts


0 .2 .4
Miles

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	18366
Deviation	2,812
% Deviation	18.08%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	4212
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	22.93%
PPA_White	6133
% PPA_White	33.39%
PPA_AfAm	636
% PPA_AfAm	3.46%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	6311
% PPA_Asian	34.36%
PPA_HoPI	4
% PPA_HoPI	0.02%
PPA_CVAP_19	13128
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.48%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5130
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	39.08%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	358
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.73%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	17
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.13%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4571
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.82%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	87
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.66%
A_14-18 HU_Occupied	2709.00
A_18 Owner occupied	143.00
A_18 Owner occupied	5.28%
A_18 Renter occupied	2576.00
A_18 Renter occupied	95.09%
A_3 HH_Median income	232970.00
A_S_14-18 Median Age	132.46

District: 8




Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15973
Deviation	419
% Deviation	2.69%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1615
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.11%
PPA_White	8957
% PPA_White	56.08%
PPA_AfAm	463
% PPA_AfAm	2.9%
PPA_AiAn	32
% PPA_AiAn	0.2%
PPA_Asian	3560
% PPA_Asian	22.29%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_CVAP_19	13467
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.31%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8570
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.64%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	365
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	102
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.76%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2678
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.89%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6301.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2358.00
14-18 Owner occupied	37.42%
14-18 Renter occupied	3935.00
14-18 Renter occupied	62.45%
3 HH_Median income	1263457.00
S_14-18 Median Age	448.18

RECEIVED

OCT 12 2021

CITY OF BERKELEY REDISTRICTING PARTNERS CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION BERKELEY




There is no way this is correct. Population in Northside is at least 400.

Foothill Bowles Hall

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Anonymous A (10/19/2021)

First Name: Anonymous

Last Name: A

Comments:

Date: October 19, 2021


Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1




Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	14039
Deviation	-1,515
% Deviation	-9.74%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2038
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.52%
PPA_White	7316
% PPA_White	52.11%
PPA_AfAm	1391
% PPA_AfAm	9.91%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	1845
% PPA_Asian	13.14%
PPA_HoPI	68
% PPA_HoPI	0.48%
PPA_CVAP_19	9671
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.89%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5914
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.15%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	800
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	8.27%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.17%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1368
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.15%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.14%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5423.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2836.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	52.3%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	2595.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	47.85%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1177930.00
S_14-18 Median Age	501.10



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 2



Map layers


- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .2 .4
Miles


©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15764
Deviation	210
% Deviation	1.35%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2689
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.06%
PPA_White	6733
% PPA_White	42.71%
PPA_AfAm	2793
% PPA_AfAm	17.72%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.14%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_CVAP_19	11034
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5267
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.73%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	24.54%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6275.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2414.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	38.47%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	3863.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	929633.00
S_14-18 Median Age	450.23

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15912
Deviation	358
% Deviation	2.3%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2434
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.3%
PPA_White	7495
% PPA_White	47.1%
PPA_AfAm	2452
% PPA_AfAm	15.41%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	1961
% PPA_Asian	12.32%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11840
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6815
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.56%
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1962
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	16.57%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1180
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9.97%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6239.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2248.00
4-18 Owner occupied	36.03%
4-18 Renter occupied	3989.00
4-18 Renter occupied	63.94%
3 HH_Median income	1102942.00
S_14-18 Median Age	481.61



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	16752
Deviation	1,198
% Deviation	7.7%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2095
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	12.51%
PPA_White	7339
% PPA_White	43.81%
PPA_AfAm	1130
% PPA_AfAm	6.75%
PPA_AiAn	47
% PPA_AiAn	0.28%
PPA_Asian	4883
% PPA_Asian	29.15%
PPA_HoPI	50
% PPA_HoPI	0.3%
PPA_CVAP_19	11984
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.54%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6180
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	51.57%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	819
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.83%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3182
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	26.55%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	149
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.24%
3_14-18 HU_Occupied	5816.00
3_18 Owner occupied	1338.00
3_18 Owner occupied	23.01%
3_18 Renter occupied	4484.00
3_18 Renter occupied	77.1%
3_HH_Median income	739264.00
S_14-18 Median Age	271.33

District: 5



Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14201
Deviation	-1,353
% Deviation	-8.7%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1211
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	8.53%
PPA_White	8101
% PPA_White	57.05%
PPA_AfAm	574
% PPA_AfAm	4.04%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	3129
% PPA_Asian	22.03%
PPA_HoPI	12
% PPA_HoPI	0.08%
PPA_CVAP_19	10637
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.9%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7392
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	69.49%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	179
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.68%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1724
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6433.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2729.00
4-18 Owner occupied	42.42%
4-18 Renter occupied	3698.00
4-18 Renter occupied	57.48%
3 HH_Median income	1280746.00
S_14-18 Median Age	490.81

Map layers


- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .1 .2
Miles


©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 6


Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15420
Deviation	-134
% Deviation	-0.86%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1010
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.55%
PPA_White	11041
% PPA_White	71.6%
PPA_AfAm	290
% PPA_AfAm	1.88%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1728
% PPA_Asian	11.21%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11491
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.52%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9032
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	78.6%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	232
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.02%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1319
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	24
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.21%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6223.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	5227.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	83.99%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	1001.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	16.09%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	2376979.00
S_14-18 Median Age	737.62



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE





District: 7



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	17224
Deviation	1,670
% Deviation	10.74%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	4019
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.33%
PPA_White	5977
% PPA_White	34.7%
PPA_AfAm	527
% PPA_AfAm	3.06%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	5633
% PPA_Asian	32.7%
PPA_HoPI	3
% PPA_HoPI	0.02%
PPA_CVAP_19	12401
% PPA_CVAP_19	72%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5022
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	40.5%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	358
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.89%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	17
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.14%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4100
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	33.06%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	45
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.36%
14-18 HU_Occupied	2502.00
14-18 Owner occupied	222.00
14-18 Owner occupied	8.87%
14-18 Renter occupied	2288.00
14-18 Renter occupied	91.45%
3 HH_Median income	151323.00
S_14-18 Median Age	133.73

District: 8




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15100
Deviation	-454
% Deviation	-2.92%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1531
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.14%
PPA_White	8440
% PPA_White	55.89%
PPA_AfAm	423
% PPA_AfAm	2.8%
PPA_AiAn	30
% PPA_AiAn	0.2%
PPA_Asian	3452
% PPA_Asian	22.86%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_CVAP_19	12651
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.78%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8042
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.57%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	349
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.76%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	102
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.81%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2535
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	20.04%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5986.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2251.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	37.6%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	3728.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	62.28%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1201003.00
S_14-18 Median Age	423.20





SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION
[Symbol]	[Description]
[Symbol]	[Description]
[Symbol]	[Description]
[Symbol]	[Description]
[Symbol]	[Description]

SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION
[Symbol]	[Description]
[Symbol]	[Description]
[Symbol]	[Description]
[Symbol]	[Description]
[Symbol]	[Description]



City of Berkeley - Census Tracts	
TRACT	POPULATION
1	...
2	...
3	...
4	...
5	...
6	...
7	...
8	...
9	...
10	...
11	...
12	...
13	...
14	...
15	...
16	...
17	...
18	...
19	...
20	...
21	...
22	...
23	...
24	...
25	...
26	...
27	...
28	...
29	...
30	...
31	...
32	...
33	...
34	...
35	...
36	...
37	...
38	...
39	...
40	...
41	...
42	...
43	...
44	...
45	...
46	...
47	...
48	...
49	...
50	...
51	...
52	...
53	...
54	...
55	...
56	...
57	...
58	...
59	...
60	...
61	...
62	...
63	...
64	...
65	...
66	...
67	...
68	...
69	...
70	...
71	...
72	...
73	...
74	...
75	...
76	...
77	...
78	...
79	...
80	...
81	...
82	...
83	...
84	...
85	...
86	...
87	...
88	...
89	...
90	...
91	...
92	...
93	...
94	...
95	...
96	...
97	...
98	...
99	...
100	...





©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Anonymous B (10/19/2021)

First Name: Anonymous

Last Name: B



Comments:

Date: October 19, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age


District: 1



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	14328
Deviation	-1,226
% Deviation	-7.88%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2123
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.82%
PPA_White	7326
% PPA_White	51.13%
PPA_AfAm	1388
% PPA_AfAm	9.69%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	2034
% PPA_Asian	14.2%
PPA_HoPI	66
% PPA_HoPI	0.46%
PPA_CVAP_19	9824
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.57%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5794
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	58.98%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	803
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	8.17%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.2%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1541
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.69%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.14%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5614.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2788.00
14-18 Owner occupied	49.66%
14-18 Renter occupied	2834.00
14-18 Renter occupied	50.48%
3 HH_Median income	1067166.00
S_14-18 Median Age	482.60


District: 2




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15764
Deviation	210
% Deviation	1.35%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2689
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.06%
PPA_White	6733
% PPA_White	42.71%
PPA_AfAm	2793
% PPA_AfAm	17.72%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.14%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_CVAP_19	11034
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5267
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.73%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	24.54%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6275.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2414.00
14-18 Owner occupied	38.47%
14-18 Renter occupied	3863.00
14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
3 HH_Median income	929633.00
S_14-18 Median Age	450.23

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15912
Deviation	358
% Deviation	2.3%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2434
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.3%
PPA_White	7495
% PPA_White	47.1%
PPA_AfAm	2452
% PPA_AfAm	15.41%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	1961
% PPA_Asian	12.32%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11840
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6815
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.56%
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1962
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	16.57%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1180
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9.97%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6239.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2248.00
4-18 Owner occupied	36.03%
4-18 Renter occupied	3989.00
4-18 Renter occupied	63.94%
3 HH_Median income	1102942.00
S_14-18 Median Age	481.61



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


District: 4



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	18975
Deviation	3,421
% Deviation	21.99%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2339
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	12.33%
PPA_White	8242
% PPA_White	43.44%
PPA_AfAm	1346
% PPA_AfAm	7.09%
PPA_AiAn	47
% PPA_AiAn	0.25%
PPA_Asian	5589
% PPA_Asian	29.45%
PPA_HoPI	55
% PPA_HoPI	0.29%
PPA_CVAP_19	13415
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.7%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7132
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	53.16%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	859
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	6.4%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.06%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3409
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.41%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	149
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.11%
3_14-18 HU_Occupied	6886.00
3_18 Owner occupied	1483.00
3_18 Owner occupied	21.54%
3_18 Renter occupied	5404.00
3_18 Renter occupied	78.48%
3_HH_Median income	849689.00
S_14-18 Median Age	321.43

District: 5




Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	11689
Deviation	-3,865
% Deviation	-24.85%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	882
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.55%
PPA_White	7188
% PPA_White	61.49%
PPA_AfAm	361
% PPA_AfAm	3.09%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2234
% PPA_Asian	19.11%
PPA_HoPI	9
% PPA_HoPI	0.08%
PPA_CVAP_19	9053
% PPA_CVAP_19	77.45%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6560
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	72.46%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	136
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.5%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1324
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.62%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	5173.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2632.00
4-18 Owner occupied	50.88%
4-18 Renter occupied	2539.00
4-18 Renter occupied	49.08%
3 HH_Median income	1281239.00
S_14-18 Median Age	459.27

Map layers


- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE




District: 6


Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15420
Deviation	-134
% Deviation	-0.86%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1010
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.55%
PPA_White	11041
% PPA_White	71.6%
PPA_AfAm	290
% PPA_AfAm	1.88%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1728
% PPA_Asian	11.21%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11491
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.52%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9032
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	78.6%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	232
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.02%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1319
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	24
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.21%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6223.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	5227.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	83.99%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	1001.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	16.09%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	2376979.00
S_14-18 Median Age	737.62



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE




District: 7



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	16362
Deviation	808
% Deviation	5.19%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3944
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.1%
PPA_White	5453
% PPA_White	33.33%
PPA_AfAm	498
% PPA_AfAm	3.04%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	5521
% PPA_Asian	33.74%
PPA_HoPI	3
% PPA_HoPI	0.02%
PPA_CVAP_19	11601
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.9%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4494
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	38.74%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	352
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	3.03%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	17
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3955
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.09%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	45
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.39%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	2187.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	115.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	5.26%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	2081.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	95.15%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	88458.00
S_14-18 Median Age	108.70

District: 8




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .15 .3
Miles


Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15962
Deviation	408
% Deviation	2.62%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1606
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.06%
PPA_White	8964
% PPA_White	56.16%
PPA_AfAm	452
% PPA_AfAm	2.83%
PPA_AiAn	30
% PPA_AiAn	0.19%
PPA_Asian	3564
% PPA_Asian	22.33%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_CVAP_19	13451
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.27%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8570
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.71%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	355
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.64%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	102
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.76%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2680
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.92%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6301.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2358.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	37.42%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	3935.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	62.45%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1263868.00
S_14-18 Median Age	448.22



Legend	Scale
Neighborhood	1:50,000
City Boundary	1:50,000
County Boundary	1:50,000
State Boundary	1:50,000
Water	1:50,000
Highway	1:50,000
Major Road	1:50,000
Minor Road	1:50,000
Street	1:50,000
Public Building	1:50,000
Religious Building	1:50,000
Industrial Building	1:50,000
Commercial Building	1:50,000
Residential Building	1:50,000
Public Utility	1:50,000
Public Works	1:50,000
Public Services	1:50,000
Public Safety	1:50,000
Public Health	1:50,000
Public Education	1:50,000
Public Recreation	1:50,000
Public Transportation	1:50,000
Public Information	1:50,000
Public Administration	1:50,000
Public Finance	1:50,000
Public Security	1:50,000
Public Welfare	1:50,000
Public Works	1:50,000
Public Services	1:50,000
Public Safety	1:50,000
Public Health	1:50,000
Public Education	1:50,000
Public Recreation	1:50,000
Public Transportation	1:50,000
Public Information	1:50,000
Public Administration	1:50,000
Public Finance	1:50,000
Public Security	1:50,000
Public Welfare	1:50,000





Legend	Scale
Neighborhood	1:50,000
City Boundary	1:50,000
County Boundary	1:50,000
State Boundary	1:50,000
Water	1:50,000
Highway	1:50,000
Major Road	1:50,000
Minor Road	1:50,000
Street	1:50,000
Public Building	1:50,000
Religious Building	1:50,000
Industrial Building	1:50,000
Commercial Building	1:50,000
Residential Building	1:50,000
Public Utility	1:50,000
Public Works	1:50,000
Public Services	1:50,000
Public Safety	1:50,000
Public Health	1:50,000
Public Education	1:50,000
Public Recreation	1:50,000
Public Transportation	1:50,000
Public Information	1:50,000
Public Administration	1:50,000
Public Finance	1:50,000
Public Security	1:50,000
Public Welfare	1:50,000



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Anonymous C (10/19/2021)

First Name: Anonymous

Last Name: C



Comments:

Date: October 19, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age


District: 1



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	14856
Deviation	-698
% Deviation	-4.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2104
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.16%
PPA_White	7860
% PPA_White	52.91%
PPA_AfAm	1401
% PPA_AfAm	9.43%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	1949
% PPA_Asian	13.12%
PPA_HoPI	68
% PPA_HoPI	0.46%
PPA_CVAP_19	10344
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.63%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6430
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	62.16%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	807
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.8%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1451
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.03%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.14%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5772.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	3099.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	53.69%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	2681.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	46.45%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1326210.00
S_14-18 Median Age	545.60


District: 2




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15764
Deviation	210
% Deviation	1.35%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2689
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.06%
PPA_White	6733
% PPA_White	42.71%
PPA_AfAm	2793
% PPA_AfAm	17.72%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.14%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_CVAP_19	11034
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5267
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.73%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	24.54%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6275.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2414.00
14-18 Owner occupied	38.47%
14-18 Renter occupied	3863.00
14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
3 HH_Median income	929633.00
S_14-18 Median Age	450.23

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	16448
Deviation	894
% Deviation	5.75%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2504
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.22%
PPA_White	7756
% PPA_White	47.15%
PPA_AfAm	2520
% PPA_AfAm	15.32%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2050
% PPA_Asian	12.46%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	12245
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.45%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7048
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.56%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2018
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	16.48%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.11%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1235
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.09%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6477.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2313.00
4-18 Owner occupied	35.71%
4-18 Renter occupied	4163.00
4-18 Renter occupied	64.27%
3 HH_Median income	1124147.00
S_14-18 Median Age	496.31



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 4



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	16112
Deviation	558
% Deviation	3.59%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1864
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.57%
PPA_White	7095
% PPA_White	44.04%
PPA_AfAm	1178
% PPA_AfAm	7.31%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	4670
% PPA_Asian	28.98%
PPA_HoPI	35
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_CVAP_19	12171
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.54%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6486
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	53.29%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	836
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.87%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	23
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.19%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3095
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.43%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.51%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6688.00
14-18 Owner occupied	1669.00
14-18 Owner occupied	24.96%
14-18 Renter occupied	5011.00
14-18 Renter occupied	74.93%
3 HH_Median income	547330.00
S_14-18 Median Age	298.29

District: 5



Map layers



- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

0 .15 .3
Miles

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15457
Deviation	-97
% Deviation	-0.62%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1564
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.12%
PPA_White	8446
% PPA_White	54.64%
PPA_AfAm	586
% PPA_AfAm	3.79%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	3655
% PPA_Asian	23.65%
PPA_HoPI	30
% PPA_HoPI	0.19%
PPA_CVAP_19	10881
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.4%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7116
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.4%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	230
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.11%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1978
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.18%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.28%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5916.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2282.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	38.57%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	3643.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	61.58%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1291181.00
S_14-18 Median Age	442.04

District: 6



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	14739
Deviation	-815
% Deviation	-5.24%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	942
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.39%
PPA_White	10581
% PPA_White	71.79%
PPA_AfAm	247
% PPA_AfAm	1.68%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1693
% PPA_Asian	11.49%
PPA_HoPI	14
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	11090
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.24%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8809
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	79.43%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	217
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.96%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1231
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.1%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.04%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5929.00
14-18 Owner occupied	5070.00
14-18 Owner occupied	85.51%
14-18 Renter occupied	860.00
14-18 Renter occupied	14.5%
3 HH_Median income	2284170.00
S_14-18 Median Age	713.59

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15610
Deviation	56
% Deviation	0.36%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3824
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.5%
PPA_White	5268
% PPA_White	33.75%
PPA_AfAm	471
% PPA_AfAm	3.02%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5139
% PPA_Asian	32.92%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_CVAP_19	10898
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.81%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4171
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	38.27%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	292
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.68%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3793
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	1778.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	125.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	7.03%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	1664.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	93.59%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	214640.00
S_14-18 Median Age	110.10

District: 8




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

0 .15 .3
Miles

Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15426
Deviation	-128
% Deviation	-0.82%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1536
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.96%
PPA_White	8703
% PPA_White	56.42%
PPA_AfAm	384
% PPA_AfAm	2.49%
PPA_AiAn	24
% PPA_AiAn	0.16%
PPA_Asian	3475
% PPA_Asian	22.53%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_CVAP_19	13046
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.57%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8337
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.9%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	299
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.29%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	102
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.78%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2625
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	20.12%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6063.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2293.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	37.82%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	3761.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	62.03%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1242663.00
S_14-18 Median Age	433.52



Legend	Symbol	Description
City Boundary	Thick black line	City of Berkeley boundary
Neighborhood Boundary	Thin black line	Neighborhood boundary
Water	Blue shading	Water bodies
Highway	Thick grey line	Major roads
Other	Various symbols	Other features





Legend	Symbol	Description
City Boundary	Thick black line	City of Berkeley boundary
Neighborhood Boundary	Thin black line	Neighborhood boundary
Water	Blue shading	Water bodies
Highway	Thick grey line	Major roads
Other	Various symbols	Other features



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Anonymous (10/25/2021)

First Name: Anonymous

Last Name:



Comments:

Date: October 25, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age


District: 1



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	14876
Deviation	-678
% Deviation	-4.36%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2144
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.41%
PPA_White	7722
% PPA_White	51.91%
PPA_AfAm	1473
% PPA_AfAm	9.9%
PPA_Asian	1998
% PPA_Asian	13.43%
PPA_HoPI	69
% PPA_HoPI	0.46%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_CVAP_19	10248
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.89%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6275
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.23%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	827
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	8.07%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1470
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.34%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.14%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5812.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2965.00
14-18 Owner occupied	51.02%
14-18 Renter occupied	2847.00
14-18 Renter occupied	48.98%
3 HH_Median income	1222159.00
S_14-18 Median Age	524.18


District: 2




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15764
Deviation	210
% Deviation	1.35%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2689
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.06%
PPA_White	6733
% PPA_White	42.71%
PPA_AfAm	2793
% PPA_AfAm	17.72%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.14%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_CVAP_19	11034
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5267
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.73%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	24.54%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6275.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2414.00
14-18 Owner occupied	38.47%
14-18 Renter occupied	3863.00
14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
3 HH_Median income	929633.00
S_14-18 Median Age	450.23

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	16448
Deviation	894
% Deviation	5.75%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2504
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.22%
PPA_White	7756
% PPA_White	47.15%
PPA_AfAm	2520
% PPA_AfAm	15.32%
PPA_Asian	2050
% PPA_Asian	12.46%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_CVAP_19	12245
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.45%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7048
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.56%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2018
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	16.48%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.11%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1235
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.09%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6477.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2313.00
4-18 Owner occupied	35.71%
4-18 Renter occupied	4163.00
4-18 Renter occupied	64.27%
3 HH_Median income	1124147.00
S_14-18 Median Age	496.31




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15295
Deviation	-259
% Deviation	-1.67%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1762
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.52%
PPA_White	6694
% PPA_White	43.77%
PPA_AfAm	1099
% PPA_AfAm	7.19%
PPA_Asian	4517
% PPA_Asian	29.53%
PPA_HoPI	35
% PPA_HoPI	0.23%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.28%
PPA_CVAP_19	11599
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.84%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6125
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	52.81%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	809
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.97%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	23
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.2%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2993
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.59%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6328.00
4-18 Owner occupied	1550.00
4-18 Owner occupied	24.49%
4-18 Renter occupied	4776.00
4-18 Renter occupied	75.47%
3 HH_Median income	507349.00
S_14-18 Median Age	276.77



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15139
Deviation	-415
% Deviation	-2.67%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1546
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.21%
PPA_White	8204
% PPA_White	54.19%
PPA_AfAm	579
% PPA_AfAm	3.82%
PPA_Asian	3648
% PPA_Asian	24.1%
PPA_HoPI	29
% PPA_HoPI	0.19%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.07%
PPA_CVAP_19	10629
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.21%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6902
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	64.94%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	230
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.16%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1972
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.55%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.28%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5726.00
S_18 Owner occupied	2146.00
S_18 Owner occupied	37.48%
S_18 Renter occupied	3589.00
S_18 Renter occupied	62.68%
S_3 HH_Median income	1229288.00
S_14-18 Median Age	426.20

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

0 .15 .3
Miles

District: 6



Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

0 .2 .4
Miles

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15879
Deviation	325
% Deviation	2.09%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1026
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.46%
PPA_White	11375
% PPA_White	71.64%
PPA_AfAm	261
% PPA_AfAm	1.64%
PPA_Asian	1810
% PPA_Asian	11.4%
PPA_HoPI	14
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_CVAP_19	12021
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.7%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9545
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	79.4%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	224
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.86%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1323
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.01%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6439.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	5459.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	84.78%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	983.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	15.27%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	2495875.00
S_14-18 Median Age	772.97


District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15603
Deviation	49
% Deviation	0.32%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3820
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.48%
PPA_White	5268
% PPA_White	33.76%
PPA_AfAm	471
% PPA_AfAm	3.02%
PPA_Asian	5137
% PPA_Asian	32.92%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_CVAP_19	10895
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.83%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4171
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	38.28%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	292
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.68%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3792
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	1778.00
S_18 Owner occupied	125.00
S_18 Owner occupied	7.03%
S_18 Renter occupied	1664.00
S_18 Renter occupied	93.59%
S_3 HH_Median income	209271.00
S_14-18 Median Age	109.54

District: 8

Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15408
Deviation	-146
% Deviation	-0.94%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1536
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.97%
PPA_White	8690
% PPA_White	56.4%
PPA_AfAm	384
% PPA_AfAm	2.49%
PPA_Asian	3471
% PPA_Asian	22.53%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_AiAn	24
% PPA_AiAn	0.16%
PPA_CVAP_19	13038
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.62%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8331
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.9%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	299
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.29%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	102
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.78%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2623
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	20.12%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6063.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2293.00
14-18 Owner occupied	37.82%
14-18 Renter occupied	3761.00
14-18 Renter occupied	62.03%
3 HH_Median income	1242252.00
S_14-18 Median Age	433.47



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

0 0.15 0.3
Miles

	2010 Equal Dist. Pop.	2010 Actual Dist. Pop.	Deviation
District 1	14,073	13,080	(993)
District 2	14,073	13,381	(692)
District 3	14,073	13,024	(1,049)
District 4	14,073	15,605	1,532
District 5	14,073	12,709	(1,364)
District 6	14,073	12,883	(1,190)
District 7	14,073	16,623	2,550
District 8	14,073	15,275	1,202

The 2010 Equal District Population (EDP) number is 14,073.
 The EDP is arrived at by dividing total population (112,580) by eight.




pop '20	pop '10	tract neighborhood	dist	Σ pop '20	Σ pop '10	%Δ neighb	%Δ dist	dev fr	neighb average index
0	0			124432	112580	15554	11%		
					spread	-1179			
3906	3632	4219 Westbrae	1			8%			1
2113	2007	4218 Northbrae S	1			5%			3
787	321	4220 Gilman	1			145%			4
847	282	West B N	1			200%			5
214	224	4220 4th Street	1			-4%			6
2848	2685	4221 West B N	1			6%			7
→ 1000		4223 Central B N	4>1						
3324	3144	4222 Central B W	1	15039	12295	6%	22%	-515	8
2315	929	4220 West B S	2			149%			9
2891	2794	4232 West B S	2			3%			10
4337	3964	4231 Poet's Corner	2			9%			11
3748	3647	4233 San Pablo Park	2			3%			12
2494	2172	4240.02 Harmon	2	15785	13506	15%	17%	231	13
5074	4685	4234 South B	3			8%			14
2044	1810	4235 South B	3			13%			15
896	832	4235 LeConte S	3			8%			16
1281	1131	4236.01 LeConte S	3			13%			17
938	914	4239.01 Halcyon	3			3%			18
428	416	4239.02 Halcyon	3			3%			19
1098	1106	4239.01 Lorin	3			-1%			20
4154	3716	4240.01 Lorin	3	15913	14610	12%	9%	359	21
3815	3387	4223 Central B N	4			13%			22
→ -1000		4223 Central B N	4>1						
832	968	4224 N Shattuck	4			-14%			24
→ 1427	1071	4228 Southside<-Downtown	7>4			33%			
2323	1989	4229.01 Downtown	4			17%			27
3035	2347	4229.02 Downtown	4			29%			28
4679	4396	4230 Central B	4	15111	14158	6%	7%	-443	29
114	103	4212 Live Oak	5			11%			30
1206	1141	4214 Live Oak	5			6%			31
1427	1396	4217 Live Oak	5			2%			32
2313	2209	4216 Codornices	6			5%			33
1601	1430	4217 N Shattuck	5			12%			35
2705	2597	4224 N Shattuck	5			4%			36
600	631	4224 Northside	5			-5%			37
5066	4658	4225 Northside	5			9%			38
354	552	9821 Northside	5			-36%			39
0	0	9821 LBL	5	15386	14717	#DIV/0!	5%	-168	40
1692	1614	4213 Northbrae N	6			5%			43
1283	1280	4212 Thousand Oaks	6			0%			41
2257	2230	4213 Thousand Oaks	6			1%			42
1603	1563	4211 S Hampton	6			3%			46
2262	2180	4212 S Hampton	6			4%			47
430	429	4211 Cragmont	6			0%			48
473	452	4214 Cragmont	6			5%			49
424	415	4215 Cragmont	6			2%			50
476	474	4215 Park Hills	6			0%			51
2847	2751	4215 B Hills	6			3%			54
1524	1349	4216 Terrace View	6			13%			55
358	372	4217 B Hills	6	15629	15109	-4%	3%	75	56
0	0	9821 Campus	7			#DIV/0!			57
665	635	9821 I house, co-opts	7			5%			58
4646	4656	4227 Southside	7			0%			59
11245	8368	4228 Southside	7			34%			60
→ -1427	-1071	4228 Southside->Downtown	7>4			33%			
1089	547	4237 Clark Kerr	7	16218	13135	99%	23%	664	62
25	28	9821 Panoramic Hill	8			-11%			63
226	229	4227 Panoramic Hill	8			-1%			64
536	476	4235 LeConte N	3			13%			65
2691	2698	4236.02 LeConte N	7			0%			66
225	278	4237 Clark Kerr Faculty, Redwood Garden	8			-19%			67
1162	1276	4237 Dwight-Derby	8			-9%			69
2929	2961	4236.02 Dwight-Derby	8			-1%			68
1544	1511	4236.01 Willard	8			2%			70
1760	1532	4237 Elmwood	8			15%			71
1388	1263	4238 Elmwood	8			10%			72
1829	1662	4238 Claremont	8			10%			73
1036	1136	4239.02 Bateman	8	15351	15050	-9%	2%	-203	74

OCT 25 2021

CITY OF BERKELEY
CITY CLERK DEPART

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Troy Kaji (10/26/2021)

First Name: Troy

Last Name: Kaji

Comments: Solely considered keeping districts within target size and making them contiguous. Not important to me whether lines change.

Date: October 27, 2021


Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	16661
Deviation	1,107
% Deviation	7.12%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3150
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	18.91%
PPA_White	7280
% PPA_White	43.69%
PPA_AfAm	2718
% PPA_AfAm	16.31%
PPA_Asian	1906
% PPA_Asian	11.44%
PPA_HoPI	91
% PPA_HoPI	0.55%
PPA_AiAn	67
% PPA_AiAn	0.4%
PPA_CVAP_19	10715
% PPA_CVAP_19	64.31%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5579
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	52.07%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2132
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	19.9%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	909
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	106
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.99%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6078.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2550.00
14-18 Owner occupied	41.95%
14-18 Renter occupied	3530.00
14-18 Renter occupied	58.08%
3 HH_Median income	999099.00
S_14-18 Median Age	476.14

District: 2



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Map layers


- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

0 .075 .15

Miles

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	18251
Deviation	2,697
% Deviation	17.34%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2795
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.31%
PPA_White	8251
% PPA_White	45.21%
PPA_AfAm	3027
% PPA_AfAm	16.59%
PPA_Asian	2315
% PPA_Asian	12.68%
PPA_HoPI	31
% PPA_HoPI	0.17%
PPA_AiAn	54
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_CVAP_19	14089
% PPA_CVAP_19	77.2%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7688
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	54.57%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2680
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	19.02%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	73
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.52%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1441
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.23%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	7079.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2554.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	36.08%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	4526.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	63.94%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1153362.00
S_14-18 Median Age	529.19

District: 3



Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

0 .15 .3
Miles

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	17852
Deviation	2,298
% Deviation	14.77%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1996
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.18%
PPA_White	9987
% PPA_White	55.94%
PPA_AfAm	466
% PPA_AfAm	2.61%
PPA_Asian	3911
% PPA_Asian	21.91%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	15170
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.98%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9092
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	59.93%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	369
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.43%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.32%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3197
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	21.07%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.2%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5834.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2201.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	37.73%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	3636.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	62.32%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1255247.00
S_14-18 Median Age	444.41

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	9602
Deviation	-5,952
% Deviation	-38.27%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2549
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	26.55%
PPA_White	2751
% PPA_White	28.65%
PPA_AfAm	292
% PPA_AfAm	3.04%
PPA_Asian	3548
% PPA_Asian	36.95%
PPA_HoPI	0
% PPA_HoPI	0%
PPA_AiAn	3
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_CVAP_19	5781
% PPA_CVAP_19	60.21%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	1882
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	32.55%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	134
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.32%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2454
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	42.45%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14-18 HU_Occupied	716.00
14-18 Owner occupied	36.00
14-18 Owner occupied	5.03%
14-18 Renter occupied	676.00
14-18 Renter occupied	94.41%
3 HH_Median income	267951.00
S_14-18 Median Age	62.10

District: 5




Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	16118
Deviation	564
% Deviation	3.63%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1402
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	8.7%
PPA_White	10335
% PPA_White	64.12%
PPA_AfAm	433
% PPA_AfAm	2.69%
PPA_Asian	2646
% PPA_Asian	16.42%
PPA_HoPI	36
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_AiAn	9
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_CVAP_19	11585
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.88%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8419
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	72.67%
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	279
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.41%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1720
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.85%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.29%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	5942.00
4-18 Owner occupied	4091.00
4-18 Owner occupied	68.85%
4-18 Renter occupied	1859.00
4-18 Renter occupied	31.29%
3 HH_Median income	1853515.00
S_14-18 Median Age	581.30




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15613
Deviation	59
% Deviation	0.38%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1182
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.57%
PPA_White	9813
% PPA_White	62.85%
PPA_AfAm	418
% PPA_AfAm	2.68%
PPA_Asian	2848
% PPA_Asian	18.24%
PPA_HoPI	9
% PPA_HoPI	0.06%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_CVAP_19	11709
% PPA_CVAP_19	75%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8611
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73.54%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	184
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.57%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1603
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.69%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6664.00
4-18 Owner occupied	3752.00
4-18 Owner occupied	56.3%
4-18 Renter occupied	2914.00
4-18 Renter occupied	43.73%
3 HH_Median income	1885599.00
S_14-18 Median Age	649.54

District: 7



Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

0 .1 .2
Miles

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15066
Deviation	-488
% Deviation	-3.14%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1647
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.93%
PPA_White	7936
% PPA_White	52.67%
PPA_AfAm	1133
% PPA_AfAm	7.52%
PPA_Asian	2908
% PPA_Asian	19.3%
PPA_HoPI	37
% PPA_HoPI	0.25%
PPA_AiAn	25
% PPA_AiAn	0.17%
PPA_CVAP_19	11145
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.97%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6792
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.94%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	783
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.03%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1851
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.61%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.44%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6740.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2820.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	41.84%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	3917.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	58.12%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1130848.00
S_14-18 Median Age	500.32

District: 8




- Map layers**
- Census Block
 - Census Block Group
 - Census Tract
 - County
 - Districts

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15249
Deviation	-305
% Deviation	-1.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2306
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.12%
PPA_White	6089
% PPA_White	39.93%
PPA_AfAm	1093
% PPA_AfAm	7.17%
PPA_Asian	4620
% PPA_Asian	30.3%
PPA_HoPI	25
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_AiAn	47
% PPA_AiAn	0.31%
PPA_CVAP_19	11515
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.51%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5601
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	48.64%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	846
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.35%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.17%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3139
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	27.26%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.6%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5845.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	1261.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	21.57%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	4588.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	78.49%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	414353.00
S_14-18 Median Age	246.69



CITY OF BERKELEY REDISTRICTING

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

PUBLIC REDISTRICTING EXERCISE

THE RULES

- Create a single city council district or all eight districts using the population blocks in the map.
- New districts should have a population of around 15,500.
- The largest district should be no more than 1,500 people greater

ABOUT THE BLOCKS

Each of these blocks is drawn within Berkeley's existing neighborhoods. The numbers from the final release of the 2020 US Census as required by the California Fair Maps Act.

ONCE COMPLETE

● **EMAIL A PHOTO** of your completed map to: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info


● **MAIL IT TO:** City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor Berkeley,
CA 94704

Write in the population estimates for each of your drawn districts.
-15,500 Target Population Per District

DISTRICT 1	16000
DISTRICT 2	15700
DISTRICT 3	15500
DISTRICT 4	15400
DISTRICT 5	15500
DISTRICT 6	15400
DISTRICT 7	15400
DISTRICT 8	15600

INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Alfred Twu (10/28/2021)


First Name: Alfred

Last Name: Twu

Comments: Primary goals- Keep Southside as an Asian-plurality student supermajority district- Group the other blocks close to campus that are mostly renters in the Donut renter supermajority district- Keep Black community together with Adeline Corridor San Pablo Park area- Keep the Hills together- Compact Central and North districts that are mostly lower density housing- Unified West Berkeley district including 4th St, most of San Pablo Ave, and western part of University Ave


Date: October 27, 2021

District: HILLS



Field	Value
District	HILLS
PPA_Population	15398
Deviation	-156
% Deviation	-1%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	985
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.4%
PPA_White	11134
% PPA_White	72.31%
PPA_AfAm	253
% PPA_AfAm	1.64%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1683
% PPA_Asian	10.93%
PPA_HoPI	15
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11562
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.09%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	528
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.57%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9196
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	79.54%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	233
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.02%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1229
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.63%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6222
18_Owner_occupied	5301
18_Owner_occupied	85.2%

District: NORTH



Field	Value
District	NORTH
PPA_Population	15448
Deviation	-106
% Deviation	-0.68%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1212
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.85%
PPA_White	9624
% PPA_White	62.3%
PPA_AfAm	508
% PPA_AfAm	3.29%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2671
% PPA_Asian	17.29%
PPA_HoPI	17
% PPA_HoPI	0.11%
PPA_CVAP_19	11715
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.84%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	751
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8419
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	71.87%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	243
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.07%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1851
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	35
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.3%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6653
18_Owner_occupied	3634
18_Owner_occupied	54.62%

District: CENTRAL




Field	Value
District	CENTRAL
PPA_Population	15613
Deviation	59
% Deviation	0.38%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1796
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.5%
PPA_White	7981
% PPA_White	51.12%
PPA_AfAm	1319
% PPA_AfAm	8.45%
PPA_AiAn	35
% PPA_AiAn	0.22%
PPA_Asian	3159
% PPA_Asian	20.23%
PPA_HoPI	27
% PPA_HoPI	0.17%
PPA_CVAP_19	11747
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.24%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1031
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	8.78%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7090
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.36%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	935
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.96%
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2138
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	45
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.38%
_14_18_HU_Occupied	6808
_18_Owner_occupied	2269
_18_Owner_occupied	33.33%
_18_Renter_occupied	4546
_18_Renter_occupied	66.77%
_HH_Median_income	849586
_14_18_Median_Age	403.57

District: WESTBERKELEY




Field	Value
District	WESTBERKELEY
PPA_Population	15637
Deviation	83
% Deviation	0.53%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3067
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	19.61%
PPA_White	6762
% PPA_White	43.24%
PPA_AfAm	2150
% PPA_AfAm	13.75%
PPA_AiAn	68
% PPA_AiAn	0.43%
PPA_Asian	2113
% PPA_Asian	13.51%
PPA_HoPI	94
% PPA_HoPI	0.6%
PPA_CVAP_19	10394
% PPA_CVAP_19	66.47%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1645
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	15.83%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5381
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	51.77%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1626
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	15.64%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1048
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.08%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.36%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5475
18_Owner_occupied	2039
18_Owner_occupied	37.24%

District: SOUTHBERK




Field	Value
District	SOUTHBERK
PPA_Population	15521
Deviation	-33
% Deviation	-0.21%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2559
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.49%
PPA_White	6286
% PPA_White	40.5%
PPA_AfAm	3406
% PPA_AfAm	21.94%
PPA_AiAn	42
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	1742
% PPA_Asian	11.22%
PPA_HoPI	29
% PPA_HoPI	0.19%
PPA_CVAP_19	10965
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.65%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1330
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	12.13%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5078
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	46.31%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2932
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	26.74%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.13%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1061
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9.68%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6180
18_Owner_occupied	2583
18_Owner_occupied	41.8%

District: EASTBERKELEY



Field	Value
District	EASTBERKELEY
PPA_Population	15585
Deviation	31
% Deviation	0.2%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1497
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.61%
PPA_White	9640
% PPA_White	61.85%
PPA_AfAm	563
% PPA_AfAm	3.61%
PPA_AiAn	34
% PPA_AiAn	0.22%
PPA_Asian	2486
% PPA_Asian	15.95%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_CVAP_19	12731
% PPA_CVAP_19	81.69%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	803
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.31%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9186
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	72.15%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	576
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	4.52%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	61
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.48%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1647
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.94%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6308
18_Owner_occupied	2740
18_Owner_occupied	43.44%

District: DONUT



Field	Value
District	DONUT
PPA_Population	15520
Deviation	-34
% Deviation	-0.22%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2166
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.96%
PPA_White	5950
% PPA_White	38.34%
PPA_AfAm	912
% PPA_AfAm	5.88%
PPA_AiAn	26
% PPA_AiAn	0.17%
PPA_Asian	5324
% PPA_Asian	34.3%
PPA_HoPI	45
% PPA_HoPI	0.29%
PPA_CVAP_19	11847
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.33%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1560
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.17%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5319
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	44.9%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	540
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	4.56%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	51
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.43%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3504
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	29.58%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	133
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.12%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5230
18_Owner_occupied	628
18_Owner_occupied	12.01%


District: SOUTHSIDE



Field	Value
District	SOUTHSIDE
PPA_Population	15711
Deviation	157
% Deviation	1.01%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3747
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.85%
PPA_White	5080
% PPA_White	32.33%
PPA_AfAm	470
% PPA_AfAm	2.99%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5524
% PPA_Asian	35.16%
PPA_HoPI	3
% PPA_HoPI	0.02%
PPA_CVAP_19	10757
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.47%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1978
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	18.39%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4004
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	37.22%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	322
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.99%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	15
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.14%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3836
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.66%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	45
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.42%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2022
18_Owner_occupied	71
18_Owner_occupied	3.51%

INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Alfred Twu (10/31/2021)


First Name: Alfred

Last Name: Twu

Comments: This is a variant of the Donut plan, which creates more compact borders. The Southside district includes the big Southside dorms, including the Units and Clark Kerr, as well as more of the area around Telegraph Ave.


Date: October 31, 2021

District: HILLS



Field	Value
District	HILLS
PPA_Population	15398
Deviation	-156
% Deviation	-1%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	985
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.4%
PPA_White	11134
% PPA_White	72.31%
PPA_AfAm	253
% PPA_AfAm	1.64%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1683
% PPA_Asian	10.93%
PPA_HoPI	15
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11562
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.09%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	528
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.57%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9196
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	79.54%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	233
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.02%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1229
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.63%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6222
18_Owner_occupied	5301
18_Owner_occupied	85.2%

District: NORTH



Field	Value
District	NORTH
PPA_Population	15448
Deviation	-106
% Deviation	-0.68%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1212
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.85%
PPA_White	9624
% PPA_White	62.3%
PPA_AfAm	508
% PPA_AfAm	3.29%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2671
% PPA_Asian	17.29%
PPA_HoPI	17
% PPA_HoPI	0.11%
PPA_CVAP_19	11715
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.84%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	751
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8419
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	71.87%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	243
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.07%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1851
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	35
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.3%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6653
18_Owner_occupied	3634
18_Owner_occupied	54.62%

District: CENTRAL



Field	Value
District	CENTRAL
PPA_Population	15613
Deviation	59
% Deviation	0.38%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1796
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.5%
PPA_White	7981
% PPA_White	51.12%
PPA_AfAm	1319
% PPA_AfAm	8.45%
PPA_AiAn	35
% PPA_AiAn	0.22%
PPA_Asian	3159
% PPA_Asian	20.23%
PPA_HoPI	27
% PPA_HoPI	0.17%
PPA_CVAP_19	11747
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.24%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1031
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	8.78%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7090
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.36%
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	935
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.96%
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2138
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	45
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.38%
_14_18_HU_Occupied	6808
_18_Owner_occupied	2269
_18_Owner_occupied	33.33%
_18_Renter_occupied	4546
_18_Renter_occupied	66.77%
_HH_Median_income	849586
_14_18_Median_Age	403.57

District: WESTBERKELEY




Field	Value
District	WESTBERKELEY
PPA_Population	15637
Deviation	83
% Deviation	0.53%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3067
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	19.61%
PPA_White	6762
% PPA_White	43.24%
PPA_AfAm	2150
% PPA_AfAm	13.75%
PPA_AiAn	68
% PPA_AiAn	0.43%
PPA_Asian	2113
% PPA_Asian	13.51%
PPA_HoPI	94
% PPA_HoPI	0.6%
PPA_CVAP_19	10394
% PPA_CVAP_19	66.47%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1645
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	15.83%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5381
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	51.77%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1626
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	15.64%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1048
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.08%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.36%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5475
18_Owner_occupied	2039
18_Owner_occupied	37.24%

District: SOUTHBERK




Field	Value
District	SOUTHBERK
PPA_Population	15521
Deviation	-33
% Deviation	-0.21%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2559
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.49%
PPA_White	6286
% PPA_White	40.5%
PPA_AfAm	3406
% PPA_AfAm	21.94%
PPA_AiAn	42
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	1742
% PPA_Asian	11.22%
PPA_HoPI	29
% PPA_HoPI	0.19%
PPA_CVAP_19	10965
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.65%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1330
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	12.13%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5078
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	46.31%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2932
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	26.74%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.13%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1061
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9.68%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6180
18_Owner_occupied	2583
18_Owner_occupied	41.8%

District: EASTBERKELEY




Field	Value
District	EASTBERKELEY
PPA_Population	15585
Deviation	31
% Deviation	0.2%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1497
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.61%
PPA_White	9640
% PPA_White	61.85%
PPA_AfAm	563
% PPA_AfAm	3.61%
PPA_AiAn	34
% PPA_AiAn	0.22%
PPA_Asian	2486
% PPA_Asian	15.95%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_CVAP_19	12731
% PPA_CVAP_19	81.69%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	803
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.31%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9186
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	72.15%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	576
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	4.52%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	61
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.48%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1647
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.94%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6308
18_Owner_occupied	2740
18_Owner_occupied	43.44%

District: DONUT




Field	Value
District	DONUT
PPA_Population	15611
Deviation	57
% Deviation	0.37%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2074
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.29%
PPA_White	6056
% PPA_White	38.79%
PPA_AfAm	895
% PPA_AfAm	5.73%
PPA_AiAn	23
% PPA_AiAn	0.15%
PPA_Asian	5425
% PPA_Asian	34.75%
PPA_HoPI	39
% PPA_HoPI	0.25%
PPA_CVAP_19	11026
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.63%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1376
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	12.48%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5183
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.01%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	559
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	5.07%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.17%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3085
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	27.98%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	148
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.34%
14_18_HU_Occupied	4906
18_Owner_occupied	528
18_Owner_occupied	10.76%

District: SOUTHSIDE



Field	Value
District	SOUTHSIDE
PPA_Population	15620
Deviation	66
% Deviation	0.42%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3839
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.58%
PPA_White	4974
% PPA_White	31.84%
PPA_AfAm	487
% PPA_AfAm	3.12%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	5423
% PPA_Asian	34.72%
PPA_HoPI	9
% PPA_HoPI	0.06%
PPA_CVAP_19	11578
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.12%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	2162
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	18.67%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4140
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	35.76%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	303
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.62%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	47
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.41%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4255
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36.75%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.26%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2346
18_Owner_occupied	171
18_Owner_occupied	7.29%



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Stephen Young (11/01/2021)

First Name: Stephen

Last Name: Young

Comments: This proposal unites all of hilly North Berkeley in district 5, keeps 1 and 2 more or less the same, with the principal division being University Avenue, and 7 more compact while keeping its community of interest. District 5 is partially reconfigured to capture the Northside renting community of interest. There are consequent modifications to 3 and 4 for population equality. Otherwise, the plan complies with contiguity and precinct assignment requirements.

Date: November 1, 2021

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15546
Deviation	-8
% Deviation	-0.05%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2203
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.17%
PPA_White	8173
% PPA_White	52.57%
PPA_AfAm	1485
% PPA_AfAm	9.55%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.31%
PPA_Asian	2102
% PPA_Asian	13.52%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_CVAP_19	10791
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.41%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1166
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.81%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6730
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	62.37%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	832
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1551
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.37%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6167
18_Owner_occupied	3129
18_Owner_occupied	50.74%

District: 2




Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15585
Deviation	31
% Deviation	0.2%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2499
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.03%
PPA_White	7120
% PPA_White	45.68%
PPA_AfAm	2344
% PPA_AfAm	15.04%
PPA_AiAn	44
% PPA_AiAn	0.28%
PPA_Asian	2149
% PPA_Asian	13.79%
PPA_HoPI	54
% PPA_HoPI	0.35%
PPA_CVAP_19	10711
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.73%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1274
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.89%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5642
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	52.67%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2099
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	19.6%
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1005
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9.38%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.32%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6148
18_Owner_occupied	2441
18_Owner_occupied	39.7%
18_Renter_occupied	3704
18_Renter_occupied	60.25%
HH_Median_income	929113
_14_18_Median_Age	446.70

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15551
Deviation	-3
% Deviation	-0.02%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2478
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.93%
PPA_White	6907
% PPA_White	44.42%
PPA_AfAm	2813
% PPA_AfAm	18.09%
PPA_AiAn	44
% PPA_AiAn	0.28%
PPA_Asian	1781
% PPA_Asian	11.45%
PPA_HoPI	30
% PPA_HoPI	0.19%
PPA_CVAP_19	11585
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.5%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1338
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.55%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6124
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	52.86%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2475
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	21.36%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	18
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1103
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9.52%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6202
18_Owner_occupied	2335
18_Owner_occupied	37.65%

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15580
Deviation	26
% Deviation	0.17%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1818
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.67%
PPA_White	6915
% PPA_White	44.38%
PPA_AfAm	1212
% PPA_AfAm	7.78%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	4346
% PPA_Asian	27.89%
PPA_HoPI	29
% PPA_HoPI	0.19%
PPA_CVAP_19	12307
% PPA_CVAP_19	78.99%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1130
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.18%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6715
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	54.56%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	940
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.64%
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2802
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	22.77%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	143
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.16%
_14_18_HU_Occupied	6510
_18_Owner_occupied	1468
_18_Owner_occupied	22.55%
_18_Renter_occupied	5056
_18_Renter_occupied	77.67%
_HH_Median_income	577164
_14_18_Median_Age	293.48

District: 5



Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15557
Deviation	3
% Deviation	0.02%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1614
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.37%
PPA_White	8346
% PPA_White	53.65%
PPA_AfAm	625
% PPA_AfAm	4.02%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	3767
% PPA_Asian	24.21%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_CVAP_19	10667
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.57%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1034
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.69%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6853
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	64.24%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	227
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.13%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2076
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.46%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5651
18_Owner_occupied	2200
18_Owner_occupied	38.93%

District: 6




Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15545
Deviation	-9
% Deviation	-0.06%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1020
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.56%
PPA_White	11191
% PPA_White	71.99%
PPA_AfAm	249
% PPA_AfAm	1.6%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1732
% PPA_Asian	11.14%
PPA_HoPI	13
% PPA_HoPI	0.08%
PPA_CVAP_19	11710
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.33%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	508
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.34%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9294
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	79.37%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	209
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1.78%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1311
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6257
18_Owner_occupied	5333
18_Owner_occupied	85.23%

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15519
Deviation	-35
% Deviation	-0.23%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3776
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.33%
PPA_White	4688
% PPA_White	30.21%
PPA_AfAm	482
% PPA_AfAm	3.11%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	5741
% PPA_Asian	36.99%
PPA_HoPI	8
% PPA_HoPI	0.05%
PPA_CVAP_19	10984
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.78%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1988
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	18.1%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3874
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	35.27%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	323
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.94%
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	30
?A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.27%
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4069
?A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	37.04%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	75
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.68%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2646
18_Owner_occupied	99
18_Owner_occupied	3.74%
18_Renter_occupied	2549
18_Renter_occupied	96.33%
HH_Median_income	91607
_14_18_Median_Age	106.43


District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15550
Deviation	-4
% Deviation	-0.03%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1621
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.42%
PPA_White	9117
% PPA_White	58.63%
PPA_AfAm	371
% PPA_AfAm	2.39%
PPA_AiAn	24
% PPA_AiAn	0.15%
PPA_Asian	3084
% PPA_Asian	19.83%
PPA_HoPI	19
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	12963
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.36%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1188
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.16%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8441
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.12%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	302
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.33%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	89
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.69%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2397
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.49%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5317
18_Owner_occupied	2260
18_Owner_occupied	42.51%

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Anonymous (11/02/2021)

First Name: Anonymous

Last Name: D

Comments:

Date: November 2, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age


District: 1



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	16030
Deviation	476
% Deviation	3.06%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2362
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.73%
PPA_White	8283
% PPA_White	51.67%
PPA_AfAm	1599
% PPA_AfAm	9.98%
PPA_AiAn	52
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	2199
% PPA_Asian	13.72%
PPA_HoPI	65
% PPA_HoPI	0.41%
PPA_CVAP_19	10951
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.32%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6825
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	62.32%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	952
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	8.69%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1470
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.42%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	94
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.86%
3_14-18 HU_Occupied	5716.00
3_18 Owner occupied	3207.00
3_18 Owner occupied	56.11%
3_18 Renter occupied	2506.00
3_18 Renter occupied	43.84%
3_HH_Median income	1397574.00
S_14-18 Median Age	570.85


District: 2



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15465
Deviation	-89
% Deviation	-0.57%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2499
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.16%
PPA_White	6907
% PPA_White	44.66%
PPA_AfAm	2620
% PPA_AfAm	16.94%
PPA_AiAn	37
% PPA_AiAn	0.24%
PPA_Asian	1933
% PPA_Asian	12.5%
PPA_HoPI	60
% PPA_HoPI	0.39%
PPA_CVAP_19	11143
% PPA_CVAP_19	72.05%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5427
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	48.7%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2565
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	23.02%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	986
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.85%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	61
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.55%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6692.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2585.00
14-18 Owner occupied	38.63%
14-18 Renter occupied	4120.00
14-18 Renter occupied	61.57%
3 HH_Median income	1036069.00
S_14-18 Median Age	476.59

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15719
Deviation	165
% Deviation	1.06%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2393
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.22%
PPA_White	7259
% PPA_White	46.18%
PPA_AfAm	2525
% PPA_AfAm	16.06%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.31%
PPA_Asian	1995
% PPA_Asian	12.69%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11523
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.31%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6375
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.32%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1846
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	16.02%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1382
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.99%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6154.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2291.00
4-18 Owner occupied	37.23%
4-18 Renter occupied	3862.00
4-18 Renter occupied	62.76%
3 HH_Median income	985203.00
S_14-18 Median Age	467.66

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE



District: 4



Map layers


- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .15 .3

Miles

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15583
Deviation	29
% Deviation	0.19%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2016
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	12.94%
PPA_White	6038
% PPA_White	38.75%
PPA_AfAm	960
% PPA_AfAm	6.16%
PPA_AiAn	42
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	5471
% PPA_Asian	35.11%
PPA_HoPI	45
% PPA_HoPI	0.29%
PPA_CVAP_19	10816
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5219
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	48.25%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	797
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.37%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3050
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	28.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	194
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.79%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5207.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	579.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	11.12%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	4631.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	88.94%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	570757.00
S_14-18 Median Age	199.06


District: 5



Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14427
Deviation	-1,127
% Deviation	-7.25%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1360
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.43%
PPA_White	7900
% PPA_White	54.76%
PPA_AfAm	685
% PPA_AfAm	4.75%
PPA_AiAn	17
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	3257
% PPA_Asian	22.58%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	10844
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.16%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7070
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.2%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	324
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.99%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1988
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.33%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6479.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2494.00
4-18 Owner occupied	38.49%
4-18 Renter occupied	3983.00
4-18 Renter occupied	61.48%
3 HH_Median income	1006251.00
S_14-18 Median Age	425.17

District: 6

Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15893
Deviation	339
% Deviation	2.18%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1033
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.5%
PPA_White	11415
% PPA_White	71.82%
PPA_AfAm	297
% PPA_AfAm	1.87%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1768
% PPA_Asian	11.12%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11871
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.69%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9354
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	78.8%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	239
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.01%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1350
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.37%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	24
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.2%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6416.00
14-18 Owner occupied	5391.00
14-18 Owner occupied	84.02%
14-18 Renter occupied	1027.00
14-18 Renter occupied	16.01%
3 HH_Median income	2450087.00
S_14-18 Median Age	760.89



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .2 .4
Miles


District: 7



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	18131
Deviation	2,577
% Deviation	16.57%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	4145
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	22.86%
PPA_White	6241
% PPA_White	34.42%
PPA_AfAm	507
% PPA_AfAm	2.8%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	6142
% PPA_Asian	33.88%
PPA_HoPI	11
% PPA_HoPI	0.06%
PPA_CVAP_19	13563
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.81%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5226
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	38.53%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	309
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.28%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	47
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.35%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4719
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.79%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	2957.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	249.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	8.42%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	2717.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	91.88%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	203613.00
S_14-18 Median Age	146.88


District: 8




Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	13164
Deviation	-2,390
% Deviation	-15.37%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1219
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.26%
PPA_White	8399
% PPA_White	63.8%
PPA_AfAm	387
% PPA_AfAm	2.94%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	1937
% PPA_Asian	14.71%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	10998
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.55%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8168
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	74.27%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	375
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	3.41%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	57
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.52%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1369
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.45%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5277.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2469.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	46.79%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	2800.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	53.06%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1310420.00
S_14-18 Median Age	442.58

	2010 Equal Dist. Pop.	2010 Actual Dist. Pop.	Deviation
District 1	14,073	13,080	(993)
District 2	14,073	13,381	(692)
District 3	14,073	13,024	(1,049)
District 4	14,073	15,605	1,532
District 5	14,073	12,709	(1,364)
District 6	14,073	12,883	(1,190)
District 7	14,073	16,623	2,550
District 8	14,073	15,275	1,202

The 2010 Equal District Population (EDP) number is 14,073.
 The EDP is arrived at by dividing total population (112,580) by eight.





©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Phil Allen (11/04/2021)

A RATIONALE FOR MY REDISTRICTING PROPOSAL

Phil Allen – resident of District 1

This explanation is meant to accompany my map for the next generation of City of Berkeley council districts.

FUNDAMENTAL

- The number of districts will remain at 8, for continuity and reasonable representation ..
- Districts are re-numbered to agree with the north/south street-address scheme in Alameda County. Hence, D-1 will be northmost and D-8 southmost
- Illegal codicil: Considering the southward ‘drop’ of the west-most census block in my *new* D-6 (into Aquatic Park), why not transfer it to the *new* D-8, as no-one lives there anyway?
- All districts fall within mandated population parameters

CONCEPTUAL

My proposal has two bases:

1 – It relates the City’s three prominent geographic features to all surmounted physical settlement: the hills esplanade; the mostly-culverted creeks, and the Bay frontage. New districts will therefore have a generally east/west orientation. *Five* districts will include the Eastern elevations. *Four* will have Bay or aquatic frontage. *Three* will have both. This orientation encourages consideration of those culverted creeks;

2 – The current order of fairly box-like districts arose from a demand for enfranchisement by the purposely omitted, resulting over the decades in a parochial ‘our plot’ view throughout the City—here are the struggling, here are the swells, here’s where to build, etc.

Beyond the first aspect noted above, an east/west orientation will in most new districts embrace a range of incomes, uses, services and recreation. ‘Exclusivity’ will have few places to run. Imagine a councilor/constituents meeting in a district that stretches across the town! Such re-, or new, acquaintance could be evolutionary. Or devastating.


NB

- Ignoring the political consequences of any scheme is like telling a jury, “Disregard the last statement.”
- The 300-person census block fronting the Bay could be appended to either the *new* D-2 or the *new* D-6

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE



Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

0 .2 .4
Miles

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	16043
Deviation	489
% Deviation	3.14%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1052
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.56%
PPA_White	11509
% PPA_White	71.74%
PPA_AfAm	260
% PPA_AfAm	1.62%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1834
% PPA_Asian	11.43%
PPA_HoPI	13
% PPA_HoPI	0.08%
PPA_CVAP_19	12072
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.25%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9569
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	79.27%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	210
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.74%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1371
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.36%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
HU_Occupied	6439.00
HU_Owner occupied	5505.00
HU_Owner occupied	85.49%
HU_Renter occupied	939.00
HU_Renter occupied	14.58%
HH_Median income	2517947.00
S_14-18 Median Age	811.38



District: 2



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	16387
Deviation	833
% Deviation	5.36%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1666
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.17%
PPA_White	9730
% PPA_White	59.38%
PPA_AfAm	1029
% PPA_AfAm	6.28%
PPA_AiAn	30
% PPA_AiAn	0.18%
PPA_Asian	2413
% PPA_Asian	14.73%
PPA_HoPI	62
% PPA_HoPI	0.38%
PPA_CVAP_19	11463
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.95%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7969
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	69.52%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	567
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	4.95%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1731
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.1%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.3%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6467.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	3535.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	54.66%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	2941.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	45.48%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1648358.00
S_14-18 Median Age	615.94


District: 3



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	16086
Deviation	532
% Deviation	3.42%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2215
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.77%
PPA_White	7619
% PPA_White	47.36%
PPA_AfAm	1138
% PPA_AfAm	7.07%
PPA_AiAn	30
% PPA_AiAn	0.19%
PPA_Asian	3746
% PPA_Asian	23.29%
PPA_HoPI	39
% PPA_HoPI	0.24%
PPA_CVAP_19	11358
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.61%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6664
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	58.67%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	542
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	4.77%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	24
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.21%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2018
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	17.77%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	10
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.09%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6431.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2055.00
14-18 Owner occupied	31.95%
14-18 Renter occupied	4380.00
14-18 Renter occupied	68.11%
3 HH_Median income	820199.00
S_14-18 Median Age	369.82

District: 4




Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15358
Deviation	-196
% Deviation	-1.26%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2239
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.58%
PPA_White	6751
% PPA_White	43.96%
PPA_AfAm	1633
% PPA_AfAm	10.63%
PPA_AiAn	55
% PPA_AiAn	0.36%
PPA_Asian	3371
% PPA_Asian	21.95%
PPA_HoPI	43
% PPA_HoPI	0.28%
PPA_CVAP_19	10824
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.48%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5494
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.76%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1547
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	14.29%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	17.61%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	129
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.19%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6103.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	1825.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	29.9%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	4272.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	70%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	918491.00
S_14-18 Median Age	375.52



District: 5



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14149
Deviation	-1,405
% Deviation	-9.03%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2648
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	18.72%
PPA_White	5041
% PPA_White	35.63%
PPA_AfAm	367
% PPA_AfAm	2.59%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5151
% PPA_Asian	36.41%
PPA_HoPI	6
% PPA_HoPI	0.04%
PPA_CVAP_19	10573
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.73%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4567
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	43.19%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	208
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.97%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	15
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.14%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3709
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.08%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	170
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.61%
A_14-18 HU_Occupied	2785.00
A_18 Owner occupied	178.00
A_18 Owner occupied	6.39%
A_18 Renter occupied	2607.00
A_18 Renter occupied	93.61%
A_3 HH_Median income	124388.00
A_S_14-18 Median Age	123.16


District: 6



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	16481
Deviation	927
% Deviation	5.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3149
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	19.11%
PPA_White	6363
% PPA_White	38.61%
PPA_AfAm	1371
% PPA_AfAm	8.32%
PPA_AiAn	37
% PPA_AiAn	0.22%
PPA_Asian	4362
% PPA_Asian	26.47%
PPA_HoPI	38
% PPA_HoPI	0.23%
PPA_CVAP_19	12459
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.6%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5461
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	43.83%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1021
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	8.19%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	100
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.8%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3042
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	24.42%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	60
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.48%
14-18 HU_Occupied	4989.00
14-18 Owner occupied	1109.00
14-18 Owner occupied	22.23%
14-18 Renter occupied	3866.00
14-18 Renter occupied	77.49%
3 HH_Median income	486243.00
S_14-18 Median Age	276.39

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	14151
Deviation	-1,403
% Deviation	-9.02%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2001
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.14%
PPA_White	6941
% PPA_White	49.05%
PPA_AfAm	1753
% PPA_AfAm	12.39%
PPA_AiAn	19
% PPA_AiAn	0.13%
PPA_Asian	2127
% PPA_Asian	15.03%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11522
% PPA_CVAP_19	81.42%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6807
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	59.08%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1504
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	13.05%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1527
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.25%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	5294.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2151.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	40.63%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	3143.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	59.37%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	793565.00
S_14-18 Median Age	328.51


Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- County
- Districts


0 .2 .4
Miles

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 8




Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15757
Deviation	203
% Deviation	1.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2057
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.05%
PPA_White	8488
% PPA_White	53.87%
PPA_AfAm	2029
% PPA_AfAm	12.88%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	1698
% PPA_Asian	10.78%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_CVAP_19	11438
% PPA_CVAP_19	72.59%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7133
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	62.36%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1808
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.81%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	18
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1010
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.83%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
S_14-18 HU_Occupied	6390.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	2907.00
S_14-18 Owner occupied	45.49%
S_14-18 Renter occupied	3498.00
S_14-18 Renter occupied	54.74%
S_14-18 HH_Median income	1650783.00
S_14-18 Median Age	588.95



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Bruce Stangeland (11/06/2021)

First Name: Bruce

Last Name: Stangeland



Comments: Here's my attempt to redraw our 8 districts. My maximum population = 16000 and my minimum is 15,200. My intent was to minimize the length of the boundary of each district, so as to not gerrymander.

Date: November 6, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age



District: 1



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	16051
Deviation	497
% Deviation	3.2%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2235
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.92%
PPA_White	8519
% PPA_White	53.07%
PPA_AfAm	1429
% PPA_AfAm	8.9%
PPA_AiAn	50
% PPA_AiAn	0.31%
PPA_Asian	2234
% PPA_Asian	13.92%
PPA_HoPI	68
% PPA_HoPI	0.42%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	11133
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.36%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1151
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	10.34%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6791
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	829
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.45%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1730
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.54%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6362.00
14-18 Owner occupied	3298.00
14-18 Owner occupied	51.84%


District: 2



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	16446
Deviation	892
% Deviation	5.73%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2801
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.03%
PPA_White	7042
% PPA_White	42.82%
PPA_AfAm	2894
% PPA_AfAm	17.6%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2130
% PPA_Asian	12.95%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.35%
PPA_Other	189
% PPA_Other	1.15%
PPA_CVAP_19	11638
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.76%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1490
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	12.8%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5673
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	48.75%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2809
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.14%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	932
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.01%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.21%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6556.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2530.00
14-18 Owner occupied	38.59%

District: 3





©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Map layers

- Census Block
 - Census Block Group
 - Census Tract
 - Districts
- 0 .075 .15
Miles

Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15013
Deviation	-541
% Deviation	-3.48%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2188
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.57%
PPA_White	7457
% PPA_White	49.67%
PPA_AfAm	2069
% PPA_AfAm	13.78%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	1831
% PPA_Asian	12.2%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	169
% PPA_Other	1.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11105
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.97%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1052
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	9.47%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6750
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.78%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1791
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	16.13%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.13%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1044
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9.4%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5906.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2205.00
14-18 Owner occupied	37.33%

District: 4




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15616
Deviation	62
% Deviation	0.4%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1855
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.88%
PPA_White	6830
% PPA_White	43.74%
PPA_AfAm	1467
% PPA_AfAm	9.39%
PPA_AiAn	50
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	4170
% PPA_Asian	26.7%
PPA_HoPI	36
% PPA_HoPI	0.23%
PPA_Other	162
% PPA_Other	1.04%
PPA_CVAP_19	11851
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.89%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1052
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	8.88%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6250
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	52.74%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	923
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7.79%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2799
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	23.62%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	143
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.21%
4-18 HU_Occupied	6571.00
4-18 Owner occupied	1575.00
4-18 Owner occupied	23.97%
4-18 Renter occupied	4990.00
4-18 Renter occupied	75.94%

District: 5




Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15756
Deviation	202
% Deviation	1.3%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1314
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	8.34%
PPA_White	9353
% PPA_White	59.36%
PPA_AfAm	466
% PPA_AfAm	2.96%
PPA_AiAn	13
% PPA_AiAn	0.08%
PPA_Asian	3305
% PPA_Asian	20.98%
PPA_HoPI	29
% PPA_HoPI	0.18%
PPA_Other	119
% PPA_Other	0.76%
PPA_CVAP_19	11838
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.13%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	913
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8235
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	69.56%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	246
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.08%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1853
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.65%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	10
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.08%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6395.00
4-18 Owner occupied	3079.00
4-18 Owner occupied	48.15%
4-18 Renter occupied	3313.00
4-18 Renter occupied	51.81%




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 6



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE




Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15296
Deviation	-258
% Deviation	-1.66%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1218
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.96%
PPA_White	10338
% PPA_White	67.59%
PPA_AfAm	372
% PPA_AfAm	2.43%
PPA_AiAn	2
% PPA_AiAn	0.01%
PPA_Asian	2101
% PPA_Asian	13.74%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_Other	134
% PPA_Other	0.88%
PPA_CVAP_19	10946
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.56%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	533
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	4.87%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8382
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	76.58%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	213
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1.95%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1417
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.95%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	24
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5932.00
14-18 Owner occupied	4611.00
14-18 Owner occupied	77.73%

District: 7





©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE




Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	14937
Deviation	-617
% Deviation	-3.97%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3344
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	22.39%
PPA_White	5221
% PPA_White	34.95%
PPA_AfAm	419
% PPA_AfAm	2.81%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	4996
% PPA_Asian	33.45%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_Other	66
% PPA_Other	0.44%
PPA_CVAP_19	10375
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.46%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1843
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	17.76%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4099
% A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	39.51%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	269
% A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.59%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
% A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3646
% A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.14%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
% A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
+14-18 HU_Occupied	1768.00
+18 Owner occupied	170.00
% +18 Owner occupied	9.62%

District: 8



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15297
Deviation	-257
% Deviation	-1.65%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2072
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.55%
PPA_White	7682
% PPA_White	50.22%
PPA_AfAm	464
% PPA_AfAm	3.03%
PPA_AiAn	18
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	3935
% PPA_Asian	25.72%
PPA_HoPI	21
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	97
% PPA_Other	0.63%
PPA_CVAP_19	12823
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.83%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1264
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	9.86%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7484
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	58.36%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	327
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.55%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	119
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.93%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2893
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	22.56%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	75
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.58%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5408.00
14-18 Owner occupied	1797.00
14-18 Owner occupied	33.23%



CITY OF BERKELEY REDISTRICTING

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

PUBLIC REDISTRICTING EXERCISE

THE RULES

- Create a single city council district or all eight districts using the population blocks in the map.
- New districts should have a population of around 15,500.
- The largest district should be no more than 1,500 people greater

ABOUT THE BLOCKS

Each of these blocks is drawn within Berkeley's existing neighborhoods. The numbers from the final release of the 2020 US Census as required by the California Fair Maps Act.


ONCE COMPLETE

● **EMAIL A PHOTO** of your completed map to: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info


● **MAIL IT TO:** City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor Berkeley, CA 94704

Write in the population estimates for each of your drawn districts.
~15,500 Target Population Per District

DISTRICT 1	16,000
DISTRICT 2	15,400
DISTRICT 3	15,200
DISTRICT 4	15,600
DISTRICT 5	15,400
DISTRICT 6	15,500
DISTRICT 7	15,400
DISTRICT 8	16,000



©2021 CALIPER



CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Thomas Lord (11/06/2021)

First Name: Thomas

Last Name: Lord


Comments: This map tries not to deviate radically from existing districts while, at the same time, trying to increase the diversity of interests each council member must represent. For example, this map gives both the representatives from District 1 and District 4 a stake in Ohlone Park. This map gives District 6 a larger stake in the interests of students. I have not adhered strictly to the traditional use of Sacramento St. as a district boundary for similar reasons. Perfect racial balance equality is geographically impossible in any set of compact districts at this time but I have tried to improve the balance. I am not sure I m satisfied with the boundaries between district 1 and 2 which I changed only minimally - but I left them as is for lack of deep familiarity with the area. The population size balances are all very close to ideal.

Date: November 6, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15477
Deviation	-77
% Deviation	-0.5%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2227
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.39%
PPA_White	7891
% PPA_White	50.99%
PPA_AfAm	1548
% PPA_AfAm	10%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	2273
% PPA_Asian	14.69%
PPA_HoPI	64
% PPA_HoPI	0.41%
PPA_Other	168
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10741
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.4%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1213
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.29%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6585
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.31%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	841
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.83%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.19%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1429
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.17%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6298
18_Owner_occupied	2896
18_Owner_occupied	45.98%


District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15555
Deviation	1
% Deviation	0.01%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2718
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.47%
PPA_White	6519
% PPA_White	41.91%
PPA_AfAm	2832
% PPA_AfAm	18.21%
PPA_AiAn	39
% PPA_AiAn	0.25%
PPA_Asian	2013
% PPA_Asian	12.94%
PPA_HoPI	56
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	174
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	10750
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.11%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1475
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.72%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5059
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.06%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2685
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.98%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	887
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.25%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	113
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.05%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6108
18_Owner_occupied	2355
18_Owner_occupied	38.56%

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15532
Deviation	-22
% Deviation	-0.14%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2347
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.11%
PPA_White	7306
% PPA_White	47.04%
PPA_AfAm	2319
% PPA_AfAm	14.93%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	2069
% PPA_Asian	13.32%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_Other	172
% PPA_Other	1.11%
PPA_CVAP_19	11750
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.65%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1214
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.33%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6765
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.57%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1868
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.9%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1283
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.92%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
PPA_14_18_HU_Occupied	6122
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	2128
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	34.76%
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	3996
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	65.27%


District: 4



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15594
Deviation	40
% Deviation	0.26%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1826
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.71%
PPA_White	6388
% PPA_White	40.96%
PPA_AfAm	1240
% PPA_AfAm	7.95%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.28%
PPA_Asian	4896
% PPA_Asian	31.4%
PPA_HoPI	40
% PPA_HoPI	0.26%
PPA_Other	153
% PPA_Other	0.98%
PPA_CVAP_19	11883
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.2%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1179
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.92%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5944
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.02%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	958
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	8.06%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3008
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.31%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	212
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.78%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6398
18_Owner_occupied	1315
18_Owner_occupied	20.55%


District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15558
Deviation	4
% Deviation	0.03%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1113
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.15%
PPA_White	10584
% PPA_White	68.03%
PPA_AfAm	324
% PPA_AfAm	2.08%
PPA_AiAn	9
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2132
% PPA_Asian	13.7%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_Other	111
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	11727
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.38%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	637
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.43%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9021
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	76.93%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	167
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.42%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1411
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.03%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.12%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6427
18_Owner_occupied	4419
18_Owner_occupied	68.76%
18_Renter_occupied	2015
18_Renter_occupied	31.35%

District: 6



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15542
Deviation	-12
% Deviation	-0.08%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1440
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.27%
PPA_White	9582
% PPA_White	61.65%
PPA_AfAm	441
% PPA_AfAm	2.84%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	2841
% PPA_Asian	18.28%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	145
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	10910
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.2%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	766
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.02%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7714
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.71%
PPA_NH_Blkl_CVAP_19	275
PPA_NH_Blkl_CVAP_19	2.52%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1784
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.35%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
PPA_18_HU_Occupied	5635
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	3626
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	64.35%
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	2016
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	35.78%

District: 7





Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15569
Deviation	15
% Deviation	0.1%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3676
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.61%
PPA_White	5130
% PPA_White	32.95%
PPA_AfAm	411
% PPA_AfAm	2.64%
PPA_AiAn	15
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	5389
% PPA_Asian	34.61%
PPA_HoPI	4
% PPA_HoPI	0.03%
PPA_Other	81
% PPA_Other	0.52%
PPA_CVAP_19	11124
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.45%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1878
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	16.88%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4376
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	39.34%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	312
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.8%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	55
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.49%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3869
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.78%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2384
18_Owner_occupied	208
18_Owner_occupied	8.72%
18_Renter_occupied	2175
18_Renter_occupied	91.23%

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15585
Deviation	31
% Deviation	0.2%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1680
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.78%
PPA_White	9042
% PPA_White	58.02%
PPA_AfAm	465
% PPA_AfAm	2.98%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	3089
% PPA_Asian	19.82%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	111
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	12824
% PPA_CVAP_19	82.28%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1263
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.85%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8200
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.94%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	301
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.35%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.38%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2443
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.05%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5526
18_Owner_occupied	2318
18_Owner_occupied	41.95%



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Lissa Miner (11/06/2021)

First Name: Lissa

Last Name: Miner


Comments: Equitable representation by population. Districts and council members will need to collaborate as each district has multiple types of housing and neighborhoods and geography, except perhaps for District 7 that has dense student population.

Date: November 6, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15737
Deviation	183
% Deviation	1.18%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2287
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.53%
PPA_White	7980
% PPA_White	50.71%
PPA_AfAm	1540
% PPA_AfAm	9.79%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.31%
PPA_Asian	2385
% PPA_Asian	15.16%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10803
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.65%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1204
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.15%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6594
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.04%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	833
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.13%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1150
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.37%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6220
18_Owner_occupied	2893
18_Owner_occupied	46.51%


District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15787
Deviation	233
% Deviation	1.5%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2696
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.08%
PPA_White	6733
% PPA_White	42.65%
PPA_AfAm	2796
% PPA_AfAm	17.71%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2074
% PPA_Asian	13.14%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	180
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11050
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.99%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1476
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.36%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5267
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.67%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2713
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.55%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	907
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6276
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.46%


District: 3



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15486
Deviation	-68
% Deviation	-0.44%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2320
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.98%
PPA_White	7253
% PPA_White	46.84%
PPA_AfAm	2385
% PPA_AfAm	15.4%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2030
% PPA_Asian	13.11%
PPA_HoPI	25
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	174
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	11484
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.16%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1207
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.51%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6324
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.07%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1741
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.16%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1631
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6199
18_Owner_occupied	2392
18_Owner_occupied	38.59%
18_Renter_occupied	3802
18_Renter_occupied	61.33%


District: 4



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15377
Deviation	-177
% Deviation	-1.14%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1837
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.95%
PPA_White	6558
% PPA_White	42.65%
PPA_AfAm	1181
% PPA_AfAm	7.68%
PPA_AiAn	52
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	4469
% PPA_Asian	29.06%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	169
% PPA_Other	1.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	12142
% PPA_CVAP_19	78.96%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1134
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.34%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6627
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	54.58%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1050
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	8.65%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	59
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.49%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2547
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	20.98%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	143
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.18%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6325
14_18_Owner_occupied	1174
14_18_Owner_occupied	18.56%
14_18_Renter_occupied	5149
14_18_Renter_occupied	81.41%

District: 5



Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15893
Deviation	339
% Deviation	2.18%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1119
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.04%
PPA_White	10897
% PPA_White	68.56%
PPA_AfAm	362
% PPA_AfAm	2.28%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2058
% PPA_Asian	12.95%
PPA_HoPI	15
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_Other	119
% PPA_Other	0.75%
PPA_CVAP_19	12168
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.56%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	642
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.28%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9424
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	77.45%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	202
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.66%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1435
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.79%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6671
18_Owner_occupied	4770
18_Owner_occupied	71.5%
18_Renter_occupied	1903
18_Renter_occupied	28.53%

District: 6




Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15352
Deviation	-202
% Deviation	-1.3%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1383
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.01%
PPA_White	9372
% PPA_White	61.05%
PPA_AfAm	430
% PPA_AfAm	2.8%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	2972
% PPA_Asian	19.36%
PPA_HoPI	31
% PPA_HoPI	0.2%
PPA_Other	141
% PPA_Other	0.92%
PPA_CVAP_19	10835
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.58%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	768
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.09%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7619
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.32%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	262
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.42%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1790
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.52%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5736
18_Owner_occupied	3325
18_Owner_occupied	57.97%
18_Renter_occupied	2412
18_Renter_occupied	42.05%

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15505
Deviation	-49
% Deviation	-0.32%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3760
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.25%
PPA_White	4780
% PPA_White	30.83%
PPA_AfAm	489
% PPA_AfAm	3.15%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	5629
% PPA_Asian	36.3%
PPA_HoPI	3
% PPA_HoPI	0.02%
PPA_Other	60
% PPA_Other	0.39%
PPA_CVAP_19	10481
% PPA_CVAP_19	67.6%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1875
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.89%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3760
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	35.87%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	331
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	3.16%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	15
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.14%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3881
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	37.03%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	45
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.43%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2219
18_Owner_occupied	103
18_Owner_occupied	4.64%
18_Renter_occupied	2118
18_Renter_occupied	95.45%


District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15275
Deviation	-279
% Deviation	-1.79%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1625
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.64%
PPA_White	8869
% PPA_White	58.06%
PPA_AfAm	397
% PPA_AfAm	2.6%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	3085
% PPA_Asian	20.2%
PPA_HoPI	22
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	101
% PPA_Other	0.66%
PPA_CVAP_19	12746
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.44%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1319
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8049
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.15%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	275
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.16%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.38%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2463
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.32%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5252
18_Owner_occupied	2194
18_Owner_occupied	41.77%



©2021 CALIPER



CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Berkeley Progressive Alliance (11/11/2021)

First Name: Berkeley Progressive Alliance

Last Name: (BPA)

Comments: The Berkeley Progressive Alliance BPA is an alliance of community and labor organizations and Berkeley coalitions. Its mission is to work to improve social, economic, and racial equality within Berkeley. The map ensures the integrity of the following communities of interest: Districts 2 and 3 include South Berkeleys historically African American neighborhoods, and include the following communities: San Pablo Park, West Berkeley, the Adeline Corridor, Lorin, LeConte and Bateman District 1 encompasses Northwest Berkeleys Gilman, 4th Street, and North Berkeley communities District 4 has of Central Berkeleys McGee Spaulding, North Shattuck and Downtown communities District 7 restores Berkeleys traditional student district including the predominantly student parts of the Northside District 8 has Southeast Berkeleys Panoramic Hill, Elmwood and Claremont neighborhoods District 5 includes Central North Berkeleys Westbrae, Northbrae, Live Oak, Thousand Oaks, and Solano District communities District 6 has Northeast Berkeleys South Hampton, Cragmont, Northside, Terrace View and Hills communities

Date: November 11, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15193
Deviation	-361
% Deviation	-2.32%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2546
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.76%
PPA_White	6967
% PPA_White	45.86%
PPA_AfAm	1827
% PPA_AfAm	12.03%
PPA_AiAn	57
% PPA_AiAn	0.38%
PPA_Asian	2344
% PPA_Asian	15.43%
PPA_HoPI	73
% PPA_HoPI	0.48%
PPA_Other	170
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	10182
% PPA_CVAP_19	67.02%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1351
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.27%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5665
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.64%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1262
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	12.39%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1331
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.07%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	115
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5522
18_Owner_occupied	2309
18_Owner_occupied	41.81%

District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15298
Deviation	-256
% Deviation	-1.65%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2623
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.15%
PPA_White	6563
% PPA_White	42.9%
PPA_AfAm	2975
% PPA_AfAm	19.45%
PPA_AiAn	30
% PPA_AiAn	0.2%
PPA_Asian	1616
% PPA_Asian	10.56%
PPA_HoPI	49
% PPA_HoPI	0.32%
PPA_Other	188
% PPA_Other	1.23%
PPA_CVAP_19	10954
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.6%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1426
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.02%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5166
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.16%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2635
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.06%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	964
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	26
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6343
18_Owner_occupied	2649
18_Owner_occupied	41.76%

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15457
Deviation	-97
% Deviation	-0.62%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2179
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.1%
PPA_White	7433
% PPA_White	48.09%
PPA_AfAm	1812
% PPA_AfAm	11.72%
PPA_AiAn	55
% PPA_AiAn	0.36%
PPA_Asian	2558
% PPA_Asian	16.55%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_Other	176
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11902
% PPA_CVAP_19	77%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1129
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.49%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7124
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	59.86%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1587
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	13.33%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	69
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.58%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1532
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.87%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
PPA_14_18_HU_Occupied	6153
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	1874
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	30.46%
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	4264
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	69.3%

District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15783
Deviation	229
% Deviation	1.47%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1729
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.95%
PPA_White	6827
% PPA_White	43.26%
PPA_AfAm	1217
% PPA_AfAm	7.71%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4742
% PPA_Asian	30.04%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.02%
PPA_CVAP_19	12102
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.68%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1137
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.4%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6551
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	54.13%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	788
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2956
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	24.43%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	139
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.15%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6900
18_Owner_occupied	1528
18_Owner_occupied	22.14%
18_Renter_occupied	5376
18_Renter_occupied	77.91%

District: 5




Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15636
Deviation	82
% Deviation	0.53%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1174
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.51%
PPA_White	10522
% PPA_White	67.29%
PPA_AfAm	427
% PPA_AfAm	2.73%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2058
% PPA_Asian	13.16%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	119
% PPA_Other	0.76%
PPA_CVAP_19	11894
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.07%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	632
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.31%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8958
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	75.32%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	260
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.19%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1497
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.59%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.12%
PPA_14_18_HU_Occupied	6431
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	4380
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	68.11%
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	2072
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	32.22%

District: 6




Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15182
Deviation	-372
% Deviation	-2.39%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1039
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.84%
PPA_White	10012
% PPA_White	65.95%
PPA_AfAm	322
% PPA_AfAm	2.12%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	2583
% PPA_Asian	17.01%
PPA_HoPI	14
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_Other	132
% PPA_Other	0.87%
PPA_CVAP_19	11134
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.34%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	621
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.58%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8230
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73.92%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	246
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.21%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1699
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.26%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	25
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6215
18_Owner_occupied	4274
18_Owner_occupied	68.77%
18_Renter_occupied	1944
18_Renter_occupied	31.28%

District: 7




Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15916
Deviation	362
% Deviation	2.33%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	4016
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	25.23%
PPA_White	5057
% PPA_White	31.77%
PPA_AfAm	567
% PPA_AfAm	3.56%
PPA_AiAn	9
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	5415
% PPA_Asian	34.02%
PPA_HoPI	21
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_Other	55
% PPA_Other	0.35%
PPA_CVAP_19	10446
% PPA_CVAP_19	65.63%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1931
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	18.49%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3890
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	37.24%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	352
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	3.37%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	17
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3672
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.15%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	54
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.52%
2014_18_HU_Occupied	2153
2018_Owner_occupied	97
2018_Owner_occupied	4.51%
2018_Renter_occupied	2054
2018_Renter_occupied	95.4%


District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15968
Deviation	414
% Deviation	2.66%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1723
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.79%
PPA_White	9076
% PPA_White	56.84%
PPA_AfAm	434
% PPA_AfAm	2.72%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	3386
% PPA_Asian	21.2%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	114
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13104
% PPA_CVAP_19	82.06%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1399
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.68%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8089
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.73%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	277
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.11%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.37%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2663
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	20.32%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5181
18_Owner_occupied	2154
18_Owner_occupied	41.57%
18_Renter_occupied	3035
18_Renter_occupied	58.58%



©2021 CALIPER



CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by BNC (Janis Ching) (11/12/2021)

First Name: Janis

Last Name: Ching

Comments: This map is being submitted by Berkeley Neighborhoods Council, using input from many neighborhood groups across the city. Considerations: 1.Keep neighborhoods together as much as possible. 2. Combine the Northside and Southside student housing units to create a more unified student district. 3. Keep the blocks surrounding the North Berkeley BART station in one district as they share interests in upcoming development. 4. Keep the Shattuck business district together from Downtown to at least Cedar St. 5. Dwight Way serves as the natural border for District 3, and should continue to do so, as the neighbors to the south of Dwight continue to fight for equity in terms of resources. 6. The LeConte Neighborhood has been split for decades. We put them back together in District 3. 7. The McGee-Spaulding Tract has historical significance and should be kept together. Its borders are Dwight Way to University, Sacramento to MLK. 8. Spruce Santa Barbara are natural borders for District 56. Neighborhoods there are less cohesive . 9. Include Panoramic Hill in District 8 to keep the high fire zones together

Date: November 12, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15184
Deviation	-370
% Deviation	-2.38%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2521
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.6%
PPA_White	7018
% PPA_White	46.22%
PPA_AfAm	1892
% PPA_AfAm	12.46%
PPA_AiAn	59
% PPA_AiAn	0.39%
PPA_Asian	2292
% PPA_Asian	15.09%
PPA_HoPI	77
% PPA_HoPI	0.51%
PPA_Other	164
% PPA_Other	1.08%
PPA_CVAP_19	10198
% PPA_CVAP_19	67.16%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1366
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.39%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5749
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.37%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1232
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	12.08%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1290
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.65%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	129
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.26%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5437
18_Owner_occupied	2340
18_Owner_occupied	43.04%

District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15636
Deviation	82
% Deviation	0.53%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2718
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.38%
PPA_White	6626
% PPA_White	42.38%
PPA_AfAm	3027
% PPA_AfAm	19.36%
PPA_AiAn	29
% PPA_AiAn	0.19%
PPA_Asian	1719
% PPA_Asian	10.99%
PPA_HoPI	47
% PPA_HoPI	0.3%
PPA_Other	189
% PPA_Other	1.21%
PPA_CVAP_19	11192
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.58%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1454
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	12.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5224
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	46.68%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2798
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	25%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	973
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.69%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	26
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6579
18_Owner_occupied	2718
18_Owner_occupied	41.31%

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15289
Deviation	-265
% Deviation	-1.7%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2137
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.98%
PPA_White	7401
% PPA_White	48.41%
PPA_AfAm	1783
% PPA_AfAm	11.66%
PPA_AiAn	56
% PPA_AiAn	0.37%
PPA_Asian	2497
% PPA_Asian	16.33%
PPA_HoPI	22
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	181
% PPA_Other	1.18%
PPA_CVAP_19	11838
% PPA_CVAP_19	77.43%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1109
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.37%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7093
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	59.92%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1521
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	12.85%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	69
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.58%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1527
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.9%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6086
18_Owner_occupied	1927
18_Owner_occupied	31.66%
18_Renter_occupied	4158
18_Renter_occupied	68.32%

District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15515
Deviation	-39
% Deviation	-0.25%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1695
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.92%
PPA_White	6655
% PPA_White	42.89%
PPA_AfAm	1213
% PPA_AfAm	7.82%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.28%
PPA_Asian	4700
% PPA_Asian	30.29%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	157
% PPA_Other	1.01%
PPA_CVAP_19	11876
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.55%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1108
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.33%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6393
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	53.83%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	786
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.62%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2923
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	24.61%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	139
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.17%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6760
18_Owner_occupied	1428
18_Owner_occupied	21.12%
18_Renter_occupied	5329
18_Renter_occupied	78.83%

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15511
Deviation	-43
% Deviation	-0.28%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1137
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.33%
PPA_White	10527
% PPA_White	67.87%
PPA_AfAm	331
% PPA_AfAm	2.13%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2066
% PPA_Asian	13.32%
PPA_HoPI	15
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_Other	117
% PPA_Other	0.75%
PPA_CVAP_19	11712
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.51%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	611
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.22%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8902
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	76.01%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	187
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.6%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1513
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.92%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6373
18_Owner_occupied	4363
18_Owner_occupied	68.46%
18_Renter_occupied	2006
18_Renter_occupied	31.48%

District: 6




Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	14992
Deviation	-562
% Deviation	-3.61%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1041
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.94%
PPA_White	9822
% PPA_White	65.51%
PPA_AfAm	323
% PPA_AfAm	2.15%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	2567
% PPA_Asian	17.12%
PPA_HoPI	13
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_Other	138
% PPA_Other	0.92%
PPA_CVAP_19	11013
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.46%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	623
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.66%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8068
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73.26%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	246
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.23%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1714
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.56%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	25
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
PPA_14_18_HU_Occupied	6134
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	4179
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	68.13%
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	1961
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	31.97%

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	16070
Deviation	516
% Deviation	3.32%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	4032
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	25.09%
PPA_White	5124
% PPA_White	31.89%
PPA_AfAm	570
% PPA_AfAm	3.55%
PPA_AiAn	9
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	5462
% PPA_Asian	33.99%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	57
% PPA_Other	0.35%
PPA_CVAP_19	10565
% PPA_CVAP_19	65.74%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1953
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	18.49%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3943
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	37.32%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	356
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	3.37%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	17
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3701
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.03%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	54
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.51%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2193
14_18_Owner_occupied	104
14_18_Owner_occupied	4.74%
14_18_Renter_occupied	2086
14_18_Renter_occupied	95.12%


District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	16236
Deviation	682
% Deviation	4.38%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1748
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.77%
PPA_White	9284
% PPA_White	57.18%
PPA_AfAm	442
% PPA_AfAm	2.72%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	3399
% PPA_Asian	20.93%
PPA_HoPI	22
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	112
% PPA_Other	0.69%
PPA_CVAP_19	13324
% PPA_CVAP_19	82.06%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1402
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.52%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8301
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	62.3%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	281
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.11%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.37%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2673
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	20.06%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
2014_18_HU_Occupied	5336
2018_Owner_occupied	2206
2018_Owner_occupied	41.34%
2018_Renter_occupied	3134
2018_Renter_occupied	58.73%



©2021 CALIPER



CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Sheryl Drinkwater (11/12/2021)

First Name: Sheryl

Last Name: Drinkwater

Comments: I am a district 2 resident. just got into the numbers. District 3, I learned is pretty dense. My goal was to get deviation in West Berkeley to 1%.

Date: November 12, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15552
Deviation	-2
% Deviation	-0.01%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2237
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.38%
PPA_White	7876
% PPA_White	50.64%
PPA_AfAm	1559
% PPA_AfAm	10.02%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2333
% PPA_Asian	15%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	168
% PPA_Other	1.08%
PPA_CVAP_19	10642
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.43%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1159
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.89%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6477
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.86%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	841
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.9%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1654
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.54%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	2
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.02%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6167
18_Owner_occupied	2839
18_Owner_occupied	46.04%

District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15630
Deviation	76
% Deviation	0.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2712
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.35%
PPA_White	6556
% PPA_White	41.94%
PPA_AfAm	2870
% PPA_AfAm	18.36%
PPA_AiAn	39
% PPA_AiAn	0.25%
PPA_Asian	2019
% PPA_Asian	12.92%
PPA_HoPI	54
% PPA_HoPI	0.35%
PPA_Other	183
% PPA_Other	1.17%
PPA_CVAP_19	10846
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.39%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1456
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.42%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5122
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.22%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2740
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	25.26%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	890
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	113
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.04%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6117
18_Owner_occupied	2343
18_Owner_occupied	38.3%

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15457
Deviation	-97
% Deviation	-0.62%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2353
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.22%
PPA_White	7269
% PPA_White	47.03%
PPA_AfAm	2281
% PPA_AfAm	14.76%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	2063
% PPA_Asian	13.35%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	163
% PPA_Other	1.05%
PPA_CVAP_19	11654
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.4%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1233
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.58%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6702
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.51%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1813
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.56%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1280
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.98%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
2014_18_HU_Occupied	6113
2018_Owner_occupied	2140
2018_Owner_occupied	35.01%
2018_Renter_occupied	3975
2018_Renter_occupied	65.03%


District: 4



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15689
Deviation	135
% Deviation	0.87%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1810
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.54%
PPA_White	6509
% PPA_White	41.49%
PPA_AfAm	1194
% PPA_AfAm	7.61%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	4931
% PPA_Asian	31.43%
PPA_HoPI	40
% PPA_HoPI	0.25%
PPA_Other	150
% PPA_Other	0.96%
PPA_CVAP_19	11883
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.74%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1235
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.39%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5931
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	49.91%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	921
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.75%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3063
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.78%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	212
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.78%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6534
18_Owner_occupied	1333
18_Owner_occupied	20.4%

District: 5



Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15655
Deviation	101
% Deviation	0.65%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1153
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.37%
PPA_White	10585
% PPA_White	67.61%
PPA_AfAm	348
% PPA_AfAm	2.22%
PPA_AiAn	12
% PPA_AiAn	0.08%
PPA_Asian	2179
% PPA_Asian	13.92%
PPA_HoPI	11
% PPA_HoPI	0.07%
PPA_Other	115
% PPA_Other	0.73%
PPA_CVAP_19	11997
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.63%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	688
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.73%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9209
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	76.76%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	186
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.55%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1417
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.81%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	12
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.1%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6566
18_Owner_occupied	4445
18_Owner_occupied	67.7%
18_Renter_occupied	2133
18_Renter_occupied	32.49%

District: 6



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	14811
Deviation	-743
% Deviation	-4.78%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1373
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.27%
PPA_White	9327
% PPA_White	62.97%
PPA_AfAm	430
% PPA_AfAm	2.9%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	2467
% PPA_Asian	16.66%
PPA_HoPI	35
% PPA_HoPI	0.24%
PPA_Other	140
% PPA_Other	0.95%
PPA_CVAP_19	10404
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.25%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	698
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.71%
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7504
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	72.13%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	272
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.61%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1586
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.24%
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.33%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5305
18_Owner_occupied	3638
18_Owner_occupied	68.58%
18_Renter_occupied	1658
18_Renter_occupied	31.25%

District: 7




Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	16637
Deviation	1,083
% Deviation	6.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3762
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	22.61%
PPA_White	5645
% PPA_White	33.93%
PPA_AfAm	453
% PPA_AfAm	2.72%
PPA_AiAn	15
% PPA_AiAn	0.09%
PPA_Asian	5720
% PPA_Asian	34.38%
PPA_HoPI	7
% PPA_HoPI	0.04%
PPA_Other	90
% PPA_Other	0.54%
PPA_CVAP_19	11921
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.65%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1925
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	16.15%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4860
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	40.77%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	363
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	3.05%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	55
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.46%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4022
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	33.74%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2826
18_Owner_occupied	322
18_Owner_occupied	11.39%
18_Renter_occupied	2497
18_Renter_occupied	88.36%

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	14981
Deviation	-573
% Deviation	-3.68%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1627
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.86%
PPA_White	8675
% PPA_White	57.91%
PPA_AfAm	445
% PPA_AfAm	2.97%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.11%
PPA_Asian	2990
% PPA_Asian	19.96%
PPA_HoPI	21
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	106
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	12362
% PPA_CVAP_19	82.52%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1231
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.96%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7859
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.57%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	271
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.19%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.4%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2402
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.43%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5270
18_Owner_occupied	2205
18_Owner_occupied	41.84%



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Alfred Twu (11/14/2021)

First Name: Alfred

Last Name: Twu


Comments: Inspired by Berkeley's creek watersheds, with some modifying to create reasonably compact and equal population districts. The parts of Berkeley expected to grow more in the next 10 years, such as the Upper Strawberry district which includes downtown, are drawn with less population now, while those that are expected to grow slower, such as Codornices-Blackberry, are drawn with more.

Date: November 14, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age


District: SCHOOLHOUSEL



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	SCHOOLHOUSEL
PPA_Population	16042
Deviation	488
% Deviation	3.14%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1943
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	12.11%
PPA_White	9197
% PPA_White	57.33%
PPA_AfAm	1259
% PPA_AfAm	7.85%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	2034
% PPA_Asian	12.68%
PPA_HoPI	62
% PPA_HoPI	0.39%
PPA_CVAP_19	11259
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.18%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	877
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.79%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7538
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	66.95%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	685
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	6.08%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1679
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.91%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.12%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6296
18_Owner_occupied	3841
18_Owner_occupied	61.01%
18_Renter_occupied	2469
18_Renter_occupied	39.22%

District: CODORNBERRY



Field	Value
District	CODORNBERRY
PPA_Population	16227
Deviation	673
% Deviation	4.33%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1048
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.46%
PPA_White	11733
% PPA_White	72.31%
PPA_AfAm	264
% PPA_AfAm	1.63%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1768
% PPA_Asian	10.9%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	12111
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.63%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	551
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.55%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9618
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	79.42%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	236
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1.95%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1326
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.95%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	24
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.2%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6558
18_Owner_occupied	5529
18_Owner_occupied	84.31%
18_Renter_occupied	1026
18_Renter_occupied	15.65%


District: LOWER-STRAWB



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	LOWER-STRAWB
PPA_Population	15071
Deviation	-483
% Deviation	-3.11%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2373
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.75%
PPA_White	7102
% PPA_White	47.12%
PPA_AfAm	1502
% PPA_AfAm	9.97%
PPA_AiAn	35
% PPA_AiAn	0.23%
PPA_Asian	2747
% PPA_Asian	18.23%
PPA_HoPI	39
% PPA_HoPI	0.26%
PPA_CVAP_19	10979
% PPA_CVAP_19	72.85%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1406
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	12.81%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6077
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.35%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1345
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	12.25%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1551
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.13%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	115
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.05%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6158
18_Owner_occupied	1980
18_Owner_occupied	32.15%
18_Renter_occupied	4173
18_Renter_occupied	67.77%


District: UPPER-STRAWB



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	UPPER-STRAWB
PPA_Population	14946
Deviation	-608
% Deviation	-3.91%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1719
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.5%
PPA_White	6499
% PPA_White	43.48%
PPA_AfAm	951
% PPA_AfAm	6.36%
PPA_AiAn	28
% PPA_AiAn	0.19%
PPA_Asian	4654
% PPA_Asian	31.14%
PPA_HoPI	44
% PPA_HoPI	0.29%
PPA_CVAP_19	10080
% PPA_CVAP_19	67.44%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1196
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.87%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5496
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	54.52%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	673
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	6.68%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2101
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	20.84%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	21
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.21%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5328
18_Owner_occupied	1044
18_Owner_occupied	19.59%
18_Renter_occupied	4292
18_Renter_occupied	80.56%

District: UPPER-DERBY



Field	Value
District	UPPER-DERBY
PPA_Population	15123
Deviation	-431
% Deviation	-2.77%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3583
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.69%
PPA_White	5072
% PPA_White	33.54%
PPA_AfAm	412
% PPA_AfAm	2.72%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5112
% PPA_Asian	33.8%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_CVAP_19	10714
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.85%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1943
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	18.14%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4154
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	38.77%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	282
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.63%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3721
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.73%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2070
18_Owner_occupied	158
18_Owner_occupied	7.63%
18_Renter_occupied	1913
18_Renter_occupied	92.42%


District: CLAREMONT



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	CLAREMONT
PPA_Population	15913
Deviation	359
% Deviation	2.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1708
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.73%
PPA_White	9427
% PPA_White	59.24%
PPA_AfAm	485
% PPA_AfAm	3.05%
PPA_AiAn	19
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	2964
% PPA_Asian	18.63%
PPA_HoPI	25
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_CVAP_19	13289
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.51%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1204
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.06%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8765
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.96%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	439
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	3.3%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.37%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2280
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	17.16%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6131
18_Owner_occupied	2532
18_Owner_occupied	41.3%
18_Renter_occupied	3601
18_Renter_occupied	58.73%

District: LOWER-DERBY



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	LOWER-DERBY
PPA_Population	15742
Deviation	188
% Deviation	1.21%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2543
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.15%
PPA_White	6399
% PPA_White	40.65%
PPA_AfAm	2823
% PPA_AfAm	17.93%
PPA_AiAn	58
% PPA_AiAn	0.37%
PPA_Asian	2451
% PPA_Asian	15.57%
PPA_HoPI	28
% PPA_HoPI	0.18%
PPA_CVAP_19	11790
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.9%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1337
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.34%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5779
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	49.02%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2521
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	21.38%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	73
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.62%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1533
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6171
18_Owner_occupied	2168
18_Owner_occupied	35.13%
18_Renter_occupied	4008
18_Renter_occupied	64.95%

District: POTTER




©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	POTTER
PPA_Population	15369
Deviation	-185
% Deviation	-1.19%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2112
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.74%
PPA_White	7028
% PPA_White	45.73%
PPA_AfAm	1885
% PPA_AfAm	12.26%
PPA_AiAn	30
% PPA_AiAn	0.2%
PPA_Asian	2972
% PPA_Asian	19.34%
PPA_HoPI	37
% PPA_HoPI	0.24%
PPA_CVAP_19	11496
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.8%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1112
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.67%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6246
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	54.33%
A_NH_Blck_CVAP_19	1226
A_NH_Blck_CVAP_19	10.66%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	15
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.13%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2123
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.47%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	203
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.77%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6186
18_Owner_occupied	2013
18_Owner_occupied	32.54%
18_Renter_occupied	4164
18_Renter_occupied	67.31%

INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Ben Gould (11/14/2021)

First Name: Ben

Last Name: Gould

Comments: I tried to balance three goals. In order:1. Keep communities together. Reunite neighborhoods divided by existing lines2. Keep business districts corridors, especially local area plans, together3. Use major roads as borders. Within a district, minimize deviations from a given border. Population difference, at 8.9%, meets the 10% requirement, but was otherwise considered less important than the goals above. Anticipated growth 10k people will dramatically outweigh initial differences. In this map, District 4 groups together the student- and renter-heavy neighborhoods in Downtown, north Shattuck, Northside, and Foothill Panoramic Hill. District 6 unifies the fire-prone hills, while 5 encompasses commercial areas on North Shattuck, Hopkins, and Solano. District 1 unites Ohlone Greenway and Central Berkeley neighborhoods, while keeping NBB and northwest industrial areas. District 2 gets consistent borders. 3 retains its general shape. 7 focuses more tightly on Southside, while 8 takes remaining students south of Dwight. Strictly necessary population balancing accounts for all boundary deviations.

Date: November 14, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	16514
Deviation	960
% Deviation	6.17%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2413
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.61%
PPA_White	8322
% PPA_White	50.39%
PPA_AfAm	1573
% PPA_AfAm	9.53%
PPA_AiAn	59
% PPA_AiAn	0.36%
PPA_Asian	2580
% PPA_Asian	15.62%
PPA_HoPI	69
% PPA_HoPI	0.42%
PPA_Other	197
% PPA_Other	1.19%
PPA_CVAP_19	11613
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.32%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1370
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.8%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6958
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	59.92%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	771
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	6.64%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	24
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.21%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2031
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	17.49%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6695
18_Owner_occupied	2864
18_Owner_occupied	42.78%


District: 2



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15808
Deviation	254
% Deviation	1.63%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2698
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.07%
PPA_White	6748
% PPA_White	42.69%
PPA_AfAm	2797
% PPA_AfAm	17.69%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2074
% PPA_Asian	13.12%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	180
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11059
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.96%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1477
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.36%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5276
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.71%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2713
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	24.53%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	907
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.27%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.47%

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15144
Deviation	-410
% Deviation	-2.64%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2346
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.49%
PPA_White	6970
% PPA_White	46.02%
PPA_AfAm	2473
% PPA_AfAm	16.33%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	1869
% PPA_Asian	12.34%
PPA_HoPI	21
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	170
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	11233
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.17%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1207
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.75%
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6303
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.11%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1961
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	17.46%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1157
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.3%
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.04%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5964
18_Owner_occupied	2104
18_Owner_occupied	35.28%
18_Renter_occupied	3865
18_Renter_occupied	64.81%


District: 4



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15284
Deviation	-270
% Deviation	-1.74%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1933
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	12.65%
PPA_White	5978
% PPA_White	39.11%
PPA_AfAm	1031
% PPA_AfAm	6.75%
PPA_AiAn	35
% PPA_AiAn	0.23%
PPA_Asian	5182
% PPA_Asian	33.9%
PPA_HoPI	47
% PPA_HoPI	0.31%
PPA_Other	152
% PPA_Other	0.99%
PPA_CVAP_19	10755
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.37%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1287
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.97%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5134
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.74%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	722
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	6.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2860
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	26.59%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	149
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.39%
14_18_HU_Occupied	4890
18_Owner_occupied	550
18_Owner_occupied	11.25%


District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15337
Deviation	-217
% Deviation	-1.4%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1238
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	8.07%
PPA_White	9665
% PPA_White	63.02%
PPA_AfAm	589
% PPA_AfAm	3.84%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2457
% PPA_Asian	16.02%
PPA_HoPI	15
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_Other	119
% PPA_Other	0.78%
PPA_CVAP_19	11577
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.48%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	720
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.22%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8320
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	71.87%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	344
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.97%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1764
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.24%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.29%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6579
18_Owner_occupied	3705
18_Owner_occupied	56.32%

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15130
Deviation	-424
% Deviation	-2.73%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	987
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.52%
PPA_White	10868
% PPA_White	71.83%
PPA_AfAm	243
% PPA_AfAm	1.61%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1719
% PPA_Asian	11.36%
PPA_HoPI	15
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_Other	124
% PPA_Other	0.82%
PPA_CVAP_19	11397
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.33%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	531
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.66%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9029
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	79.22%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	226
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1.98%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1234
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.83%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.04%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6134
18_Owner_occupied	5134
18_Owner_occupied	83.7%


District: 7



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15264
Deviation	-290
% Deviation	-1.86%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3678
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.1%
PPA_White	4733
% PPA_White	31.01%
PPA_AfAm	425
% PPA_AfAm	2.78%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	5553
% PPA_Asian	36.38%
PPA_HoPI	3
% PPA_HoPI	0.02%
PPA_Other	65
% PPA_Other	0.43%
PPA_CVAP_19	10613
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.53%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1865
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.57%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3892
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	36.67%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	326
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	3.07%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	15
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.14%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3849
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36.27%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	45
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.42%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2360
18_Owner_occupied	107
18_Owner_occupied	4.53%

District: 8




©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15952
Deviation	398
% Deviation	2.56%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1736
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.88%
PPA_White	9173
% PPA_White	57.5%
PPA_AfAm	450
% PPA_AfAm	2.82%
PPA_AiAn	26
% PPA_AiAn	0.16%
PPA_Asian	3268
% PPA_Asian	20.49%
PPA_HoPI	26
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	108
% PPA_Other	0.68%
PPA_CVAP_19	13471
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.45%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1169
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	8.68%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8761
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.04%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	344
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.55%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	104
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.77%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2512
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.65%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6001
18_Owner_occupied	2387
18_Owner_occupied	39.78%

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Anonymous (11/15/2021)

First Name: Anonymous

Last Name:


Comments:

Date: November 15, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age



District: 1



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15277
Deviation	-277
% Deviation	-1.78%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1635
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.7%
PPA_White	9016
% PPA_White	59.02%
PPA_AfAm	1078
% PPA_AfAm	7.06%
PPA_AiAn	35
% PPA_AiAn	0.23%
PPA_Asian	2079
% PPA_Asian	13.61%
PPA_HoPI	59
% PPA_HoPI	0.39%
PPA_Other	117
% PPA_Other	0.77%
PPA_CVAP_19	10588
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.31%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	550
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	5.19%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7415
% A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.03%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	553
% A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	5.22%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
% A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1548
% A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.62%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
% A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5822.00
14-18 Owner occupied	3471.00
% 14-18 Owner occupied	59.62%


District: 2




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15764
Deviation	210
% Deviation	1.35%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2689
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.06%
PPA_White	6733
% PPA_White	42.71%
PPA_AfAm	2793
% PPA_AfAm	17.72%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.14%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11034
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.99%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1440
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	13.05%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5267
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.73%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.54%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6275.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2414.00
14-18 Owner occupied	38.47%

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15167
Deviation	-387
% Deviation	-2.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2353
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.51%
PPA_White	6970
% PPA_White	45.96%
PPA_AfAm	2476
% PPA_AfAm	16.32%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	1872
% PPA_Asian	12.34%
PPA_HoPI	21
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11249
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.17%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1174
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	10.44%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6303
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.03%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1966
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	17.48%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1158
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.29%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.04%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	5964.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2104.00
4-18 Owner occupied	35.28%
4-18 Renter occupied	3865.00
4-18 Renter occupied	64.81%



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 4



Map layers


- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .1 .2
Miles

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	17807
Deviation	2,253
% Deviation	14.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2223
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	12.48%
PPA_White	6877
% PPA_White	38.62%
PPA_AfAm	1101
% PPA_AfAm	6.18%
PPA_AiAn	36
% PPA_AiAn	0.2%
PPA_Asian	6333
% PPA_Asian	35.56%
PPA_HoPI	49
% PPA_HoPI	0.28%
PPA_Other	169
% PPA_Other	0.95%
PPA_CVAP_19	12649
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.03%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1312
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	10.37%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6168
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	48.76%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	786
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	6.21%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	23
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3435
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	27.16%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	194
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.53%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6549.00
14-18 Owner occupied	767.00
14-18 Owner occupied	11.71%

District: 5




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	13051
Deviation	-2,503
% Deviation	-16.09%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1717
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.16%
PPA_White	6909
% PPA_White	52.94%
PPA_AfAm	949
% PPA_AfAm	7.27%
PPA_AiAn	30
% PPA_AiAn	0.23%
PPA_Asian	2214
% PPA_Asian	16.96%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.18%
PPA_Other	144
% PPA_Other	1.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	9997
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.6%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1255
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	12.55%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6137
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.39%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	525
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	5.25%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.2%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1631
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.31%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
†-14-18 HU_Occupied	6010.00
†-18 Owner occupied	2499.00
†-18 Owner occupied	41.58%

District: 6

Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15914
Deviation	360
% Deviation	2.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1036
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.51%
PPA_White	11422
% PPA_White	71.77%
PPA_AfAm	301
% PPA_AfAm	1.89%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1772
% PPA_Asian	11.13%
PPA_HoPI	16
% PPA_HoPI	0.1%
PPA_Other	139
% PPA_Other	0.87%
PPA_CVAP_19	11888
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.7%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	508
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	4.27%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9361
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	78.74%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	241
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.03%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1352
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.37%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	24
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.2%
+14-18 HU_Occupied	6422.00
+18 Owner occupied	5392.00
+18 Owner occupied	83.96%




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .2 .4
Miles

District: 7



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	16054
Deviation	500
% Deviation	3.21%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3846
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.96%
PPA_White	5743
% PPA_White	35.77%
PPA_AfAm	470
% PPA_AfAm	2.93%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5021
% PPA_Asian	31.28%
PPA_HoPI	4
% PPA_HoPI	0.02%
PPA_Other	66
% PPA_Other	0.41%
PPA_CVAP_19	11408
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.06%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	2035
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	17.84%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4619
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	40.49%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	299
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.62%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3823
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	33.51%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14-18 HU_Occupied	1758.00
14-18 Owner occupied	245.00
14-18 Owner occupied	13.94%

District: 8



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .15 .3
Miles


Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15399
Deviation	-155
% Deviation	-1%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1530
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.94%
PPA_White	8787
% PPA_White	57.06%
PPA_AfAm	413
% PPA_AfAm	2.68%
PPA_AiAn	25
% PPA_AiAn	0.16%
PPA_Asian	3340
% PPA_Asian	21.69%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	130
% PPA_Other	0.84%
PPA_CVAP_19	12905
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.8%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1025
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	7.94%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8403
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.11%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	329
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.55%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	102
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.79%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2461
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.07%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6098.00
+18 Owner occupied	2373.00
+18 Owner occupied	38.91%

NOV 15 2021

CITY OF BERKELEY
CITY CLERK DEPARTMENT


INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION

- 1 15000 ← smallest
 - 2 15400
 - 3 15700
 - 4 15900
 - 5 15000
 - 6 15500
 - 7 16400 ← largest
 - 8 15600
- 124500



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Racism and Criminal Justice Reform Group (11/15/2021)

First Name: Racism and Criminal Justice Reform Group

Last Name:

Comments:

Date: November 15, 2021

Bunting, Sarah K.

From: Racism and Criminal Justice Reform Group - RCJR <racialandcriminaljustice@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:35 AM
To: Independent Redistricting Commission
Subject: Fwd: RCJR Re-Districting Map Submission
Attachments: RJCRC Map.png

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Map Submission

WARNING: This is not a City of Berkeley email. Do not click links or attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

TO: Berkeley Redistricting Commission

The Racism and Criminal Justice Reform group of Berkeley (RCJR) advocates for true public safety, in a reimagined system that meets the needs of all of Berkeley's residents of every neighborhood and ethnic origin. We work on a citywide and interracial basis and succeeded in passing the Police Accountability Measure (ii) in 2020 by an 85% vote. BPA is submitting the enclosed map to the redistricting committee for your consideration.

We support this proposal because it respects critical communities of interest. It retains important neighborhoods and institutions in South Berkeley's Districts 2 and 3, and unites and returns sections that were previously removed from those Districts. Given the gentrification and displacement affecting a large portion of the African American community, it is noteworthy that Berkeley has elected two African American council members since the beginning of district elections 35 years ago. This map will continue to foster Black leadership in City decision-making,

Please keep these neighborhoods in Districts 2 and 3: South and South-West Berkeley, LeConte, San Pablo Park, Lorin, Bateman, and the Adeline Corridor.

Also, please reunite students from Northside and Southside in District 7.

Racism and Criminal Justice Reform group
raclmandcriminaljustice@gmail.com




Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1




1
-2.32%



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15193
Deviation	-361
% Deviation	-2.32%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2546
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.76%
PPA_White	6967
% PPA_White	45.86%
PPA_AfAm	1827
% PPA_AfAm	12.03%
PPA_AiAn	57
% PPA_AiAn	0.38%
PPA_Asian	2344
% PPA_Asian	15.43%
PPA_HoPI	73
% PPA_HoPI	0.48%
PPA_Other	170
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	10182
% PPA_CVAP_19	67.02%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1302
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	12.79%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5665
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.64%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1262
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	12.39%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1331
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.07%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	115
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.13%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5523.00
18 Owner occupied	2309.00
18 Owner occupied	41.81%


District: 2




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15298
Deviation	-256
% Deviation	-1.65%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2623
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.15%
PPA_White	6563
% PPA_White	42.9%
PPA_AfAm	2975
% PPA_AfAm	19.45%
PPA_AiAn	30
% PPA_AiAn	0.2%
PPA_Asian	1616
% PPA_Asian	10.56%
PPA_HoPI	49
% PPA_HoPI	0.32%
PPA_Other	188
% PPA_Other	1.23%
PPA_CVAP_19	10954
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.6%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1373
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	12.53%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5166
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.16%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2635
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.06%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	964
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	26
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6343.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2649.00
14-18 Owner occupied	41.76%

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15457
Deviation	-97
% Deviation	-0.62%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2179
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.1%
PPA_White	7433
% PPA_White	48.09%
PPA_AfAm	1812
% PPA_AfAm	11.72%
PPA_AiAn	55
% PPA_AiAn	0.36%
PPA_Asian	2558
% PPA_Asian	16.55%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_Other	176
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11902
% PPA_CVAP_19	77%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1099
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	9.23%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7124
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	59.86%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1587
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	13.33%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	69
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.58%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1532
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.87%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
4-18 HU_Occupied	6153.00
4-18 Owner occupied	1874.00
4-18 Owner occupied	30.46%
4-18 Renter occupied	4264.00
4-18 Renter occupied	69.3%




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15783
Deviation	229
% Deviation	1.47%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1729
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.95%
PPA_White	6827
% PPA_White	43.26%
PPA_AfAm	1217
% PPA_AfAm	7.71%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4742
% PPA_Asian	30.04%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.02%
PPA_CVAP_19	12102
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.68%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1114
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	9.21%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6551
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	54.13%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	788
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2956
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	24.43%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	139
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.15%
4-18 HU_Occupied	6899.00
4-18 Owner occupied	1528.00
4-18 Owner occupied	22.15%
4-18 Renter occupied	5376.00
4-18 Renter occupied	77.92%




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 5





Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15636
Deviation	82
% Deviation	0.53%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1174
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.51%
PPA_White	10522
% PPA_White	67.29%
PPA_AfAm	427
% PPA_AfAm	2.73%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2058
% PPA_Asian	13.16%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	119
% PPA_Other	0.76%
PPA_CVAP_19	11894
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.07%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	574
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	4.83%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8958
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	75.32%
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	260
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.19%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1497
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.59%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.12%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6431.00
4-18 Owner occupied	4380.00
4-18 Owner occupied	68.11%
4-18 Renter occupied	2072.00
4-18 Renter occupied	32.22%



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 6




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15182
Deviation	-372
% Deviation	-2.39%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1039
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.84%
PPA_White	10012
% PPA_White	65.95%
PPA_AfAm	322
% PPA_AfAm	2.12%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	2583
% PPA_Asian	17.01%
PPA_HoPI	14
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_Other	132
% PPA_Other	0.87%
PPA_CVAP_19	11134
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.34%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	580
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	5.21%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8230
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73.92%
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	246
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.21%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1699
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.26%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	25
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6215.00
4-18 Owner occupied	4274.00
4-18 Owner occupied	68.77%
4-18 Renter occupied	1944.00
4-18 Renter occupied	31.28%

District: 7




Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15916
Deviation	362
% Deviation	2.33%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	4016
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	25.23%
PPA_White	5057
% PPA_White	31.77%
PPA_AfAm	567
% PPA_AfAm	3.56%
PPA_AiAn	9
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	5415
% PPA_Asian	34.02%
PPA_HoPI	21
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_Other	55
% PPA_Other	0.35%
PPA_CVAP_19	10446
% PPA_CVAP_19	65.63%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1889
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	18.08%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3890
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	37.24%
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	352
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	3.37%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	17
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3672
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.15%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	54
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.52%
4-18 HU_Occupied	2153.00
4-18 Owner occupied	97.00
4-18 Owner occupied	4.51%
4-18 Renter occupied	2054.00
4-18 Renter occupied	95.4%




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 8





Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15968
Deviation	414
% Deviation	2.66%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1723
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.79%
PPA_White	9076
% PPA_White	56.84%
PPA_AfAm	434
% PPA_AfAm	2.72%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	3386
% PPA_Asian	21.2%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	114
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13104
% PPA_CVAP_19	82.06%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1368
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	10.44%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8089
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.73%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	277
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.11%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.37%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2663
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	20.32%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
4-14-18 HU_Occupied	5181.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2154.00
4-18 Owner occupied	41.57%
4-18 Renter occupied	3035.00
4-18 Renter occupied	58.58%



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by West Berkeley Business District (11/15/2021)

First Name: West Berkeley Business District

Last Name:

Comments:

Date: November 15, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15555
Deviation	1
% Deviation	0.01%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2390
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.36%
PPA_White	7351
% PPA_White	47.26%
PPA_AfAm	1799
% PPA_AfAm	11.57%
PPA_AiAn	51
% PPA_AiAn	0.33%
PPA_Asian	2511
% PPA_Asian	16.14%
PPA_HoPI	91
% PPA_HoPI	0.59%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.15%
PPA_CVAP_19	10378
% PPA_CVAP_19	66.72%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1145
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	11.03%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6104
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	58.82%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	993
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	9.57%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1499
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.44%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	29
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.28%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5652.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2312.00
14-18 Owner occupied	40.91%

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts


0 .4 .8
Miles

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 2




Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15619
Deviation	65
% Deviation	0.42%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2811
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	18%
PPA_White	6618
% PPA_White	42.37%
PPA_AfAm	2868
% PPA_AfAm	18.36%
PPA_AiAn	35
% PPA_AiAn	0.22%
PPA_Asian	1857
% PPA_Asian	11.89%
PPA_HoPI	35
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	170
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10966
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.21%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1444
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	13.17%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5118
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	46.67%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2784
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	25.39%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	952
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.68%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	112
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.02%
4-14-18 HU_Occupied	6577.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2604.00
4-18 Owner occupied	39.59%
4-18 Renter occupied	3972.00
4-18 Renter occupied	60.39%




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15512
Deviation	-42
% Deviation	-0.27%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2197
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.16%
PPA_White	7542
% PPA_White	48.62%
PPA_AfAm	2174
% PPA_AfAm	14.01%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	2068
% PPA_Asian	13.33%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	173
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	11845
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.36%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1161
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	9.8%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7040
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	59.43%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1740
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	14.69%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1264
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.67%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
4-18 HU_Occupied	6164.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2132.00
4-18 Owner occupied	34.59%
4-18 Renter occupied	4035.00
4-18 Renter occupied	65.46%



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


District: 4



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15157
Deviation	-397
% Deviation	-2.55%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1724
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.37%
PPA_White	6379
% PPA_White	42.09%
PPA_AfAm	1081
% PPA_AfAm	7.13%
PPA_AiAn	43
% PPA_AiAn	0.28%
PPA_Asian	4761
% PPA_Asian	31.41%
PPA_HoPI	32
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	141
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	11710
% PPA_CVAP_19	77.26%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1241
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	10.6%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6119
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	52.25%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	789
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.74%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2870
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	24.51%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.57%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6406.00
4-18 Owner occupied	1238.00
4-18 Owner occupied	19.33%
4-18 Renter occupied	5160.00
4-18 Renter occupied	80.55%

District: 5



Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15638
Deviation	84
% Deviation	0.54%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1117
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.14%
PPA_White	10806
% PPA_White	69.1%
PPA_AfAm	343
% PPA_AfAm	2.19%
PPA_AiAn	11
% PPA_AiAn	0.07%
PPA_Asian	1924
% PPA_Asian	12.3%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	119
% PPA_Other	0.76%
PPA_CVAP_19	11842
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.73%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	517
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	4.37%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9030
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	76.25%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	225
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.9%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1522
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.85%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.12%
4-18 HU_Occupied	6446.00
4-18 Owner occupied	4849.00
4-18 Owner occupied	75.22%
4-18 Renter occupied	1596.00
4-18 Renter occupied	24.76%

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	16167
Deviation	613
% Deviation	3.94%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1484
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.18%
PPA_White	9942
% PPA_White	61.5%
PPA_AfAm	458
% PPA_AfAm	2.83%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2987
% PPA_Asian	18.48%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.2%
PPA_Other	150
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	11376
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.37%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	755
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	6.64%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8038
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.66%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	282
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.48%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1844
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.3%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	5938.00
4-18 Owner occupied	3739.00
4-18 Owner occupied	62.97%
4-18 Renter occupied	2208.00
4-18 Renter occupied	37.18%

Map layers
 Census Block
 Census Block Group
 Census Tract
 Districts


Miles

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 7




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15177
Deviation	-377
% Deviation	-2.42%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3602
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.73%
PPA_White	4881
% PPA_White	32.16%
PPA_AfAm	402
% PPA_AfAm	2.65%
PPA_AiAn	14
% PPA_AiAn	0.09%
PPA_Asian	5390
% PPA_Asian	35.51%
PPA_HoPI	4
% PPA_HoPI	0.03%
PPA_Other	72
% PPA_Other	0.47%
PPA_CVAP_19	10592
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.79%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1825
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	17.23%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3953
% A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	37.32%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	319
% A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	3.01%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
% A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3802
% A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.9%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
% A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
†-18 HU_Occupied	2292.00
†-18 Owner occupied	138.00
% †-18 Owner occupied	6.02%

District: 8





Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15608
Deviation	54
% Deviation	0.35%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1704
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.92%
PPA_White	8938
% PPA_White	57.27%
PPA_AfAm	456
% PPA_AfAm	2.92%
PPA_AiAn	17
% PPA_AiAn	0.11%
PPA_Asian	3204
% PPA_Asian	20.53%
PPA_HoPI	22
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	111
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13009
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.35%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1211
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	9.31%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8271
% A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.58%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	275
% A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.11%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	104
% A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.8%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2561
% A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.69%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
% A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5423.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2253.00
% 14-18 Owner occupied	41.55%

Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .15 .3
Miles





©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Kelly Hammargren (11/15/2021)

First Name: Kelly

Last Name: Hammargren

Comments: small adjustments to current districts

Date: November 15, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age


District: 1



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15552
Deviation	-2
% Deviation	-0.01%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2319
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.91%
PPA_White	7668
% PPA_White	49.31%
PPA_AfAm	1652
% PPA_AfAm	10.62%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.31%
PPA_Asian	2418
% PPA_Asian	15.55%
PPA_HoPI	63
% PPA_HoPI	0.41%
PPA_Other	174
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	10681
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.68%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1228
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.5%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6364
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	59.58%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	933
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	8.74%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.19%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1648
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.43%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6170
18_Owner_occupied	2746
18_Owner_occupied	44.51%


District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15442
Deviation	-112
% Deviation	-0.72%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2681
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.36%
PPA_White	6629
% PPA_White	42.93%
PPA_AfAm	2779
% PPA_AfAm	18%
PPA_AiAn	38
% PPA_AiAn	0.25%
PPA_Asian	1888
% PPA_Asian	12.23%
PPA_HoPI	52
% PPA_HoPI	0.34%
PPA_Other	170
% PPA_Other	1.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	10719
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.41%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1429
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.33%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5180
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	48.33%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2676
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.97%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	827
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	7.72%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	113
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.05%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6120
18_Owner_occupied	2418
18_Owner_occupied	39.51%

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15492
Deviation	-62
% Deviation	-0.4%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2333
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.06%
PPA_White	7294
% PPA_White	47.08%
PPA_AfAm	2290
% PPA_AfAm	14.78%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	2081
% PPA_Asian	13.43%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	172
% PPA_Other	1.11%
PPA_CVAP_19	11689
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.45%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1204
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.3%
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6737
PA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.64%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1821
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.58%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1293
PA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.06%
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6095
18_Owner_occupied	2119
18_Owner_occupied	34.77%
18_Renter_occupied	3977
18_Renter_occupied	65.25%


District: 4



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15554
Deviation	0
% Deviation	0%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1784
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.47%
PPA_White	6363
% PPA_White	40.91%
PPA_AfAm	1210
% PPA_AfAm	7.78%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4926
% PPA_Asian	31.67%
PPA_HoPI	40
% PPA_HoPI	0.26%
PPA_Other	157
% PPA_Other	1.01%
PPA_CVAP_19	11948
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.82%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1244
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6013
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.33%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	901
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.54%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3013
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.22%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	212
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.77%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6528
18_Owner_occupied	1274
18_Owner_occupied	19.52%


District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15583
Deviation	29
% Deviation	0.19%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1107
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.1%
PPA_White	10680
% PPA_White	68.54%
PPA_AfAm	329
% PPA_AfAm	2.11%
PPA_AiAn	9
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2052
% PPA_Asian	13.17%
PPA_HoPI	19
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	105
% PPA_Other	0.67%
PPA_CVAP_19	11757
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.45%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	604
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.14%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9038
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	76.87%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	188
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.6%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1428
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.15%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.12%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6395
18_Owner_occupied	4551
18_Owner_occupied	71.16%
18_Renter_occupied	1849
18_Renter_occupied	28.91%

District: 6




Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15635
Deviation	81
% Deviation	0.52%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1447
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.25%
PPA_White	9636
% PPA_White	61.63%
PPA_AfAm	444
% PPA_AfAm	2.84%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2859
% PPA_Asian	18.29%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	145
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	10967
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.14%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	775
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.07%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7756
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.72%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	275
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.51%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1793
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.35%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
PPA_18_HU_Occupied	5680
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	3631
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	63.93%
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	2056
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	36.2%

District: 7




Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15569
Deviation	15
% Deviation	0.1%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3676
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.61%
PPA_White	5130
% PPA_White	32.95%
PPA_AfAm	411
% PPA_AfAm	2.64%
PPA_AiAn	15
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	5389
% PPA_Asian	34.61%
PPA_HoPI	4
% PPA_HoPI	0.03%
PPA_Other	81
% PPA_Other	0.52%
PPA_CVAP_19	11124
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.45%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1878
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	16.88%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4376
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	39.34%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	312
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.8%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	55
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.49%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3869
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.78%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2384
18_Owner_occupied	208
18_Owner_occupied	8.72%
18_Renter_occupied	2175
18_Renter_occupied	91.23%

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15585
Deviation	31
% Deviation	0.2%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1680
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.78%
PPA_White	9042
% PPA_White	58.02%
PPA_AfAm	465
% PPA_AfAm	2.98%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	3089
% PPA_Asian	19.82%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	111
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	12824
% PPA_CVAP_19	82.28%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1263
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.85%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8200
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	63.94%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	301
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.35%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.38%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2443
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.05%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5526
18_Owner_occupied	2318
18_Owner_occupied	41.95%



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Kelly Hammargren [Variation 2] (11/15/2021)

First Name: Kelly

Last Name: Hammargren


Comments: variation 2 on existing district maps

Date: November 15, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Blkc_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15549
Deviation	-5
% Deviation	-0.03%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2418
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.55%
PPA_White	7416
% PPA_White	47.69%
PPA_AfAm	1773
% PPA_AfAm	11.4%
PPA_AiAn	51
% PPA_AiAn	0.33%
PPA_Asian	2421
% PPA_Asian	15.57%
PPA_HoPI	70
% PPA_HoPI	0.45%
PPA_Other	184
% PPA_Other	1.18%
PPA_CVAP_19	10504
% PPA_CVAP_19	67.55%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1289
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	12.27%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6025
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.36%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1070
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	10.19%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1499
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.27%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	108
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5738
18_Owner_occupied	2575
18_Owner_occupied	44.88%


District: 2



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15566
Deviation	12
% Deviation	0.08%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2785
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.89%
PPA_White	6634
% PPA_White	42.62%
PPA_AfAm	2865
% PPA_AfAm	18.41%
PPA_AiAn	34
% PPA_AiAn	0.22%
PPA_Asian	1774
% PPA_Asian	11.4%
PPA_HoPI	52
% PPA_HoPI	0.33%
PPA_Other	178
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	10961
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.42%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1482
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.52%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5218
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.61%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2772
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	25.29%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	907
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.27%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	33
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.3%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6519
18_Owner_occupied	2563
18_Owner_occupied	39.32%

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15587
Deviation	33
% Deviation	0.21%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2194
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.08%
PPA_White	7653
% PPA_White	49.1%
PPA_AfAm	2139
% PPA_AfAm	13.72%
PPA_AiAn	49
% PPA_AiAn	0.31%
PPA_Asian	2072
% PPA_Asian	13.29%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	177
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11902
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.36%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1163
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.77%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7155
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.12%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1704
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	14.32%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1271
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.68%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6192
18_Owner_occupied	2135
18_Owner_occupied	34.48%
18_Renter_occupied	4059
18_Renter_occupied	65.55%

District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15599
Deviation	45
% Deviation	0.29%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1674
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.73%
PPA_White	6693
% PPA_White	42.91%
PPA_AfAm	1158
% PPA_AfAm	7.42%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4811
% PPA_Asian	30.84%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	157
% PPA_Other	1.01%
PPA_CVAP_19	11994
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.89%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1129
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6358
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	53.01%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	793
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.61%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3007
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.07%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	139
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.16%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6736
18_Owner_occupied	1480
18_Owner_occupied	21.97%
18_Renter_occupied	5264
18_Renter_occupied	78.15%


District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15546
Deviation	-8
% Deviation	-0.05%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1094
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.04%
PPA_White	10643
% PPA_White	68.46%
PPA_AfAm	327
% PPA_AfAm	2.1%
PPA_AiAn	9
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2058
% PPA_Asian	13.24%
PPA_HoPI	19
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	107
% PPA_Other	0.69%
PPA_CVAP_19	11737
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.5%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	599
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.1%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9025
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	76.89%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	185
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.58%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1432
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.12%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6375
18_Owner_occupied	4545
18_Owner_occupied	71.29%
18_Renter_occupied	1834
18_Renter_occupied	28.77%

District: 6




Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15593
Deviation	39
% Deviation	0.25%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1447
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.28%
PPA_White	9613
% PPA_White	61.65%
PPA_AfAm	444
% PPA_AfAm	2.85%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2851
% PPA_Asian	18.28%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	142
% PPA_Other	0.91%
PPA_CVAP_19	10941
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.17%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	775
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.08%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7737
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.72%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	275
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.51%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1787
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.33%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
2014_18_HU_Occupied	5668
2018_Owner_occupied	3620
2018_Owner_occupied	63.87%
2018_Renter_occupied	2056
2018_Renter_occupied	36.27%

District: 7




Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15485
Deviation	-69
% Deviation	-0.44%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3730
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.09%
PPA_White	4859
% PPA_White	31.38%
PPA_AfAm	434
% PPA_AfAm	2.8%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	5546
% PPA_Asian	35.82%
PPA_HoPI	5
% PPA_HoPI	0.03%
PPA_Other	66
% PPA_Other	0.43%
PPA_CVAP_19	10970
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.84%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1870
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.05%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4147
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	37.8%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	327
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.98%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	70
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.64%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.61%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	45
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.41%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2427
18_Owner_occupied	145
18_Owner_occupied	5.97%
18_Renter_occupied	2280
18_Renter_occupied	93.94%

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15487
Deviation	-67
% Deviation	-0.43%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1685
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.88%
PPA_White	8931
% PPA_White	57.67%
PPA_AfAm	440
% PPA_AfAm	2.84%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	3169
% PPA_Asian	20.46%
PPA_HoPI	22
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	104
% PPA_Other	0.67%
PPA_CVAP_19	12700
% PPA_CVAP_19	82%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1318
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.38%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7999
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	62.98%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	281
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.21%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.39%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2505
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	19.72%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5243
18_Owner_occupied	2202
18_Owner_occupied	42%



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Riya Master [2] (11/15/2021)

First Name: Rita

Last Name: Master


Comments: The most important change proposed by our map is the creation of two student supermajority districts, labeled Downtown and East. Students are about 13rd of our city's population, most concentrated in the Downtown, Northside, and Southside neighborhoods. The Downtown district combines the Downtown and Northside neighborhoods, which share similar characteristics, including dense population, high percentage of renters, and large multifamily housing. The East district unifies the densely populated student neighborhoods in Southside by capturing the on-campus and off-campus student housing in this area, including the dorms, apartment complexes, shared houses, co-ops, and greek houses. The other districts are drawn with a focus on keeping neighborhoods together and following major streets where possible. The map unifies the North Berkeley Hills, creates a new united West Berkeley district including Poets Corner and the San Pablo Park Area, and a compact Central Berkeley District. The map also prioritizes African American representation in West and South Berkeley, with both districts Black CVAP 20%.

Date: November 15, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: NORTH



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	NORTH
PPA_Population	15574
Deviation	20
% Deviation	0.13%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1402
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9%
PPA_White	9552
% PPA_White	61.33%
PPA_AfAm	804
% PPA_AfAm	5.16%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	2374
% PPA_Asian	15.24%
PPA_HoPI	52
% PPA_HoPI	0.33%
PPA_CVAP_19	11364
% PPA_CVAP_19	72.97%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	693
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.1%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8050
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.84%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	422
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	3.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1769
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.57%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.3%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6294
18_Owner_occupied	3654
18_Owner_occupied	58.06%
18_Renter_occupied	2651
18_Renter_occupied	42.12%

District: CENTRAL



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	CENTRAL
PPA_Population	15500
Deviation	-54
% Deviation	-0.35%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1786
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.52%
PPA_White	7949
% PPA_White	51.28%
PPA_AfAm	1057
% PPA_AfAm	6.82%
PPA_AiAn	32
% PPA_AiAn	0.21%
PPA_Asian	3268
% PPA_Asian	21.08%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_CVAP_19	11892
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.72%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1281
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.77%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7191
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.47%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	668
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	5.62%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2175
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.29%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	3
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6953
18_Owner_occupied	2554
18_Owner_occupied	36.73%
18_Renter_occupied	4401
18_Renter_occupied	63.3%


District: WEST



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	WEST
PPA_Population	15594
Deviation	40
% Deviation	0.26%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2838
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	18.2%
PPA_White	6818
% PPA_White	43.72%
PPA_AfAm	2451
% PPA_AfAm	15.72%
PPA_AiAn	62
% PPA_AiAn	0.4%
PPA_Asian	2010
% PPA_Asian	12.89%
PPA_HoPI	61
% PPA_HoPI	0.39%
PPA_CVAP_19	10338
% PPA_CVAP_19	66.29%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1373
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.28%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5372
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	51.96%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2099
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	20.3%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	839
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.12%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.36%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5999
18_Owner_occupied	2191
18_Owner_occupied	36.52%
18_Renter_occupied	3803
18_Renter_occupied	63.39%

District: SOUTH



Field	Value
District	SOUTH
PPA_Population	15521
Deviation	-33
% Deviation	-0.21%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2620
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.88%
PPA_White	6564
% PPA_White	42.29%
PPA_AfAm	3052
% PPA_AfAm	19.66%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	1761
% PPA_Asian	11.35%
PPA_HoPI	29
% PPA_HoPI	0.19%
PPA_CVAP_19	11377
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.3%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1417
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	12.45%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5679
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	49.92%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2570
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	22.59%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	18
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1122
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	9.86%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6053
18_Owner_occupied	2351
18_Owner_occupied	38.84%
18_Renter_occupied	3699
18_Renter_occupied	61.11%


District: SOUTHEAST



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	SOUTHEAST
PPA_Population	15536
Deviation	-18
% Deviation	-0.12%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1480
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.53%
PPA_White	9221
% PPA_White	59.35%
PPA_AfAm	631
% PPA_AfAm	4.06%
PPA_AiAn	32
% PPA_AiAn	0.21%
PPA_Asian	2833
% PPA_Asian	18.24%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	12548
% PPA_CVAP_19	80.77%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	831
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.62%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8665
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	69.05%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	608
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	4.85%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	57
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.45%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1826
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.55%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2659
18_Owner_occupied	42.37%
18_Renter_occupied	3615
18_Renter_occupied	57.61%

District: NORTHHILLS



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	NORTHHILLS
PPA_Population	15451
Deviation	-103
% Deviation	-0.66%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1011
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.54%
PPA_White	11088
% PPA_White	71.76%
PPA_AfAm	258
% PPA_AfAm	1.67%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	1753
% PPA_Asian	11.35%
PPA_HoPI	14
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	11525
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.59%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	539
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.68%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9141
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	79.31%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	224
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1.94%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1241
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.77%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6257
18_Owner_occupied	5196
18_Owner_occupied	83.04%
18_Renter_occupied	1054
18_Renter_occupied	16.85%

District: EAST




Field	Value
District	EAST
PPA_Population	15659
Deviation	105
% Deviation	0.68%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3009
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	19.22%
PPA_White	6152
% PPA_White	39.29%
PPA_AfAm	461
% PPA_AfAm	2.94%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	4951
% PPA_Asian	31.62%
PPA_HoPI	12
% PPA_HoPI	0.08%
PPA_CVAP_19	12188
% PPA_CVAP_19	77.83%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	2017
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	16.55%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5184
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	42.53%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	241
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.98%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	47
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.39%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4064
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	33.34%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.25%
_14_18_HU_Occupied	2605
_18_Owner_occupied	370
_18_Owner_occupied	14.2%
_18_Renter_occupied	2242
_18_Renter_occupied	86.07%
HH_Median_income	483189
_14_18_Median_Age	159.77

District: DOWNTOWN



Field	Value
District	DOWNTOWN
PPA_Population	15598
Deviation	44
% Deviation	0.28%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2883
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	18.48%
PPA_White	5113
% PPA_White	32.78%
PPA_AfAm	867
% PPA_AfAm	5.56%
PPA_AiAn	28
% PPA_AiAn	0.18%
PPA_Asian	5752
% PPA_Asian	36.88%
PPA_HoPI	42
% PPA_HoPI	0.27%
PPA_CVAP_19	10486
% PPA_CVAP_19	67.23%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1475
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	14.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4391
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	41.87%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	575
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	5.48%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3278
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	31.26%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	191
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.82%
_14_18_HU_Occupied	4462
_18_Owner_occupied	290
_18_Owner_occupied	6.5%
_18_Renter_occupied	4181
_18_Renter_occupied	93.7%
_HH_Median_income	256541
_14_18_Median_Age	160.70



©2021 CALIPER

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Gregory Magofna (11/15/2021)

First Name: Gregory

Last Name: Magofna

Comments: This map uses current districts as a base to try to straighten out district borders while keeping groups with similar homes, incomes, and travel modes together. - D1: grows into Northbrae and Live Oak in D5 where smaller single-family homes mix w smaller apts and gives Central Berkeley to D4. - D2 gains the rest of Poets Corner from D4 and cedes the edge of the Lorin back to D3, more cohesively uniting SW Berkeley. - D3 reincorporates part of the Lorin and gains a bit of S Berkeley keeping the S Berkeley area. - D4 is a more cohesive Central Berkeley, w Ohlone Park area and a little of N Berkeley in the flats while still keeping Downtown. - D5 gains the Gourmet Ghetto and parts of South Hampton and Cragmont uniting an area with larger homes and higher incomes. - D6 shifts to incorporate more high fire zone areas of Berkeley with winding roads and parking and emergency vehicle access concerns. - D7 is a more cohesive Southside w large concentrations of students and student housing. - D8 gains Willard and more of the LeConte neighborhood keeping a nice mix of historical single and multifamily homes.

Date: November 15, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15804
Deviation	250
% Deviation	1.61%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2196
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.9%
PPA_White	8453
% PPA_White	53.49%
PPA_AfAm	1424
% PPA_AfAm	9.01%
PPA_AiAn	50
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	2093
% PPA_Asian	13.24%
PPA_HoPI	68
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.08%
PPA_CVAP_19	11102
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.25%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1203
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.84%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6951
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	62.61%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	816
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.35%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1567
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.11%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6224
18_Owner_occupied	3414
18_Owner_occupied	54.85%


District: 2



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15787
Deviation	233
% Deviation	1.5%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2696
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.08%
PPA_White	6733
% PPA_White	42.65%
PPA_AfAm	2796
% PPA_AfAm	17.71%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2074
% PPA_Asian	13.14%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	180
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11050
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.99%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1476
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.36%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5267
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.67%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2713
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.55%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	907
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.47%

District: 3




Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15144
Deviation	-410
% Deviation	-2.64%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2346
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.49%
PPA_White	6970
% PPA_White	46.02%
PPA_AfAm	2473
% PPA_AfAm	16.33%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.32%
PPA_Asian	1869
% PPA_Asian	12.34%
PPA_HoPI	21
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	170
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	11233
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.17%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1207
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.75%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6303
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.11%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1961
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	17.46%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.12%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1157
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	10.3%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.04%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5964
18_Owner_occupied	2104
18_Owner_occupied	35.28%
18_Renter_occupied	3865
18_Renter_occupied	64.81%

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15640
Deviation	86
% Deviation	0.55%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1721
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11%
PPA_White	6804
% PPA_White	43.5%
PPA_AfAm	1200
% PPA_AfAm	7.67%
PPA_AiAn	42
% PPA_AiAn	0.27%
PPA_Asian	4644
% PPA_Asian	29.69%
PPA_HoPI	35
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	158
% PPA_Other	1.01%
PPA_CVAP_19	11832
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.65%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1063
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	8.98%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6281
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	53.08%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	795
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.72%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2986
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.24%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	139
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.17%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6725
18_Owner_occupied	1497
18_Owner_occupied	22.26%
18_Renter_occupied	5225
18_Renter_occupied	77.7%

District: 5



Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15451
Deviation	-103
% Deviation	-0.66%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1163
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.53%
PPA_White	9978
% PPA_White	64.58%
PPA_AfAm	393
% PPA_AfAm	2.54%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2645
% PPA_Asian	17.12%
PPA_HoPI	13
% PPA_HoPI	0.08%
PPA_Other	136
% PPA_Other	0.88%
PPA_CVAP_19	11614
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.17%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	775
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.67%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8555
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73.66%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	204
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.76%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1642
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.14%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	21
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.18%
PPA_18_HU_Occupied	6319
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	3689
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	58.38%
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	2648
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	41.91%

District: 6




Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15388
Deviation	-166
% Deviation	-1.07%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1492
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.7%
PPA_White	9613
% PPA_White	62.47%
PPA_AfAm	420
% PPA_AfAm	2.73%
PPA_AiAn	3
% PPA_AiAn	0.02%
PPA_Asian	2556
% PPA_Asian	16.61%
PPA_HoPI	30
% PPA_HoPI	0.19%
PPA_Other	127
% PPA_Other	0.83%
PPA_CVAP_19	10802
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.2%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	868
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	8.04%
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7663
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.94%
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	248
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.3%
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1694
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.68%
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	13
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.12%
PPA_14_18_HU_Occupied	5030
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	3653
PPA_18_Owner_occupied	72.62%
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	1368
PPA_18_Renter_occupied	27.2%

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15267
Deviation	-287
% Deviation	-1.85%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3679
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.1%
PPA_White	4733
% PPA_White	31%
PPA_AfAm	425
% PPA_AfAm	2.78%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	5553
% PPA_Asian	36.37%
PPA_HoPI	3
% PPA_HoPI	0.02%
PPA_Other	65
% PPA_Other	0.43%
PPA_CVAP_19	10614
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.52%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1865
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.57%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3892
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	36.67%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	326
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	3.07%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	15
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.14%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3849
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36.26%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	45
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.42%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2360
18_Owner_occupied	107
18_Owner_occupied	4.53%

District: 8




©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15952
Deviation	398
% Deviation	2.56%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1736
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.88%
PPA_White	9173
% PPA_White	57.5%
PPA_AfAm	450
% PPA_AfAm	2.82%
PPA_AiAn	26
% PPA_AiAn	0.16%
PPA_Asian	3268
% PPA_Asian	20.49%
PPA_HoPI	26
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	108
% PPA_Other	0.68%
PPA_CVAP_19	13471
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.45%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1169
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	8.68%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8761
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.04%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	344
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.55%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	104
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.77%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2512
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.65%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6001
18_Owner_occupied	2387
18_Owner_occupied	39.78%

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Berkeley Citizen Action (11/15/2021)

First Name: Berkeley Citizens Action

Last Name: (BCA)

Comments: Berkeley Citizens Action (BCA) is a non-partisan political club, which has served Berkeley since 1974, fighting for progressive policies, especially with regard to affordable housing, social justice and equality.

The Steering Committee of Berkeley Citizens Action is submitting the enclosed map to the redistricting committee for your consideration, feeling that it meets the specified contiguity, compactness, population, and geographic requirements, and protects the integrity of the following communities of interest:

- Districts 2 and 3, which are South Berkeley's historically African American neighborhoods, include the following communities: San Pablo Park, West Berkeley, the Adeline Corridor, Lorin, LeConte and Bateman; In particular, the Lorin and LeConte neighborhoods, which have active community engagement, are no longer split between different council districts
- District 1 encompasses Northwest Berkeley's Gilman, 4th Street, and North Berkeley communities;
- District 4 is comprised of Central Berkeley's McGee Spaulding, North Shattuck and Downtown communities;
- District 7 restores Berkeley's traditional student district including the predominantly student parts of Northside and Southside;
- District 8 encompasses Southeast Berkeley's Panoramic Hill, Elmwood and Claremont neighborhoods;
- District 5 includes Central North Berkeley's Westbrae, Northbrae, Live Oak, Thousand Oaks, and the Solano District communities.
- District 6 includes Northeast Berkeley's South Hampton, Cragmont, Northside, Terrace View and Hills communities

Date: November 16, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15193
Deviation	-361
% Deviation	-2.32%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2546
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	16.76%
PPA_White	6967
% PPA_White	45.86%
PPA_AfAm	1827
% PPA_AfAm	12.03%
PPA_AiAn	57
% PPA_AiAn	0.38%
PPA_Asian	2344
% PPA_Asian	15.43%
PPA_HoPI	73
% PPA_HoPI	0.48%
PPA_Other	170
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	10182
% PPA_CVAP_19	67.02%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1302
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	12.79%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5665
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.64%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1262
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	12.39%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	16
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1331
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.07%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	115
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.13%
14-18 HU_Occupied	5523.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2309.00
14-18 Owner occupied	41.81%


Map layers

- Census Block
- Census Block Group
- Census Tract
- Districts

0 .4 .8
Miles

©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE


District: 2




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15298
Deviation	-256
% Deviation	-1.65%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2623
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.15%
PPA_White	6563
% PPA_White	42.9%
PPA_AfAm	2975
% PPA_AfAm	19.45%
PPA_AiAn	30
% PPA_AiAn	0.2%
PPA_Asian	1616
% PPA_Asian	10.56%
PPA_HoPI	49
% PPA_HoPI	0.32%
PPA_Other	188
% PPA_Other	1.23%
PPA_CVAP_19	10954
% PPA_CVAP_19	71.6%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1373
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	12.53%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5166
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.16%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2635
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.06%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	964
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	26
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.24%
14-18 HU_Occupied	6343.00
14-18 Owner occupied	2649.00
14-18 Owner occupied	41.76%

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15457
Deviation	-97
% Deviation	-0.62%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2179
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.1%
PPA_White	7433
% PPA_White	48.09%
PPA_AfAm	1812
% PPA_AfAm	11.72%
PPA_AiAn	55
% PPA_AiAn	0.36%
PPA_Asian	2558
% PPA_Asian	16.55%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_Other	176
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11902
% PPA_CVAP_19	77%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1099
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	9.23%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7124
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	59.86%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1587
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	13.33%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	69
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.58%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1532
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.87%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
4-18 HU_Occupied	6153.00
4-18 Owner occupied	1874.00
4-18 Owner occupied	30.46%
4-18 Renter occupied	4264.00
4-18 Renter occupied	69.3%





©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15783
Deviation	229
% Deviation	1.47%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1729
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.95%
PPA_White	6827
% PPA_White	43.26%
PPA_AfAm	1217
% PPA_AfAm	7.71%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4742
% PPA_Asian	30.04%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.02%
PPA_CVAP_19	12102
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.68%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1114
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	9.21%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6551
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	54.13%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	788
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	6.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	8
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.07%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2956
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	24.43%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	139
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.15%
4-18 HU_Occupied	6899.00
4-18 Owner occupied	1528.00
4-18 Owner occupied	22.15%
4-18 Renter occupied	5376.00
4-18 Renter occupied	77.92%



District: 5



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15636
Deviation	82
% Deviation	0.53%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1174
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.51%
PPA_White	10522
% PPA_White	67.29%
PPA_AfAm	427
% PPA_AfAm	2.73%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	2058
% PPA_Asian	13.16%
PPA_HoPI	18
% PPA_HoPI	0.12%
PPA_Other	119
% PPA_Other	0.76%
PPA_CVAP_19	11894
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.07%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	574
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	4.83%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8958
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	75.32%
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	260
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.19%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.03%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1497
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.59%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.12%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6431.00
4-18 Owner occupied	4380.00
4-18 Owner occupied	68.11%
4-18 Renter occupied	2072.00
4-18 Renter occupied	32.22%

District: 6




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15182
Deviation	-372
% Deviation	-2.39%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1039
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.84%
PPA_White	10012
% PPA_White	65.95%
PPA_AfAm	322
% PPA_AfAm	2.12%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	2583
% PPA_Asian	17.01%
PPA_HoPI	14
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_Other	132
% PPA_Other	0.87%
PPA_CVAP_19	11134
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.34%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	580
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	5.21%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8230
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73.92%
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	246
PA_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.21%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1699
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.26%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	25
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
_14-18 HU_Occupied	6215.00
4-18 Owner occupied	4274.00
4-18 Owner occupied	68.77%
4-18 Renter occupied	1944.00
4-18 Renter occupied	31.28%

District: 7




Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15916
Deviation	362
% Deviation	2.33%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	4016
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	25.23%
PPA_White	5057
% PPA_White	31.77%
PPA_AfAm	567
% PPA_AfAm	3.56%
PPA_AiAn	9
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	5415
% PPA_Asian	34.02%
PPA_HoPI	21
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_Other	55
% PPA_Other	0.35%
PPA_CVAP_19	10446
% PPA_CVAP_19	65.63%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1889
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	18.08%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3890
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	37.24%
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	352
PA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	3.37%
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	17
PA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3672
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.15%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	54
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.52%
4-18 HU_Occupied	2153.00
4-18 Owner occupied	97.00
4-18 Owner occupied	4.51%
4-18 Renter occupied	2054.00
4-18 Renter occupied	95.4%




©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

District: 8




Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15968
Deviation	414
% Deviation	2.66%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1723
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.79%
PPA_White	9076
% PPA_White	56.84%
PPA_AfAm	434
% PPA_AfAm	2.72%
PPA_AiAn	16
% PPA_AiAn	0.1%
PPA_Asian	3386
% PPA_Asian	21.2%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	114
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13104
% PPA_CVAP_19	82.06%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1368
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	10.44%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8089
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.73%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	277
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.11%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.37%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2663
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	20.32%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
4-18 HU_Occupied	5181.00
4-18 Owner occupied	2154.00
4-18 Owner occupied	41.57%
4-18 Renter occupied	3035.00
4-18 Renter occupied	58.58%



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



©2021 CALIPER; ©2020 HERE

CITY OF BERKELEY

My Redistricting Plan by Alfren & Holland (11/15/2021)

First Name: Alfren & HOLLand

Last Name:


Comments:

Date: November 15, 2021

Fields List

PPA_Population
Change - PPA_Population
Ideal Value
Deviation
% Deviation
PPA_Hispanic_Origin
Change - PPA_Hispanic_Origin
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin
PPA_White
Change - PPA_White
% PPA_White
PPA_AfAm
Change - PPA_AfAm
% PPA_AfAm
PPA_AiAn
Change - PPA_AiAn
% PPA_AiAn
PPA_Asian
Change - PPA_Asian
% PPA_Asian
PPA_HoPI
Change - PPA_HoPI
% PPA_HoPI
PPA_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_CVAP_19
% PPA_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
Change - PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
% PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19
ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 HU_Occupied
ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
% ACS_14-18 Owner occupied
ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
Change - ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
% ACS_14-18 Renter occupied
ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
Change - ACS_14-18 HH_Median income
ACS_14-18 Median Age
Change - ACS_14-18 Median Age


District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	20688
Deviation	5,134
% Deviation	33.01%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2446
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.82%
PPA_White	9826
% PPA_White	47.5%
PPA_AfAm	1720
% PPA_AfAm	8.31%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.22%
PPA_Asian	5011
% PPA_Asian	24.22%
PPA_HoPI	36
% PPA_HoPI	0.17%
PPA_Other	177
% PPA_Other	0.86%
PPA_CVAP_19	15809
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.42%
PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	1421
% PPA_Hsp_CVAP_19	8.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8895
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.27%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1110
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.02%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	23
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.15%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3414
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	21.6%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	205
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.3%
-14-18 HU_Occupied	8713.00
-18 Owner occupied	2580.00
-18 Owner occupied	29.61%

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



--- ORIGINAL 1878 BERKELEY
North & South / Greater Flatlands ALTERNATIVES
CENTRAL BERKELEY CORE
+ All Alternatives

DISTRICT 4
Central Berkeley CORE
PLAN

San Francisco Bay
Berkeley Aquatic
City Limits

San Francisco Bay
Berkeley Aquatic

580

80

300

400

300

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

2,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

2,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

2,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

2,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

1,000

Map Identification				DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion	
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
1	01_2021-10-08 Howard Rosenberg	7,8	Please include in dist. 8 this small area that was carved out and placed in dist. 7 to include former home of K. Worthington when he was in office.	Neighborhood Cohesion and Compactness Maptitude submission does not reflect the narrative. Map is unchanged.	Amber map reflects these minimal changes. A revised version of the Amber map was approved on 2/28/22	This requested change is included in the Final Map.
2	02_2021-10-12 Anonymous A	All	Re: Northside population #s - There is no way this is correct. Pop in Northside is at least 7000	Major reconfigurations of all districts	Submission was evaluated; no further action was taken.	The submission is not included in the Final Map.
3	03_2021-10-19 Anonymous B-1	All	None	Major reconfiguration of Districts 4 through 7; creation of two student districts (Dist 4 and 7); changes Dist 4 and 7 to E-W orientation; removes thousand oaks from Dist 5, combines DT and University, decreases Dist 7 and limits to area south of Campus	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
4	04_2021-10-19 Anonymous B-2	All	None	Creates two student Disticts(4/7); major modifications to 3,5,6; improves overall neighborhood integrity	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
5	05_2021-10-19 Anonymous B-3	All	None	Creation of two student districts; major changes to district 5/6; reconfigures 5 to include North student population from Dist 6/4	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.

Map Identification					DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
6	06_2021-10-25 Anonymous B-4	All	None	Creation of two student districts; major changes to district 5/6; reconfigures 5 to include North student population from Dist 6/4	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
7	07_2021-10-26 Troy Kaji	All	Map configured to equalize population target size and provided contiguity among districts.	Districts renumbered. Creates one district for western portion of the City.	Orange and Blue maps reflect a unified West Berkeley district. Commission declined to include these maps as final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
8	08_2021-10-28 Alfred Twu Map 1	All	Keep southside as an Asian-plurality student super majority district. Group other blocks close to the campus that are mostly renters in the Donut renter supermajority district. Keep Black community together with Adeline Corridor / San Pablo Park area. Keep the lower housing density Hills together. Unify West Berkeley including 4th Street, most of San Pablo Avenue, and western part of University Avenue.	Two student districts. Creates non-contiguous "donut" district for south campus population and irregular-shape district north, west, and south of the UC Berkeley campus.	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.

Map Identification					DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
9	09_2021-10-31 Alfred Twu Map 2		This is a variant of the Donut plan, which creates more compact borders. The Southside district includes the big Southside dorms, including the Units and Clark Kerr, as well as more of the area around Telegraph Ave.	Two student districts. Creates non-contiguous "donut" district for south campus population and irregular-shape district north, west, and south of the UC Berkeley campus.	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
10	10_2021-11-01 Stephen Young	All (least impact to 1/2; most impact to 5/6)	This proposal unites all of hilly North Berkeley in district 5, keeps 1 and 2 more or less the same, with the principal division being University Avenue, and 7 more compact while keeping its community of interest. District 5 is partially reconfigured to capture the Northside renting community of interest. There are consequent modifications to 3 and 4 for population equality. Otherwise, the plan complies with contiguity and precinct assignment requirements.	Realigns principle boundary between districts 5/6 from north/south to east/west. Minor changes elsewhere, relative to existing boundaries.	Submission was evaluated; no further action was taken. IRC decided to retain Spruce Street as shared boundary for Districts 5 and 6 at 2/28 meeting.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.

Map Identification					DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
11	11_2021-11-02 Anonymous B-5	All	2 Student Districts	Creates two student districts (4 and 7). Expands District 4 to eastern city limits and splits downtown among two reconfigured districts (4 and 5). Adds Thousand Oaks neighborhood to reconfigured District 6. Adds Northbrae to reconfigure District 1. Splits North Berkeley, Berkeley Hills, Central Berkeley, South Berkeley, LeConte, Elmwood, and Panoramic Hill neighborhoods.	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these map changes in the final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
12	12_2021-11-04 Phil Allen	All	All 8 districts are renumbered and have east-west orientation. See submitted PDF for full narrative.	Proposed district configuration reflects generally much longer northern and southern boundaries and shorter western and eastern boundaries relative to current boundaries.	Submission was evaluated. Proposed map district boundaries split many neighborhoods and did not reflect community of interest input received. The proposed changes were not included in any draft maps.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
13	13_2021-11-06 Bruce Stangeland	All	Here's my attempt to redraw our 8 districts. My maximum population = 16000 and my minimum is 15,200. My intent was to minimize the length of the boundary of each district, so as to not gerrymander.	Does not appear to focus on COI type criteria; rather boundaries are chosen for maximal compactness, utilizing major arteries when possible.	Submission was evaluated; no further action was taken.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.

Map Identification					DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
14	14_2021-11-06 Thomas Lord	All (minor changes)	This map tries not to deviate radically from existing districts while, at the same time, trying to increase the diversity of interests each council member must represent. For example, this map gives both the representatives from District 1 and District 4 a stake in Ohlone Park. This map gives District 6 a larger stake in the interests of students. I have not adhered strictly to the traditional use of Sacramento St. as a district boundary for similar reasons. Perfect racial balance equality is geographically impossible in any set of compact districts at this time but I have tried to improve the balance. I am not sure I m satisfied with the boundaries between district 1 and 2 which I changed only minimally - but I left them as is for lack of deep familiarity with the area. The population size balances are all very close to ideal.	Per the narrative, this map seeks to maximize within-district diversity of constituents for each district. This is contrary to various requests to maintain COIs.	Submission was evaluated; no further action was taken.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
15	15_2021-11-06 Lissa Miner	All (minor changes to 1/2)	Equitable representation by population. Districts and council members will need to collaborate as each district has multiple types of housing and neighborhoods and geography, except perhaps for District 7 that has dense student population.	Changes boundary between Districts 4 and 3 from east/west to north south, creating less contiguous districts	Submission was evaluated; no further action was taken.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.


Map Identification					DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
16	16_2021-11-11 Berkeley Progressive Alliance	All	The map ensures the integrity of the following communities of interest: Districts 2 and 3 include South Berkeleys historically African American neighborhoods, and include the following communities: San Pablo Park, West Berkeley, the Adeline Corridor, Lorin, LeConte and Bateman District 1 encompasses Northwest Berkeleys Gilman, 4th Street, and North Berkeley communities. District 4 has of Central Berkeleys McGee Spaulding, North Shattuck and Downtown communities District 7 restores Berkeleys traditional student district including the predominantly student parts of the Northside District 8 has Southeast Berkeleys Panoramic Hill, Elmwood and Claremont neighborhoods District 5 includes Central North Berkeleys Westbrae, Northbrae, Live Oak, Thousand Oaks, and Solano District communities. District 6 has Northeast Berkeley's South Hampton, Cragmont, Northside, Terrace View and Hills communities.	Neighborhood integrity	Amber map reflects some of the proposed changes. A revised version of the Amber map was approved on 2/28/22	Some of the requested changes are reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.

Map Identification					DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
17	17_2021-11-12 BNC (Janis Ching)	All	This map is being submitted by Berkeley Neighborhoods Council, using input from many neighborhood groups across the city. Considerations: 1.Keep neighborhoods together as much as possible. 2. Combine the Northside and Southside student housing units to create a more unified student district. 3. Keep the blocks surrounding the North Berkeley BART station in one district as they share interests in upcoming development. 4. Keep the Shattuck business district together from Downtown to at least Cedar St. 5. Dwight Way serves as the natural border for District 3, and should continue to do so, as the neighbors to the south of Dwight continue to fight for equity in terms of resources. 6. The LeConte Neighborhood has been split for decades. We put them back together in District 3. 7. The McGee-Spaulding Tract has historical significance and should be kept together. Its borders are Dwight Way to University, Sacramento to MLK. 8. Spruce Santa Barbara are natural borders for District 56. Neighborhoods there are less cohesive . 9. Include Panoramic Hill in District 8 to keep the high fire zones together	See description	Amber map reflects some of the requested changes. A revised version of the Amber map was approved on 2/28/22	Some of the requested changes are reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
18	18_2021-11-12 Sheryl Drinkwater	1,2,3,4,5,6	I am a district 2 resident. just got into the numbers. District 3, I learned is pretty dense. My goal was to get deviation in West Berkeley to 1%.	Marginal changes to current map	Amber map reflects these minimal changes. A revised version of the Amber map was approved on 2/28/22	Some of the requested changes are reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
19	19_2021-11-14 Alfred Twu Map 3		Inspired by Berkeley's creek watersheds, with some modifying to create reasonable compact and equal population districts. The parts of Berkeley expected to grow more in the next 10 years, such as the Upper Strawberry district which includes downtown, are drawn with less population now, while those that are expected to	Two student districts.	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.

Map Identification					DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
20	20_2021-11-14 Ben Gould	All	I tried to balance three goals. In order: 1. Keep communities together. Reunite neighborhoods divided by existing lines 2. Keep business districts corridors, especially local area plans, together 3. Use major roads as borders. Within a district, minimize deviations from a given border. Population difference, at 8.9%, meets the	changes 5/6 boundary from North/South to diagonal. "Rotates" districts 6/5/1/4 in a counterclockwise fashion. Appears to create 2 student districts,	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
21	21_2021-11-15 Anonymous C	All	None	Substantial changes to 1/5/6/4/7/8. Creates a single hill district. Population ranges out of bounds	Submission was evaluated; no further action was taken.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
22	22_2021-11-15 RCJR	All	The most important change proposed by our map is the creation of two student supermajority districts, labeled Downtown and East. Students are about 13rd of our city's population, most concentrated in the Downtown, Northside, and Southside neighborhoods. The Downtown district combines the Downtown and Northside neighborhoods, which share similar characteristics, including dense population, high percentage of renters, and large	Two student districts	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
23	23_2021-11-15 West Berkeley Business District	All	None	Single West Berkeley district, two student districts.	Orange and Blue maps reflect a unified West Berkeley district. Commission declined to include these maps as final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	IRC declined to include the requested changes based on public input and IRC discussion. Decision was made at 1/27 public hearing.
24	24_2021-11-15 Kelly Hammargren Map 1	All	Small adjustments to existing district map	Small changes to district boundaries.	Amber map reflects these minimal changes. A revised version of the Amber map was approved on 2/28/22	Some of the requested changes are reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.

Map Identification					DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
25	25_2021-11-15 Kelly Hammargren Map 2	All	Variation 2 on existing district map	Small changes to district boundaries.	Amber map reflects these minimal changes. A revised version of the Amber map was approved on 2/28/22	Some of the requested changes are reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
26	26_2021-11-15 ASUC (Riya Master)	All	The most important change proposed by our map is the creation of two student supermajority districts, labeled Downtown and East. Students are about 13rd of our city's population, most concentrated in the Downtown, Northside, and Southside neighborhoods. The Downtown district combines the Downtown and Northside neighborhoods, which share similar characteristics,	Single West Berkeley district, two student districts.	Blue and Maroon maps reflect two student focused districts. Commission declined to include these maps as the final map based on public input at 1/27 public hearing.	IRC declined to include the proposed changes based on public input and IRC discussion. Decision was made at 1/27 public hearing.
27	27_2021-11-15 Gregory Magofna	All	This map uses current districts as a base to try to straighten out district borders while keeping groups with similar homes, incomes, and travel modes together. - D1: grows into Northbrae and Live Oak in D5 where smaller single-family homes mix w smaller apts and gives Central Berkeley to D4. - D2 gains the rest of Poets Corner from D4 and cedes the edge of the Lorin back to D3. more cohesively uniting SW Berkeley.	Corrects Councilperson perogatives. Aligns to COIs very well. Consider as a map for public input.	Amber map reflects some of these changes. A revised version of the Amber map was approved on 2/28/22	Some of the requested changes are reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.

Map Identification					DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Commission and staff to assist with creation of draft maps)	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
28	28_2021-11-15 Berkeley Citizens Action (BCA)	All	<p>Berkeley Citizens Action (BCA) is a non-partisan political club, which has served Berkeley since 1974, fighting for progressive policies, especially with regard to affordable housing, social justice and equality. The Steering Committee of Berkeley Citizens Action is submitting the enclosed map to the redistricting committee for your consideration, feeling that it meets the specified contiguity, compactness, population, and geographic requirements, and protects the integrity of the following communities of interest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Districts 2 and 3, which are South Berkeley's historically African American neighborhoods, include the following communities: San Pablo Park, West Berkeley, the Adeline Corridor, Lorin, LeConte and Bateman; In particular, the Lorin and LeConte neighborhoods, which have active community engagement, are no longer split between different council districts • District 1 encompasses Northwest Berkeley's Gilman, 4th Street, and North Berkeley communities; • District 4 is comprised of Central Berkeley's McGee Spaulding, North Shattuck and Downtown communities; • District 7 restores Berkeley's traditional student district including the predominantly student parts of Northside and Southside; • District 8 encompasses Southeast Berkeley's Panoramic Hill, Elmwood and Claremont neighborhoods; • District 5 includes Central North Berkeley's Westbrae, Northbrae, Live Oak, Thousand Oaks, and the Solano District communities. • District 6 includes Northeast Berkeley's South Hampton, Cragmont, Northside, Terrace View and Hills communities 	Incorporates Northside of University of California in District 7 and adds areas east of Sacramento St to District 2.	Violet map reflects the inclusion of Northside into District 7. Commission declined to include this map change within the final map based on public input at 2/19 public hearing.	Some of the requested changes are reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.
29	29_2021-11-15 Alfsen & Holland	1,2,3,4,7	None	Shifts District 4 westward, excludes portions of Shattuck	Submission was evaluated; no further action was taken.	The requested changes are not reflected in the final map after consideration of all public input received and IRC discussion.



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map:

“ORANGE MAP”

Published January 20, 2022

Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

ORANGE MAP

*Consistency Map with Changes to Address Universal Criteria
West Berkeley (One District)*

The Orange Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes as needed to meet the universal criteria. This map maintains general consistency for Districts 3 – 8, with significant changes to Districts 1 and 2 in West Berkeley. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Orange Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:


- Create a single West Berkeley District west of San Pablo Avenue and including the neighborhood around San Pablo Park;
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Move the Poets Corner Neighborhood to District 1;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the census block that contains the International House from District 8 to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, San Pablo Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, and Cedar Street, as district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.





Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Orange Map

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15378
Deviation	-176
% Deviation	-1.13%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1783
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.59%
PPA_White	8221
% PPA_White	53.46%
PPA_AfAm	1289
% PPA_AfAm	8.38%
PPA_AiAn	22
% PPA_AiAn	0.14%
PPA_Asian	2610
% PPA_Asian	16.97%
PPA_HoPI	26
% PPA_HoPI	0.17%
PPA_Other	160
% PPA_Other	1.04%
PPA_CVAP_19	11303
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.5%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1021
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.03%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7007
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	61.99%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1046
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	9.25%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1673
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.8%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.43%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6785
18_Owner_occupied	2954
18_Owner_occupied	43.54%
18_Renter_occupied	3842
18_Renter_occupied	56.62%
HH_Median_income	1218593
14_18_Median_Age	545.04

Orange Map

District: 2




Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	16144
Deviation	590
% Deviation	3.79%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3195
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	19.79%
PPA_White	6507
% PPA_White	40.31%
PPA_AfAm	3045
% PPA_AfAm	18.86%
PPA_AiAn	67
% PPA_AiAn	0.42%
PPA_Asian	1846
% PPA_Asian	11.43%
PPA_HoPI	98
% PPA_HoPI	0.61%
PPA_Other	190
% PPA_Other	1.18%
PPA_CVAP_19	10543
% PPA_CVAP_19	65.31%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1657
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	15.72%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4863
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	46.13%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	2495
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	23.66%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.19%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	893
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.47%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	106
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.01%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5710
18_Owner_occupied	2353
18_Owner_occupied	41.21%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3358
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	58.81%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	831076
ACS 14-18 Median Age	416.25

Orange Map

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15396
Deviation	-158
% Deviation	-1.02%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2334
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.16%
PPA_White	7002
% PPA_White	45.48%
PPA_AfAm	2347
% PPA_AfAm	15.24%
PPA_AiAn	52
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	2185
% PPA_Asian	14.19%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	172
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	11694
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.95%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1178
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6648
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.85%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1870
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.99%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	65
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.56%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1327
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5875
18_Owner_occupied	1938
18_Owner_occupied	32.99%
18_Renter_occupied	3919
18_Renter_occupied	66.71%
HH_Median_income	882480
14_18_Median_Age	418.82

Orange Map

District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15697
Deviation	143
% Deviation	0.92%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1856
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.82%
PPA_White	6554
% PPA_White	41.75%
PPA_AfAm	1197
% PPA_AfAm	7.63%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4830
% PPA_Asian	30.77%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.03%
PPA_CVAP_19	12036
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.68%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1275
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.59%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6126
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.9%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	843
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3034
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.21%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.53%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6650
18_Owner_occupied	1410
18_Owner_occupied	21.2%
18_Renter_occupied	5231
18_Renter_occupied	78.66%
HH_Median_income	544369
_14_18_Median_Age	273.50

Orange Map

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14770
Deviation	-784
% Deviation	-5.04%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1031
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.98%
PPA_White	10189
% PPA_White	68.98%
PPA_AfAm	305
% PPA_AfAm	2.06%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	1917
% PPA_Asian	12.98%
PPA_HoPI	13
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_Other	101
% PPA_Other	0.68%
PPA_CVAP_19	11164
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.59%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	557
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8649
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	77.47%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	166
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.49%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1341
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.01%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6060
18_Owner_occupied	4356
18_Owner_occupied	71.88%
18_Renter_occupied	1708
18_Renter_occupied	28.18%
HH_Median_income	2097617
14_18_Median_Age	710.05

Orange Map


District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15635
Deviation	81
% Deviation	0.52%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1447
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.25%
PPA_White	9636
% PPA_White	61.63%
PPA_AfAm	444
% PPA_AfAm	2.84%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2859
% PPA_Asian	18.29%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	145
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	10967
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.14%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	775
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7756
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.72%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	275
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1793
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5680
18_Owner_occupied	3631
18_Owner_occupied	63.93%
18_Renter_occupied	2056
18_Renter_occupied	36.2%
HH_Median_income	1750752
14_18_Median_Age	532.57

Orange Map

District: 7



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15405
Deviation	-149
% Deviation	-0.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3638
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.62%
PPA_White	4946
% PPA_White	32.11%
PPA_AfAm	435
% PPA_AfAm	2.82%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5492
% PPA_Asian	35.65%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_Other	73
% PPA_Other	0.47%
PPA_CVAP_19	10577
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.66%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1890
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.87%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3877
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	36.66%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	304
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.87%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3858
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2098
18_Owner_occupied	119
18_Owner_occupied	5.67%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	1982
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	94.47%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	202115
ACS 14-18 Median Age	115.36


Orange Map

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	16008
Deviation	454
% Deviation	2.92%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1745
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.9%
PPA_White	9402
% PPA_White	58.73%
PPA_AfAm	519
% PPA_AfAm	3.24%
PPA_AiAn	20
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	2963
% PPA_Asian	18.51%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	113
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13434
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.92%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1273
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.48%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8747
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.11%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	408
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	3.04%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	53
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.39%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2395
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	17.83%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6040
18_Owner_occupied	2504
18_Owner_occupied	41.46%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3550
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	58.77%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1432972
ACS 14-18 Median Age	478.09



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map:

“BLUE MAP”

Published January 20, 2022

Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

BLUE MAP

*Two Student Districts (East-West)
West Berkeley (One District)*

The Blue Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create draft maps that show variations on two student-focused districts, and the direction to show a map that has a north to south orientation for a single West Berkeley District. This map also meets the six universal map criteria to varying degrees. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Blue Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Create two “student-focused” districts with an east-west orientation (4,7);
- Create a single West Berkeley District west of San Pablo Avenue and including the neighborhood surrounding San Pablo Park (2);
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Move the Poet’s Corner Neighborhood to District 1;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify LeConte Neighborhood in District 8;
- Move the District 5 and District 6 border from Spruce Street to Arlington Avenue;
- Move the Panoramic Hill Neighborhood and the Clark Kerr Campus into the eastern student district (District 7);
- Move the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of LeConte Avenue into the western student district (District 4);
- Move a portion of Central Berkeley and Downtown Neighborhoods into District 3;
- The above changes necessarily create a lower degree of neighborhood cohesion for the following neighborhoods: North Berkeley, Central Berkeley, Downtown, Southside, North Shattuck;
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Use of the major arterials, San Pablo Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Arlington Avenue, Adeline Avenue, and Telegraph Avenue, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in three council districts (6, 7, 8).

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.





Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Blue Map

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15750
Deviation	196
% Deviation	1.26%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1892
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	12.01%
PPA_White	7937
% PPA_White	50.39%
PPA_AfAm	1486
% PPA_AfAm	9.43%
PPA_AiAn	37
% PPA_AiAn	0.23%
PPA_Asian	2948
% PPA_Asian	18.72%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_CVAP_19	11655
% PPA_CVAP_19	74%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1178
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.11%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6818
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	58.5%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1317
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	11.3%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1747
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.99%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.42%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6758
18_Owner_occupied	2694
18_Owner_occupied	39.86%
18_Renter_occupied	4069
18_Renter_occupied	60.21%
HH_Median_income	1195301
14_18_Median_Age	515.11

Blue Map

District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	16144
Deviation	590
% Deviation	3.79%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3195
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	19.79%
PPA_White	6507
% PPA_White	40.31%
PPA_AfAm	3045
% PPA_AfAm	18.86%
PPA_AiAn	67
% PPA_AiAn	0.42%
PPA_Asian	1846
% PPA_Asian	11.43%
PPA_HoPI	98
% PPA_HoPI	0.61%
PPA_CVAP_19	10543
% PPA_CVAP_19	65.31%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1657
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	15.72%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4863
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	46.13%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2495
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	23.66%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.19%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	893
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.47%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	106
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.01%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5707
18_Owner_occupied	2352
18_Owner_occupied	41.21%
18_Renter_occupied	3357
18_Renter_occupied	58.82%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3357
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	58.82%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	830189
ACS 14-18 Median Age	415.69

Blue Map

District: 3



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15238
Deviation	-316
% Deviation	-2.03%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2306
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.13%
PPA_White	6989
% PPA_White	45.87%
PPA_AfAm	2382
% PPA_AfAm	15.63%
PPA_AiAn	39
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2100
% PPA_Asian	13.78%
PPA_HoPI	26
% PPA_HoPI	0.17%
PPA_CVAP_19	11143
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.13%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1122
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6182
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.48%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1725
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	15.48%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.13%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1512
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.57%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	7
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.06%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6026
18_Owner_occupied	2205
18_Owner_occupied	36.59%
18_Renter_occupied	3813
18_Renter_occupied	63.28%
HH_Median_income	905253
14_18_Median_Age	435.77

Blue Map

District: 4



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15892
Deviation	338
% Deviation	2.17%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2971
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	18.69%
PPA_White	5167
% PPA_White	32.51%
PPA_AfAm	917
% PPA_AfAm	5.77%
PPA_AiAn	29
% PPA_AiAn	0.18%
PPA_Asian	5817
% PPA_Asian	36.6%
PPA_HoPI	40
% PPA_HoPI	0.25%
PPA_CVAP_19	10913
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.67%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1527
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4656
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	42.66%
A_NH_Blck_CVAP_19	614
A_NH_Blck_CVAP_19	5.63%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	17
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3273
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	29.99%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	190
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.74%
14_18_HU_Occupied	4901
18_Owner_occupied	403
18_Owner_occupied	8.22%
18_Renter_occupied	4500
18_Renter_occupied	91.82%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	4500
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	91.82%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	301760
ACS 14-18 Median Age	178.16

Blue Map

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14911
Deviation	-643
% Deviation	-4.13%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1129
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.57%
PPA_White	9884
% PPA_White	66.29%
PPA_AfAm	343
% PPA_AfAm	2.3%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	2187
% PPA_Asian	14.67%
PPA_HoPI	10
% PPA_HoPI	0.07%
PPA_CVAP_19	11294
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.74%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	656
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.81%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8485
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	75.13%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	1.63%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1572
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.92%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6261
18_Owner_occupied	3905
18_Owner_occupied	62.37%
18_Renter_occupied	2352
18_Renter_occupied	37.57%
HH_Median_income	1766602
14_18_Median_Age	636.42

Blue Map

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15759
Deviation	205
% Deviation	1.32%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1052
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.68%
PPA_White	10573
% PPA_White	67.09%
PPA_AfAm	326
% PPA_AfAm	2.07%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	2505
% PPA_Asian	15.9%
PPA_HoPI	17
% PPA_HoPI	0.11%
PPA_CVAP_19	11746
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.54%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	637
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.42%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8750
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	74.49%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	235
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	6
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.05%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1704
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.51%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	25
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.21%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6515
18_Owner_occupied	4614
18_Owner_occupied	70.82%
18_Renter_occupied	1913
18_Renter_occupied	29.36%
HH_Median_income	2070337
14_18_Median_Age	655.83

Blue Map

District: 7



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15358
Deviation	-196
% Deviation	-1.26%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2967
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	19.32%
PPA_White	5918
% PPA_White	38.53%
PPA_AfAm	508
% PPA_AfAm	3.31%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	4911
% PPA_Asian	31.98%
PPA_HoPI	9
% PPA_HoPI	0.06%
PPA_CVAP_19	11882
% PPA_CVAP_19	77.37%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	2023
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.03%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4877
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	41.05%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	252
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.12%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	47
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.4%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	4046
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	34.05%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.25%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2441
18_Owner_occupied	323
18_Owner_occupied	13.23%
18_Renter_occupied	2125
18_Renter_occupied	87.05%
HH_Median_income	451167
14_18_Median_Age	150.01


Blue Map

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15381
Deviation	-173
% Deviation	-1.11%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1517
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.86%
PPA_White	9482
% PPA_White	61.65%
PPA_AfAm	574
% PPA_AfAm	3.73%
PPA_AiAn	35
% PPA_AiAn	0.23%
PPA_Asian	2388
% PPA_Asian	15.53%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	12542
% PPA_CVAP_19	81.54%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	826
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.59%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	9042
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	72.09%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	585
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	4.66%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	61
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.49%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1567
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.49%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6289
18_Owner_occupied	2769
18_Owner_occupied	44.03%
18_Renter_occupied	3517
18_Renter_occupied	55.92%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3517
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	55.92%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1439365
ACS 14-18 Median Age	502.68



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map:

“MAROON MAP”

Published January 20, 2022

Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

MAROON MAP

*Two Student Districts (North-South)
West Berkeley (Two Districts)*

The Maroon Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create draft maps that show variations on two student-focused districts. This map shows West Berkeley in its current configuration of two districts. This map also meets the six universal map criteria to varying degrees. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Maroon Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Create two “student-focused” districts with a north-south orientation (4, 7);
- Use the current configuration for two West Berkeley districts;
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poet’s Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Move the border between District 5 and District 6 from Spruce Street to Arlington Avenue;
- Move the Panoramic Hill Neighborhood and the Clark Kerr Campus from District 8 to the south student district (District 7);
- Move the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of LeConte Avenue into the north student district (District 4);
- The above changes necessarily create a lower degree of Neighborhood cohesion for the following neighborhoods: LeConte, Northside, North Shattuck;
- As compared to the Blue Map, this configuration of the student-focused districts results in a comparatively lower density of student residents in District 4 with the inclusion of the Central Berkeley Neighborhood;
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Arlington Avenue, Adeline Avenue, Dwight Way, and Bancroft Way, as district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in four council districts (4, 6, 7, 8).

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.






Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Maroon Map

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15757
Deviation	203
% Deviation	1.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2291
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.54%
PPA_White	7980
% PPA_White	50.64%
PPA_AfAm	1549
% PPA_AfAm	9.83%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2388
% PPA_Asian	15.16%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10813
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.62%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1205
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.14%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6594
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.98%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	834
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1661
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.36%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6267
18_Owner_occupied	2899
18_Owner_occupied	46.26%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied 3376

% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied 53.87%

ACS 14-18 HH Median income 1123785

ACS 14-18 Median Age 513.40

Maroon Map

District: 2



Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15785
Deviation	231
% Deviation	1.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2691
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.05%
PPA_White	6748
% PPA_White	42.75%
PPA_AfAm	2794
% PPA_AfAm	17.7%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.12%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11043
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.96%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1474
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5276
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.78%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.52%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.47%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3863
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	929633
ACS 14-18 Median Age	450.23

Maroon Map


District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	14811
Deviation	-743
% Deviation	-4.78%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2298
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.52%
PPA_White	6670
% PPA_White	45.03%
PPA_AfAm	2383
% PPA_AfAm	16.09%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2034
% PPA_Asian	13.73%
PPA_HoPI	20
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10978
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.12%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1186
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.8%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6067
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	55.27%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1859
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	16.93%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	10
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.09%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1239
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.29%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.04%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5711
18_Owner_occupied	1942
18_Owner_occupied	34%
18_Renter_occupied	3759
18_Renter_occupied	65.82%
HH_Median_income	894560
_14_18_Median_Age	423.32

Maroon Map

District: 4




Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15925
Deviation	371
% Deviation	2.39%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2150
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	13.5%
PPA_White	6533
% PPA_White	41.02%
PPA_AfAm	1180
% PPA_AfAm	7.41%
PPA_AiAn	45
% PPA_AiAn	0.28%
PPA_Asian	4826
% PPA_Asian	30.3%
PPA_HoPI	53
% PPA_HoPI	0.33%
PPA_Other	159
% PPA_Other	1%
PPA_CVAP_19	11276
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.81%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1199
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.63%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5495
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	48.73%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	847
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	21
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.19%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3042
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	26.98%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	193
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.71%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5445
18_Owner_occupied	1115
18_Owner_occupied	20.48%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	4321
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	79.36%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	671059
ACS 14-18 Median Age	244.81

Maroon Map

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14749
Deviation	-805
% Deviation	-5.18%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1101
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.46%
PPA_White	9368
% PPA_White	63.52%
PPA_AfAm	410
% PPA_AfAm	2.78%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	2568
% PPA_Asian	17.41%
PPA_HoPI	10
% PPA_HoPI	0.07%
PPA_Other	112
% PPA_Other	0.76%
PPA_CVAP_19	11245
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.24%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	766
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.81%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8315
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73.94%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	187
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.66%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1503
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.37%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6270
18_Owner_occupied	3568
18_Owner_occupied	56.91%
18_Renter_occupied	2704
18_Renter_occupied	43.13%
HH_Median_income	1745808
14_18_Median_Age	611.72

Maroon Map

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15401
Deviation	-153
% Deviation	-0.98%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1029
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.68%
PPA_White	10387
% PPA_White	67.44%
PPA_AfAm	316
% PPA_AfAm	2.05%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	2389
% PPA_Asian	15.51%
PPA_HoPI	17
% PPA_HoPI	0.11%
PPA_Other	136
% PPA_Other	0.88%
PPA_CVAP_19	11460
% PPA_CVAP_19	74.41%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	613
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8567
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	74.76%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	228
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.99%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	6
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.05%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1664
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	14.52%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	25
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6335
18_Owner_occupied	4550
18_Owner_occupied	71.82%
18_Renter_occupied	1794
18_Renter_occupied	28.32%
HH_Median_income	2039311
_14_18_Median_Age	640.80

Maroon Map

District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	16029
Deviation	475
% Deviation	3.05%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3820
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.83%
PPA_White	5630
% PPA_White	35.12%
PPA_AfAm	480
% PPA_AfAm	2.99%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5097
% PPA_Asian	31.8%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_Other	60
% PPA_Other	0.37%
PPA_CVAP_19	11577
% PPA_CVAP_19	72.23%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	2127
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	18.37%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	4685
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	40.47%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	303
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	2.62%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3876
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	33.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2139
18_Owner_occupied	236
18_Owner_occupied	11.03%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	1912
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	89.39%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	157355
ACS 14-18 Median Age	126.31


Maroon Map

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15976
Deviation	422
% Deviation	2.71%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1649
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.32%
PPA_White	9141
% PPA_White	57.22%
PPA_AfAm	469
% PPA_AfAm	2.94%
PPA_AiAn	28
% PPA_AiAn	0.18%
PPA_Asian	3329
% PPA_Asian	20.84%
PPA_HoPI	27
% PPA_HoPI	0.17%
PPA_Other	137
% PPA_Other	0.86%
PPA_CVAP_19	13326
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.41%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1056
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.92%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8674
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.09%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	441
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	3.31%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	106
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.8%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2423
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.18%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6456
18_Owner_occupied	2541
18_Owner_occupied	39.36%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3917
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	60.67%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1398463
ACS 14-18 Median Age	479.09



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map:

“VIOLET MAP”

Published February 10, 2022

Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

VIOLET MAP

Two Student/Renter Focused Districts with Two West Berkeley Districts

The Violet Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that uses the Amber Map as the base map and moves the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of Le Conte Avenue into the student-focused district, modifies the boundary between District 3 and District 8 to prevent division of the community near Ashby BART, adjusts District 4 in consideration of students and renters, and further creates two student/renter-focused districts in a side-by-side orientation.

The Violet Map adheres to the universal criteria of: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Violet Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:


- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poets Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the border between District 3 and District 8 east from Adeline Street to Shattuck Avenue to include the Ed Roberts Campus, the Ashby BART east parking lot, and St. Paul AME Church into District 3.
- Move the District 5 and District 6 border from Spruce Street to Arlington Avenue north of the Marin Circle;
- Move the portion of Northside Neighborhood south of Ridge Road into District 7;
- Move the census blocks that contain the International House, Lawrence Berkeley Lab, and Foothill Dormitory to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in Dist. 4 and Dist. 7;
- Move the border between District 5 and District 4 south to Hearst Avenue;
- Move the border between District 4 and District 7 east to Dana Street;
- Move the border between District 4 and District 3 south to Parker Street;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, Hearst Avenue, Arlington Avenue, and Cedar Street, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in three council districts (6, 7, 8).

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.






Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Violet Map

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15757
Deviation	203
% Deviation	1.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2291
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.54%
PPA_White	7980
% PPA_White	50.64%
PPA_AfAm	1549
% PPA_AfAm	9.83%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2388
% PPA_Asian	15.16%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10813
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.62%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1205
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.14%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6594
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.98%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	834
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1661
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.36%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6282
18_Owner_occupied	2902
18_Owner_occupied	46.2%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied 3388

% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied 53.93%

ACS 14-18 HH Median income 1125399

ACS 14-18 Median Age 514.02

Violet Map

District: 2



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15785
Deviation	231
% Deviation	1.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2691
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.05%
PPA_White	6748
% PPA_White	42.75%
PPA_AfAm	2794
% PPA_AfAm	17.7%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.12%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11043
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.96%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1474
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5276
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.78%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.52%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.47%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3863
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	929633
ACS 14-18 Median Age	450.23

Violet Map

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15226
Deviation	-328
% Deviation	-2.11%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2315
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.2%
PPA_White	6888
% PPA_White	45.24%
PPA_AfAm	2339
% PPA_AfAm	15.36%
PPA_AiAn	53
% PPA_AiAn	0.35%
PPA_Asian	2128
% PPA_Asian	13.98%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	173
% PPA_Other	1.14%
PPA_CVAP_19	11577
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.03%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1176
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.16%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6594
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.96%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1875
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	16.2%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	69
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.6%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1281
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.07%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5814
18_Owner_occupied	1933
18_Owner_occupied	33.25%
18_Renter_occupied	3872
18_Renter_occupied	66.6%
HH_Median_income	895688
14_18_Median_Age	414.48

Violet Map

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	15222
Deviation	-332
% Deviation	-2.13%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1124
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	7.38%
PPA_White	9742
% PPA_White	64%
PPA_AfAm	417
% PPA_AfAm	2.74%
PPA_AiAn	8
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	2608
% PPA_Asian	17.13%
PPA_HoPI	10
% PPA_HoPI	0.07%
PPA_Other	114
% PPA_Other	0.75%
PPA_CVAP_19	11625
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.37%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	782
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	6.73%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8637
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	74.3%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	194
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.67%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1534
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	13.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6448
18_Owner_occupied	3729
18_Owner_occupied	57.83%
18_Renter_occupied	2718
18_Renter_occupied	42.15%
HH_Median_income	1817302
_14_18_Median_Age	634.37

Violet Map

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15727
Deviation	173
% Deviation	1.11%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1099
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.99%
PPA_White	10402
% PPA_White	66.14%
PPA_AfAm	340
% PPA_AfAm	2.16%
PPA_AiAn	5
% PPA_AiAn	0.03%
PPA_Asian	2567
% PPA_Asian	16.32%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	137
% PPA_Other	0.87%
PPA_CVAP_19	11582
% PPA_CVAP_19	73.64%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	650
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	5.61%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8534
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	73.68%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	250
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.16%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	6
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.05%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1739
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.01%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	33
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6316
18_Owner_occupied	4410
18_Owner_occupied	69.82%
18_Renter_occupied	1916
18_Renter_occupied	30.34%
HH_Median_income	1988137
_14_18_Median_Age	627.41

Violet Map


District: 7



Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15913
Deviation	359
% Deviation	2.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3911
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	24.58%
PPA_White	5338
% PPA_White	33.54%
PPA_AfAm	539
% PPA_AfAm	3.39%
PPA_AiAn	10
% PPA_AiAn	0.06%
PPA_Asian	5190
% PPA_Asian	32.61%
PPA_HoPI	5
% PPA_HoPI	0.03%
PPA_Other	83
% PPA_Other	0.52%
PPA_CVAP_19	10396
% PPA_CVAP_19	65.33%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1964
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	18.89%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3902
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	37.53%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	311
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.99%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	2
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.02%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3678
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	35.38%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.01%
14_18_HU_Occupied	1540
18_Owner_occupied	144
18_Owner_occupied	9.35%
18_Renter_occupied	1397
18_Renter_occupied	90.71%
HH_Median_income	345134
14_18_Median_Age	124.09

Violet Map


District: 8



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15427
Deviation	-127
% Deviation	-0.82%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1661
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.77%
PPA_White	9098
% PPA_White	58.97%
PPA_AfAm	452
% PPA_AfAm	2.93%
PPA_AiAn	18
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	2903
% PPA_Asian	18.82%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	109
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13035
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.49%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1226
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8483
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.08%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	375
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	2.88%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.38%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2352
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.04%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5801
18_Owner_occupied	2391
18_Owner_occupied	41.22%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3418
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	58.92%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1370912
ACS 14-18 Median Age	459.19



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map:

“AMBER MAP”

Published January 20, 2022

AMBER MAP

*Consistency Map with Changes to Address Universal Criteria
West Berkeley (Two Districts)*

The Amber Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes only as needed to meet the six universal map criteria. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Amber Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poets Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the census block that contains the International House from District 8 to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, and Cedar Street, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).


Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.






Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Amber Map

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15757
Deviation	203
% Deviation	1.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2291
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.54%
PPA_White	7980
% PPA_White	50.64%
PPA_AfAm	1549
% PPA_AfAm	9.83%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2388
% PPA_Asian	15.16%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10813
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.62%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1205
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.14%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6594
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.98%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	834
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1661
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.36%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6282
18_Owner_occupied	2902
18_Owner_occupied	46.2%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3388
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	53.93%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1125399
ACS 14-18 Median Age	514.02

Amber Map

District: 2



Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15785
Deviation	231
% Deviation	1.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2691
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.05%
PPA_White	6748
% PPA_White	42.75%
PPA_AfAm	2794
% PPA_AfAm	17.7%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.12%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11043
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.96%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1474
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5276
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.78%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.52%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.47%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3863
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
ACS 14-18 HH Median Income	929633
ACS 14-28 Median Age	450.23

Amber Map


District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15396
Deviation	-158
% Deviation	-1.02%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2334
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.16%
PPA_White	7002
% PPA_White	45.48%
PPA_AfAm	2347
% PPA_AfAm	15.24%
PPA_AiAn	52
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	2185
% PPA_Asian	14.19%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.16%
PPA_Other	172
% PPA_Other	1.12%
PPA_CVAP_19	11694
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.95%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1178
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6648
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	56.85%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1870
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.99%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	65
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.56%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1327
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5877
18_Owner_occupied	1938
18_Owner_occupied	32.98%
18_Renter_occupied	3921
18_Renter_occupied	66.72%
HH_Median_income	882537
14_18_Median_Age	418.99

Amber Map


District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15677
Deviation	123
% Deviation	0.79%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1852
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.81%
PPA_White	6554
% PPA_White	41.81%
PPA_AfAm	1188
% PPA_AfAm	7.58%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4827
% PPA_Asian	30.79%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.03%
PPA_CVAP_19	12026
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.71%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1274
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.59%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6126
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.94%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	842
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3033
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.22%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.53%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6588
18_Owner_occupied	1401
18_Owner_occupied	21.27%
18_Renter_occupied	5180
18_Renter_occupied	78.63%
HH_Median_income	539006
_14_18_Median_Age	270.54

Amber Map

District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15635
Deviation	81
% Deviation	0.52%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1447
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.25%
PPA_White	9636
% PPA_White	61.63%
PPA_AfAm	444
% PPA_AfAm	2.84%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2859
% PPA_Asian	18.29%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	145
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	10967
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.14%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	775
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7756
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.72%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	275
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1793
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5680
18_Owner_occupied	3631
18_Owner_occupied	63.93%
18_Renter_occupied	2056
18_Renter_occupied	36.2%
HH_Median_income	1750752
14_18_Median_Age	532.57

Amber Map

District: 7



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15405
Deviation	-149
% Deviation	-0.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3638
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.62%
PPA_White	4946
% PPA_White	32.11%
PPA_AfAm	435
% PPA_AfAm	2.82%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5492
% PPA_Asian	35.65%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_Other	73
% PPA_Other	0.47%
PPA_CVAP_19	10577
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.66%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1890
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.87%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3877
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	36.66%
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	304
A_NH_Bik_CVAP_19	2.87%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3858
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2098
18_Owner_occupied	119
18_Owner_occupied	5.67%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	1982
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	94.47%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	202115
ACS 14-18 Median Age	115.36

Amber Map

District: 8



Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	16008
Deviation	454
% Deviation	2.92%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1745
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.9%
PPA_White	9402
% PPA_White	58.73%
PPA_AfAm	519
% PPA_AfAm	3.24%
PPA_AiAn	20
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	2963
% PPA_Asian	18.51%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.14%
PPA_Other	113
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13434
% PPA_CVAP_19	83.92%
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1273
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.48%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8747
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.11%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	408
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	3.04%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	53
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.39%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2395
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	17.83%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.22%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6038
18_Owner_occupied	2504
18_Owner_occupied	41.47%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3548
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	58.76%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1432915
ACS 14-18 Median Age	477.91

Draft Map: Amber

Published January 20, 2022 by the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission. Consistency Map with Changes to Address Universal Criteria. West Berkeley (Two Districts).

Narrative

The Amber Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes only as needed to meet the six universal map criteria. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Amber Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poets Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the census block that contains the International House from District 8 to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, and Cedar Street, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).

Boundaries of District 1

The boundary of District 1 begins at the intersection of Martin Luther King, Jr. Way and University Avenue. The boundary heads west along University Avenue to Marina Boulevard, then travels north along Marina Boulevard (including Cesar E. Chavez Park to the west) and turns east at Virginia Street. Encompassing the shoreline and W. Frontage Road, the boundary travels north to the Berkeley border, where it turns east. The boundary travels east until just south of St. Mary's College High School, where it turns south. At approximately the intersection of Hopkins Court and Sacramento Street,

the boundary heads south along Sacramento Street to Cedar Street. The boundary travels east along Cedar Street until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way. The boundary travels south on Martin Luther King, Jr. Way to the starting point on University Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 1: Berkeley Marina (partial), Gilman, Northwest Berkeley, Fourth Street, Westbrae, North Berkeley, and Central Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 2

The boundary of District 2 begins at the intersection of Sacramento Street and Alcatraz Avenue. The boundary heads west along Alcatraz Avenue, turning north at Idaho Street, west along 65th Street, north along Mable Street, and west at Haskell Street. Moving slightly north, the boundary continues west on Folger Avenue along the Berkeley border until it reaches the Bay. The southwest corner of the boundary begins at West Frontage Road and travels north to University Avenue, including the Bay and Shorebird Park to the west. The boundary heads east along University Avenue until turning south at Sacramento Street. The western boundary continues south along Sacramento Street to the starting point on Alcatraz Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 2: Berkeley Marina (partial), West Berkeley, Poets Corner, and South Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 3

The boundary of District 3 begins at the intersection of Blake Street and Telegraph Avenue. The boundary heads south along Telegraph Avenue to Ashby Avenue. The boundary travels west along Ashby Avenue until Adeline Street, where it turns southwest. At Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, the boundary heads south until Fairview Street, turns east on Fairview Street, and then turns south to travel along the border of Berkeley. At 62nd Street, the boundary heads west until intersecting California Street, where it turns north until Alcatraz Avenue. The boundary heads west along Alcatraz Avenue until Sacramento Street, turning north along Sacramento Street until Blake Street, turning west on Blake Street to the starting point on Telegraph Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 3: South Berkeley (partial), Lorin, and LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 4

The boundary of District 4 begins at the intersection of Blake Street and Ellsworth Street, heading west along Blake Street to Sacramento Street. The boundary travels north along Sacramento Street to University Avenue, then turns east along University Avenue until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, where it turns north until Cedar Street. The travels east along Cedar Street to Oxford Street. The boundary travels south along Oxford Street, becoming Fulton Street, until Channing Way where it turns east. The boundary travels east along Channing Way until Ellsworth Street where it turns south until the starting point on Blake Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 4: Central Berkeley (partial), Downtown, Gourmet Ghetto (partial), Southside (partial), South Berkeley (partial), and LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 5

The boundary of District 5 begins at the intersection of Cedar Street and Spruce Street, heading west along Cedar Street until Sacramento Street. The boundary travels north along Sacramento until Hopkins Street, curving slightly east, then moving north along the Berkeley border to the intersection of Sonoma Avenue and Tulare Avenue. The boundary continues north along Tulare Avenue, turning west on Solano Avenue to Neilson Street. The boundary travels north Neilson Street until Visalia Avenue, where it continues east along the Berkeley border to Spruce Street. The boundary travels south along Spruce Street until the starting point of Cedar Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 5: Thousand Oaks, South Hampton (partial), Cragmont (partial), Northbrae, Live Oak, Gourmet Ghetto (partial), and Berkeley Hills (partial).

Boundaries of District 6

The boundary of District 6 begins at the intersection of Grizzly Peak Boulevard and Woodmont Avenue. The boundary winds southeast along the hilly, eastern border of Berkeley until approximately Summit Road, then heads south until the intersection of Centennial Drive. The boundary travels west along Centennial Drive until Rim Way, curving northwest until the intersection of Gayley Road. The boundary continues northwest until Hearst Avenue, then turns west until Oxford Street. The boundary travels north until Cedar Street, and travels east for one block to intersect with Spruce Street. The boundary travels north along Spruce Street until it intersects with the starting point at Grizzly Peak Boulevard.

Neighborhoods included in District 5: South Hampton (partial), Cragmont (partial), Berkeley Hills (partial), Terrace View, Northside, and UC Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 7

The boundary of District 7 begins at the intersection of Prospect Street and Dwight Way. The boundary travels west along Dwight Way until Telegraph Avenue, where it travels south, then west on Blake Street until Ellsworth Street. The boundary heads north on Ellsworth Street until Channing Way, then turns west for two blocks until Fulton Street. The boundary travels north along Fulton Street, becoming Oxford Street, until Hearst Avenue. The boundary travels east on Hearst Avenue to Gayley Road, where it turns south until Rim Way. The boundary curves along Rim Way, becoming Panoramic Way, then turning west at intersection of Orchard Land. The boundary turns south at Prospect street and continues to the starting point at Dwight Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 7: UC Berkeley (partial), Southside (partial), Panoramic Hill (partial), LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 8

The boundary of District 8 begins at the intersection of Dwight Way and Telegraph Avenue. The boundary travels east along Dwight Way until Prospect Street, where it turns north until Orchard Lane. The boundary briefly turns east at Orchard Lane, then travels north along Panoramic Way, becoming Rim Way, to Centennial Drive. The boundary continues on Centennial Drive until the eastern border of Berkeley, where it travels south and west along the border. The boundary continues to the southernmost point near Bentley Elementary School. The boundary then turns sharply west along Berkeley's southern border until the intersection of College Avenue and Alcatraz Avenue where it turns north along College Avenue to Woolsey Street, and follows the southern Berkeley border westward until Fairview Street. The boundary travels west on Fairview Street to Adeline Street, then heads north until Ashby Avenue. The border travels east along Ashby Avenue to Telegraph Avenue, heading north along Telegraph Avenue until the starting point at Dwight Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 8: Willard, Halcyon, Bateman, Elmwood, Panoramic Hill (partial), and Claremont.

Draft Map: Amber (Version 2)

Published February 10, 2022 by the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission. Consistency Map with Changes to Address Universal Criteria. West Berkeley (Two Districts).

Narrative

The Amber Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes only as needed to meet the six universal map criteria. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

Version two of the Amber Map also responds to the Commission direction to adjust the border between District 3 and District 8 near Ashby BART.

The Amber Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Move the border between District 3 and District 8 east from Adeline Street to Shattuck Avenue to include the Ed Roberts Campus, the Ashby BART east lot, and St. Paul AME Church in District 3.
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poets Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the census block that contains the International House from District 8 to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, and Cedar Street, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).

Boundaries of District 1

The boundary of District 1 begins at the intersection of Martin Luther King, Jr. Way and University Avenue. The boundary heads west along University Avenue to Marina Boulevard, then travels north along Marina Boulevard (including Cesar E. Chavez Park to the west) and turns east at Virginia Street. Encompassing the shoreline and W. Frontage Road, the boundary travels north to the Berkeley border, where it turns east. The boundary travels east until just south of St. Mary's College High School, where it turns south. At approximately the intersection of Hopkins Court and Sacramento Street, the boundary heads south along Sacramento Street to Cedar Street. The boundary travels east along Cedar Street until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way. The boundary travels south on Martin Luther King, Jr. Way to the starting point on University Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 1: Berkeley Marina (partial), Gilman, Northwest Berkeley, Fourth Street, Westbrae, North Berkeley, and Central Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 2

The boundary of District 2 begins at the intersection of Sacramento Street and Alcatraz Avenue. The boundary heads west along Alcatraz Avenue, turning north at Idaho Street, west along 65th Street, north along Mable Street, and west at Haskell Street. Moving slightly north, the boundary continues west on Folger Avenue along the Berkeley border until it reaches the Bay. The southwest corner of the boundary begins at West Frontage Road and travels north to University Avenue, including the Bay and Shorebird Park to the west. The boundary heads east along University Avenue until turning south at Sacramento Street. The western boundary continues south along Sacramento Street to the starting point on Alcatraz Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 2: Berkeley Marina (partial), West Berkeley, Poets Corner, and South Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 3

The boundary of District 3 begins at the intersection of Blake Street and Telegraph Avenue. The boundary heads south along Telegraph Avenue to Ashby Avenue. The boundary travels west along Ashby Avenue until Shattuck Avenue, where it turns south until the Berkeley southern border. The boundary continues along the border of Berkeley to Sacramento Street. Then turning north along Sacramento Street until Blake Street, turning west on Blake Street to the starting point on Telegraph Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 3: South Berkeley (partial), Lorin, Halcyon (partial) and LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 4

The boundary of District 4 begins at the intersection of Blake Street and Ellsworth Street, heading west along Blake Street to Sacramento Street. The boundary travels north along Sacramento Street to University Avenue, then turns east along University

Avenue until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, where it turns north until Cedar Street. The boundary travels east along Cedar Street to Oxford Street. The boundary travels south along Oxford Street, becoming Fulton Street, until Channing Way where it turns east. The boundary travels east along Channing Way until Ellsworth Street where it turns south until the starting point on Blake Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 4: Central Berkeley (partial), Downtown, Gourmet Ghetto (partial), Southside (partial), South Berkeley (partial), and LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 5

The boundary of District 5 begins at the intersection of Cedar Street and Spruce Street, heading west along Cedar Street until Sacramento Street. The boundary travels north along Sacramento until Hopkins Street, curving slightly east, then moving north along the Berkeley border to the intersection of Sonoma Avenue and Tulare Avenue. The boundary continues north along Tulare Avenue, turning west on Solano Avenue to Neilson Street. The boundary travels north Neilson Street until Visalia Avenue, where it continues east along the Berkeley border to Spruce Street. The boundary travels south along Spruce Street until the starting point of Cedar Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 5: Thousand Oaks, South Hampton (partial), Cragmont (partial), Northbrae, Live Oak, Gourmet Ghetto (partial), and Berkeley Hills (partial).

Boundaries of District 6

The boundary of District 6 begins at the intersection of Grizzly Peak Boulevard and Woodmont Avenue. The boundary winds southeast along the hilly, eastern border of Berkeley until approximately Summit Road, then heads south until the intersection of Centennial Drive. The boundary travels west along Centennial Drive until Rim Way, curving northwest until the intersection of Gayley Road. The boundary continues northwest until Hearst Avenue, then turns west until Oxford Street. The boundary travels north until Cedar Street, and travels east for one block to intersect with Spruce Street. The boundary travels north along Spruce Street until it intersects with the starting point at Grizzly Peak Boulevard.

Neighborhoods included in District 5: South Hampton (partial), Cragmont (partial), Berkeley Hills (partial), Terrace View, Northside, and UC Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 7

The boundary of District 7 begins at the intersection of Prospect Street and Dwight Way. The boundary travels west along Dwight Way until Telegraph Avenue, where it travels south, then west on Blake Street until Ellsworth Street. The boundary heads north on Ellsworth Street until Channing Way, then turns west for two blocks until Fulton Street. The boundary travels north along Fulton Street, becoming Oxford Street, until Hearst Avenue. The boundary travels east on Hearst Avenue to Gayley Road, where it turns

south until Rim Way. The boundary curves along Rim Way, becoming Panoramic Way, then turning west at intersection of Orchard Land. The boundary turns south at Prospect street and continues to the starting point at Dwight Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 7: UC Berkeley (partial), Southside (partial), Panoramic Hill (partial), LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 8

The boundary of District 8 begins at the intersection of Dwight Way and Telegraph Avenue. The boundary travels east along Dwight Way until Prospect Street, where it turns north until Orchard Lane. The boundary briefly turns east at Orchard Lane, then travels north along Panoramic Way, becoming Rim Way, to Centennial Drive. The boundary continues on Centennial Drive until the eastern border of Berkeley, where it travels south and west along the border. The boundary continues to the southernmost point near Bentley Elementary School. The boundary then turns sharply west along Berkeley's southern border until the intersection of College Avenue and Alcatraz Avenue where it turns north along College Avenue to Woolsey Street, and follows the southern Berkeley border westward until Shattuck Avenue. The boundary travels north until Ashby Avenue. The border travels east along Ashby Avenue to Telegraph Avenue, heading north along Telegraph Avenue until the starting point at Dwight Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 8: Willard, Halcyon, Bateman, Elmwood, Panoramic Hill (partial), and Claremont.

Draft Map: Blue

Published January 20, 2022 by the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission.
Two Student Districts (East-West). West Berkeley (One District).

Narrative

The Blue Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create draft maps that show variations on two student-focused districts, and the direction to show a map that has a north to south orientation for a single West Berkeley District. This map also meets the six universal map criteria to varying degrees. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Blue Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Create two “student-focused” districts with an east-west orientation (4,7);
- Create a single West Berkeley District west of San Pablo Avenue and including the neighborhood surrounding San Pablo Park (2);
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Move the Poet’s Corner Neighborhood to District 1;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify LeConte Neighborhood in District 8;
- Move the District 5 and District 6 border from Spruce Street to Arlington Avenue;
- Move the Panoramic Hill Neighborhood and the Clark Kerr Campus into the eastern student district (District 7);
- Move the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of LeConte Avenue into the western student district (District 4);
- Move a portion of Central Berkeley and Downtown Neighborhoods into District 3;
- The above changes necessarily create a lower degree of neighborhood cohesion for the following neighborhoods: North Berkeley, Central Berkeley, Downtown, Southside, North Shattuck;
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Use of the major arterials, San Pablo Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Arlington Avenue, Adeline Avenue, and Telegraph Avenue, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in three council districts (6, 7, 8).

Boundaries of District 1

The boundary of District 1 starts at the northern border of Berkeley on San Pablo Avenue and heads south on San Pablo Avenue to Dwight Way. The boundary continues east on Dwight Way to Sacramento Street, then north on Sacramento Street to Allston Way. The boundary continues east along Allston Way to Milvia Street, then north on Milvia Street to Hearst Avenue. The boundary then runs west on Hearst Avenue to Sacramento Street, then north on Sacramento Street to the northern Berkeley border. The boundary then runs west on the northern Berkeley border to the starting point on San Pablo Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 1: Westbrae, North Berkeley (partial), Poets Corner, Central Berkeley (partial), and Downtown (partial).

Boundaries of District 2

The boundary of District 2 starts at the northern border of Berkeley on San Pablo Avenue and heads south along San Pablo Avenue to Dwight Way. The boundary travels east on Dwight Way to Sacramento Street, then south on Sacramento to the southern Berkeley border. The boundary then travels along the southern Berkeley border to the western Berkeley border in the San Francisco Bay, then continuing on the western Berkeley border to the northern Berkeley border, and back east to the starting point at San Pablo Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 2: Berkeley Marina, Gilman, Northwest Berkeley, Fourth Street, West Berkeley, and South Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 3

The boundary of District 3 starts at the corner of Allston Way and Sacramento Street. The boundary runs south along Sacramento Street to the southern border of Berkeley, then east on the border to Fairview Street. The boundary runs west on Fairview Street, then north on Adeline Street to Dwight Way, then west on Dwight Way to Milvia Street. The boundary continues north on Milvia Street to Allston Way, then west on Allston Way to the starting point at Sacramento Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 3: Central Berkeley (partial), Downtown (partial), South Berkeley (partial), and Lorin (partial).

Boundaries of District 4

The boundary of District 4 starts at the corner of Virginia Street and Martin Luther King, Jr. Way. The boundary runs south on Martin Luther King, Jr. Way to Hearst Avenue, then east on Hearst Avenue to Milvia Street. The boundary continues south on Milvia Street to Dwight Way, then east on Dwight Way to Shattuck Avenue. The boundary runs south on Shattuck Avenue one block to Blake Street, then east on Blake Street to Telegraph Avenue, then north on Telegraph Avenue to Bancroft Way. The boundary then runs north through the UC Berkeley Campus on Sather Road, then east on University Drive, turning north on Gayley Road to Hearst Avenue. The boundary runs

east on Hearst Avenue then north from the end of Hearst Avenue to the end of Le Conte Avenue. The boundary runs west on Le Conte Avenue to Hearst Avenue and continuing on Hearst Avenue to Oxford Street. The boundary runs north on Oxford Street to Virginia Street, then west on Virginia Street back to the starting point at Martin Luther King, Jr. Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 4: Gourmet Ghetto (partial), Downtown (partial), Northside (partial), UC Berkeley (Partial), Southside (partial), and LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 5

The boundary of District 5 starts at the corner of Virginia Street and Martin Luther King, Jr. Way. The boundary runs south on Martin Luther King, Jr. Way to Hearst Avenue, then west on Hearst Avenue to Sacramento Street. The boundary runs north on Sacramento Street to the northern border of Berkeley. The boundary then follows the western Berkeley border northerly to Arlington Avenue. The boundary runs south along Arlington Avenue to the Marin Circle, then continues south on Los Angeles Avenue to Spruce Street, then south on Spruce Street to Rose Street. The boundary runs west on Rose Street to Oxford Street then south on Oxford Street to Virginia Street, and finally west on Virginia Street to starting point at Martin Luther King, Jr. Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 5: Thousand Oaks, Northbrae, Live Oak, Gourmet Ghetto (partial), and North Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 6

The boundary of District 6 starts on the corner of Oxford Street and Hearst Avenue. The boundary runs east on Hearst Avenue and continues east on Le Conte Avenue to the border of the UC Berkeley Campus. The boundary follows the campus border north, then east to the eastern border of Berkeley. The boundary then follows the eastern Berkeley border north to Arlington Avenue. The boundary runs south along Arlington Avenue to the Marin Circle, then continues south on Los Angeles Avenue to Spruce Street, and south on Spruce Street to Rose Street. The boundary runs west on Rose Street to Oxford Street, then south on Oxford Street to the starting point at Hearst Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 6: South Hampton, Cragmont, Berkeley Hills, Terrace View, and Northside (partial).

Boundaries of District 7

The boundary of District 7 starts on the corner of Telegraph Avenue and Parker Street. The boundary runs north on Telegraph Avenue to Bancroft Way. The boundary then runs north through the UC Berkeley Campus on Sather Road, then east on University Drive and then turning north on Gayley Road to Hearst Avenue. The boundary runs east on Hearst Avenue then following the UC Berkeley Campus border north, then east to the eastern Berkeley border. The boundary runs south on the eastern Berkeley border to Derby Street, then west on Derby Street to Warring Street. The boundary runs north

on Warring Street then west on Parker Street continuing to the starting point at Telegraph Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 7: UC Berkeley (partial), Southside, Panoramic Hill, Willard (partial), Elmwood (partial).

Boundaries of District 8

The boundary of District 8 starts at the corner of Shattuck Avenue and Blake Street. The boundary runs east on Blake Street to Telegraph Avenue, then south on Telegraph Avenue to Parker Street, and continuing east on Parker Street to Warring Street. The boundary runs south on Warring Street, then east on Derby to the eastern border of Berkeley. The boundary then follows the eastern Berkeley border to the southern Berkeley border, then along the southern Berkeley border to the intersection of Dover Street and Fairview Street. The boundary then runs west on Fairview Street to Adeline Street, and north on Adeline Street, continuing on Shattuck Avenue to the starting point at Blake Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 8: LeConte (partial), Lorin (partial), Halcyon, Willard, Bateman, Elmwood, and Claremont.

Draft Map: Maroon

Published January 20, 2022 by the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission.
Two Student Districts (North-South). West Berkeley (Two Districts).

Narrative

The Maroon Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create draft maps that show variations on two student-focused districts. This map shows West Berkeley in its current configuration of two districts. This map also meets the six universal map criteria to varying degrees. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Maroon Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Create two “student-focused” districts with a north-south orientation (4, 7);
- Use the current configuration for two West Berkeley districts;
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poet’s Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Move the border between District 5 and District 6 from Spruce Street to Arlington Avenue;
- Move the Panoramic Hill Neighborhood and the Clark Kerr Campus from District 8 to the south student district (District 7);
- Move the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of LeConte Avenue into the north student district (District 4);
- The above changes necessarily create a lower degree of Neighborhood cohesion for the following neighborhoods: LeConte, Northside, North Shattuck;
- As compared to the Blue Map, this configuration of the student-focused districts results in a comparatively lower density of student residents in District 4 with the inclusion of the Central Berkeley Neighborhood;
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Arlington Avenue, Adeline Avenue, Dwight Way, and Bancroft Way, as district boundaries;

- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in four council districts (4, 6, 7, 8).

Boundaries of District 1

The boundary of District 1 begins at the intersection of Martin Luther King, Jr. Way and University Avenue. The boundary heads west along University Avenue to Marina Boulevard, then travels north along Marina Boulevard (including Cesar E. Chavez Park to the west) and turns east along the border of McLaughlin Eastshore Park.

Encompassing the shoreline and W. Frontage Road, the boundary travels north to the Berkeley border, where it turns east. The boundary travels east until just south of St. Mary's College High School, where it turns south at approximately the intersection of Hopkins Court and Sacramento Street. The boundary then heads south along Sacramento Street to Cedar Street. The boundary travels east along Cedar Street until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way. The boundary travels south on Martin Luther King, Jr. Way to the starting point on University Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 1: Berkeley Marina (partial), Gilman, Fourth Street, Northwest Berkeley, Westbrae, North Berkeley, and Central Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 2

The boundary of District 2 begins at the intersection of Sacramento Street and the southern border of Berkeley. The boundary heads west along the southern Berkeley border until it reaches the Bay. District 2 encompasses the portion of Berkeley in the San Francisco Bay and the portion of the Marina south and west of Marin Boulevard. The boundary heads east along University Avenue until turning south at Sacramento Street. The western boundary continues south along Sacramento Street to the starting point at the southern border.

Neighborhoods included in District 2: Berkeley Marina (partial), West Berkeley, Poets Corner, and South Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 3

The boundary of District 3 begins at the intersection of Sacramento Street and Dwight Way. The boundary travels east along Dwight Way to Ellsworth Street, turning south on Ellsworth Street until Ashby Avenue, then heading west on Ashby Avenue to Adeline Street. The boundary runs south on Adeline Street to Fairview Street. The boundary travels east along Fairview Street, then south along the southern border until Sacramento Street. The boundary then travels north along Sacramento Street until the starting point at Dwight Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 3: South Berkeley (partial), LeConte (partial), and Lorin (partial).

Boundaries of District 4

The boundary of District 4 begins at the intersection of Sacramento Street and University Avenue. The boundary travels east along University Avenue until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, where it travels north for two blocks to Hearst Avenue. The boundary travels east on Hearst Avenue until Le Conte Avenue, continuing northeast along Le Conte Avenue until the street ends; then moves north and east along the UC Berkeley border until the eastern border of the city. The boundary travels south along the Berkeley border through the UC Berkeley campus to the southern portion of Centennial Drive. The boundary turns west along Centennial Drive, then south on Rim Way and Panoramic Way until Orchard Lane. The boundary heads west on Orchard Lane, following the Bancroft Steps to Bancroft Way and continuing to Ellsworth Street. The boundary heads south on Ellsworth Street until Dwight Way, where it travels west until Sacramento Street, then turns north along Sacramento Street until the starting point on University Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 4: Central Berkeley (partial), Downtown, Southside (partial), Northside (partial), and UC Berkeley.

Boundaries of District 5

The boundary of District 5 starts at the corner of Hearst Street and Martin Luther King, Jr. Way. The boundary heads north on Martin Luther King, Jr. Way to Cedar Street, then west on Cedar Street to Sacramento Street. The boundary runs north on Sacramento Street to the northern border of Berkeley. The boundary then follows the western Berkeley border northerly to Arlington Avenue. The boundary runs south along Arlington Avenue to the Marin Circle, then continues south on Los Angeles Avenue to Spruce Street and south on Spruce Street to Cedar Street. The boundary runs west on Cedar Street to Oxford Street then south on Oxford Street to Hearst Avenue, and finally west on Hearst Avenue to starting point at Martin Luther King, Jr. Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 5: Thousand Oaks, Northbrae, Live Oak, Gourmet Ghetto, and Berkeley Hills (partial).

Boundaries of District 6

The boundary of District 6 starts on the corner of Oxford Street and Hearst Avenue. The boundary runs east on Hearst Avenue and continues east on Le Conte Avenue to the border of the UC Berkeley campus. The boundary follows the campus border north, then east to the eastern border of Berkeley. The boundary then follows the eastern Berkeley border north to Arlington Avenue. The boundary runs south along Arlington Avenue to the Marin Circle, then continues south on Los Angeles Avenue to Spruce Street, and south on Spruce Street to Cedar Street. The boundary runs west on Cedar Street to Oxford Street, then south on Oxford Street to the starting point at Hearst Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 6: South Hampton, Cragmont, Berkeley Hills (partial), Terrace View, and Northside (partial).

Boundaries of District 7

The boundary of District 7 begins at the intersection of Bancroft Way and Ellsworth Street. The boundary travels east on Bancroft Way, continuing on the Bancroft Steps and Orchard Lane. The boundary heads north along Panoramic Way, continuing along Rim Way and turning east on Centennial drive until reaching Berkeley's eastern border. The boundary travels south along the border until turning west at Tanglewood Path, then Tanglewood Road, becoming Derby Street and continuing until intersecting with Warring Street. The boundary turns north along Warring Street to Dwight Way, turning west on Dwight Way to Ellsworth Street, then north on Ellsworth Street to the starting point at Bancroft Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 7: Southside (partial) and Panoramic Hill.

Boundaries of District 8

The boundary of District 8 begins at the intersection of Dwight Way and Ellsworth Street. The boundary heads east along Dwight Way to Warring Street, then south on Warring Street to Derby Street. The boundary travels east on Derby Street and along Tanglewood Path to the eastern Berkeley border. The boundary follows the eastern Berkeley border south until it meets the southern city border at Russell Street, then west along the southern border to Dover Street. The border runs south on Dover Street to Fairview Street, then west on Fairview Street to Adeline Street, and north on Adeline Street to Ashby Avenue. The border runs east on Ashby Avenue until Ellsworth Street. The boundary heads north on Ellsworth Street to the starting point on Dwight Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 8: Halcyon, LeConte (partial), Bateman, Willard, Elmwood, and Claremont.

Draft Map: Orange

Published January 20, 2022 by the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission. Consistency Map with Changes to Address Universal Criteria. West Berkeley (One District).

Narrative

The Orange Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes as needed to meet the universal criteria. This map maintains general consistency for Districts 3 – 8, with significant changes to Districts 1 and 2 in West Berkeley. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Orange Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Create a single West Berkeley District west of San Pablo Avenue and including the neighborhood around San Pablo Park;
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Move the Poets Corner Neighborhood to District 1;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the census block that contains the International House from District 8 to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, San Pablo Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, and Cedar Street, as district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).

Boundaries of District 1

The boundary of District 1 starts at the northern border of Berkeley on San Pablo Avenue and continues south on San Pablo Avenue to Dwight Way. The boundary

continues east on Dwight Way to Sacramento Street, then north on Sacramento Street to University Avenue. The boundary continues east on University Avenue to Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, then north on Martin Luther King, Jr. Way to Cedar Street. The boundary then runs west on Cedar Street to Sacramento Street, then north on Sacramento Street to the northern Berkeley border. The boundary then runs west on the northern border to the starting point on San Pablo Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 1: Westbrae, Northbrae (partial), North Berkeley, Poets Corner, and Central Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 2

The boundary of District 2 starts at the northern border of Berkeley on San Pablo Avenue and heads south along San Pablo Avenue to Dwight Way. The boundary travels east on Dwight Way to Sacramento Street, then south on Sacramento to the southern Berkeley border. The boundary then travels along the southern Berkeley border to the western Berkeley border in the San Francisco Bay, then continuing on the western Berkeley border to the northern Berkeley border, and back east to the starting point at San Pablo Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 2: Berkeley Marina, Gilman, Northwest Berkeley, Fourth Street, West Berkeley, and South Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 3

The boundary of District 3 begins at the intersection of Blake Street and Telegraph Avenue. The boundary heads south along Telegraph Avenue to Ashby Avenue. The boundary travels west along Ashby Avenue until Adeline Street, where it turns southwest. At Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, the boundary heads south until Fairview Street, turns east on Fairview Street, and travels south along the border of Berkeley. At 62nd Street, the boundary heads west until intersecting California Street, where it turns north until Alcatraz Avenue. The boundary heads west along Alcatraz Avenue until Sacramento Street, turning north along Sacramento Street until Blake Street, turning west on Blake Street to the starting point on Telegraph Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 3: South Berkeley (partial), LeConte (partial), and Lorin (partial).

Boundaries of District 4

The boundary of District 4 begins at the intersection of Blake Street and Ellsworth Street, heading west along Blake Street to Sacramento Street. The boundary travels north along Sacramento Street to University Avenue, then turns east along University Avenue until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, where it turns north until Cedar Street. The travels east along Cedar Street to Oxford Street. The boundary travels south along Oxford Street, becoming Fulton Street, until Channing Way where it turns east. The

boundary travels east along Channing Way until Ellsworth Street where it turns south until the starting point on Blake Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 4: Central Berkeley (partial), Downtown (partial), Gourmet Ghetto (partial), Southside (partial), South Berkeley (partial), and LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 5

The boundary of District 5 begins at the intersection of Cedar Street and Spruce Street, heading west along Cedar Street until Sacramento Street. The boundary travels north along Sacramento until Hopkins Street, curving slightly east, then moving north along the Berkeley border to the intersection of Sonoma Avenue and Tulare Avenue. The boundary continues north along Tulare Avenue, turning west on Solano Avenue to Neilson Street. The boundary travels north Neilson Street until Visalia Avenue, where it continues east along the Berkeley border to Spruce Street. The boundary travels south along Spruce Street until the starting point of Cedar Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 5: Thousand Oaks, South Hampton (partial), Cragmont (partial), Northbrae, Live Oak, Gourmet Ghetto (partial), and Berkeley Hills (partial).

Boundaries of District 6

The boundary of District 6 begins at the intersection of Grizzly Peak Boulevard and Woodmont Avenue. The boundary winds southeast along the hilly, eastern border of Berkeley until approximately Summit Road, then heads south until the intersection of Centennial Drive. The boundary travels west along Centennial Drive until Rim Way, curving northwest until the intersection of Gayley Road. The boundary continues northwest until Hearst Avenue, then turns west until Oxford Street. The boundary travels north until Cedar Street, and travels east for one block to intersect with Spruce Street. The boundary travels north along Spruce Street until it intersects with the starting point at Grizzly Peak Boulevard.

Neighborhoods included in District 6: South Hampton (partial), Cragmont (partial), Berkeley Hills (partial), Terrace View, Northside, and U.C. Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 7

The boundary of District 7 begins at the intersection of Prospect Street and Dwight Way. The boundary travels west along Dwight Way until Telegraph Avenue, where it briefly travels south, then west on Blake Street until Ellsworth Street. The boundary heads north on Ellsworth Street until Channing Way, then turns west for two blocks until Fulton Street. The boundary travels north along Fulton Street, becoming Oxford Street, until Hearst Avenue. The boundary travels east on Hearst Avenue to Gayley Road, where it turns south until Rim Way. The boundary curves along Rim Way, becoming Panoramic

Way, then turning west at intersection of Orchard Land. The boundary turns south at Prospect street and continues to the starting point at Dwight Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 7: UC Berkeley (partial), Southside (partial), LeConte (partial), and Panoramic Hill (partial).

Boundaries of District 8

The boundary of District 8 begins at the intersection of Dwight Way and Telegraph Avenue. The boundary travels east along Dwight Way until Prospect Street, where it turns north until Orchard Lane. The boundary briefly turns east at Orchard Lane, then travels north along Panoramic Way, becoming Rim Way, to Centennial Drive. The boundary continues on Centennial Drive until the eastern border of Berkeley, where it travels south and west along the border. The boundary continues south along the Berkeley border, with the southernmost point near Bentley Elementary School. The boundary turns sharply west along Berkeley's southern border until the intersection of College Avenue and Alcatraz Avenue. The boundary travels north along College Avenue to Woolsey Street, where it follows the southern Berkeley border westward until Fairview Street. The boundary travels west on Fairview Street to Adeline Street, then heads north until Ashby Avenue. The border travels east along Ashby Avenue to Telegraph Avenue, heading north along Telegraph Avenue until the starting point at Dwight Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 8: Halcyon, Bateman, Elmwood, Claremont, Willard, and Panoramic Hill (partial).

Draft Map: Violet

Published February 10, 2022 by the Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission.
Two Student/Renter Focused Districts with Two West Berkeley Districts.

Narrative

The Violet Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that uses the Amber Map as the base map and moves the portion of the Northside Neighborhood south of Le Conte Avenue into the student-focused district, modifies the boundary between District 3 and District 8 to prevent division of the community near Ashby BART, adjusts District 4 in consideration of students and renters, and further creates two student/renter-focused districts in a side-by-side orientation.

The Violet Map adheres to the universal criteria of: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

The Violet Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poets Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the border between District 3 and District 8 east from Adeline Street to Shattuck Avenue to include the Ed Roberts Campus, the Ashby BART east parking lot, and St. Paul AME Church into District 3.
- Move the District 5 and District 6 border from Spruce Street to Arlington Avenue north of the Marin Circle;
- Move the portion of Northside Neighborhood south of Ridge Road into District 7;
- Move the census blocks that contain the International House, Lawrence Berkeley Lab, and Foothill Dormitory to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in Dist. 4 and Dist. 7;
- Move the border between District 5 and District 4 south to Hearst Avenue;
- Move the border between District 4 and District 7 east to Dana Street;
- Move the border between District 4 and District 3 south to Parker Street;

- Maximize the use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, Hearst Avenue, Arlington Avenue, and Cedar Street, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in three council districts (6, 7, 8).

Boundaries of District 1

The boundary of District 1 begins at the intersection of Martin Luther King, Jr. Way and University Avenue. The boundary heads west along University Avenue to Marina Boulevard, then travels north along Marina Boulevard (including Cesar E. Chavez Park to the west) and turns east at Virginia Street. Encompassing the shoreline and W. Frontage Road, the boundary travels north to the Berkeley border, where it turns east. The boundary travels east until just south of St. Mary's College High School, where it turns south. At approximately the intersection of Hopkins Court and Sacramento Street, the boundary heads south along Sacramento Street to Cedar Street. The boundary travels east along Cedar Street until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way. The boundary travels south on Martin Luther King, Jr. Way to the starting point on University Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 1: Berkeley Marina (partial), Gilman, Northwest Berkeley, Fourth Street, Westbrae, North Berkeley, and Central Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 2

The boundary of District 2 begins at the intersection of Sacramento Street and Alcatraz Avenue. The boundary heads west along Alcatraz Avenue, turning north at Idaho Street, west along 65th Street, north along Mable Street, and west at Haskell Street. Moving slightly north, the boundary continues west on Folger Avenue along the Berkeley border until it reaches the Bay. The southwest corner of the boundary begins at West Frontage Road and travels north to University Avenue, including the Bay and Shorebird Park to the west. The boundary heads east along University Avenue until turning south at Sacramento Street. The western boundary continues south along Sacramento Street to the starting point on Alcatraz Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 2: Berkeley Marina (partial), West Berkeley, Poets Corner, and South Berkeley (partial).

Boundaries of District 3

The boundary of District 3 begins at the intersection of Blake Street and Telegraph Avenue. The boundary heads south along Telegraph Avenue to Ashby Avenue. The boundary travels west along Ashby Avenue until Shattuck Avenue, where it turns south until the Berkeley southern border. The boundary continues along the border to Berkeley to Sacramento Street, turning north along Sacramento Street until Parker Street, turning east on Parker Street. The boundary continues east until Shattuck

Avenue, where it travels north to Blake Street, then east to the starting point on Telegraph Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 3: South Berkeley (partial), Lorin, and LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 4

The boundary of District 4 begins at the intersection of Dana Street and Blake Street, heading west along Blake Street to Shattuck Avenue. The boundary heads south to Parker Street, where it turns west until Sacramento Street. The boundary travels north along Sacramento Street to University Avenue, then turns east along University Avenue until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, where it turns north until Hearst Avenue. The boundary travels east along Hearst Avenue to Oxford Street where it heads south, becoming Fulton Street, to Bancroft Way where it turns east. At Dana Street, the boundary travels south until the starting point at Blake Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 4: Neighborhoods included in District 4: Central Berkeley (partial), Downtown, Gourmet Ghetto (partial), Southside (partial), South Berkeley (partial), and LeConte (partial).

Boundaries of District 5

The boundary of District 5 begins at the intersection of Cedar Street and Spruce Street, heading west along Cedar Street until Oxford Street. The boundary turns south until Hearst Avenue, where it travels west until Martin Luther King, Jr. Way, where it turns north. The boundary travels north until Cedar Street, where it heads west to Sacramento Street. The boundary travels north along Sacramento until Hopkins Street, curving slightly east, then moving north along the Berkeley border to the intersection of Sonoma Avenue and Tulare Avenue. The boundary continues north along Tulare Avenue, turning west on Solano Avenue to Neilson Street. The boundary travels north on Neilson Street until Visalia Avenue, where it continues east along the Berkeley border to Arlington Avenue. The boundary travels south along Arlington Avenue to the Marin Circle, where it heads northeast on Marin Avenue until Spruce Street. The boundary travels south on Spruce Street until the starting point of Cedar Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 5: Thousand Oaks, Cragmont (partial), Northbrae, Live Oak, Gourmet Ghetto (partial), and Berkeley Hills (partial).

Boundaries of District 6

The boundary of District 6 starts on the corner of Oxford Street and Hearst Avenue. The boundary runs east on Hearst Avenue and continues east on Le Conte Avenue to Ridge Road, then north east to the Berkeley border following the border of the UC Berkeley Campus. The boundary follows the eastern Berkeley border north to Arlington Avenue. The boundary runs south along Arlington Avenue to the Marin Circle, where it heads northeast on Marin Avenue until Spruce Street, and south on Spruce Street to Cedar

Street. The boundary runs west on Cedar Street to Oxford Street, then south on Oxford Street to the starting point at Hearst Avenue.

Neighborhoods included in District 6: South Hampton, Cragmont (partial), Berkeley Hills (partial), Terrace View, and Northside (partial).

Boundaries of District 7


The boundary of District 7 begins at the intersection of Blake Street and Dana Street. The boundary travels east on Blake Street, turning north at Telegraph Avenue, then east on Dwight Way to Prospect Street. The boundary heads north along Prospect Street, continuing along Rim Way and turning east on Centennial drive until reaching Berkeley's eastern border. The boundary travels north along the border to the edge of the UC Berkeley campus, then follows the campus border south. At the intersection of Ridge Road and Highland Place the boundary heads west on Ridge Road until Le Conte Avenue, turning southwest on Le Conte Avenue and then west on Hearst Avenue. The boundary travels west on Hearst Avenue, turning south at Oxford Street, becoming Fulton Street, until Bancroft Way. The boundary turns east on Bancroft Way until Blake Street, travelling south on Blake Street to the starting point at Dana Street.

Neighborhoods included in District 7: Northside (partial), UC Berkeley, Southside (partial), LeConte (partial), and Panoramic Hill (partial).

Boundaries of District 8

The boundary of District 8 begins at the intersection of Dwight Way and Telegraph Avenue. The boundary travels east along Dwight Way until Prospect Street, where it turns north until Orchard Lane. The boundary briefly turns east at Orchard Lane, then travels north along Panoramic Way, becoming Rim Way, to Centennial Drive. The boundary continues on Centennial Drive until the eastern border of Berkeley, where it travels south and west along the border. The boundary continues to the southernmost point near Bentley Elementary School. The boundary then turns sharply west along Berkeley's southern border until the intersection of College Avenue and Alcatraz Avenue where it turns north along College Avenue to Woolsey Street, and follows the southern Berkeley border westward until Shattuck Avenue. The boundary travels north until Ashby Avenue. The border travels east along Ashby Avenue to Telegraph Avenue, heading north along Telegraph Avenue until the starting point at Dwight Way.

Neighborhoods included in District 8: Willard, Halcyon, Bateman, Elmwood, Panoramic Hill (partial), and Claremont.



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

BERKELEY



Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People

Draft City Council District Map: “AMBER MAP Version 2”

Adopted March 16, 2022

Contact the Independent Redistricting Commission: redistricting@cityofberkeley.info | (510) 981-6900
2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704. To obtain additional redistricting information and view the draft maps in an interactive online map, please visit www.cityofberkeley.info/redistricting

AMBER MAP – Version 2

*Consistency Map with Changes to Address Universal Criteria
West Berkeley (Two Districts)*

The Amber Map responds to the direction of the Independent Redistricting Commission to create a draft map that has a high level of continuity with the existing council district boundaries and includes changes only as needed to meet the six universal map criteria. The universal criteria are: 1) Maximum of 10% population deviation; 2) Contiguous districts; 3) Maintain Communities of Interest and Neighborhoods; 4) Use major arterial streets as boundaries where possible; 5) Correct the features of the 2010 map that accounted for prior Councilmember residences; and 6) Include at least one compact student district in every map.

Version two of the Amber Map also responds to the Commission direction to adjust the border between District 3 and District 8 near Ashby BART.

The Amber Map follows the Commission direction by making the following noteworthy modifications:

- Move the border between District 3 and District 8 east from Adeline Street to Shattuck Avenue to include the Ed Roberts Campus, the Ashby BART east lot, and St. Paul AME Church in District 3.
- Unify the Westbrae Neighborhood in District 1;
- Unify the Poets Corner Neighborhood in District 2;
- Unify the LeConte Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Lorin Neighborhood in District 3;
- Unify the Halcyon Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Bateman Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify the Willard Neighborhood in District 8;
- Unify Lower Spruce/Arch Street with the Northside Neighborhood in District 6;
- Move the census block that contains the International House from District 8 to the existing student district (District 7);
- Correct map features for prior Councilmember residences in District 4 and District 7;
- Maximize the use of the major arterials, University Avenue, Telegraph Avenue, Sacramento Street, Spruce Street, Oxford Street, and Cedar Street, as council district boundaries;
- Commission direction on topography/wildfire risk/transit access is reflected in higher elevation neighborhoods contained in two council districts (6, 8).


Each district is assigned a number (1 through 8)

2
3.79%

The percent shows the deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.



Page 754 of 775
Amber Map - Version 2



Fields List

Field	Description
District	Number assigned to district (1 through 8)
PPA_Population	PPA = Population
Deviation	Deviation from ideal number of people (15,554) in each district if population was distributed exactly equally among the eight districts.
% Deviation	
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
% PPA_Hispanic_Origin	
PPA White	
% PPA White	
PPA AfAm	Population – African American
%PPA_AfAm	
PPA_AiAn	Population – American Indian and Alaskan Natives
% PPA_AiAn	
PPA_Asian	
% PPA_Asian	
PPA_HoPI	Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
%PPA_HoPI	
PPA_Other	
% PPA_Other	
PPA_CVAP_19	CVAP = City Voting Age Population
% PPA_CVAP_19	
Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
% Hispanic_Origin_CVAP	
PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic White
% PPA_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Black
% PPA_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic American Indian
% PPA_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Asian
% PPA_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	
PPA_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	Non-Hispanic Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
% PPA NH Hwn CVAP 19	
ACS 14-18 HU Occupied	Housing Units Occupied
ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Owner occupied	
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	Household Median Income
ACS 14-18 Median Age	

“ACS” = American Community Survey

Amber Map - Version 2

District: 1



Field	Value
District	1
PPA_Population	15757
Deviation	203
% Deviation	1.31%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2291
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	14.54%
PPA_White	7980
% PPA_White	50.64%
PPA_AfAm	1549
% PPA_AfAm	9.83%
PPA_AiAn	48
% PPA_AiAn	0.3%
PPA_Asian	2388
% PPA_Asian	15.16%
PPA_HoPI	67
% PPA_HoPI	0.43%
PPA_Other	171
% PPA_Other	1.09%
PPA_CVAP_19	10813
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.62%
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	1205
hispanic_Origin_CVAP	11.14%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6594
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	60.98%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	834
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	7.71%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	20
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.18%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1661
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	15.36%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	14
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.13%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6282
18_Owner_occupied	2902
18_Owner_occupied	46.2%
ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3388
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	53.93%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1125399
ACS 14-18 Median Age	514.02

Amber Map - Version 2

District: 2




©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	2
PPA_Population	15785
Deviation	231
% Deviation	1.49%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2691
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	17.05%
PPA_White	6748
% PPA_White	42.75%
PPA_AfAm	2794
% PPA_AfAm	17.7%
PPA_AiAn	41
% PPA_AiAn	0.26%
PPA_Asian	2071
% PPA_Asian	13.12%
PPA_HoPI	57
% PPA_HoPI	0.36%
PPA_Other	179
% PPA_Other	1.13%
PPA_CVAP_19	11043
% PPA_CVAP_19	69.96%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1474
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	13.35%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	5276
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	47.78%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2708
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	24.52%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	906
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	8.2%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	141
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.28%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6275
18_Owner_occupied	2414
18_Owner_occupied	38.47%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3863
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	61.56%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	929633
ACS 14-18 Median Age	450.23

Amber Map - Version 2

District: 3



Field	Value
District	3
PPA_Population	15977
Deviation	423
% Deviation	2.72%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	2418
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	15.13%
PPA_White	7306
% PPA_White	45.73%
PPA_AfAm	2414
% PPA_AfAm	15.11%
PPA_AiAn	54
% PPA_AiAn	0.34%
PPA_Asian	2245
% PPA_Asian	14.05%
PPA_HoPI	24
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	176
% PPA_Other	1.1%
PPA_CVAP_19	12093
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.69%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1225
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.13%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6912
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	57.16%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1903
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	15.74%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	69
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.57%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1370
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	11.33%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.03%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6114
18_Owner_occupied	2051
18_Owner_occupied	33.55%
18_Renter_occupied	4051
18_Renter_occupied	66.26%
HH_Median_income	944540
14_18_Median_Age	437.71

Amber Map - Version 2


District: 4



Field	Value
District	4
PPA_Population	15677
Deviation	123
% Deviation	0.79%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1852
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	11.81%
PPA_White	6554
% PPA_White	41.81%
PPA_AfAm	1188
% PPA_AfAm	7.58%
PPA_AiAn	46
% PPA_AiAn	0.29%
PPA_Asian	4827
% PPA_Asian	30.79%
PPA_HoPI	34
% PPA_HoPI	0.22%
PPA_Other	161
% PPA_Other	1.03%
PPA_CVAP_19	12026
% PPA_CVAP_19	76.71%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1274
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	10.59%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	6126
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	50.94%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	842
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	7%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	19
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.16%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3033
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	25.22%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	184
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	1.53%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6588
18_Owner_occupied	1401
18_Owner_occupied	21.27%
18_Renter_occupied	5180
18_Renter_occupied	78.63%
HH_Median_income	539006
14_18_Median_Age	270.54

Amber Map - Version 2

District: 5



©2021 CALIPER

Field	Value
District	5
PPA_Population	14770
Deviation	-784
% Deviation	-5.04%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1031
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	6.98%
PPA_White	10189
% PPA_White	68.98%
PPA_AfAm	305
% PPA_AfAm	2.06%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.05%
PPA_Asian	1917
% PPA_Asian	12.98%
PPA_HoPI	13
% PPA_HoPI	0.09%
PPA_Other	101
% PPA_Other	0.68%
PPA_CVAP_19	11164
% PPA_CVAP_19	75.59%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	557
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	4.99%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8649
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	77.47%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	166
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	1.49%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1341
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	12.01%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	6060
18_Owner_occupied	4356
18_Owner_occupied	71.88%
18_Renter_occupied	1708
18_Renter_occupied	28.18%
HH_Median_income	2097617
14_18_Median_Age	710.05

Amber Map - Version 2


District: 6



Field	Value
District	6
PPA_Population	15635
Deviation	81
% Deviation	0.52%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1447
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	9.25%
PPA_White	9636
% PPA_White	61.63%
PPA_AfAm	444
% PPA_AfAm	2.84%
PPA_AiAn	7
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	2859
% PPA_Asian	18.29%
PPA_HoPI	33
% PPA_HoPI	0.21%
PPA_Other	145
% PPA_Other	0.93%
PPA_CVAP_19	10967
% PPA_CVAP_19	70.14%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	775
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	7.07%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	7756
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	70.72%
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	275
A_NH_BlK_CVAP_19	2.51%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	4
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.04%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	1793
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	16.35%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	34
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.31%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5680
18_Owner_occupied	3631
18_Owner_occupied	63.93%
18_Renter_occupied	2056
18_Renter_occupied	36.2%
HH_Median_income	1750752
14_18_Median_Age	532.57

Amber Map - Version 2

District: 7




Field	Value
District	7
PPA_Population	15405
Deviation	-149
% Deviation	-0.96%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	3638
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	23.62%
PPA_White	4946
% PPA_White	32.11%
PPA_AfAm	435
% PPA_AfAm	2.82%
PPA_AiAn	6
% PPA_AiAn	0.04%
PPA_Asian	5492
% PPA_Asian	35.65%
PPA_HoPI	2
% PPA_HoPI	0.01%
PPA_Other	73
% PPA_Other	0.47%
PPA_CVAP_19	10577
% PPA_CVAP_19	68.66%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1890
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	17.87%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	3877
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	36.66%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	304
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.87%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	3858
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	36.48%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0%
14_18_HU_Occupied	2098
18_Owner_occupied	119
18_Owner_occupied	5.67%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	1982
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	94.47%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	202115
ACS 14-18 Median Age	115.36

Amber Map - Version 2

District: 8



©2021 CALIPER


Field	Value
District	8
PPA_Population	15427
Deviation	-127
% Deviation	-0.82%
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	1661
PPA_Hispanic_Origin	10.77%
PPA_White	9098
% PPA_White	58.97%
PPA_AfAm	452
% PPA_AfAm	2.93%
PPA_AiAn	18
% PPA_AiAn	0.12%
PPA_Asian	2903
% PPA_Asian	18.82%
PPA_HoPI	23
% PPA_HoPI	0.15%
PPA_Other	109
% PPA_Other	0.71%
PPA_CVAP_19	13035
% PPA_CVAP_19	84.49%
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	1226
ispanic_Origin_CVAP	9.41%
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	8483
A_NH_Wht_CVAP_19	65.08%
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	375
A_NH_Blk_CVAP_19	2.88%
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	49
A_NH_Ind_CVAP_19	0.38%
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	2352
A_NH_Asn_CVAP_19	18.04%
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	30
A_NH_Hwn_CVAP_19	0.23%
14_18_HU_Occupied	5801
18_Owner_occupied	2391
18_Owner_occupied	41.22%

ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	3418
% ACS 14-18 Renter occupied	58.92%
ACS 14-18 HH Median income	1370912
ACS 14-18 Median Age	459.19

CITY OF BERKELEY

INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION PLAN

REVISED August 2021 ~ Prepared by the City Clerk Department



INDEPENDENT
REDISTRICTING
COMMISSION **BERKELEY**

This material is available in alternative formats upon request. Alternative formats include audio-format, braille, large print, electronic text, etc. Please contact the Disability Services Specialist and allow 7-10 days for production of the material in an alternative format.

Disability Services Specialist

Email: ada@cityofberkeley.info

Phone: 1-510-981-6418

TTY: 1-510-981-6347



CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	4
KEY DATES AND MILESTONES	5
OUTREACH	6
DEPARTMENT RESPONSIBILITIES	7
City Clerk Department.....	7
City Attorney’s Office	7
Department of Information Technology.....	7
City Manager’s Office.....	7
APPLICATION AND SELECTION PROCESS.....	8
MAP REQUIREMENTS	9
FINAL DISTRICT MAP	10
Map Affirmed by Commission	10
Impasse Proceedings	10
EXHIBIT A: ELIGIBILITY WORKSHEET	11



INTRODUCTION

Mapping Berkeley Communities: Redistricting by the People.

Like many cities throughout the Bay Area and California, Berkeley utilizes a district-based system of electing councilmembers and has done so since 1986. The city is divided into eight geographic areas called “districts.” One councilmember is elected from each district by the voters living in that district. Other elected officers (such as Mayor and Auditor) are elected at-large, meaning they can live anywhere in Berkeley and are elected by all of Berkeley’s voters.

On November 8, 2016, Berkeley voters approved Measure W1, amending the City’s Charter to transfer responsibility for drawing electoral boundaries from the City Council to an Independent Redistricting Commission (the “Commission”). The measure was intended to establish a redistricting process that is open to the public, meets the requirements of law, and is conducted with integrity, fairness, and without personal or political considerations.

The Commission is tasked with adjusting the boundaries of City Council districts every ten years following the decennial federal census. Composed of thirteen members with broad community representation, the Commission will act as an independent body to engage the public and adopt an updated map of City Council district boundaries. The community will provide verbal and written input on the redistricting process, including submitting their own maps. The Charter also provides impasse procedures if a final map cannot be agreed upon.

The City Clerk Department will support the Commission throughout the redistricting process, including public outreach, coordinating the application process, and facilitating public meetings. The Commission will also receive technical support from an independent demographer, the City Attorney’s Office, and the Department of Information Technology. This document provides a high-level overview of the City’s Independent Redistricting Commission Plan. If you have questions about the redistricting process or this document, you may call the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6900 or email redistricting@cityofberkeley.info.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, certain outreach activities may be limited. City staff will focus on methods to reach the widest possible audience given the mass gathering and physical distancing requirements. Electronic methods will be employed to maximize the public’s ability to participate in the process if in-person meetings are not feasible.



KEY DATES AND MILESTONES

Below is a timeline for the Independent Redistricting Commission highlighting key dates and milestones for the Commission and the public.

- **July – September 2020**
Public education and application outreach period
- **September 8 – October 9, 2020**
30-day commissioner application submission period
- **October – December 2020**
Applications screened for eligibility
- **January 2021**
Selection of eight district commissioners and alternates
- **January 2021**
Commission convenes and selects five at-large commissioners and alternates
- **February – June 2021**
Commission meets to establish its meeting schedule, meeting locations, and to receive training on conflict of interest, transparency, and ethics laws; and federal, state, and local redistricting laws and regulations
- **July 10, 2021**
Public Hearing #1 – Introduction to redistricting for the public
- **September 23, 2021**
Final California census data released
- **October 2, 2021**
Redistricting information and tools available to the public for submitting maps
- **November 15, 2021**
Deadline for the public’s redistricting plan submissions
- **November – December 2021**
Staff analysis of public redistricting plan submissions
- **January – March 2022**
Commission consideration of public redistricting plans and adoption of final map
- **April 17, 2022**
Statutory deadline to provide new district boundaries to Registrar of Voters
- **November 8, 2022**
First election with new districts (unless impasse reached or plan referended)



OUTREACH

Key components of the City's outreach plan consist of the following.

Print Advertising

- ▶ Advertisement in the City's Recreation Activity Guide
- ▶ Tri-fold brochure and posters at the City's senior and recreation centers, administrative offices, public meetings, and public libraries; coordinated with U.C. Berkeley student union; and sent to community agencies
- ▶ Print advertisements in the Berkeley Times, Daily Cal, and Berkeley Tri-City Post newspapers

Community

- ▶ If permitted under the mass gathering and physical distancing policies, City staff will attend a variety of community events across the City
- ▶ Hold additional Town Hall community meetings upon request (in-person or via videoconference as conditions permit)
- ▶ Send information through existing communication outlets (Council newsletters; neighborhood groups, etc.) for dissemination

Media

- ▶ Public notices broadcast on Berkeley Community Media
- ▶ Press releases with targeted outreach to local print, online, radio, and multilingual media sources
- ▶ Coordinating with the Health, Housing, and Community Services Department to reach additional community partners

Internet & Social Media

- ▶ Dedicated page on City's website and front-page advertising
- ▶ Posts on the City's social media accounts, including Twitter and boosted advertisements on Facebook
- ▶ Paid advertisements posted on Berkeleyside



DEPARTMENT RESPONSIBILITIES

City Clerk Department

The Independent Redistricting Commission plan is an interdepartmental effort coordinated by the City Clerk Department. Preliminary responsibilities include establishing timelines, procedures, and the redistricting plan; coordinating a Request for Proposal for demographer services; and coordinating with the Department of Information Technology to procure electronic districting software for use by the Commission and public.

Throughout the redistricting process, the City Clerk Department will serve as the Secretary to the Commission and be responsible for conducting outreach, evaluating applications, selecting the initial eight commissioners, facilitating public meetings, coordinating all interdepartmental staff efforts, and supporting the Commission.

When a final district map is approved by the Commission and the City Council, the City Clerk Department will work with the Alameda County Registrar of Voters to implement the map. If an impasse is reached, the City Clerk Department will coordinate the effort through the election process and, if necessary, the identification of a special master to develop the redistricting plan.

City Attorney's Office

The City Attorney's Office serves as a legal resource to the Independent Redistricting Commission during training on conflict of interest, open meeting, and ethics laws, will attend Commission meetings to answer legal questions, and provide ongoing legal analysis as required.

Department of Information Technology

The Department of Information Technology will provide technical support for installation of the electronic districting software system and ongoing support throughout the districting process as needed. The GIS Division will provide technical support with mapping and demographics, including initial review of the census data provided by the U.S. Census Bureau.

City Manager's Office

The City Manager's Office has overall responsibility for the City Clerk Department, including coordinating information presented to the City Council. The City's Public Information Officer will be a key coordinator for outreach including press releases and website information during the application period and the Commission's community outreach process.



APPLICATION AND SELECTION PROCESS

What are the requirements to serve?

Any Berkeley resident who is 18 years of age or older at the time they submit their application, may apply for selection to the Independent Redistricting Commission.

Who can serve?

Current members of City boards and commissions that are appointed by the Mayor or Councilmembers can serve provided that they resign from their board or commission upon selection to the Independent Redistricting Commission (or as an alternate) and do not serve on any City commission during their tenure on the Independent Redistricting Commission.

Persons who made a disclosable contribution to a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember may serve on the Commission if they disclose all such contributions made within the previous four years prior to the date of application.

Who is ineligible?

- City of Berkeley employees
- Qualified candidates for Berkeley Mayor or Councilmember (within 2 years of application)
- Current and former holders of Berkeley elective office (within 2 years of application)
- Paid staff or unpaid interns to the Mayor or Councilmembers (within 2 years of application)
- Family members of the Mayor or Councilmember or their staff
- Officers, paid staff, or paid consultants for campaign committees for Berkeley Mayor or Councilmember (within 2 years)
- Contractors or subcontractors of the City of Berkeley

What else should I know before I apply?

For two years after the termination of service on the Independent Redistricting Commission, you may not be a paid staff member for the Mayor or a Councilmember or serve on a City board or commission. Additionally, no Commission member may be a candidate for Mayor or City Council in the next election in which that office is on the ballot.

What happens after I apply?

The application deadline is October 9, 2020. The City Clerk will review all applications for eligibility. In January 2021, the City Clerk will randomly select eight Commissioners and eight alternates (one from each Council district). Within 10 days of selecting the initial commissioners, the Commission will convene to select five additional at-large members and alternates. The full Independent Redistricting Commission then begins meeting regularly.



MAP REQUIREMENTS

Maps are subject to the criteria outlined in Charter Article V, Section 9.5. The final map will be drawn so that the districts are as equal in population as practicable, compliant with state and federal laws, and geographically contiguous.

The Commission will take into consideration topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, and integrity and compactness of the districts, as well as existing communities of interest as defined below. The Commission will also utilize easily understood district boundaries such as major traffic arteries and geographic boundaries (to the extent they are consistent with communities of interest). The geographic integrity of a neighborhood or community of interest will be respected to the extent possible.

As used here, “communities of interest” means contiguous populations that share common social and economic interests. These populations should be included within a single district for purposes of effective and fair representation.

Examples of “common social and economic interests” are areas where people:

- Share similar living standards
- Use the same transportation facilities
- Have similar work opportunities
- Have access to the same media of communication relevant to the election process
- Live in neighborhoods
- Are students/have organized student housing
- Have shared ages
- Have shared racial demographics

Communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against an incumbent, political candidate, or political party; i.e., the Commission may not consider the residence of current Councilmembers and a current Councilmember may be “drawn out” of their current district.


The Commission may consider existing district boundaries as a basis for developing new district boundaries.



FINAL DISTRICT MAP

Map Affirmed by Commission

The final map must be adopted by the Commission with at least seven affirmative votes (of the thirteen voting members) and submitted to the City Council. The City Council will adopt a redistricting ordinance implementing the final map without change. The boundaries of the districts will be effective until the adoption of new district boundaries following the next decennial federal census.



Final Map – 2010 Census Redistricting Process

Impasse Proceedings

If the Commission is unable to reach seven affirmative votes (of the thirteen voting members) for the final map, the map with the most votes will be placed on the ballot for the voters to consider. If the final map is rejected by the voters, the Commission will attempt to adopt a new redistricting plan within thirty days with at least seven affirmative votes. If the Commission is unsuccessful, the City Clerk will recommend a list of at least three special masters to develop a redistricting plan. The Commission will select a special master to develop the redistricting plan, and the City Council will adopt the redistricting plan determined by the special master.



EXHIBIT A: ELIGIBILITY WORKSHEET

Are you a resident of the City of Berkeley and 18 years of age or older?

Yes No (ineligible)



Have you been a qualified candidate for Mayor or Councilmember within the past two years?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you (or have you been in the last two years) Berkeley Mayor, Councilmember, Auditor, School Board Director, or Rent Board Stabilization Board Commissioner?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you the immediate family member of the Mayor or any Councilmember, or immediate family member of any staff to the Mayor or any Councilmember?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you employed by the City of Berkeley?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you performing paid services under contract with the City of Berkeley (including subcontractor employees)?

No Yes (ineligible)



Have you served as an officer, paid staff, or paid consultant of a campaign committee of a candidate for Berkeley Mayor or Councilmember within the past two years?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you currently, or have you been within the last two years, a paid staff member or unpaid intern to the Berkeley Mayor or any Councilmember?

No Yes (ineligible)



Are you disqualified from serving in public office pursuant to Government Code sections 1021, 1021.5, or 1770, and the Constitution and laws of the State of California, except citizenship requirements?

No Yes (ineligible)



Do you serve on a City of Berkeley board or commission appointed by the Mayor or Councilmembers?

No Yes → Eligible. However, you must resign from the board or commission if selected and agree not to serve on the City's other boards or commissions during your term on the IRC.

Have you made disclosable monetary or non-monetary contributions to a candidate for Mayor or Councilmember in the City of Berkeley within the past four years?

No Yes → Eligible. However, you must disclose those contributions under penalty of perjury.



Congratulations – you are eligible to serve on the Independent Redistricting Commission!



Appendix X: Links to Additional Resources

Public Written Communications to the Independent Redistricting Commission

Volume I:

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/rc61luydc92jerp/Redistricting%20Communications%20%28Redacted%29%20-%20Volume%20I.pdf?dl=0>

Volume II:

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/kqvvaherzt7jsq4/Redistricting%20Communications%20%28Redacted%29%20-%20Volume%20II.pdf?dl=0>

Volume III:

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/3388piudyapcgkp/Redistricting%20Communications%20%28Redacted%29%20-%20Volume%20III.pdf?dl=0>

U.S. Census Raw Data – Official Berkeley Redistricting Dataset

<https://berkeley.maps.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=993872801c434287b180201f50efd07d>

Independent Redistricting Commission Minutes

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/mawvntcek4h96qv/IRC%20Commission%20Minutes.pdf?dl=0>