



**INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING**

**Monday, January 10, 2022
6:00 PM**

Commission Members:

DISTRICT 1 – TERRY NICOL
DISTRICT 2 – JESSE SUSSELL
DISTRICT 3 – LISA M. TRAN
DISTRICT 4 – CURTIS W. HANSON
AT-LARGE – DELORES COOPER
AT-LARGE – CARLY MICHELE ALEJOS
AT-LARGE – SHERRY SMITH

DISTRICT 5 – WINSTON RHODES
DISTRICT 6 – ELISABETH WATSON
DISTRICT 7 – RANA CHO
DISTRICT 8 – ANDREW FOX
AT-LARGE – LUPE GALLEGOS-DIAZ
AT-LARGE – RONALD K. CHOY

PUBLIC ADVISORY: THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and the state declared emergency, this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission will be conducted exclusively through teleconference and Zoom videoconference. The COVID-19 state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person and presents imminent risks to the health of attendees. Therefore, no physical meeting location will be available.

To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84516471404>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon on the screen.

To join by phone: Dial **1-669-900-9128** or **1-877-853-5257 (Toll Free)** and Enter Meeting ID: **845 1647 1404**. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

Written communications submitted by mail or e-mail to the Independent Redistricting Commission by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Commission meeting will be distributed to the members of the Commission in advance of the meeting and retained as part of the official record.

AGENDA

Roll Call

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters

Minutes for Approval

Draft minutes for the Commission's consideration and approval.

1. **Minutes - December 15, 2021 regular meeting and December 20, 2021 special meeting**

Commission Action Items

The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action as the item is taken up.

2. **Review of Public Redistricting Submissions**
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Recommendation: Review and discuss the Subcommittee memo and the completed Map Matrix.
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900
3. **Direction to Staff on Proposed Maps and Appointment of Two Commissioners to Assist with Development of Draft Maps**
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Recommendation: Provide direction to staff on the themes to include and prioritize in the five draft city council district maps and appoint the two members of the Map Drafting Subcommittee.
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Subcommittee Reports

Subcommittees may provide verbal reports on their activities and discuss topics under their purview with the full commission. To take action on a subcommittee item, the topic must be agendized on the commission's Action Calendar.

4. **Final Report Drafting Subcommittee**
5. **Map and COI Subcommittee**
6. **Outreach Subcommittee**

Information Reports

7. **Outreach Plan for Community Review of Commission Maps**
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Items for Future Agendas and Meeting Calendar

- Discussion of items to be added to the next scheduled meeting calendar
- Discussion and possible modifications to the meeting calendar

Adjournment

~~~~~  
*This meeting will be conducted in accordance with the Brown Act, Government Code Section 54953. Any member of the public may attend this meeting. Questions regarding this matter may be addressed to Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6900.*

*Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the Independent Redistricting Commission regarding any item on this agenda are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or [redistricting@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:redistricting@cityofberkeley.info).*

*Written communications addressed to the Independent Redistricting Commission and submitted to the City Clerk Department will be distributed to the Commission prior to the meeting.*

*Communications to the Independent Redistricting Commission are public record and will become part of the City's electronic records, which are accessible through the City's website. Please note: e-mail addresses, names, addresses, and other contact information are not required, but if included in any communication to the Independent Redistricting Commission, will become part of the public record. If you do not want your e-mail address or any other contact information to be made public, you may deliver communications via U.S. Postal Service to the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street. If you do not want your contact information included in the public record, please do not include that information in your communication. Please contact the City Clerk Department for further information.*

### COMMUNICATION ACCESS INFORMATION:

If you need ASL or Spanish translation services, please contact the City Clerk's Office at (510) 981-6908 or [redistricting@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:redistricting@cityofberkeley.info) at least three business days in advance of the meeting.



To request a disability-related accommodation(s) to participate in the meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please contact the Disability Services specialist at (510) 981-6418 (V) or (510) 981-6347 (TDD) at least three business days before the meeting date.

~~~~~  
I hereby certify that the agenda for this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission was posted at the display case located near the walkway in front of the Maudelle Shirek Building, 2134 Martin Luther King Jr. Way, as well as on the City's website, on Thursday, January 6, 2022.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mark Numainville'.

Mark Numainville, City Clerk

Communications

Communications submitted to the Independent Redistricting Commission are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or may be viewed through [Records Online](#).

**INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES**

**Wednesday, December 15, 2021
6:00 PM**

Commission Members:

DISTRICT 1 – TERRY NICOL
DISTRICT 2 – JESSE SUSSELL
DISTRICT 3 – LISA M. TRAN
DISTRICT 4 – CURTIS W. HANSON
AT-LARGE – DELORES COOPER
AT-LARGE – CARLY MICHELE ALEJOS
AT-LARGE – SHERRY SMITH

DISTRICT 5 – WINSTON RHODES
DISTRICT 6 – ELISABETH WATSON
DISTRICT 7 – RANA CHO
DISTRICT 8 – ANDREW FOX
AT-LARGE – LUPE GALLEGOS-DIAZ
AT-LARGE – RONALD K. CHOY

PUBLIC ADVISORY: THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and the state declared emergency, this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission will be conducted exclusively through teleconference and Zoom videoconference. The COVID-19 state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person and presents imminent risks to the health of attendees. Therefore, no physical meeting location will be available.

To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89840594390>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon on the screen.

To join by phone: Dial **1-669-900-9128 or 1-877-853-5257 (Toll Free)** and Enter Meeting ID: **898 4059 4390**. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

Written communications submitted by mail or e-mail to the Independent Redistricting Commission by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Commission meeting will be distributed to the members of the Commission in advance of the meeting and retained as part of the official record.

AGENDA

Roll Call: 6:04 p.m.

Present: Alejos, Choy, Cooper, Fox, Gallegos-Diaz, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Tran, Watson

Absent: Cho, Hanson, Sussell

Commissioner Sussell present Sussell at 6:07 p.m.

Commissioner Cho present Cho at 7:09 p.m.

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters – 0 speakers

Minutes for Approval

Draft minutes for the Commission's consideration and approval.

1. Minutes - December 1, 2021

Action: M/S/C (Rhodes/Gallegos-Diaz) to approve the minutes of 12/1/2021.

Vote: Ayes – Choy, Cooper, Fox, Gallegos-Diaz, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – Alejos; Absent – Cho, Hanson, Sussell.

Commission Action Items

The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action as the item is taken up.

2. Presentation on Redistricting Criteria in City and State Law

From: Independent Redistricting Commission

Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Action: 0 speakers. Presentation made by Deputy City Attorney on the federal, state, and local redistricting criteria. Discussion held by Commission.

3. Map Review and Development Process

From: Independent Redistricting Commission

Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Action: 0 speakers. Discussion of revisions to the map development process. No changes made by the Commission.

Commission Action Items

4. Review and Modifications to Map Matrix

From: Independent Redistricting Commission

Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Action: 0 speakers. Discussion held by Commission. M/S/C (Rhodes/Cooper) to approve the map matrix from the Map & COI Subcommittee with amendments as follows: reorder the columns by the state priority of criteria; and note a cross-reference to any COI forms that are specifically related to the public map.

Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Fox, Gallegos-Diaz, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Sussell, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Hanson.

5. Discussion and Definition of Themes Identified in Public Maps

From: Independent Redistricting Commission

Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Action: 0 speakers. Discussion of map themes with the following themes mentioned: UC population/second student district, neighborhoods, city services, transit access, and requests for small changes by those happy with current districts.

Subcommittee Reports

Subcommittees may provide verbal reports on their activities and discuss topics under their purview with the full commission. To take action on a subcommittee item, the topic must be agendized on the commission's Action Calendar.

6. Appointment of Final Report Drafting Subcommittee

7. Changes to Map and COI Subcommittee Membership

Action: M/S/C (Smith/Fox) to approve the subcommittee appointments as follows:

- Map & COI – Tran, Rhodes, Sussell, Choy, Alejos
- Report Drafting – Watson, Gallegos-Diaz, Nicol, Cho, Choy, Smith
- Outreach – Fox, Hanson, Gallegos-Diaz, Smith

Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Fox, Gallegos-Diaz, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Sussell, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Hanson.

8. Report from Map and COI Committee

- Report provided under Item 4

9. Report from Outreach Committee

- No report on recent activity. Will advise on outreach efforts for 2022.

Items for Future Agendas and Meeting Calendar

- Discussion of items to be added to the next scheduled meeting calendar
 - Items from Map Development Process Memo
 - Memo on Outreach and Map Availability for Public

- Discussion and possible modifications to the meeting calendar

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters – 1 speaker.

Adjournment

Action: M/S/C (Rhodes/Nicol) to adjourn the meeting.

Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Fox, Gallegos-Diaz, Nicol, Rhodes, Smith, Sussell, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Hanson.

Adjourned at 9:26 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct record of the Independent Redistricting Commission meeting held on December 15, 2021.

Mark Numainville
City Clerk

Communications

Communications submitted to the Independent Redistricting Commission are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or may be viewed through [Records Online](#).

Item #4: Review and Modifications to Map Matrix

29. Commissioner Ronald Choy (3)

Community of Interest Form Submissions

30. Vincent Casalaina
31. Greysonne Coomes

UC Student Population

32. Janis Ching

Supplemental Communications

Item #3: Map Review and Development Process

33. Commissioner Ronald Choy
34. Smallsreed
35. Anonymous
36. Hartsough
37. Chalom
38. Bretherick

**INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES**

**Monday, December 20, 2021
5:00 PM**

Commission Members:

DISTRICT 1 – TERRY NICOL
DISTRICT 2 – JESSE SUSSELL
DISTRICT 3 – LISA M. TRAN
DISTRICT 4 – CURTIS W. HANSON
AT-LARGE – DELORES COOPER
AT-LARGE – CARLY MICHELE ALEJOS
AT-LARGE – SHERRY SMITH

DISTRICT 5 – WINSTON RHODES
DISTRICT 6 – ELISABETH WATSON
DISTRICT 7 – RANA CHO
DISTRICT 8 – ANDREW FOX
AT-LARGE – LUPE GALLEGOS-DIAZ
AT-LARGE – RONALD K. CHOY

PUBLIC ADVISORY: THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e) and the state declared emergency, this meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission will be conducted exclusively through teleconference and Zoom videoconference. The COVID-19 state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person and presents imminent risks to the health of attendees. Therefore, no physical meeting location will be available.

To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85845673323>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon on the screen.

To join by phone: Dial **1-669-900-9128 or 1-877-853-5257 (Toll Free)** and Enter Meeting ID: **858 4567 3323**. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

Written communications submitted by mail or e-mail to the Independent Redistricting Commission by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Commission meeting will be distributed to the members of the Commission in advance of the meeting and retained as part of the official record.

AGENDA

Roll Call: 5:00 p.m.

Present: Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Hanson, Rhodes, Sussell, Tran, Watson

Absent: Fox, Gallegos-Diaz, Nicol, Smith

Commissioner Gallegos-Diaz present at 5:02 p.m.

Commission Action Items

The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action as the item is taken up.

- 1. Independent Redistricting Commission Subcommittee Appointments**
From: Independent Redistricting Commission
Recommendation: Adjust the appointments to Commission subcommittees made at the December 15, 2021 regular meeting.
Contact: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-6900

Action: 0 speakers. M/S/C (Gallegos-Diaz/Rhodes) to adjust the subcommittee appointments as follows:
 - Map & COI – Nicol, Tran, Sussell, Rhodes Cooper
 - Report Drafting – Watson, Gallegos-Diaz, Alejos, Cho, Choy, Smith**Vote:** Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Gallegos-Diaz, Hanson, Rhodes, Sussell, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Fox, Nicol, Smith

Adjournment

Action: M/S/C (Gallegos-Diaz/Rhodes) to adjourn the meeting.

Vote: Ayes – Alejos, Cho, Choy, Cooper, Gallegos-Diaz, Rhodes, Sussell, Tran, Watson; Noes – None; Abstain – None; Absent – Fox, Nicol, Smith.

Adjourned at 5:20 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct record of the Independent Redistricting Commission meeting held on December 20, 2021.

Mark Numainville
City Clerk

Communications

Communications submitted to the Independent Redistricting Commission are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or redistricting@cityofberkeley.info or may be viewed through [Records Online](#).

To: City of Berkeley Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC)

From: Communities of Interest (COI) / Map Review IRC Subcommittee

Date: January 10, 2022

Subject: Review of Submitted Maps

The COI / Map Review Subcommittee has met three times since December 15, 2021 with between two and five members attending each meeting. All five subcommittee members were involved in the final discussions.

Background

The Subcommittee utilized the draft Map Review Matrix (MRM) to analyze the 29 submitted maps. Some maps were submitted in paper form, which were converted digitally by city staff using the City's online mapping tool, "Maptitude," to ease the comparative analysis with the current city council map. Some individuals submitted multiple maps and there were several maps submitted that reflected the efforts of organized community groups.

Submitted Map Review Matrix Analysis

The Subcommittee analyzed all 29 submitted maps. Maps were evaluated by applying the MRM criteria:

- Population deviation;
- Contiguity;
- Alignment with submitted COIs (via COI matrix);
- Use of easily understood boundaries;
- Compactness;
- Consideration of topography;
- Consideration of geography; and
- Integrity and Cohesiveness.

In addition, we used Geographic Information System (GIS) map layers on the Interactive Map (accessible through the [Redistricting Hub webpage](#)) to evaluate proposed map boundaries (e.g., existing City Council District boundaries, mapped neighborhoods, various public facilities, and transportation facilities). Both the latest MRM and COI review matrix are attached. The map analysis was conducted to help identify consistent map themes for preparation of draft IRC maps for further public review.

The MRM also serves as a tracking tool to identify how proposed map feedback will be utilized in the IRC map preparation process. The final three columns have been left blank and will be filled in following further IRC map discussions.

In addition to the map themes and recommendations, the Subcommittee noted several items that the IRC may find pertinent;

1. Not all submitted maps reflected the COIs received by the IRC. Specifically, many maps focused on minimizing the population deviation at the cost of respecting COI boundaries. However, we endeavored to identify the goals of the map submitters and include them in our proposed themes, if appropriate.
2. Several submitted maps (especially paper maps) did not include a narrative or written commentary. The Subcommittee made efforts to identify the goal of the submitted map and include them in our proposed maps, if appropriate.
3. Many submitted maps appeared to focus specifically on the submitter's own district/neighborhood. However, in order to reflect those desired or proposed changes, they made other changes throughout the city to abide by the rules imposed by Maptitude (such as minimizing population deviation) that cascaded into areas in which they may be less familiar—and which we believe may not have been necessarily the desired outcome. We saw this in a predominate shift of the boundaries between District 5 and 6, which often skewed westward toward District 5.

Major Map Themes and Recommendation

- Minor Changes - Several maps made minor changes to the current boundaries in an effort to correct concerns associated with the current map. For example, the elimination of boundaries established based on the residency of Council members reflected in the current City Council District Map as well as respecting the submitted COI requests.
- Two UC Student Districts - Several maps sought to establish two supermajority “student” districts to increase representation of UC Berkeley student interests on the City Council. These maps usually included student population nodes on and off campus as well as north and south of campus. Often this theme resulted in substantial changes to the other six proposed districts in an effort to equalize the population in each district.
- One More Compact UC Student District – Several maps reflected the substantial increase in student housing between the 2010 and 2020 Census. For example, the large number of students living in existing student housing north of campus near Euclid Avenue, recently built multi-story housing on or near campus, and the students living at the Clark Kerr campus.

- More Representative and Diverse Districts - Some maps were drawn specifically to increase demographic diversity and include more varied housing types and interests. The intent was to create more socio-economic diversity within each district by splitting traditional neighborhood boundaries so each district would contain a wider range of interests.
- West Berkeley District – Some maps proposed unifying west Berkeley and creating a north-south oriented district that contains the Berkeley Marina, Fourth Street, new western University Avenue housing and the City’s industrial and light industrial areas.

The Subcommittee recommends that the IRC:

1. Review the attached COI and MRM and identify additional map themes/recommendations; and
2. Based on group discussion, complete final three columns in matrix and determine which elements of submitted map/or map(s) will be useful for staff to create 2 - 5 maps for further IRC and public review. Note: The last two columns of the MRM can only be completed after the IRC arrives at the final map we send to the City Council.

Attachments:

1. COI Matrix dated **12/09/2021**
2. Map Review Matrix dated **12/29/2021**

Map Identification																Mapping Request(s)	DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Cx as directives to staff in creation of maps)	Public Communications Related to Submitted Map <i>Staff will populate using COI, public comment, and public communication submissions.</i> (Ex: at meetings, emails, etc.)	Population Lowest Deviation	Population Highest Deviation	Population Total Deviation	Contiguity	Does it contradict Communities of Interest reported or understood by Commission? Cross-reference to COI number.	Utilizes Easily Understood Boundaries (Ex: Major Traffic Arterials and Geographic Boundaries Consistent with Communities of Interest)	District Compactness	Topography Considered (Ex: Flatlands, Foothills, Hills, ft above sea level. If fairly similar to existing map, we assume that to be a "Yes")	Geography Considered (Ex: freestations, libraries, schools, parks, lakes)	Integrity & Cohesiveness	Summary of Major Boundary Change(s) Requested	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
1	01_2021-10-08 Howard Rosenberg	7,8	Please include in dist. 8 this small area that was carved out and placed in dist. 7 to include former home of K. Worthington when he was in office.	Neighborhood Cohesion and Compactness Maptitude submission does not reflect the narrative. Map is unchanged.		-5.95%	6.96%	13%	Yes		Yes. Uses Telegraph Avenue as boundary	Yes	Yes, uses existing map	Yes, uses existing map	Yes			
2	02_2021-10-12 Anonymous A	All	Re: Northside population #s - There is no way this is correct. Pop in Northside is at least 7000	Major reconfigurations of all districts		-13.51%	18.08%	32%	Yes		No	No	No, splits hills amongst different districts	No, splits Cal campus in half.	No			
3	03_2021-10-19 Anonymous B-1	All	None	Major reconfiguration of Districts 4 through 7; creation of two student districts (Dist 4 and 7); changes Dist 4 and 7 to E-W orientation; removes thousand oaks from Dist 5, combines DT and University, decreases Dist 7 and limits to area south of Campus		-9.74%	10.74%	20%	Yes		No	No	No, did not include topography to draw boundaries		No			
4	04_2021-10-19 Anonymous B-2	All	None	Creates two student Districts(4/7); major modifications to 3,5,6; improves overall neighborhood integrity	None	-24.85%	21.99%	47%	Yes, except for District 8	Yes, except major modifications to Dist 4/7	Yes	Yes 4/7; No 8	No	No	Yes, respect neighborhood boundaries	Expansion of Dist 4/8; consolidates Dist 7; Moves Northern portion of 5 into 6		
5	05_2021-10-19 Anonymous B-3	All	None	Creation of two student districts; major changes to district 5/6; reconfigures 5 to include North student population from Dist 6/4	None	-5.24%	5.75%	11%	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No			
6	06_2021-10-25 Anonymous B-4	All	None	Creation of two student districts; major changes to district 5/6; reconfigures 5 to include North student population from Dist 6/4		-4.36%	5.75%	10%	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No			
7	07_2021-10-26 Troy Kaji	All	Map configured to equalize population target size and provided contiguity among districts.	Districts renumbered. Creates one district for western portion of the City.		-38.27%	14.77%	53%	Yes	Yes. Proposed map is inconsistent with COI requests to maintain existing District 1 (COI #49 and 52) and 2 (COI #27-29, 31, 32, 41, 42).	No	No. Proposed boundary to modify existing Districts 1, 2, and 5 do not utilize major traffic arterials.	No. Topography not considered for proposed modifications to existing District 5 and 6.	Yes, generally.	No. Splits many neighborhoods (South Hampton, Westbrae, Downtown, Poets Corner, South Berkeley, Le Conte, and Panoramic Hill)			
8	08_2021-10-28 Alfred Twu Map 1	All	Keep southside as an Asian-plurality student super majority district. Group other blocks close to the campus that are mostly renters in the Donut center supermajority district. Keep Black community together with Adeline Corridor / San Pablo Park area. Keep the lower housing density Hills together. Unify West Berkeley including 4th Street, most of San Pablo Avenue, and western part of University Avenue.	Two student districts. Creates non-contiguous "donut" district for south campus population and irregular-shape district north, west, and south of the UC Berkeley campus.		-1.00%	1.01%	2%	No	Yes. Inconsistent with COI requests to move Ada street area (COI #3, 4, and 6) from District 5 to District 1. Inconsistent with COI requests to maintain existing District 1 (COI # 49 and 52), maintain District 2 (COI # 27-29, 31, 32, 41, 42 and 53), District 3 (COI #21 and 51). Inconsistent with COI requests to move lower Spruce Street area to District 6 from District 4 (COI #54 and 55)	No	No	No	No	No	No. Splits many neighborhoods (Berkeley Hills, Live Oak, Westbrae, North Berkeley, Northside, Gourmet Ghetto, Downtown, West Berkeley, South Berkeley, Poets Corner, LeConte, and Elmwood).		
9	09_2021-10-31 Alfred Twu Map 2	All	This is a variant of the Donut plan, which creates more compact borders. The Southside district includes the Big Southside dorms, including the Units and Clark Kerr, as well as more of the area around Telegraph Ave.	Two student districts. Creates non-contiguous "donut" district for south campus population and irregular-shape district north, west, and south of the UC Berkeley campus.		-1.00%	0.53%	2%	Yes	Yes. Inconsistent with COI requests to move Ada street area (COI #3, 4, and 6) from District 5 to District 1. Inconsistent with COI requests to maintain existing District 1 (COI # 49 and 52), maintain District 2 (COI # 27-29, 31, 32, 41, 42 and 53), District 3 (COI #21 and 51). Inconsistent with COI requests to move lower Spruce Street area to District 6 from District 4 (COI #54 and 55)	No	No	No	No	No. Splits many neighborhoods (Live Oak, Westbrae, West Berkeley, South Berkeley, Downtown, and Berkeley Hills).			
10	10_2021-11-01 Stephen Young	All (least impact to 1/2; most impact to 5/6)	This proposal unites all of hilly North Berkeley in district 5, keeps 1 and 2 more or less the same, with the principal division being University Avenue, and 7 more compact while keeping its community of interest. District 5 is partially reconfigured to capture the Northside renting community of interest. There are consequent modifications to 3 and 4 for population equality. Otherwise, the plan complies with contiguity and precinct assignment requirements.	Realigns principle boundary between districts 5/6 from north/south to east/west. Minor changes elsewhere, relative to existing boundaries.		-0.23%	0.20%	0%	Yes	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6). Also inconsistent with COI #29 (10/17) which describes a COI extending from sacramento to san pablo as far south as san pablo park	Yes, partially.	Yes, reasonably.	Yes	No, not in any obvious way.	no			
11	11_2021-11-02 Anonymous B-5	All	2 Student Districts	Creates two student districts (4 and 7). Expands District 4 to eastern city limits and splits downtown among two reconfigured districts (4 and 5). Adds Thousand Oaks neighborhood to reconfigured District 6. Adds Northbrae to reconfigure District 1. Splits North Berkeley, Berkeley Hills, Central Berkeley, South Berkeley, LeConte, Elmwood, and Panoramic Hill neighborhoods.		-15.37%	16.57%	32%	Yes	Yes. Contradicts COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6). Contradicts COI #54 & 55 which requests that District 6 boundaries be extended south to Hearst and west to Oxford and east to Euclid to remove this area from District 4 and recreate the boundary configuration to what it was prior to 2010 Census.	No. Utilizes low volume roadways to create new boundaries for Districts 3, 5, and 8	No. Proposes irregular boundaries for District 5 (southeast), District 4 (north and south), and District 8 (north)	No. Proposed Districts 4 and 5 boundaries do not reflect existing topography.	No, not in any obvious way.	No. Splits many neighborhoods.			
12	12_2021-11-04 Phil Allen	All	All 8 districts are renumbered and have east-west orientation. See submitted PDF for full narrative.	Proposed district configuration reflects generally much longer northern and southern boundaries and shorter western and eastern boundaries relative to current boundaries.		-9.03%	5.96%	15%	Yes	Yes. Proposed map is not consistent with numerous COI requests to maintain existing district boundaries. Not consistent with COI requests to maintain District 1 boundaries (COI #49 and 52), maintain District 2 boundaries (COI #27-29,31, 32, 41, 42 and 53), maintain District 3 boundaries (COI #43, 44, 48, and 51). Not consistent with COI #56 to include Willard neighborhood in one district.	Yes, generally. Except for southern boundary of proposed District 1 (located in current Districts 5 & 6).	No. Many irregular boundaries with jogs.	No. Topography not used as a basis to draw proposed boundaries.	No. Neighborhood boundaries and amenities not used as basis to draw proposed district boundaries.	No. Splits many neighborhoods.			
13	13_2021-11-06 Bruce Stangeland	All	Here's my attempt to redraw our 8 districts. My maximum population = 16000 and my minimum is 15,200. My intent was to minimize the length of the boundary of each district, so as to not gerrymander.	Does not appear to focus on COI type criteria; rather boundaries are chosen for maximal compactness, utilizing major arteries when possible.		-3.97%	5.73%	10%	yes	Yes. Inconsistent with #55 (11/25) which requests the area bounded by cedar/hearst/oxford/euclid be maintained within a district	Yes, generally.	yes	yes	No, not in any obvious way.	no			
14	14_2021-11-06 Thomas Lord	All (minor changes)	This map tries not to deviate radically from existing districts while, at the same time, trying to increase the diversity of interests each council member must represent. For example, this map gives both the representatives from District 1 and District 4 a stake in Ohlone Park. This map gives District 6 a larger stake in the interests of students. I have not adhered strictly to the traditional use of Sacramento St. as a district boundary for similar reasons. Perfect racial balance equality is geographically impossible in any set of compact districts at this time but I have tried to improve the balance. I am not sure I'm satisfied with the boundaries between district 1 and 2 which I changed only minimally - but I left them as is for lack of deep familiarity with the area. The population size balances are all very close to ideal.	Per the narrative, this map seeks to maximize within-district diversity of constituents for each district. This is contrary to various requests to maintain COIs.		-0.50%	0.26%	1%	yes	Yes. The map preserves the use of Ada street as a boundary between 1 & 5	Yes, generally.	Yes, relatively few deviations from current map	Yes	No, not in any obvious way.	no			
15	15_2021-11-06 Lissa Miner	All (minor changes to 1/2)	Equitable representation by population. Districts and council members will need to collaborate as each district has multiple types of housing and neighborhoods and geography, except perhaps for District 7 that has dense student population.	reorients boundary between 4/3 from east/west to north south, creating less contiguous districts		-1.79%	2.18%	4%	Yes, but reduced relative to current map.	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6)	Yes, generally.	Yes, reduced relative to current map	yes	No, not in any obvious way.	no			

Map Identification																	Mapping Request(s)	DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Cx as directives to staff in creation of maps)	Public Communications Related to Submitted Map <i>Staff will populate using COI, public comment, and public communication submissions.</i> (Ex: at meetings, emails, etc.)	Population Lowest Deviation	Population Highest Deviation	Population Total Deviation	Contiguity	Does it contradict Communities of Interest reported or understood by Commission? Cross-reference to COI number.	Utilizes Easily Understood Boundaries (Ex: Major Traffic Arterials and Geographic Boundaries Consistent with Communities of Interest)	District Compactness	Topography Considered (Ex: Flatlands, Foothills, Hills, Ft above sea level. If fairly similar to existing map, we assume that to be a "Yes")	Geography Considered (Ex: freestations, libraries, schools, parks, lakes)	Integrity & Cohesiveness	Summary of Major Boundary Change(s) Requested	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision	
16	16_2021-11-11 Berkeley Progressive Alliance	All	The map ensures the integrity of the following communities of interest: Districts 2 and 3 include South Berkeleys historically African American neighborhoods, and include the following communities: San Pablo Park, West Berkeley, the Adeline Corridor, Lorin, LeConte and Bateman. District 1 encompasses Northwest Berkeleys Gilman, 4th Street, and North Berkeley communities. District 4 has of Central Berkeleys McGee Spaulding, North Shattuck and Downtown communities. District 7 restores Berkeleys traditional student district including the predominantly student parts of the Northside. District 8 has Southeast Berkeleys Panoramic Hill, Elmwood and Claremont neighborhoods. District 5 includes Central North Berkeleys Westbrae, Northbrae, Live Oak, Thousand Oaks, and Solano District communities. District 6 has Northeast Berkeley's South Hampton, Cragmont, Northside, Terrace View and Hills communities.	Neighborhood integrity		-2.39%	2.36%	5%	Yes	Yes. Splits Poets Corner	No	Yes							
17	17_2021-11-12 BNC (Janis Ching)	All	This map is being submitted by Berkeley Neighborhoods Council, using input from many neighborhood groups across the city. Considerations: 1. Keep neighborhoods together as much as possible. 2. Combine the Northside and Southside student housing units to create a more unified student district. 3. Keep the blocks surrounding the North Berkeley BART station in one district as they share interests in upcoming development. 4. Keep the Shattuck business district together from Downtown to at least Cedar St. 5. Dwight Way serves as the natural border for District 3, and should continue to do so, as the neighbors to the south of Dwight continue to fight for equity in terms of resources. 6. The LeConte Neighborhood has been split for decades. We put them back together in District 3. 7. The McGee-Spaulding Tract has historical significance and should be kept together. Its borders are Dwight Way to University, Sacramento to MLK. 8. Spruce Santa Barbara are natural borders for District 5. Neighborhoods there are less cohesive. 9. Include Panoramic Hill in District 8 to keep the high fire zones together.	See description		-3.61%	4.38%	8%	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes, partially.						
18	18_2021-11-12 Sheryl Drinkwater	1,2,3,4,5,6	I am a district 2 resident. just got into the numbers. District 3, I learned is pretty dense. My goal was to get deviation in West Berkeley to 1%.	Marginal changes to current map		-4.78%	6.96%	12%	No, appears to have some type of island in DS	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6)	Yes, generally.	Yes	yes	No, not in any obvious way.					
19	19_2021-11-14 Alfred Twu Map 3		Inspired by Berkeley's creek watersheds, with some modifying to create reasonable compact and equal population districts. The parts of Berkeley expected to grow more in the next 10 years, such as the Upper Strawberry district which includes downtown, are drawn with less population, while those that are expected to grow slower, such as Codornices-Blackberry, are drawn with more.	Two student districts.		-3.91%	4.33%	8%	Yes	Yes. Proposed map is not consistent with numerous COI requests to maintain existing district boundaries. Not consistent with COI requests to maintain District 1 boundaries (COI #49 and 52), maintain District 2 boundaries (COI #27-29,31, 32, 41, 42 and 53), maintain District 3 boundaries (COI #43, 44, 48, and 51). Inconsistent with COI requests to move lower Spruce Street area to District 6 from District 4 (COI #54 and 55)	No. Did not use major arterials to form boundaries for proposed Districts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.	No	No	Yes	No. Splits many neighborhoods (Live Oak, North Berkeley, West Berkeley, Downtown, South Berkeley, and LeConte).				
20	20_2021-11-14 Ben Gould	All	I tried to balance three goals. In order: 1. Keep communities together. Reunite neighborhoods divided by existing lines 2. Keep business districts corridors, especially local area plans, together 3. Use major roads as borders. Within a district, minimize deviations from a given border. Population difference, at 8.9%, meets the 10% requirement, but was otherwise considered less important than the goals above. Anticipated growth 10k people will dramatically outweigh initial differences. In this map, District 4 groups together the student- and renter-heavy neighborhoods in Downtown, north Shattuck, Northside, and Foothill Panoramic Hill. District 6 unifies the fire-prone hills, while 5 encompasses commercial areas on North Shattuck, Hopkins, and Solano. District 1 unites Ohlone Greenway and Central Berkeley neighborhoods, while keeping NBB and northwest industrial areas. District 2 gets consistent borders. 3 retains its general shape. 7 focuses more tightly on Southside, while 8 takes remaining students south of Dwight. Strictly necessary population balancing accounts for all boundary deviations.	changes 5/6 boundary from North/South to diagonal. "Rotates" districts 6/5/1/4 in a counterclockwise fashion. Appears to create 2 student districts, although this is not described in the narrative. District 1 is unnecessarily noncompact.		-2.73%	6.17%	9%	yes	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6)	Yes, generally.	No, D1 is problematic	yes	No, not in any obvious way.					
21	21_2021-11-15 Anonymous C	All	None	Substantial changes to 1/5/6/4/7/8. Creates a single hill district. Population ranges out of bounds		-16.09%	14.49%	31%	yes	Yes. Inconsistent with COI #17 (9/14) which requests the entire north shattuck corridor be kept within a single district (requested as district 6)	Yes, generally.	Yes, reduced relative to current map	yes	No, not in any obvious way.					
22	22_2021-11-15 RCJR	All	The most important change proposed by our map is the creation of two student supermajority districts, labeled Downtown and East. Students are about 13rd of our city's population, most concentrated in the Downtown, Northside, and Southside neighborhoods. The Downtown district combines the Downtown and Northside neighborhoods, which share similar characteristics, including dense population, high percentage of renters, and large multifamily housing. The East district unifies the densely populated student neighborhoods in Southside by capturing the on-campus and off-campus student housing in this area, including the dorms, apartment complexes, shared houses, co-ops, and greek houses. The other districts are drawn with a focus on keeping neighborhoods together and following major streets where possible. The map unifies the North Berkeley Hills, creates a new united West Berkeley district including Poets Corner and the San Pablo Park Area, and a compact Central Berkeley District. The map also prioritizes African American representation in West and South Berkeley, with both district s Black CVAP 20%.	Two student districts		-2.39%	2.66%	5%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Captured most COI Comments, but split Bateman COI (email 11/30)	No.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.				
23	23_2021-11-15 West Berkeley Business District	All	None	Single West Berkeley district, two student districts.		-2.55%	3.94%	6%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Captured most well, but did not reflect COI Concerns from LeConte (11/30 email) nor Poets Corner (COIs 29-32).	No. Avoided major roads (Sacramento, University, Telegraph).	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.				
24	24_2021-11-15 Kelly Hammargren Map 1	All	Small adjustments to existing district map	Small changes to district boundaries.		-0.72%	0.52%	1%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Captured many COI concerns, but did not reflect Halcyon nor LeConte COI (email 11/30).	Yes, generally. But some major roads avoided (University, Sacramento).	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.				
25	25_2021-11-15 ASUC (Riya Master)	All	Variation 2 on existing district map	Small changes to district boundaries.		-0.44%	0.29%	1%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Ada St (COI 3, 7), Poets Corner (COI 28-32), Milvia (COI 8) are not taken into account.	No. Avoided University.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.				
26	26_2021-11-15 ASUC (Riya Master)	All	The most important change proposed by our map is the creation of two student supermajority districts, labeled Downtown and East. Students are about 13rd of our city's population, most concentrated in the Downtown, Northside, and Southside neighborhoods. The Downtown district combines the Downtown and Northside neighborhoods, which share similar characteristics, including dense population, high percentage of renters, and large multifamily housing. The East district unifies the densely populated student neighborhoods in Southside by capturing the on-campus and off-campus student housing in this area, including the dorms, apartment complexes, shared houses, co-ops, and greek houses. The other districts are drawn with a focus on keeping neighborhoods together and following major streets where possible. The map unifies the North Berkeley Hills, creates a new united West Berkeley district including Poets Corner and the San Pablo Park Area, and a compact Central Berkeley District. The map also prioritizes African American representation in West and South Berkeley, with both district s Black CVAP 20%.	Single West Berkeley district, two student districts.		-0.66%	0.68%	1%	Yes, no change from current.	No, this map captures most COI comments.	No, uses some pretty confusing boundaries.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, tried to combine more hilly areas together (i.e. Thousand Oaks)	Yes, see verbatim narrative.	Yes, no change from current map.				

Map Identification															Mapping Request(s)	DRAFT Map Inclusion	Final Map Inclusion	
Map #	Map Name (Use File Name from Dropbox)	District(s) Affected	Narrative Summary (Verbatim)	Map Highlights & Themes (Commission Summary - Will be used by Cx as directives to staff in creation of maps)	Public Communications Related to Submitted Map <i>Staff will populate using COI, public comment, and public communication submissions.</i> (Ex: at meetings, emails, etc.)	Population Lowest Deviation	Population Highest Deviation	Population Total Deviation	Contiguity	Does it contradict Communities of Interest expressed in Affected Districts reported or understood by Commission? Cross-reference to COI number.	Utilizes Easily Understood Boundaries (Ex: Major Traffic Arterials and Geographic Boundaries Consistent with Communities of Interest)	District Compactness	Topography Considered (Ex: Flatlands, Foothills, Hills, Ft above sea level. If fairly similar to existing map, we assume that to be a "Yes")	Geography Considered (Ex: freestations, libraries, schools, parks, lakes)	Integrity & Cohesiveness	Summary of Major Boundary Change(s) Requested	IRC Action(s) Taken	IRC Decision
27	27_2021-11-15 Gregory Magofna	All	This map uses current districts as a base to try to straighten out district borders while keeping groups with similar homes, incomes, and travel modes together. - D1: grows into Northbrae and Live Oak in D5 where smaller single family homes mix w smaller apts and gives Central Berkeley to D4. - D2 gains the rest of Poets Corner from D4 and cedes the edge of the Lorin back to D3, more cohesively uniting SW Berkeley. - D3 reincorporates part of the Lorin and gains a bit of S Berkeley keeping the S Berkeley area. - D4 is a more cohesive Central Berkeley, w Ohlone Park area and a little of N Berkeley in the flats while still keeping Downtown. - D5 gains the Gourmet Ghetto and parts of South Hampton and Cragmont uniting an area with larger homes and higher incomes. - D6 shifts to incorporate more high fire zone areas of Berkeley with winding roads and parking and emergency vehicle access concerns. - D7 is a more cohesive Southside w large concentrations of students and student housing. - D8 gains Willard and more of the LeConte neighborhood keeping a nice mix of historical single and multifamily homes.	Corrects Councilperson prerogatives. Aligns to COIs very well. Consider as a map for public input.		-2.64%	2.56%	5%	Yes, no change from current.	No, this map does a great job with COIs.	Yes.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, combined hilly areas in a more logical manner.	Yes, see verbatim narrative.	Yes, no change from current map.			
28	28_2021-11-15 Berkeley Citizens Action (BCA)	All	Berkeley Citizens Action (BCA) is a non-partisan political club, which has served Berkeley since 1974, fighting for progressive policies, especially with regard to affordable housing, social justice and equality. The Steering Committee of Berkeley Citizens Action is submitting the enclosed map to the redistricting committee for your consideration, feeling that it meets the specified contiguity, compactness, population, and geographic requirements, and protects the integrity of the following communities of interest: • Districts 2 and 3, which are South Berkeley's historically African American neighborhoods, include the following communities: San Pablo Park, West Berkeley, the Adeline Corridor, Lorin, LeConte and Bateman; In particular, the Lorin and LeConte neighborhoods, which have active community engagement, are no longer split between different council districts • District 1 encompasses Northwest Berkeley's Gilman, 4th Street, and North Berkeley communities; • District 4 is comprised of Central Berkeley's McGee Spaulding, North Shattuck and Downtown communities; • District 7 restores Berkeley's traditional student district including the predominantly student parts of Northside and Southside; • District 8 encompasses Southeast Berkeley's Panoramic Hill, Elmwood and Claremont neighborhoods; • District 5 includes Central North Berkeley's Westbrae, Northbrae, Live Oak, Thousand Oaks, and the Solano District communities. • District 6 includes Northeast Berkeley's South Hampton, Cragmont, Northside, Terrace View and Hills communities	Incorporates Northside of University of California in District 7 and adds areas east of Sacramento St to District 2.		-2.39%	2.66%	5%	Yes, no change from current.	Yes. Most other COI concerns are addressed, but it splits Poets Corner by moving D1/D2 boundary south of University (COIs 29-32).	No. Avoided University or Sacramento.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, hilly areas north of University of California included in D7	Yes, see verbatim narrative.	Yes, no change from current map.			
29	29_2021-11-15 Ailsen & Holland	1,2,3,4,7	None	Shifts District 4 westward, excludes portions of Shattuck		N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes, no change from current.	Yes, it does not adjust districts other than 4, so COI concerns for other districts are not addressed.	No. Did not use major arterials.	Yes, no change from current map.	Yes, no change from current map.	No, district 4 no longer abuts University of California.	Yes, District 4 remains cohesive.			

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
1	7/19/2021	7	Raina Zhao on behalf of ASUC	UC Berkeley student body	District 7, south of UC Berkeley campus. Most students live within 1 mile of campus.	2490 Channing Way, 94704		STUDENT REPRESENTATION		YES	STUDENTS SHOULD BE GROUPED TOGETHER			
2	7/20/2021	2	Joanna Louie	Infrastructure; crime; pollution	South west Berkeley	2995 San Pablo Ave, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD EQUITY; CRIME		NO				
3	7/20/2021	5	B. Yoder	Safety concerns	Ada Street between Ordway and Acton. Ada between Acton and Sacramento, folks on Acton and on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, a few folks on Hopkins just below and just above Orway.	1400 Ada St, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS; SAFETY		YES	MAINTAIN COI			
4	7/20/2021	5	Margot Dashiell	Close proximity; neighborhood area	Ada street	1400 Ada St, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS		YES	MAINTAIN COI			
5	7/21/2021	5	Joe Berry	Demographics; Development	Lower hills, near Marin/Arlington Circle.	2100 Marin Ave, 94707		AFFORDABLE HOUSING; HOUSING EQUITY		NO				
6	7/21/2021	5	John	Gardening, art, music, food, being outdoors	Ada Street between Ordway and Acton.	1400 Ada St, 94702		NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS		YES	MAINTAIN NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY			
7		1	Prateek Haldar	High quality schools, development at North Berkeley BART, affordable housing, creation of bike lanes, improving vibrancy of Hopkins/Gilman shopping/restaurants	Bound by Hopkins Street on the north, Sacramento on the west (or San Pablo) MLK on the east, and Cedar on the south.	1359 Rose St, 94702		HOUSING EQUITY; HOUSING DEVELOPMENT; NO BERK BART		NO				
8	7/28/2021	5	1546 Milvia	Gerrymandered out of District 4. Neighborhood/block split in 2	Milvia at District 4/5 - split the 2 sides of the block and put in District 5	1450 Milvia St, 94709		COUNCILMEMBER RESIDENCY; NEIGHBORHOOD SPLIT		YES	BOUNDARIES SHOULDN'T BE DRAWN BASED ON COUNCILMEMBER RESIDENCY			
9	7/28/2021	None		Helping each other- sharing tools, offering rides, celebrating wins, informing each other about noisy construction, or house repairs	Tilden Park to the east and south, grizzly peak to the west and Cragmont to the north	50 Whitaker Ave, 94708	(Unclear geographic location. Selected Grizzly Peak Park address.)	NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION		NO				
10	7/30/2021	8	Vincent Casalaina	Crime reduction, maintaining characteristic housing (single-family or single family + ADU), transit	Willard neighborhood. Telegraph/Parker & College/Ashby.	2730 Hillegass Ave, 94705		IMPROVED RESOURCE/SERVICE EQUITY; TRANSPORTATION; CRIME; SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING		YES				
11	8/16/2021	5	No name (kktompkins@gmail.com)	Beautification, Solano Ave corridor development, property crime	Far north Berkeley adjacent to Solano Ave to Albany border in the west.	1559 Solano Ave, 94707		NEIGHBORHOOD DESCRIPTION; CRIME		NO				
12	8/20/2021	2	No name	Schools, garbage; effects from nearby homeless population	Fourth & Fifth, from Dwight to Addison	800 Bancroft Way, 94710		NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS		NO				
13	8/26/2021	None	No name	clean air, affordable low density housing, transportation networks that dont smash thru our neighborhoods, slow streets, public safety, litter and street trash, childcare, parks, trees, community green space	north west berkeley - west of San Pablo to University	1529 Sixth St, 94710		NEIGHBORHOOD EQUITY/SERVICES; INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITY/ DEVELOPMENT/POLLUTION		NO				
14	8/26/2021	1	nan@essentialbusinessbehaviors.com	Families, safety, community	North Berkeley BART, Adult school on Virginia, Rose St. on other side of Cedar-Rose Park, San Pablo Avenue, Cedar Street	1201 Virginia St, 94702		HOMELESSNESS; NORT BERK BART; HOUSING DENSITY		NO				
15	9/12/2021	3	No name	More racially mixed than North or Central Berkeley	Corner of Parker and McGee	1700 Parker St, 94703		RACIAL DIVERSITY; PROPERTY VALUES		NO				
16	9/12/2021	None	No name	International Coastal Clean-up month; Disaster Relief Committees; Food/beverage committee; Clean-up committee	B/W West Berkeley and North	1720 Eighth St, 94710	Changed it to James Kenney (Unclear geographic location. Picked an intersection in Northwest Berkeley neighborhood for pin.)	AFFORDABILITY; INCLUSION; WATERFRONT CLEAN-UP		NO				

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
17	9/14/2021	6	No name	Context (scale & mix), distant views, especially of the bay and the coastal hills; mainly a residential area with single-family homes, many with secondary units, typically with backyards and gardens; could see a mix of smaller vehicles and better transit, but it needs to be phased in, grandfathering older residents who depend on cars. Streets could be rethought. Filling every backyard with an ADU or building out single-family sites would be a mistake, but a thoughtful mix would be fine. Same comment about the Shattuck corridor - do't overload it. Some density but not a view-blocking wall.	Oxford Street and east. Odd situation where three districts overlap and near neighbors are represented by Hahn, Harrison, and Wengraf, whose districts differ substantially. District 6 should take in the north Shattuck corridor. We are closer to Thousand Oaks (Hahn) than the west side of Shattuck (Harrison) in interests, I sense.	1600 Oxford St, 94709		HOME OWNERSHIP; VIEW PRESERVATION; DISTRICT BOUNDARIES (5&6); MAINTAIN SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING		YES	MAINTAIN COI			
18	9/23/2021	2	Veronica	Latinos with long history of home ownership and multiple generation households	5th street and San Pablo, between University and Dwight	920 Allston Way, 94710		SERVICE ALLOCATION; RACIAL EQUITY; HISTORICAL LATINO NEIGHBORHOOD; RESOURCE EQUITY; MULTIGENERATIONAL LIVING		NO				
19	9/27/2021	2	Sheryl	public safety, education, beautification	San Pablo Park neighborhood, West Berkeley, Left Bank are all names used for D2	2501 San Pablo Ave, 94702		HOMELESSNESS; INDUSTRIAL POLLUTION; DIVERSITY; PUBLIC SAFETY		NO				
20	9/28/2021	2	Ms. Ty	Crime reduction, clean streets (eliminate illegal dumping), affordable housing	South Berkeley	3100 Adeline St, 94703	(Unclear geographic location. Selected park near Sacramento and Fairview.)	FORGOTTEN NEIGHBORHOOD; ILLEGAL DUMPING; CRIME; AFFORDABLE HOUSING		NO				
21	9/28/2021	3	Ayanna Davis	Berkeley Black Community, State of Black Berkeley	My community of interest is South West Berkeley beginning at Cedar and 4th Street and ending at 62nd and Adeline. West Berkeley, South Berkeley, Loren District	2546 Tenth St, 94710	(Large geographic location. Selected an address central to the described area.)	HOUSING/RESOURCE EQUITY; POVERTY; FOOD INSECURITY; HEALTH/ECONOMIC EQUITY; HISTORICAL BLACK NEIGHBORHOOD		YES	No, MAINTAIN DISTRICT 3			UNDERFUNDED?
22	9/29/2021	1	James	Public safety (homelessness/mentally ill people)	Gourmet Ghetto	1549 Shattuck Ave, 94709	(Unclear geographic area. Selected address in North Shattuck neighborhood.)	PUBLIC SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS; MENTAL ILLNESS		NO				
23	9/29/2021	3	No name	diversity in ppl and architecture. nice flat and walkable, close to SF, Oakland, easy access;	South Berkeley	3075 Adeline St, 94703	(Unclear geographic area. Selected address near streets named in COI form.)	HOMELESSNESS; CRIME; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; RESOURCE EQUITY; DIVERSITY		NO				
24	10/2/2021	4	No name	Safe neighborhood (walkable/bike friendly); traffic concerns, homelessness/littering, UC Berkeley take over of town.	Central Berkeley between Sacramento and downtown.	2246 McGee Ave, 94703		PUBLIC SAFETY; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS; RELATIONSHIP WITH UCB		NO				
25	10/8/2021	5	north Shattuck	Environment, trees, city upkeep, art, ease of shopping, parking, good food, lovely parks, socializing, access to BART.	Marin Circle to University Avenue, from Grizzly Peak to Sacramento streets. Name provided: North Shattuck	1444 Shattuck Pl, 94709	Changed the pin to the Safeway in North Berkeley	TRANSPORTATION; FIRE SAFETY/EVACUATION; ROADWAY CONDITIONS; HOMELESSNESS		YES				DISTRICT 5
26	10/16/2021	8	Elizabeth		Elmwood District	2703 Stuart Street, 94705		None		See map	See map			MAP
27	10/16/2021	2	Ben Gardella	Strawberry Creek Park	Alston, Sacramento, Sacramento and Dwight Street Name provided: Poet's Corner	1314 Bancroft Way, 94702		MAINTAIN COI; NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY; PARK		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
28	10/16/2021	2	Heather Clauge	Strawberry Creek Park	University to Dwight, Sacramento to San Pablo Name provided: Poet's Corner	1298 Bancroft Way, 94702		PARK/RECREATION; HOMELESSNESS; COI NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
29	10/17/2021	2	Douglas Smith	Families raising young children, retirees and elders aging in place, multigenerational housing--all of whom patronize the businesses along the San Pablo and University corridors and make use of primary parks like San Pablo Park, Strawberry Creek Park & Aquatic Park. Neighbors band together to monitor safety & crime, pedestrian/bike safety, working closely with our new Councilmember Taplin. There is a cohesive atmosphere which underscores a sense of this being a true community of individuals, looking out for each other.	South to San Pablo Park, the 9th Street Bike Boulevard to the west, north to University Avenue, and east to Sacramento Street. University Avenue does seem to be a true dividing line and an appropriate boundary between D2 and D1; somehow San Pablo does not divide the Community. Name provided: Poet's Corner	1312 Bancroft Way, 94702		MULTIGENERATIONAL HOUSING; PARKS & RECREATION; SAFETY; CRIME		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
30	10/17/2021	1	No name	Preserving residential character of neighborhood for livability. Safety of residents (crime prevention and optimal traffic/pedestrian flow). Diverse demographics.	San Pablo to the west, University Avenue to the South; Shattuck to the East; and Vine to the north. Name provided: Northbrae	1619 Edith St, 94703		TRAFFIC/TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; POPULATION DENSITY; NEIGHBORHOOD LIVABILITY; ZONING; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION		YES	PRESERVE RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER			
31	10/18/2021	2	No name	commitment to Family, school, community events, shared political affiliations, diverse cultures, mixed low and middle income housing and proximity to shopping. We enjoy our Great walking and biking score!	From the Bay to Sacramento Street; from University to Bancroft. Connected to neighbors, particularly on Byron Street and Cowper. Name provided: Poet's Corner	2228 San Pablo Ave, 94702		TRAFFIC CONTROL; STREET PAVING; DENSITY; ECONOMIC DEV; FERRY; MIXED HOUSING		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
32	10/18/2021	2	Ariel Smith-Iyer	Appreciation for diversity; common interest in contining to be a place for all in the neighborhood. Strawbery Creek Park is an important community meeting place; area surrounding the park, Corp Yard, and bowling green vacant lot should remain together to collectively decide the future of the space.	San Pablo to Sacramento; University Avenue to Dwight Way. Name provided: Poet's Corner	1302 Bancroft Way, 94702		TRASH COLLECTION; PARKS & RECREATION; OVER POPULATION; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; DIVERSITY		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
33	10/18/2021	2	No name	Traffic and speeding	West Berk Flat Lands between Sacramento & San Pablo.	2500 Bonar St, 94702	Incomplete boundaries; selected address at intersection of Dwight Way & Bonar Street.	TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; SERVICE ALLOCATION; TRAFFIC SAFETY		NO				

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes			
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes	
34	10/26/2021		No name	Communities of interest that previous cycles of redistricting have dismissed: 1. Prospect Street is a community of interest currently split between District 7 and District 8. The east side of Prospect is in District 8, and the west side is in District 7. Both sides of the street should be in the same district. Both sides of Prospect Street have more in common with Southside than with Panoramic Hill or Elmwood-Claremont. 7. Clark Kerr Campus is in District 8. The students who live there have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont. 8. Redwood Gardens, a senior housing facility located on the Clark Kerr Campus, has more in common with Elmwood-Claremont neighbors than with students and should be considered a community of interest separate from students' community of interest. 9. Faculty housing on Clark Kerr Campus is a community of interest that has more in common with Elmwood-Claremont than with students. 10. The blocks within Dwight-Waring-Derby-Telegraph have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont. 11. I House and the student co-ops behind it are in District 8. The residents of these dorms have more in common with Southside than with Elmwood-Claremont. 12. The blocks within Cedar-Oxford-Hearst-Arch are part of Northside and not split between District 5 and District 6. Northside should extend to Walnut, maybe even Shattuck. 13. The blocks within Sacramento-Ashby-California-border are in District 2. They should be in District 3. 14. The blocks within University-Acton-Allston-Sacramento are part of Poet's Corner, which is in District 2. 15. Part of Cragmont is in District 6, and part is in District 5. 16. The blocks within		2180 Milvia Street, 94704	Not specific to one address or area; used Civic Center address as a general location,	None		See map	See map				MAP
35	11/3/2021	1	Phil Allen	By the looks of things in my part of D-1, this is a townish and family (dwellings) area of the city. I see family activity and the retail and recreational which sustain them. There is no overt presence of UC students; they seem to be elsewhere. Retired friends gather here, internet junkies there. San Pablo Avenue provides a traditionally gritty 'home' to a constant presence of lost and forgotten citizen/ghosts and their movable social spots.	My 'felt' boundaries, running from close-by San Pablo/Delaware as center, are: Addison (south); 9th St. (west); Gilman (north), indeterminate (east). Names provided: Cutthroat Corner or Almost Oceanview	1740 San Pablo Avenue, 94702	Incomplete boundaries; selected intersection of San Pablo/Delaware.	RETURN TO PAST; HOMELESSNESS; MENTAL ILLNESS; ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; FORGOTTEN CITIZENS		NO					

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
36	11/6/2021	5	Barbara Ann Yoder	I am part of a vibrant neighborhood group established probably in the 1980s, when former fire chief Bill Brock and his wife initiated annual gatherings during National Night Out. For the last 13 years since I moved to Ada Street, our neighborhood group has worked together sharing safety concerns and looking out for each other. We currently have 65 households in our group. We are in touch via email. We meet annually. We know each other by name. We have a neighborhood earthquake cache and a neighbor on Ordway offers trainings. All of Ada Street below Sacramento should be in District 1, where we used to be. When the lines were redrawn, they went right down the middle of our street. As a neighborhood we are impacted by development plans at N. Berkeley BART, Ruth Acty School traffic and events, Cedar-Rose Park events, traffic on Hopkins and the Ohlone Greenway—all in District 1. We should be rejoined with District 1.	Our neighborhood group currently includes 65 homes along Ada Street from Ordway to Acton and about halfway up the next block toward Sacramento. It includes most homes on Ordway from Hopkins to Rose, several homes on Rose and on Hopkins that back to Ada between Ordway and Acton, and most homes on Acton from Hopkins to Ada. Everyone in these blocks are welcome in our group. When you redraw the lines, if a street needs to be split down the middle, it shouldn't be a quiet short street like Ada; it should be a busy through street with double yellow lines, like Hopkins from Ordway to Acton. Also, if Ordway between Hopkins and Ada is split down the middle, it too should be reincorporated into District 1.	1400 Ada St, 94702	Appended to COI Form #3 (submitter's first COI form)	NEIGHBORHOOD CONTINUITY; TRAFFIC SAFETY; BART; RESIDENTIAL CHARACTER		YES	REJOIN ADA ST TO DISTRICT 1			
37	11/8/2021	3	Carl McPherson	Students & Renters	There is considerable overlap between renters and students, and we are concentrated in the areas around Berkeley main campus and the two BART stations. As I look at the maps already submitted, I think that Alfred Twu's "Compact Donut" map does a good job of collecting the main student populations into 2 districts on the southside and the areas just west and north of the main campus. It's unfortunate that we don't have 9 districts to work with, as I think—for population balancing purposes—Alfred Twu's map is unable to extend far enough North-South along the Shattuck corridor or far enough south on the Telegraph corridor to create 3 renter/student districts (Southside, Northside and "Westside"). Stephen Young's excellent map (which has several nice innovations, including taking the hillier parts of current Districts 5 and 6 and combining them into a single district) creates a district for the	1947 Center Street, 94704	(Unclear geographic boundaries; selected 1947 Center Street as central location).	ADD ANOTHER STUDENT DISTRICT; UP-ZONING (HOUSING DIVERSITY); PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION		YES	STUDENT REPRESENTATION			

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
38	11/8/2021	4	David Ushijima	The community in this neighborhood is tied together not only by our geographical proximity and walkability of the neighborhood but our shared interest in many activities that are within walking distance in the nearby Downtown and Theatre districts. Also because of our close proximity to the University, we also share the common interests of cultural and intellectual events held on the UC Berkeley campus.	Dwight Way (south), University Avenue (north); MLK (east), Sacramento (west). Name provided: Spaulding-McGee tract. Please do not break up this community by drawing lines which would bisect the natural geographic boundaries of this community.	1700 Bancroft Way, 94703		CULTURAL ACCESS; RELATIONSHIP WITH UCB		YES	NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY DIST 4			
39	11/12/2021	4	Stephanie Allan	As a resident of the Flatlands since 1969, I have a strong interest in how District 4 is drawn or redrawn. When I first moved here, the neighborhood bounded by Shattuck/University/Sacramento/Dwight Way was primarily a working class area, predominantly white, but with some black families on Jefferson and Spaulding. It was a fairly tight community, located between the student/University area to the east, the historic black community to the west. There were lots of families here and a lot of kids. My son grew up & went to Washington school in this area. I worked hard to build a tot lot on Roosevelt and get a barrier at Channing & Roosevelt as well as a stop sign on McGee. (Pedestrian safety is still a major worry here, though) The housing used to be affordable. No longer, of course, like the rest of Berkeley. My neighborhood on Channing Way has been affected by the homeless crisis. Because we have a free box on Channing, between Roosevelt & McGee, we get a lot of homeless traffic from Downtown. Also, until we, reluctantly, agreed to have parking restrictions, the streets were jammed with UC students' parking. We supported making Channing Way a bike street although the condition of the road makes biking hazardous. The park area on the Ohlone strip was a great addition to the area. Aside from the park at Washington (where I participated in the redesign and addition of a regulation size basketball court when I was chair of the remodel committee) and the Tot Lot on Roosevelt, there isn't a lot of open space in our neighborhood. Civic Center Park was for so many years not a hospitable space. There is still a great deal of drug dealing going on there, probably migrating down from Shattuck. While much has changed, a lot hasn't. There's still a strong	University/Shattuck/Dwight Way/Sacramento Name provided: The Flatlands	1712 Channing Way, 94703		TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; PARKS & RECREATION; CRIME		YES	NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY DIST 4			
40	11/13/2021	2	No name	Strawberry Creek Park brings our neighbors together - park should be in one district with all of its surrounds.	Strawberry Creek	1260 Allston Way, 94702	Strawberry Creek address	STRAWBERRY PARK IN ONE DISTRICT; NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY		YES	NEIGHBORHOOD CONTIGUITY DIST 2			
41	11/14/2021	2	No name	Culture, history, community - preservation of those. Black Repertory Group has been a vital part of that for almost 60 years. Redistricting such that would exclude Black Repertory Group from district 2 will mean that Black Repertory group and the commitment BRG has to district 2 and the community has to BRG are not being recognized or considered. please keep Black Repertory Group in district 2	South Berkeley, Adeline Corridor Name provided: District 2	3201 Adeline St, 94703	Used Black Repertory Group address	BLACK CULTURAL COHESIVENESS/HISTORY		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			DISTRICT 2 OR 3?
42	11/14/2021	2	Monika Scott	I live and work in the Lorin District. The Lorin District 2 is historical African American community. I would like the community to remain unchanged and that Black Reperatory Group remain in the district.	The Lorin / District 2	3215 Adeline St, 94703	Address next to the Black Repertory Group	CULTURAL COHESIVENESS; MAINTAIN HISTORICAL BLACK COMMUNITIES; LORIN DISTRICT		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			DISTRICT 2 OR 3?

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	Notes
43	11/15/2021	3	No name	<p>Protect neighborhood resources of light, air, space, open areas, common spaces. Help neighbors and be vigilant for diverse communities who have been marginalized/victimized - particularly Muslim people, Asians and African Americans who reside in our immediate neighborhood. We live near/adjacent to Shattuck, with lots of traffic in and out of Berkeley and so we keep an eye out for each other.</p> <p>Socializing in the neighborhood is important - especially since the pandemic. Our door gatherings are now a thing. Watching our for children and making sure that traffic -vehicular and pedestrian- respect the ability of children to run around and play outside in a positive environment. Solar access for gardens and solar panels is a concern in our neighborhood in every house. Our western sky/space is especially important for the sunlight, air and views. The area is densely populated with small houses, apartments, coop houses and group living. With this density and close proximity people are very respectful.</p>	Walker Street has become our gathering spot - that runs between Derby and Ward that runs from Shattuck on the West to east of Fulton. We also have gatherings on Fulton with the blocks running East up towards Telegraph. Walker Street is a frequent name for our neighborhood.	2655 Shattuck Ave, 94704	Used intersection of Walker Street and Shattuck Avenue	NEIGHBORHOOD RESOURCES; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; MARGINALIZED COMMUNITY; RESOURCE EQUITY		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			
44	11/14/2021	3	C. Hutching	<p>Many African Americans (AA) moved to South Berkeley during WW2 to support the war effort. They were restricted from living in other parts of Berkeley due to redlining laws. Together, with other newcomers from Asia and Central America, they shaped the neighborhood into a thriving community which reflected their cultural, artistic, religious and political beliefs. Key tenets of our historical neighborhood are shared by my community members today. Those beliefs include, but are not limited to, respecting the civil rights for every citizen, housing rights for all, rights to jobs and a right to worship.</p> <p>The faith community is actively engaged in supporting the values upheld by my community and is represented with churches scattered around South Berkeley. For example, The Church by The Side of the Road located east of Shattuck on Russell St. is leading a consortium of church leaders in the mentoring of young people. The Ephesian Church, with the active support of community groups in this area, is committed to building low/low-income housing on its site. The Buddhist Temple on Russell is also engaged in service in the community and is a welcoming place to families and neighbors for outdoor weekend lunches. There are many places of interest and programs serving my community like Kiwi Pediatrics on</p>	<p>This area is known as South Berkeley. The current geographic location is Dwight Way on the North, Ellsworth on the East, 62nd on the South and Sacramento and California on South.</p> <p>The eastern swath of this area (from Dwight on the North going south on Ellsworth to Ashby and moving slightly westward at Deakin) should remain intact to represent the shared interests (stated above) of this community.</p> <p>Additionally, the southern swath of this District (going from Dwight on the North to 62nd on the South) is instrumental in reflecting the common shared interests of our community.</p> <p>Based on the maps that have been submitted to date, Howard Rosenberg's map looks the closest</p>	1730 Oregon St, 94703	Used Grove Park address	BLACK CULTURAL COHESIVENESS; FAITH COMMUNITY; TRANSPORTATION; MAINTAIN HISTORICALLY BLACK NEIGHBORHOOD; PUBLIC SAFETY; INDUSTRIAL POLLUTION		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT			REFERENCE HOWARD ROSENBERG MAP

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
45	11/14/2021	1	Meryl Siegal	<p>There are several common interests in our community: we are a transit oriented community bounded by BART, AC Transit and cars looking for parking once BART depletes the number of spaces. It makes sense to sever the district at Sacramento Street since the communities East of Sacramento do not have the same interests, development and history as the communities West of Sacramento. It is a community of interest because it has a major street that runs all the way to the hills and down to the Bay, East to West. It is a community of interest because it includes a highway as a street (San Pablo Ave). Furthermore, the community is also one that experiences toxic fumes from industrial corporate concerns. Finally, it is a community of interest because several of the houses are still owned by people of color who were not allowed to buy homes east of Sacramento.</p> <p>The community houses several families. It really is a community about families, schools and play grounds. The community is a conduit for evacuation from the hills if</p>	<p>Geographically it is a community of interest because it includes the Berkeley Marina district and so should expand past University (not stop there as it does now). Geographically, the community is flat and down hill from the rest of Berkeley. The community is also an international community from the Brazilian cultural center, to Spanish table, to the Halal restaurants, our community is international and cosmopolitan.</p>	1529 San Pablo Ave, Berkeley, CA 94702	Used address central to current District 1 (intersection of San Pablo and Cedar)	TRANSPORTATION; INDUSTRIAL/ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION		YES	EXPAND DISTRICT			BNC REDISTRICTING MAP
46	11/15/2021	7	No name	<p>Renters; pedestrians, cyclists, and transit riders; students.</p>	<p>This is concentrated in the areas with a high density of renters, including Downtown, southside blocks south of the current District 7, Clark Kerr campus, and "northside" up to Virginia Street</p> <p>The renter community is overwhelmed in the current districting by being split among districts dominated by homeowners. Renters vote less frequently than homeowners as a community and are therefore further drowned out. We need an additional district that protects and represents the significant number of renters in the City, such as the donut district on the map proposed by Alfred Twu, one draft example attached.</p>	2355 Telegraph Ave, 94704	Incomplete boundaries provided; selected address at Durant/Telegraph	RENTERS/STUDENT COMMUNITY; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS		YES	ADD NEW DISTRICT			MAP ATTACHED

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
47	11/15/2021	4	Ben Gould	<p>1. Housing affordability; 2. Bike/pedestrian/transit access & safety; 3. Homelessness</p> <p>Downtown Berkeley is an incredibly diverse community of over 6,000 residents, 95% of whom are renters. Downtown is comprised of students, young professionals, immigrants, families, retirees, and long-time residents alike, including both housed and unhoused neighbors.</p> <p>Downtown has been historically considered "everyone's neighborhood" because of the diverse commercial and leisure activities and the access to transit and major institutions (UC Berkeley, LBNL, City of Berkeley). However, for the thousands of people who call Downtown home on a daily basis, it is also a residential community, where we need to be able to get home safely and comfortably at night, have non-automotive transportation options that make it easy to get to our destinations, and have enough housing options and tenant protections to keep rent affordable. City Council has historically neglected the residential experience in Downtown Berkeley.</p> <p>Downtown Berkeley is most similar to the mixed-use and medium density neighborhoods immediately north and south along Shattuck Avenue. Because the most unifying experience of living in Downtown is "renters who don't have cars", other neighborhoods with high concentrations of renters who don't have cars are particularly good matches for joining into a Council district. Other campus periphery areas, such as Northside or Southside, are good candidates.</p>	Hearst to the north; Oxford/Fulton to the east; Dwight to the south; MLK to the west. Name provided: Downtown Berkeley	2272 Shattuck Ave, 94704		TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; HOMELESSNESS; HOUSING AFFORDABILITY; TRANSIT ACCESS; TRANSPORTATION; RENTER/STUDENT REPRESENTATION		YES	ADD NEW RENTER/STUDENT DISTRICT			ALFRED TWU MA
48	11/15/2021	3	Berkeley Branch of the NAACP	<p>Berkeley's Black community; churches, businesses, homeowners and tenants in primarily South Berkeley's area which now falls into "District 3." History and ancestors in common; many of us moved in the migration west from the Deep South after slavery and Jim Crow, many of us share a deep and abiding faith in God (Black Christian Churches are historic pillars of the city for a hundred years: Church by the Side of the Road, McGee Ave Baptist Church, St. Paul's AME Church, Ephesians Church, Phillips AME, etc).</p> <p>Black academics, artists and activists are a core part of our community; housing should be available to ensure Black members thrive in our historic district that should be deemed the Black Community Historical Zone. The Adeline Corridor and So Berkeley needs to not be further displaced or diluted. Berkeley NAACP, BEEMA and other Black organizations in Berkeley strongly urge that District 3 remain without alteration. Specifically: McGee Ave Baptist Church should not be placed into District, 2, nor should Church by Side of Road be</p>	Current District 3	1730 Oregon St, 94703	Used Grove Park address for pin	HISTORICAL BLACK/MUSLIM NEIGHBORHOOD; FAITH BASED COMMUNITY; ACCESS		YES	MAINTAIN DISTRICT 3			MAP ATTACHED

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
49	11/15/2021	1	No name	Working-class neighborhood, which includes many Craftsman-style homes (and a few Victorians), built by blue-collar workers for their families. It also retains a - albeit diminishing - level of racial and socioeconomic diversity as one of the only neighborhood in which restrictive covenants were not placed on housing (as a formerly redlined area). This area bounds the MU-R and MU-LI areas, and neighborhoods have striven to coexist with industry that would now be deemed to be incompatible with residential - and has embraced the ecosystem of small manufacturing, arts, and crafts businesses, some of whose owners reside in live-work units in the neighborhood.	The Oceanview District is roughly bounded by San Pablo on the East Side, the waterfront on the West Side, Gilman St. on the North Side, and University Ave. on the South Side. However, our community arguably has more in common with the entire area below San Pablo than other neighborhoods. We certainly have more in common with other areas below Sacramento (roughly bounded by the North Berkeley BART) than areas to the east of Sacramento. Name provided: Oceanview District For your consideration, a West Berkeley Business District oriented map proposal has been created (most districts except 2 are within less than 1% of the threshold for compactness, the least compact district is D6 and it's within 3.6%).	1720 Eighth St, 94710	Used James Kenney Community Center	SINGLE FAMILY ZONING; LOCAL/SMALL BUSINESSES; WORKING CLASS NEIGHBORHOOD; HOUSING DENSITY; MANUFACTURING/ARTS & CRAFTS; LIVE/WORK UNITS; LIGHT INDUSTRIAL; COMMON CULTURE		YES	MAINTAIN OCEANVIEW DISTRICT			MAP ATTACHED
50	11/15/2021	4	Wendy Alfsen & Nancy Holland	Geographic, historical, economic, cultural, and racial/ethnic diversity interests; common intrests in quiet with less noise, less litter, less flooding, less air pollution, fewer vehicles, improved traffic safety, reduction of danger from cut-through & commute traffic; religious centers.	See map attachment to COI form Name provided: Greater Flatlands	1607 Bancroft Way, 94703	Used intersection of McGee Avenue & Bancroft Way.	MAJOR TRAFFIC ARTERY; TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; DENSITY; TRAFFIC		YES	RECONFIGURE DISTRICT			MAP INCLUDED
51	11/16/2021	3	No name	Sun, air, and space are resources we want to protect. We have just enough space, and we get along well and watch out for each other. This is important because we have small children, senior citizens and members of marginalized communities that have seen a lot of hatred: Muslims, Asian, and African American. We keep an eye out for our neighbors and have a history of showing up, in person to take care of mutual concerns. We love the sound of children playing outside, and during the pandemic our outside space on Walker Street became the focus of neighborhood out door gatherings. These gatherings were about the only "socializing" any of us did for a year.	Shattuck to the west. Comprises Derby and Ward all the way past Fulton. Name provided: Walker Street / Le Conte	2108 Derby St, 94705	Used intersection of Derby Street & Walker Street.	INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; HOUSING DENSITY; HOMELESSNESS; ECONOMIC/RACIAL DIVERSITY		YES	KEEP NEIGHBORHOOD BOUNDARIES-DISTRICT 3			

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
52	11/16/2021	1	Afi Kambon for Berkeley Visionary Equity Summit Alliance	Historically Black community. A place of inclusion, tolerance, and caring; youth and elders connecting; affordable housing and a fair and inclusive approach to development that benefits low-income residents including a right of return for those displaced or unhoused, and safe community policing.	Maintain current District 1 boundaries; at least as far east as Sacramento Street, the former "color line."	1531 San Pablo Ave, 94702	Intersection of Cedar & San Pablo.	HISTORICAL BLACK NEIGHBORHOOD; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; LOW INCOME RESIDENCE; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; SENIOR RESOURCE EQUITY; CRIME/POLICING SHELTERS; DIVERSITY;		YES	KEEP BOUNDARIES			
53	11/16/2021	2	Betsy Morris	I am a 30 year old resident of historic West Berkeley (split between District 1 and 2), and a current member of the Poet's Corner Advocates for the Unhoused and the Berkeley Visionary Equity Alliance. We want an inclusive community, and are working with existing institutions like Women's Day Time Drop In Center and Youth Spirit Artworks to recognize the massive displacement of the Black and Hispanic community members. We support a variety of truly affordable housing. strategies to reintegrate, including "good neighbor" shelters, tiny home villages, and safe parking lots while more affordable housing can be built.	Current boundaries work well (University, Sacramento, and Dwight Way). Strawberry Creek Park neighborhood with Berkeley Youth Alternatives, the park, the corp yard, Daytime Drop In Center and Strawberry Creek Lodge, Berkeley Youth Alternatives, belong in District 2. West Berkeley from south of University Avenue, to the Marina, Aquatic Park and east to Sacramento Street (the old de facto "color line" reflect. I am suprised to see the decline of West Berkeley and the Oceanview Neighborhood in favor of "South West Berkeley" and Northwest Berkeley." San Pablo Park was/is a distinctive neighborhood. The displacement of Black neighbors is striking west of San Pablo - the current census map looks scrubbed.	2246 San Pablo Ave, 94702	Used intersection of San Pablo Avenue & Bancroft Way	SHELTERS; DIVERSITY; DISTRICT INEQUITY; HOMELESSNESS; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION; AFFORDABLE HOUSING; DISPLACEMENT OF COMMUNITIES OF COLOR		YES	MAINTAIN CURRENT BOUNDARIES			
54	11/24/2021	4		and Arch Streets were split down the middle. Those who lived on the westside of the street were put into District 4, while those who lived on the East side of the street remained in District 6. Before, when we had common problems, we could go to our District 6 representative. Now, while we may have common problems--traffic, trash pick-up, lighting for the street, we now have to go to two different District representatives, even though we have the same problem. District 4 does not serve our needs. It is more oriented to Berkeley businesses. I always have had good responses from District 6 and still receive their newsletter. That's where I find out what is going on in Berkeley. I asked to be put on a District 4 newsletter, but it may be they don't have one. District 6 should continue south to Hearst	Lower Spruce area including Spruce Street and Arch Street			TRANSPORTATION SAFETY; LIGHTING; CRIME; TRASH-PICK; WATER RUN-OFF; INADEQUATE REPRESENTATION		YES	Yes, District 6 should continue south to Hearst and west to Oxford, instead of a little chunk being taken out and added to District 4. Fix what you messed up last time and return us to District 6. We wanted to remain in District 6. District boundaries should be at large arteries, e.g. Hearst or Oxford			

#	Date Received	District	Submitted By	COI Summary	General Geographic Region	Approx Location for Map Pin	Approx Location Comments	COI Themes	Reference or Endorsement to Submitted Map? If Y, which one?	Is COI mappable? (Y/N)	Boundary Change Requested by Submitter (Y/N)	If YES, entire Commission will assess what considerations there are for applicable boundary/district changes		Notes
												Boundary Change Recommended by Cx (Y/N)	Rationale for Recommendation by Cx	
55	11/25/2021	4			Cedar to the North, Hearst to the South, Oxford to the West and Euclid to the East			NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS; OWNER-OCCUPIED SINGLE FAMILY HOMES GROUPED TOGETHER; SMALL ADJUSTMENTS TO THE EXISTING DISTRICT MAP; RETIRED POPULATION; YOUNG FAMILIES; HOMEOWNER / LONG-TERM RESIDENTS VS STUDENT CONSTITUENCY		YES	Yes, Move from District 4 to District 6 (unite with the rest of homeowning neighbors. Want south side of Virginia Street added to District 6); "Our neighborhood is roughly bordered by Cedar to the North, Hearst to the South, Oxford to the West and Euclid to the East. Currently our neighborhood is divided into at least three separate districts."			
56	11/29/2021	8			Willard Neighborhood - Ashby to Dwight and Telegraph to College Avenue			NEIGHBORHOOD COHESIVENESS		YES	Yes, WANTS ALL OF WILLARD NEIGHBORHOOD TO BE IN DISTRICT 8; "The Willard neighborhood runs from Ashby to Dwight and from Telegraph to College. To me it makes common sense that a given neighborhood is within one voting district"			



City Clerk Department

January 10, 2022

To: Independent Redistricting Commission

From: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary
Elisabeth Watson, Commission Chair

Subject: Direction to Staff on Proposed Maps and Appointment of Two Commissioners to Assist with Development of Draft Maps

At the meeting of January 10, 2022, the Commission will provide direction to staff on preferences for the creation of the draft council districts maps that will start the development of the final map. Staff is also requesting that a Map Drawing Subcommittee of two members be appointed at the January 10 meeting.

Development of Draft Maps

In a separate memo on this agenda, the Map & Community of Interest (COI) Subcommittee has provided a completed Map Matrix which summarizes and organizes the maps submitted by the public. The packet also contains the complete COI Matrix with the COI forms received to date. In addition, Commissioners have access to all communications submitted to the Commission in the composite communication document. This document is unredacted and available to the public through the City Clerk Department. All public communications to the Commission are available through Records Online.

With the totality of the public submissions, the Commission will provide direction to staff on the desired elements of up to five potential draft maps. Modifications may be made to this set of initial draft maps, but the final map will be either a map from this group or a variation of one of these maps. The Commission may make revisions and narrow down the number of maps under consideration at the January 27 and February 17 public hearings. At the February 28 public hearing, the Commission will select the final map to be adopted on March 16, 2022.

Direction on Draft Maps

The Commission will provide direction in two categories:

1. Legal criteria and themes that should be present in all draft maps.
2. Themes that should be prioritized in one or more draft maps, such as neighborhood integrity, specific COIs, UC population, or variations from current districts.

To provide an orderly process for the discussion and direction to staff for the criteria and themes listed directly above, the Commission will follow the process below:

1. Based on the Map & COI Subcommittee Memo, the Commission will identify a list of all significant themes contained in the public maps and COI forms.
 - a. Each member of the Commission will have an opportunity to “nominate” specific prioritized themes/COIs that should be considered for the list.
 - b. The Commission will add the consensus themes/COIs nominated by the Commissioners.
 - c. Any themes/COIs that do not have consensus may be added to the list by motion and majority vote.
2. The Commission will then take action by majority vote to identify the legal criteria and themes/COI that should be included in all maps.
3. The Commission will then take action by majority vote to identify specific themes/COI that should be prioritized in one or more draft maps.
4. The Commission will then appoint a Map Drafting Subcommittee of two members to assist staff with the drafting of maps. It is preferable to appoint commissioners that have the availability to meet during the work day and some evenings between January 11 – January 19. Commissioners assigned to this role may need to devote significant time to working with staff and reviewing draft maps.

Next Steps

The Subcommittee and Commission Staff will create five draft maps based on the action taken by the Commission. The draft maps will be identified by a random color and note the dominant themes contained therein. The initial set of draft maps will be available on January 20 when the January 27 public hearing packet is published. Staff will widely publicize the availability of the maps based on the template developed by the Outreach Subcommittee and according to the related Information Report in this meeting packet.



City Clerk Department

January 10, 2022

To: Independent Redistricting Commission
From: Mark Numainville, Commission Secretary
Subject: Outreach Plan for Community Review of Commission Maps

Staff has prepared an outreach plan based on the template created through the Outreach Subcommittee to engage with the community regarding the Commission's proposed redistricting maps. The plan's objectives are to reach diverse communities, provide information, and encourage participation in the redistricting process.

Community Messaging

The City's initial method of communicating with the public is through community news releases, which are sent to approximately 9,000 subscribers and posted on the City's website. Each release is followed by a cascade of related messaging across the following channels:

- Social media posts on Twitter (16,000+ followers) and Nextdoor (53 neighborhood groups)
- Emails sent to 400+ community groups and interested parties
- Targeted outreach to ~40 high-interest community groups
- Calls to contacts at local media outlets
- Paid advertisements in local media outlets
- Utilization of pass-through messaging by City Councilmembers
- Continuation of the collaborative relationship with the Associated Students of the University of California

In coordination with the City's communications team, staff is targeting the following dates for community news releases and other outreach: January 20, February 10, February 24, and March 9. These dates are the agenda packet publication dates for the January 27, February 17, February 28, and March 16 meetings.

When possible, the message will also be re-published through the e-newsletters managed by the Berkeley Public Library and Berkeley Unified School District.

Printed Draft Maps and Final Maps

Printed maps will be available in two sizes. Large-scale 36"x36" maps provide a detailed view of district boundaries; however, the large size limits where they can be placed in public facilities. Smaller, ledger-sized 11"x17" map books will be provided at locations where placement of larger maps is not feasible. Map books include an overview of each proposed map, an individual page dedicated to each of the proposed districts, and related population data.

- Large-scale paper maps will be available at two locations – the Central Library at 2090 Kittredge Street, and the South Berkeley Senior Center at 2939 Ellis Street. Ledger-sized map books will also be provided at those locations.
- Ledger-sized map books will be available at each of the City's four library branches and five community centers, the Central Administrative Offices at 2180 Milvia Street, and at the 1947 Center Street facility.

The first draft maps will be distributed to these locations within a day after they are made available to the Commission and published online. Maps will be redistributed as they are revised by the Commission, up to and including the final map. All maps and materials will be available online at cityofberkeley.info/redistricting/. Community of Interest forms are also already available at all of the locations described above.

Outreach at In-Person Events

In-person outreach continues to be limited by the COVID-19 pandemic. The number of public events also decreases during the winter months due to inclement weather. Nonetheless, Staff has coordinated two in-person outreach dates in 2022. On February 1st and 3rd, staff will have an informational table at the intersection of Bancroft Way and Telegraph Avenue from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. Additional in-person outreach events will be attended as they become available.